## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

## JOSEPH THOMAS, et al,

## **Plaintiffs**

vs.

Civil Action No. 3:18cv441-CWR-FKB

PHIL BRYANT, Governor of Mississippi, et al.,

## Defendants.

## <u>MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION</u> <u>TO EXTEND QUALIFYING DEADLINE IN TWO SENATE DISTRICTS</u>

Because nearly two weeks have passed without the Mississippi Legislature taking action to remedy the violation announced by this Court in its order of February 13, and reiterated in its order of February 16, 2019, this Court will be required to impose a remedial plan for Senate District 22 which will affect the adjacent Senate District 23. In order to afford potential candidates an opportunity to make any decisions about qualifying in light of the district lines ordered by the Court, the Plaintiffs have moved to postpone from March 1, 2019 to March 15, 2019 the candidate qualifying deadline for Senate Districts 22 and 23. If the Court intends to strongly consider imposing a remedy that encompasses District 13 as well, or if the Defendans request that District 13 be included, the Court could also postpone the deadline in that district. No other districts need be affected.

The grounds for the motion are set forth more fully in the motion itself. This short memorandum is submitted to recite some of the case law recognizing the Court's authority to postpone qualifying deadlines where appropriate.

#### Case 3:18-cv-00441-CWR-FKB Document 67 Filed 02/25/19 Page 2 of 4

Although the qualifying deadline should be maintained if possible, *see Smith v. Clark*, 189 F.Supp.2d 529, 535 (S.D. Miss. 2002) (three-judge court), this Court has the discretion to postpone a qualifying deadline in order to implement a lawful remedy. In *Seamon v. Upham*, 536 F. Supp. 931, 936-938 (E.D. Tex. 1982) (three-judge court), the district court postponed the qualifying deadline twice in order to implement a remedial election plan. The Supreme Court subsequently vacated the remedial plan on the merits, but nevertheless gave the district court the option on remand of moving forward under that's same remedial plan and that same schedule (including the postponed qualifying deadlines) in light of the exigencies posed by the upcoming election. 456 U.S. 37, 44 (1982).

In an earlier Mississippi legislative redistricting case with Constitutional and Voting Rights Act claims, the three-judge court postponed the qualifying deadline *statewide* in both houses of the legislature for a significant period of time so that the deadline fell only 36 days before the primary election rather than the nearly two months then provided by state law. Specifically, on July 15, 2019, the Court suspended the July 19 qualifying deadline. On August 2, the Court reset the qualifying deadline for August 12 for the September 17 primary and the November 5 general elections. *Watkins v. Mabus*, 771 F.Supp. 789, 793, 797 (S.D. Miss. 1991) (three-judge court). The Court's action there demonstrates that federal courts have the authority and flexibility to postpone qualifying deadlines where appropriate, and that state election officials can manage to hold elections even where the qualifying deadlines are five weeks from the primary.

By contrast to the *Watkins* case, the Plaintiffs here suggest postponement of the qualifying deadline for a short period of time in only two districts in one house rather than

2

statewide in two houses such that several months will still remain between the qualifying

deadline in those two districts and the primary election.

February 25, 2019,

BETH L. ORLANSKY, MSB 3938 MISSISSIPPI CENTER FOR JUSTICE P.O. Box 1023 Jackson, MS 39205-1023 (601) 352-2269 borlansky@mscenterforjustice.org

KRISTEN CLARKE JON GREENBAUM EZRA D. ROSENBERG ARUSHA GORDON POOJA CHAUDHURI LAWYERS'COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER LAW 1401 New York Ave., NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 662-8600 erosenberg@lawyerscommittee.org agordon@lawyerscommittee.org Admitted Pro Hac Vice Respectfully submitted,

<u>s/Robert B. McDuff</u> ROBERT B. MCDUFF, MSB 2532 767 North Congress Street Jackson, MS 39202 (601) 969-0802 <u>rbm@mcdufflaw.com</u>

ELLIS TURNAGE, MSB 8131 TURNAGE LAW OFFICE 108 N. Pearman Ave Cleveland, MS 38732 (662) 843-2811 eturnage@etlawms.com

PETER KRAUS CHARLES SIEGEL CAITLYN SILHAN WATERS KRAUS 3141 Hood Street, Suite 700 Dallas, TX 75219 (214) 357-6244 pkraus@waterskraus.com csiegel@waterskraus.com csilhan@waterskraus.com Admitted Pro Hac Vice

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Case 3:18-cv-00441-CWR-FKB Document 67 Filed 02/25/19 Page 4 of 4

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 25, 2019, I electronically filed a copy of the foregoing using the ECF system which sent notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

# s/Robert B. McDuff