

1 XAVIER BECERRA  
 Attorney General of California  
 2 MARK R. BECKINGTON  
 ANTHONY R. HAKL  
 3 Supervising Deputy Attorneys General  
 GABRIELLE D. BOUTIN, SBN 267308  
 4 R. MATTHEW WISE, SBN 238485  
 ANNA T. FERRARI, SBN 261579  
 5 TODD GRABARSKY, SBN 286999  
 NOREEN P. SKELLY, SBN 186135  
 6 Deputy Attorneys General  
 1300 I Street, Suite 125  
 7 P.O. Box 944255  
 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550  
 8 Telephone: (916) 210-6053  
 Fax: (916) 324-8835  
 9 E-mail: Gabrielle.Boutin@doj.ca.gov  
 Attorneys for Plaintiff State of California, by and  
 10 through Attorney General Xavier Becerra

11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 12 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA by and through**  
**Attorney General Xavier Becerra;**  
 17 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES; CITY OF**  
**LOS ANGELES; CITY OF FREMONT;**  
 18 **CITY OF LONG BEACH; CITY OF**  
**OAKLAND; CITY OF STOCKTON,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

22 **WILBUR L. ROSS, JR., in his official**  
**capacity as Secretary of the U.S.**  
 23 **Department of Commerce; U.S.**  
**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; RON**  
 24 **JARMIN, in his official capacity as Acting**  
**Director of the U.S. Census Bureau; U.S.**  
 25 **CENSUS BUREAU; DOES 1-100,**

Defendants.

3:18-cv-01865

**PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION**  
**TO ENTER FINAL JUDGMENT AFTER**  
**REMAND; [PROPOSED] ORDER**

Hearing Date: September 12, 2019  
 Time: 1:30 p.m.  
 Dept: 3  
 Trial Date: January 7, 2019  
 Judge: The Honorable Richard G.  
 Seeborg  
 Action Filed: March 26, 2018

**TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on September 12, 2019, at 1:30 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, before the Honorable Richard Seeborg in Department 3 of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, Plaintiffs State of California, County of Los Angeles, and Cities of Los Angeles, Fremont, Long Beach, Oakland, and Stockton, and Plaintiff-in-Intervention Los Angeles Unified School District will and hereby do move this Court to enter Plaintiffs' [Proposed] Final Judgment After Remand, Order of Vacatur, and Permanent Injunction attached as "Exhibit A" to this motion.

This motion is based on this Notice of Motion, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the accompanying [Proposed] Final Judgment After Remand, Order of Vacatur, and Permanent Injunction, the papers and pleadings on file in this action, and such matters as may be presented to the Court at or before the time of the hearing.

Although Plaintiffs have filed this request as a noticed motion in accordance with Local Rule 7-1, Defendants do not oppose this motion and the parties do not require a hearing.

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES****I. INTRODUCTION**

Following the Supreme Court's decision in *Dep't of Commerce v. New York*, 139 S. Ct. 2551, 2019 WL 2619473 (2019), Plaintiffs and Defendants conferred about the terms of a proposed final judgment in this action. Plaintiffs now request that the Court enter the [Proposed] Final Judgment After Remand, Order of Vacatur, and Permanent Injunction attached hereto as "Exhibit A." Defendants do not oppose this motion.

**II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND**

This Court entered final judgment in this action on March 13, 2019. ECF No. 207. The same day, Defendants filed a notice of appeal of the final judgment. ECF No. 208. On March 18, 2019, Defendants filed a petition for writ of certiorari before judgment in the United States Supreme Court. Petition for a Writ of Certiorari Before Judgment, *Ross v. California*, --- S. Ct.--, 2019 WL 1258816 (2019).

1 On June 27, 2019, the United States Supreme Court issued its opinion in the case of  
2 *Department of Commerce v. New York*, affirming the portion of the district court’s judgment  
3 holding that Secretary Ross’s decision to add a citizenship question to the 2020 Census violates  
4 the Administrative Procedure Act. *Dep’t of Commerce v. New York*, 139 S. Ct. at 2573-2576.

5 On June 28, 2019, the Supreme Court granted Defendants’ petition for writ of certiorari  
6 before judgment in this action. Its order directed as follows: “The judgment is vacated, and the  
7 case is remanded to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit for further  
8 consideration in light of *Department of Commerce v. New York*, 588 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2019).” *Ross v.*  
9 *California*, --- S. Ct.---, 2019 WL 1243674 (2019).

10 A writ of mandate subsequently issued from the Supreme Court to the Ninth Circuit Court  
11 of Appeals, and on July 26, 2019, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals remanded the action to this  
12 Court. ECF No. 235.

### 13 **III. PLAINTIFFS’ REQUEST TO ENTER FINAL JUDGMENT AFTER REMAND**

14 Following the Supreme Court’s decision in *Department of Commerce v. New York*, on July  
15 11, 2019, the President issued an Executive Order stating that “[a]fter examining every possible  
16 alternative, the Attorney General and the Secretary of Commerce have informed me that the  
17 logistics and timing for carrying out the census, combined with delays from continuing litigation,  
18 leave no practical mechanism for including the [citizenship] question on the 2020 decennial  
19 census.” Exec. Order 13,880, § 1, 84 Fed. Reg. 33,821, 33,821 (July 16, 2019) (“The [Supreme]  
20 Court’s ruling . . . has now made it impossible, as a practical matter, to include a citizenship  
21 question on the 2020 decennial census questionnaire.”).

22 Accordingly, the parties agree that entry of a final judgment is appropriate and have  
23 conferred about the language of the [Proposed] Final Judgment After Remand, Order of Vacatur,  
24 and Permanent Injunction. The proposed judgment permanently enjoins Defendants from  
25 including a citizenship question on the 2020 decennial census questionnaire; from delaying the  
26 process of printing the 2020 decennial census questionnaire after June 30, 2019, for the purpose  
27 of including a citizenship question; and from asking persons about their citizenship status on the  
28

1 2020 census questionnaire or otherwise asking a citizenship question as part of the 2020  
2 decennial census.

3 Defendants have informed Plaintiffs that they do not oppose this motion and that they  
4 intend to file a notice of non-opposition shortly after it is filed.

5 Plaintiffs therefore ask this Court to enter the attached [Proposed] Final Judgment After  
6 Remand, Order of Vacatur, and Permanent Injunction.

7  
8 Dated: July 30, 2019

Respectfully Submitted,

9 XAVIER BECERRA  
10 Attorney General of California  
11 MARK R. BECKINGTON  
12 ANTHONY R. HAKL  
13 Supervising Deputy Attorneys General  
14 ANNA T. FERRARI  
15 TODD GRABARSKY  
16 NOREEN P. SKELLY  
17 R. MATTHEW WISE  
18 Deputy Attorneys General

15 /s/ Gabrielle D. Boutin  
16 GABRIELLE D. BOUTIN  
17 Deputy Attorney General  
18 *Attorneys for Plaintiff State of California, by and  
through Attorney General Xavier Becerra*

18 Dated: July 30, 2019

19 /s/ Charles L. Coleman  
20 CHARLES L. COLEMAN III, SBN 65496  
21 DAVID I. HOLTZMAN  
22 HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP  
23 50 California Street, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor  
24 San Francisco, CA 94111  
25 Telephone: (415) 743-6970  
26 Fax: (415) 743-6910  
27 Email: charles.coleman@hklaw.com  
28 *Attorneys for Plaintiff County of Los Angeles*

1  
2 Dated: July 30, 2019

MIKE FEUER  
City Attorney for the City of Los Angeles

3 /s/ Valerie Flores  
4 VALERIE FLORES, SBN 138572  
5 Managing Senior Assistant City Attorney  
6 200 North Main Street, 7th Floor, MS 140  
7 Los Angeles, CA 90012  
Telephone: (213) 978-8130  
Fax: (213) 978-8222  
Email: Valerie.Flores@lacity.org

8 Dated: July 30, 2019

HARVEY LEVINE  
City Attorney for the City of Fremont

9 /s/ Harvey Levine  
10 SBN 61880  
11 3300 Capitol Ave.  
12 Fremont, CA 94538  
13 Telephone: (510) 284-4030  
Fax: (510) 284-4031  
Email: hlevine@fremont.gov

14 Dated: July 30, 2019

CHARLES PARKIN  
City Attorney for the City of Long Beach

15 /s/ Charles Parkin  
16 SBN 159162  
17 333 W. Ocean Blvd., 11th Floor  
18 Long Beach CA, 90802  
19 Telephone: (562) 570-2200  
Fax: (562) 436-1579  
Email: Charles.Parkin@longbeach.gov

20 Dated: July 30, 2019

BARBARA J. PARKER  
City Attorney for the City of Oakland

21 /s/ Erin Bernstein  
22 MARIA BEE  
23 Chief Assistant City Attorney  
ERIN BERNSTEIN, SBN 231539  
24 Supervising Deputy City Attorney  
MALIA MCPHERSON  
25 Deputy City Attorney  
26 City Hall, 6th Floor  
1 Frank Ogawa Plaza  
27 Oakland, California 94612  
Telephone: (510) 238-3601  
28 Fax: (510) 238-6500  
Email: ebernstein@oaklandcityattorney.org

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: July 30, 2019

JOHN LUEBBERKE  
City Attorney for the City of Stockton

/s/ John Luebberke  
SBN 164893  
425 N. El Dorado Street, 2nd Floor  
Stockton, CA 95202  
Telephone: (209) 937-8333  
Fax: (209) 937-8898  
Email: John.Luebberke@stocktonca.gov

Dated: July 30, 2019

DANNIS WOLIVER KELLEY  
SUE ANN SALMON EVANS  
KEITH A. YEOMANS

/s/ Keith A. Yeomans  
Keith A. Yeomans, SBN 245600  
115 Pine Avenue, Suite 500  
Long Beach, CA 90802  
Telephone: (562) 366-8500  
Fax: (562) 366-8505  
Email: kyeomans@dwkesq.com  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor  
Los Angeles Unified School District*

**FILER'S ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), regarding signatures, I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all signatories above.

Dated: July 30, 2019

/s/ Gabrielle D. Boutin  
GABRIELLE D. BOUTIN

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Having considered Plaintiffs’ Unopposed Motion to Enter Judgment After Remand (Motion), and good cause appearing, the Court orders as follows:

1. Plaintiffs’ Motion is GRANTED.

2. To the extent that the March 13, 2019 judgment in this action, ECF No. 207, is still in place, that judgment is hereby VACATED.

3. The Court shall adopt and enter the [Proposed] Final Judgment After Remand, Order of Vacatur, and Permanent Injunction attached as “Exhibit A” to the Motion.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

HON. RICHARD SEEBORG  
United States District Judge

# **EXHIBIT A**



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,  <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> WILBUR L. ROSS, et al.,  <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	Case No.      18-cv-01865-RS  18-cv-02279-RS  <b>[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AFTER REMAND, ORDER OF VACATUR, AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION</b>
CITY OF SAN JOSE, et al.,  <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> WILBUR L. ROSS, et al.,  <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	

In accordance with the Court’s March 6, 2019 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the June 28, 2019 order of the United States Supreme Court in *Wilbur L. Ross, Secretary of Commerce, et al. v. California, et al.*, No. 18-1214, the Unopposed Motions to Enter Final Judgment After Remand filed by the Plaintiffs on July 30, 2019, and Rule 58(b)(2)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** as follows:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**FINAL JUDGMENT AFTER REMAND**

In Case No. 18-cv-1865-RS:

- Final judgment is entered for Plaintiffs and against Defendants on Plaintiffs’ Second Cause of Action (Violation of APA; 5 U.S.C. § 706).
- Final judgment is entered for Defendants and against Plaintiffs on Plaintiffs’ First Cause of Action (Violation of Constitution’s “Actual Enumeration” Mandate; U.S. Const. art. I, § 2, cl. 3).

In Case No. 18-cv-2279-RS:

- Final judgment is entered for Plaintiffs and against Defendants on Plaintiffs’ Fourth Cause of Action (Violation of APA’s Arbitrary and Capricious Standard; 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A)).
- Final judgment is entered for Defendants and against Plaintiffs on Plaintiffs’ First Cause of Action (Violation of Constitution’s “Actual Enumeration” Mandate; U.S. Const. art. I, § 2, cl. 3); Plaintiffs’ Second Cause of Action (Violation of the Constitution’s Apportionment Clause; U.S. Const. amend. XIV, § 2); and Plaintiffs’ Third Cause of Action (Violation of APA’s Requirement that Administrative Action Be in Accordance with Law, Not Contrary to Constitutional Right, and Not Beyond Statutory Authority; 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)).

**VACATUR AND REMAND**

Secretary Ross’s March 26, 2018 decision to include a citizenship question on the 2020 Census is hereby **VACATED**. In light of the permanent injunction below, the decision is not remanded to the Department of Commerce.

**PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

Defendants, including the Secretary of Commerce in his official capacity, the Director of the Census Bureau in his official capacity, and any successors to those offices, together with their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and other persons who are in active concert or participation with the foregoing, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d)(2), are hereby **PERMANENTLY ENJOINED** from including a citizenship question on the 2020 decennial census questionnaire;

1 from delaying the process of printing the 2020 decennial census questionnaire after June 30, 2019  
2 for the purpose of including a citizenship question; and from asking persons about citizenship  
3 status on the 2020 census questionnaire or otherwise asking a citizenship question as part of the  
4 2020 decennial census.

5 The Court will retain jurisdiction in these cases to enforce the terms of this Order until the  
6 2020 Census results are processed and sent to the President by December 31, 2020.

7

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9

10 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2019

11

RICHARD SEEBORG  
United States District Judge

12

13

CASE NOS. 18-cv-01865-RS, 18-cv-02279-RS

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Case Name: **State of California, et al. v. Wilbur L. Ross, et al.** No. **3:18-cv-01865**

---

I hereby certify that on July 30, 2019, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

**PLAINTIFFS’ UNOPPOSED MOTION TO ENTER FINAL JUDGMENT AFTER REMAND; [PROPOSED] ORDER**

I certify that **all** participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on July 30, 2019, at Sacramento, California.

Eileen A. Ennis  

---

Declarant

*/s/ Eileen A. Ennis*  

---

Signature