## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN (LITTLE ROCK) DIVISION

Dr. JULIUS J. LARRY III

**PLAINTIFF** 

VS.

NO. 4:18-CV-116-KGB

STATE OF ARKANSAS; HONORABLE ASA HUTCHINSON, In his Official Capacity as Governor of the State of Arkansas; HONORABLE LESLIE RUTLEDGE, in her Official Capacity as Attorney General of the State of Arkansas; HONORABLE MARK MARTIN, in his official capacity as Arkansas Secretary of State; et al.

**DEFENDANTS** 

## DEFENDANT ARKANSAS SECRETARY OF STATE MARK MARTIN'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT

**COMES NOW**, Defendant, Honorable Mark Martin, ("Defendant Secretary"), in his official capacity as Arkansas Secretary of State, for his Response to Plaintiff's Motion to File Amended Complaint, and states that:

1. Defendant Secretary denies the allegations made in Plaintiff's filing dated June 1, 2018, as the filing appears to be a merger of a Motion to File an Amended Complaint,

Defendant SOS Response to Motion to File Amended Complaint, p. 1

- incorporated with the same amended complaint and a brief in support of the amended complaint.
- 2. Defendant Secretary objects because the Motion and proposed Amended Complaint are not in proper form in accordance with Local Rule 5.5(e), which would apply to the proposed amended pleading as it purports to include three attorneys as co-signers with the previously pro-se Plaintiff. Rule 5.5(e) of the U.S. District Court Rules for the Eastern and Western Districts of Arkansas.
- 3. Defendant Secretary objects because the purported amended pleading would be futile, and would not withstand a motion to dismiss.
- 4. Defendant Secretary objects because the purported amended pleading appears to be frivolous, given the nature of the allegations under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.
- 5. Defendant Secretary joins the Response filed by the Attorney General for the State of Arkansas and the other defendants this even date.
- 6. Defendant Secretary files a Memorandum Brief concurrently with this Response.
- 7. Defendant asks the Court to deny the Motion, to dismiss Defendant Secretary from the Complaint, to deny Plaintiff the relief he seeks, and to grant Defendant such additional relief to which he may be entitled under the circumstances.

WHEREFORE, and for the foregoing reasons, Defendant Secretary of State Mark

Martin, in his official capacity, prays that the Court deny Plaintiff any of the relief requested

against Defendant Secretary; that the Court deny Plaintiff's Motion to Amend; that the Court

dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant Secretary of State; that the Court grant

Defendant Secretary such additional relief to which he may be entitled under the circumstances

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of June, 2018,

HONORABLE MARK MARTIN ARKANSAS SECRETARY OF STATE

In his Official Capacity, Defendant

By:

A.J. Kelly

General Counsel and Deputy Secretary of State

AB No. 92078

PO Box 251570

Little Rock, AR 72225-1570

(501) 682-3401

Fax: (501) 682-1213

kellylawfedecf@aol.com

Attorney for Defendant Arkansas Secretary of State

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I do hereby certify that on this 14<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2018, I have served the foregoing via the electronic filing system in the Federal District Court Clerk's Office (CM/ECF) to the Attorney General and the Assistant Attorney General who has entered his appearance, and via first class mail to the following:

Dr. Julius J. Larry III 2615 W.12<sup>th</sup> Street Little Rock, AR 72202

Q. Byrum Hurst, Jr. Hurst Law 518 Ouachita Avenue Hot Springs AR 71901

Jimmy Morris, Jr. 221 West 2<sup>nd</sup> Street Little Rock AR 72206

Gene McKissic 116 West 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue Pine Bluff, AR 71601