RECEIVED by MCOA 5/22/2018 4:04:49 PM

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

CITIZENS PROTECTING MICHIGAN'S CONSTITUTION, JOSEPH SPYKE AND JEANNE DAUNT,

Plaintiffs,

V

SECRETARY OF STATE AND MICHIGAN BOARD OF STATE CANVASSERS,

Michigan Court of Appeals No. 343517

 $\label{eq:coss-Defendants} Defendants/Cross-Defendants,$ and

VOTERS NOT POLITICIANS BALLOT COMMITTEE, d/b/a VOTERS NOT POLITICIANS, COUNT MI VOTE, a Michigan Non-Profit Corporation, d/b/a VOTERS NOT POLITICIANS, KATHRYN A. FAHEY, WILLIAM R. BOBIER and DAVIA C. DOWNEY,

Intervening Defendants/Cross-Plaintiffs,

DEFENDANTS/CROSS-DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO INTERVENING DEFENDANTS/CROSS-PLAINTIFFS' CROSS CLAIM

NOW COME Defendants/Cross-Defendants, Secretary of State and Board of State Canvassers, and in answer to Intervening Defendants/Cross-Plaintiffs' cross-claim for Writ of Mandamus state as follows:

PARTIES

Defendants/Cross-Defendants admit that Voters Not Politicians Ballot
 Committee is a registered ballot question committee.

- 2. Defendants/Cross-Defendants neither admit nor deny the contents of this paragraph and leave Intervening Defendants/Cross-Plaintiffs to their proofs.
- 3. Defendants/Cross-Defendants neither admit nor deny the contents of this paragraph as the petition speaks for itself.
- 4. Defendants/Cross-Defendants neither admit nor deny the contents of this paragraph as the affidavit attached as Exhibit A to the cross-claim speaks for itself.
- 5. Defendants/Cross-Defendants neither admit nor deny the contents of this paragraph as the affidavit attached as Exhibit B to the cross-claim speaks for itself.
- 6. Defendants/Cross-Defendants neither admit nor deny the contents of this paragraph as the affidavit attached as Exhibit C to the cross-claim speaks for itself.
- 7. Admitted.
- 8. Admitted.

JURISDICTION

9. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.

ADMINISTRATIVE HISTORY

10. Admitted, with the qualification that Defendant/Cross-Defendant Board's approval as to form did not extend to whether the petition

- properly characterized those provisions of the Constitution that will be altered or abrogated if the proposal is approved.
- 11. Defendants/Cross-Defendants admit that the petition was filed on December 18, 2017 but deny the remainder of the paragraph as legal conclusions to which no answer is necessary, and/or because the exhibits attached to the cross-claim speaks for themselves.
- 12. Defendants/Cross-Defendants admit that sample signatures were made available to the public and that a Notice was issued regarding the challenge deadline.
- 13. Admitted.
- 14. Defendants/Cross-Defendants admit that a challenge was filed on April 26, 2018 but deny the remainder of the paragraph as the documents/ pleadings speak for themselves.
- 15. Defendants/Cross-Defendants admit that no other "challenges to the sufficiency" of the petition were submitted.
- 16. Defendants/Cross-Defendants admit that the May 3, 2018 letter was received, but deny the remainder of the paragraph as the letter speaks for itself.
- 17. Defendants/Cross-Defendants deny the allegations in this paragraph in that discussion was had regarding the May 3, 2018, letter at the May 10, 2018, meeting of the Defendant/Cross-Defendant Board.

COUNT I - MANDAMUS

- 18. Defendants/Cross-Defendants incorporate their answers to the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 19. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.
- 20. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.
- 21. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.
- 22. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.
- 23. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.
- 24. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.
- 25. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.
- 26. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.
- 27. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.

- 28. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.
- 29. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.
- 30. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.
- 31. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.
- 32. This paragraph sets forth legal arguments to which no response is required.

WHEREFORE, Defendants/Cross-Defendants acknowledge that Cross-Defendant Board has a legal duty to issue an official declaration of the sufficiency or insufficiency of Voters Not Politicians' petition. Defendants/Cross-Defendants will comply with any order this Court issues regarding the legal sufficiency of the petition.

Respectfully submitted,

B. Eric Restuccia (P49550) Chief Legal Counsel

s/Heather S. Meingast

Heather S. Meingast (P55439)
Denise C. Barton (P41535)
Assistant Attorneys General
Attorneys for Defendants/CrossDefendants
Civil Litigation, Employment &
Elections Division
P.O. Box 30212
Lansing, Michigan 48909
(517) 373-6434

Dated: May 22, 2018