Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 197-1 Filed 02/01/19 Page 1 of 17

BRIEF OF CENTRAL VALLEY IMMIGRANT INTEGRATION COLLABORATIVE, *ET AL.*, AS *AMICI CURIAE*, CALIFORNIA v. ROSS, CASE NO. 3:18-cv-01865

Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 197-1 Filed 02/01/19 Page 2 of 17

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS					
2	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES					
3	INTRODUCTION					
4	INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE					
5	ARGUMENT					
6	I. The SJVCRP Research Demonstrates that the Proposed Citizenship Question W					
7			a Significant Undercount of Immigrant and Latino Populations in the San a Valley Region and Throughout California4			
8		Α.	SJVCRP Research Design			
9		В.	Inclusion of the Proposed Citizenship Question Will Significantly Reduce			
10		Б.	First and Second-Generation Latinos' Willingness to Respond to the 2020 Census			
11		C.	Inclusion of the Proposed Citizenship Question Will Lead to a Significant			
12			Undercount of Latino Populations in the San Joaquin Valley Region and throughout California			
13	II.	The SJ	VCRP Research Demonstrates that Inclusion of the Proposed Citizenship			
14		Questic	on Will Frustrate Census Bureau Efforts to Mitigate Non-Responsiveness11			
15		A.	The Inclusion of the Proposed Citizenship Question Decreased First and			
16			Second-Generation Latinos' Willingness to Respond to an Enumerator Who Came to the Door			
17		B.	The Inclusion of the Proposed Citizenship Question Decreased First and			
18			Second-Generation Latinos' Willingness to Respond to Enumerator Who Requests for Proxy Interviews			
19	CONCLUS	ION				
20	CONCLUS	ION				
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
			i			

BRIEF OF CENTRAL VALLEY IMMIGRANT INTEGRATION COLLABORATIVE, *ET AL.*, AS *AMICI CURIAE*, CALIFORNIA v. ROSS, CASE NO. 3:18-cv-01865

Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 197-1 Filed 02/01/19 Page 3 of 17

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
2	Page(s)
3	Karen R. Humes, Nicholas A. Jones, & Roberto R. Ramirez, <i>Overview of Race and Hispanic Origin: 2010, 2010 Census Briefs</i>
4 5	HTC 2020: Mapping Hard to Count (HTC) Communities for a Fair and Accurate 2020 Census
6	
7	HTC 2020 California
8	Edward Kissam, Richard Mines, Cindy Quezada, Jo Ann Intili, and Gail Wadsworth, Census Response Among San Joaquin Valley Latino 1 st and 2 nd Generation Immigrants: Findings from the San Joaquin Valley Survey and Implications for Census 2020
9 10	Edward Kissam, A Cascade Model: How Latino Immigrants' Lowered Response Will Lead to Differential Undercount in Census 2020
11	Terri Ann Lowenthal, Race and Ethnicity in the 2020 Census: Improving Data to Capture a Multiethnic America
12	Merriam-Webster Dictionary1
13	Michael Wines, "Critics Say Questions About Citizenship Could Wreck Chances for an Accurate
14	Census", New York Times, Jan. 2, 20183
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	ii

BRIEF OF CENTRAL VALLEY IMMIGRANT INTEGRATION COLLABORATIVE, ET AL., AS AMICI CURIAE, CALIFORNIA v. ROSS, CASE NO. 3:18-cv-01865

INTRODUCTION

Amici Curiae submit this brief to present the Court with recently published (January 2019) research¹ addressing whether the inclusion of the Citizenship Question² on the 2020 Census will lead to an undercount of immigrant and Latino³ populations in San Joaquin Valley region and the State of California as a whole. The issue in this case is whether Defendants' decision to include the Citizenship Question on the 2020 Census violates the Administrative Procedures Act and Enumeration Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. Whether the inclusion of a Citizenship Question will impact the undercount of discrete populations is central to both of these claims.

Since one out of four potential census respondents in the San Joaquin Valley are immigrants, concern about the consequences of a serious census undercount of immigrants in this region is very high. To understand how prevalent this concern will be, the San Joaquin Valley Heath Fund launched the San Joaquin Valley Census Research Project ("SJVCRP") to provide data-based insights into the impact the Citizenship Question would have on immigrant household census response throughout the region. To determine this impact, the SJVCRP conducted interviews of first and second-generation Latino immigrants in the San Joaquin Valley region—

¹ Exhibit A (Edward Kissam, Richard Mines, Cindy Quezada, Jo Ann Intili, and Gail Wadsworth, Census Response Among San Joaquin Valley Latino 1st and 2nd Generation Immigrants: Findings from the San Joaquin Valley Survey and Implications for Census 2020), https://www.shfcenter.org/assets/SJVHF/SJVCRP_Survey_Findings_Report_011819_Web.pdf (last visited January 31, 2019) and Exhibit B (Edward Kissam, A Cascade Model: How Latino Immigrants' Lowered Response Will Lead to Differential Undercount in Census 2020, available at https://www.shfcenter.org/assets/SJVHF/SJVHF_Census_Cascade_Model_Report_012519_Web.pdf (last visited January 31, 2019).

² See ECF 12 ¶ 3.

³ "Latina", "Latino" and "Hispanic" are used interchangeably herein to refer to the group designated by the U.S. Census Bureau as "Hispanic." Specifically, the interchangeable terms "Hispanic or Latino' refers to a person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin regardless of race." Karen R. Humes, Nicholas A. Jones, & Roberto R. Ramirez, *Overview of Race and Hispanic Origin: 2010, 2010 Census Briefs*, 1, 2 (March 2011) https://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/briefs/c2010br-02.pdf (last visited January 31, 2019). The gender-neutral term "Latinx" is also used to refer to this population. *See also generally*, "Latinx", *Merriam-Webster Dictionary*, https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/Latinx (last visited January 31, 2019) (defining "Latinx" as a gender-neutral alternative to "Latino" or "Latina").

Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 197-1 Filed 02/01/19 Page 5 of 17

throughout the eight counties of Kern, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus, and San Joaquin.

The results of this survey research demonstrate that inclusion of the proposed Citizenship Question will cause a significant decrease in the willingness of first and second-generation Latino immigrant households to respond to the 2020 Census, which will in turn invariably lead to a significant reduction in 2020 Census participation rates for these populations. Moreover, inclusion of the proposed Citizenship Question will undermine the effectiveness of the primary remedial mechanism utilized by the Census Bureau: follow-up visits by enumerators and proxy interviews by enumerators that attempt to account for non-responsive households. Ultimately, this research further confirms that, because the Citizenship Question will diminish the response rates of non-citizens and their citizen relatives, the San Joaquin Valley region, and California as a whole, will be dramatically impacted by a 2020 Census undercount resulting from the inclusion of the Citizenship Question.

INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE

Amici are community organizations, philanthropic institutions, and service providers who are focused on ensuring that the all Californians—including those in the San Joaquin Valley—are able to live in fully represented, fully resourced, empowered, and healthy communities. Amicus Curiae are:

Blue Shield of California Foundation

The California Endowment

California Pan-Ethnic Health Network

California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation

California Wellness Foundation

Californians for Pesticide Reform

Central Valley Immigrant Integration Collaborative

Community Water Center

Grove Foundation

Immigrant Legal Resource Center

Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 197-1 Filed 02/01/19 Page 6 of 17

Jakara Movement 1 2 Latino Community Foundation 3 Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability National Immigration Law Center 5 Radio Bilingüe The Sierra Health Foundation 6 7 United Farm Workers Foundation 8 Westside Family Prevention Services Network 9 Differential undercount in the decennial census is a critical public policy concern. Any 10 such undercount will lead to a misallocation of census-driven federal and state funding and 11 inequitable political representation. Overcoming differential undercount of racial/ethnic minorities 12 has been a challenge throughout the history of the U.S. Census. There has been widespread and 13 well-justified alarm about the U.S. Department of Commerce's plans to add a Citizenship Question 14 to the decennial census, which will undoubtedly exacerbate this problem. In the ten months since 15 the Secretary of Commerce announced his decision to add the proposed Citizenship Question, 16 broad public consensus has underscored the grave concern that his decision would decrease Census 17 2020 response rates among non-citizens more than among U.S.-born citizens.⁴ Given the San 18 Joaquin Valley region and California's relatively high proportions of Latino and immigrant 19 populations as compared to the rest of the Country, the San Joaquin Valley region and California 20 will ultimately be disproportionately harmed by any resulting undercount of these populations. 21 Moreover, adding the proposed Citizenship Question will likely have more than simply 22 fiscal implications. The transformation of the decennial census from a civic ritual of affirmation-23 securing an accurate picture of the U.S., a "mirror of America"—into an exercise in government-24 sponsored efforts to diminish the importance of immigrants and blur our vision of a diverse 25 American nation, will take a severe toll on civic life. The damage wrought by efforts to add the 26 27 ⁴ See e.g. Michael Wines, "Critics Say Questions About Citizenship Could Wreck Chances Census", New York Times. 2018. Accurate 28

3

https://www.nytimes.com/2018/01/02/us/census-citizenship-status-immigrants.html.

proposed Citizenship Question to Census 2020 will negatively impact a wide range of immigrant integration initiatives. The State of California itself, through legislative and regulatory action, along with a multitude of public institutions in California and the San Joaquin Valley, have worked for decades to effectively integrate immigrants into community life. A 2020 Census with the proposed Citizenship Question—widely recognized as a proxy for an inquiry into immigration status—will only serve to undermine the decades of work that public institutions throughout California have invested in this mission, accelerating rapid growth in government distrust and disengagement, and will only further foment community disappointment and anger regarding antimmigrant policies.

ARGUMENT

I. The SJVCRP Research Demonstrates that the Proposed Citizenship Question Will Cause a Significant Undercount of Immigrant and Latino Populations in the San Joaquin Valley Region and Throughout California

The findings of the SJVCRP research builds upon a growing body of research to improve understanding of how the proposed Citizenship Question may impact California's San Joaquin Valley. The findings from survey data collected from Latino immigrants and their social networks in eight (8) San Joaquin Valley counties show that adding the proposed Citizenship Question to the 2020 Census is expected to have a major impact in suppressing the 2020 Census response among San Joaquin Valley Latino immigrants. This will lead to a differential undercount of Latino households in the San Joaquin Valley and a subsequent decrease in the census-based estimates of the overall population in the region, ultimately leading to disparities in political representation and allocation of government sourced program funding.

A. SJVCRP Research Design

The SJVCRP research contributes to the existing research on potential non-responsiveness to the 2020 Census by looking into the willingness of different sub-populations of Latino immigrants to respond to a 2020 Census with the proposed Citizenship Question. The SJVCRP research also makes a unique contribution to the prior research on the proposed Citizenship Question's impact, in that the methodology consisted of interviews with immigrant community members via face-to-face discussion with interviewers who are mostly immigrants like

themselves. Moreover, the discussions took place in the real-world street-level environment of day-to-day life in local communities.⁵

The interviews were conducted in the San Joaquin Valley region. This area has a population slightly more than 4.2 million persons, about 900,000 of whom are foreign-born. Ex. A at 10. The region's population is projected to grow to about 4.6 million by 2020. *Id.* Slightly more than half (52%) of the San Joaquin Valley's entire population is of Hispanic origin and about seven out of ten foreign-born residents in the region are of Mexican or Central American origin. *Id.* Latino immigrants are less likely to have naturalized than immigrants of other national origin, so they make up more than eight out of ten (84%) of the region's non-citizen population that is 18 years of age or older. *Id.* About 20% of the region's overall adult population 18 years of age and older are adult Latino foreign-born, *i.e.*, first-generation immigrants. *Id.* Another 15% of the region's adult Hispanic population 18 years of age or older are the U.S. born adult children of foreign-born Latinos, *i.e.*, second-generation immigrants. *Id.* In terms of immigration and citizenship status, the SJVCRP estimates that about two out of five in the adult foreign-born Latino population (about 8.5% of the region's entire adult population 18 years of age or older) are undocumented Latino immigrants, while slightly less than a third of the foreign-born Latino adults (5.3% of the overall adult population in the region) are legal residents. *Id.* at 11.

The SJVCRP conducted interviews with 414 Latino survey respondents in 104 venues in 31 communities in the San Joaquin Valley region. These respondents lived in households in 66 San Joaquin Valley cities and towns throughout the region, and sampling at places where immigrants and people in immigrant social networks congregate was designed to assure geographic and sociological diversity in the sample, as well as to assure inclusion of respondents who might be living in hidden or unconventional housing. About one-third of respondents reside in urban neighborhoods in major cities such as Bakersfield, Visalia, Fresno, Merced, Modesto, and Stockton. The remaining majority of respondents live in medium-size towns such as Porterville,

⁵ Thus, this research model complements the studies performed by Plaintiffs' expert Dr. Matt Barreto, who examined propensity to respond to a 2020 Census with the proposed Citizenship Question in a telephone survey, with a different research methodology.

Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 197-1 Filed 02/01/19 Page 9 of 17

Selma, Orange Cove, Madera, Merced, in small rural communities such as Dinuba, Huron, Kettleman City, Woodlake, Firebaugh, and remote rural unincorporated areas such as Cantua Creek in Fresno County, Stratford in Tulare County, and Stevinson in Merced County.

The survey respondents are sociologically and demographically representative of the San Joaquin Valley population of foreign-born Latino immigrants and their social networks—most importantly with respect to legal status/citizenship. Overall, more than one-third (37%) of the all the survey respondents are undocumented and more than one-quarter (27%) are legal residents. One-quarter (24%) are U.S.-born citizens (second-generation children of immigrants), and 12% are naturalized citizens.

A central question for the SJVCRP research was the proportion of Latino immigrants and U.S.-born adult children of immigrants (second-generation) willing to respond to the 2020 Census—without and with the proposed Citizenship Question, either through self-response or through an interview with an enumerator. The SJVCRP research compared willingness to respond without or with the proposed Citizenship Question as a quantitative indicator of the impact of adding the proposed Citizenship Question.

B. Inclusion of the Proposed Citizenship Question Will Significantly Reduce First and Second-Generation Latinos' Willingness to Respond to the 2020 Census

SJVCRP interviewees were asked, as a baseline, what their willingness to respond to the census would be without the proposed Citizenship Question. The vast majority (84%) of survey respondents said they were willing to answer the census without the proposed Citizenship Question, while 10% said "maybe", and 6% said they would not answer the census. Ex. A at 14-15. Subsequently, after securing responses about "baseline" willingness to respond to the census without a proposed Citizenship Question, interviewers asked the survey respondents about their willingness to participate in the Census if it were to include the proposed Citizenship Question. Adding the proposed Citizenship Question greatly decreases overall willingness to respond to the census, dropping the willingness to respond rate by nearly half, to 46%, for all respondents. *Id.* at 14.

Willingness to Self-Respond to the Census or Enumerator Interview With and Without the Citizenship Question by Demographic Subgroup				
Willingness to Respond	Willing to Respond to Census without the CQ	Willing to Respond to Census with the CQ		
Undocumented (N=147)	80%	25%		
Legal Residents (N=108)	85%	63%		
Naturalized Citizens (N=44)	89%	70%		
U.SBorn Citizens-Second- Generation (N=97)	89%	49%		

One major finding was that the impact of the proposed Citizenship Question was not confined to non-citizens. Second-generation Latino immigrants, U.S.-born citizens, were also much less willing to answer the census if it were to include the proposed Citizenship Question, than the naturalized citizens. *Id.* at 15.6

Accordingly, adding the proposed Citizenship Question would irrevocably undermine the accuracy and reliability of census data in Latino immigrant communities. As is further explained in Section I.C., the resulting non-response rate among undocumented Latino immigrants would undoubtedly reduce the numbers enumerated and would likely result in a significant undercount of the Latino population in the San Joaquin Valley by at least 11.7%, a gross margin of error that will severely skew census data on race/ethnicity and result in a misrepresentation of the demographic profile of the population in the region. Ex. A at 4, 24, 25.

⁶ Indeed, 34% of Latino U.S.-born citizens and 16% of Latino naturalized citizens respondents were "pushed" from outright willingness or potential willingness to definitive unwillingness to respond to the census due to the addition of the proposed Citizenship Question. Ex. A at 16. These findings further reinforce Dr. Barreto's findings that increased levels of non-response will extend to citizen households, particularly Latino-citizen households. ECF. 140 ¶ 337.

3

45

6

7 8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

C. Inclusion of the Proposed Citizenship Question Will Lead to a Significant Undercount of Latino Populations in the San Joaquin Valley Region and throughout California

The San Joaquin Valley region, despite its broad distribution among major urban centers, medium-size communities, and small rural towns, will have a total population of about 4.6 million people in 2020, making it much larger than many major urban areas such as Chicago, Illinois or Houston, Texas, and comparable in population to the City of Los Angeles. Thus, differential undercount in the San Joaquin Valley needs to be understood not only as a regional concern but, also, as an issue of statewide and national concern. The SJVCRP survey results show that adding the proposed Citizenship Question to Census 2020 is likely to have a major impact in suppressing census response among San Joaquin Valley Latino immigrants and their social networks, which comprise more than one-third of the region's total population.

The compromised willingness to respond to Census 2020, in combination with other factors such as omission of low-visibility housing units from the Census Bureau's address list, language and literacy barriers, and lack of internet access for online response, will create a "cascade" of errors that will almost certainly result in severe differential undercount of Latino households in the San Joaquin Valley and therefore decrease the census-based estimates of the overall population in the region. Ex. B at 16-24.⁷ The SJVCRP, by providing key information on the numbers and

⁷ These estimates utilized a "cascade" model of census undercount developed to understand how dramatically increased levels of non-response among certain populations in certain community contexts would be transformed into differential undercount. Census non-response does not immediately translate into undercount, in part because the Census Bureau has traditionally worked hard to implement a methodological strategy designed to compensate for survey nonresponse during the non-response follow-up ("NRFU") process. Nonetheless, the research literature demonstrates that census data quality is continuously eroded in communities where there are high levels of non-response among some sub-populations, despite the Census Bureau's best efforts to secure complete enumeration. This is because, when confronted with high levels of household non-response, the Census Bureau is forced to rely on additional operational and statistical procedures—most notably, proxy interviews, recourse to administrative records, and, finally, imputation, to generate published tabulations of raw census data. Each of these efforts, while partially compensating for non-response, introduces errors into the eventual tabulations of census data that provide the official basis for apportionment and for allocation of federal funding. For a full discussion of the cascade model utilized in the SJVCRP research and reports see generally Exhibit B.

Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 197-1 Filed 02/01/19 Page 12 of 17

characteristics of households not likely to respond, provides an empirical basis for determining the severity of the undercount and how much it will skew the demographic and socioeconomic profile of San Joaquin Valley communities. By the conservative estimations of this modeling, the aggregate undercount of first and second-generation Latinos in the San Joaquin Valley will be 11.7%. This will lead to an overall 4.1% undercount of the population of the San Joaquin Valley in the 2020 Census. Ex. A at 24.

Cascade Model Estimate of San Joaquin Valley Undercount in Latino Immigrant Networks

San Joaquin Valley Latino Sub- Population as Defined by Status	Populations	Impact on Overall San Joaquin Valley Census Count
Non-citizens-Undocumented	21.1%	-1.8%
Non-citizens-Legal Residents	7.5%	-0.4%
Foreign-born-Naturalized Citizens	5.9%	-0.4%
U.Sborn -Second-Generation	10.3%	-1.5%
Aggregate Impact- Undercount of First and Second-Generation Latinos	11.7%	-4.1%

The resulting patterns and extent of the undercount can be expected to create significant disparities in allocation of federal (and state) census-driven program funding.⁸ The projected level of 11.7% undercount among immigrant Latinos and their social networks reaches a level that some

⁸ The differential undercount of the immigrant Latino population would also seriously skew the racial/ethnic profile of the San Joaquin Valley region and, consequently, undermine the reliability of detailed demographic and socioeconomic data collected in the American Community Survey ("ACS") over the post-censal decade from 2021-2030, causing a ripple effect in the margin of error, further degrading and calling into question the accuracy of citizen voting age tabulations, due to unreliable tabulations of responses to the Citizenship Question, the underrepresentation of the Latino population as a whole, and serious uncertainties about the age profile of the population. Ex. A at 26; *see also discussion infra* ("a projected level of 11.7% undercount among immigrant Latinos and their social networks reaches a level that some experts would consider to be indicative of a 'failed' census.").

Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 197-1 Filed 02/01/19 Page 13 of 17

experts would consider to be indicative of a "failed" census.⁹ With a decennial census undercount of close to 188,000 Latino immigrant persons in the San Joaquin Valley region alone—the fiscal impact will result in a significant loss of at least \$198 million dollars per year in federal funding for the region—a total of almost \$2 billion over the post-censal decade from 2021-2030. Ex. A at 25.

Extrapolated to California at large, the impact of the proposed Citizenship Question on first and second-generation Latinos would cause an aggregate undercount of 900,000 to 1.3 million Californians. Ex. B at 21. At this level of undercount, California would be very likely to lose at least one Congressional seat from Hispanic/Latino immigrant undercount alone, and even more if one considers the balance of "hard to count" U.S.-born and foreign-born persons in California.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

⁹ These findings are generally consistent with the findings of Plaintiffs' experts, Dr. Matthew Barreto and Dr. Bernard Fraga. Dr. Barreto found that the Citizenship Question will reduce self-response, particularly of immigrants and Latinos. ECF. 140 ¶ 330. Dr. Barreto conducted a nationwide survey and concluded that the Citizenship Question would create a response drop-off of between 7.1 and 9.7 percent nationally and between 12.3 and 18 percent in the State of California, the biggest drop-off among all states. *Id.* Plaintiffs' expert Dr. Bernard Fraga estimated that, based on Dr. Barreto's survey results, the Citizenship Question would cause 12.51% of Californians not to be reported in the census self-response, and that this would be the largest proportional undercount of any state. ECF. 140 ¶ at 343. Given that the extrapolations provided in SJVCRP's publications only pertain to first and second-generation *Latino* immigrants, the SJVCRP research indicates that if the percentage of nonresponse amongst Latino first and second-generation immigrants projected in the San Joaquin Valley remains consistent throughout the State, the total undercount in California will at the very least meet Dr. Fraga's estimations.

¹⁹ 20

¹⁰ "A census tract is considered hard-to-count ["HTC"] if its self-response rate in the 2010 decennial census was 73% or less", i.e., if its mail-return rate for self-responses are in the bottom 20% of 2010 mail return rates, the tract has no mail return rates, or a low statistical response score. HTC 2020: Mapping Hard to Count (HTC) Communities for a Fair and Accurate 2020 Census, "What is the 'hard to count' population and why does it matter?" (hereinafter, "HTC 2020"), https://www.censushardtocountmaps2020.us (last visited January 31, 2019) (emphasis in original). "Historically, the census has undercounted young children, people of color, rural residents, & low-income households at higher rates than other population groups." Id.; Terri Ann Lowenthal, Race and Ethnicity in the 2020 Census: Improving Data to Capture a Multiethnic America (Leadership Conference Education Fund, Asian Americans Advancing Justice, and **NALEO** Educational November Fund, 2014) http://civilrightsdocs.info/pdf/reports/Census-Report-2014-WEB.pdf (noting same). Groups "with low self-response rates in prior censuses or census tests [also] include 'linguistically isolated' households; frequent movers; foreign born residents; [and] households below the poverty line", among others. HTC 2020. Moreover, "people who distrust government authorities and/or have been or could be targets of law enforcement or heightened surveillance may be less likely to respond to the census." *Id.* For the 2020 Census, the HTC analysis would

Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 197-1 Filed 02/01/19 Page 14 of 17

Ex. B at 21.The corresponding fiscal losses would likely range from \$970 million to \$1.5 billion per year during the decade from 2021-2030, unless there were to be provisions for statistical adjustment for the purpose of allocating federal funding. *Id*.

II. The SJVCRP Research Demonstrates that Inclusion of the Proposed Citizenship Question Will Frustrate Census Bureau Efforts to Mitigate Non-Responsiveness

While the factors likely to lead to the significant undercount estimated by the SJVCRP are the result of numerous factors, 11 the research demonstrates that one major issue impacting the ability to address the potential undercount is that that the inclusion of the proposed Citizenship Question carries dramatic impacts on the NRFU process to count the population that declines to self-respond. Specifically, if a household fails to self-respond to the census, after continued non-response to several reminders, an enumerator is asked to visit the non-responding household. When an enumerator tries to contact a non-responding household but fails to convince the householder to participate in an interview, the enumerator is instructed to attempt a "proxy interview" with a neighbor. The SJVCRP research demonstrates that the inclusion of the proposed Citizenship Question significantly raises already-high levels of non-response to enumerators' visits among these populations and decreases the accuracy and efficacy of Census Bureau efforts to enumerate the non-responding households by increasing "proxy interviews" about the household which could not be contacted or which refused. 12

also include households without internet access. *See id.* Approximately 25% of California's current population, an estimated 9,741,425 people, live in HTC census tracts. *HTC 2020 California*, https://www.censushardtocountmaps2020.us/img/mappdfs/California.pdf (last visited January 31, 2019).

¹¹ Eventual census accuracy, with or without the proposed Citizenship Question, will rest in part on the Census Bureau's ability to effectively collaborate with concerned community groups in designing and implementing initiatives to overcome the chronic barriers to census participation. As the SJVCRP research shows, the inclusion of the proposed Citizenship Question substantially increases the difficulty the Bureau will have in mitigating these long-standing barriers to a full enumeration. Ex. B at 23.

¹² Accordingly, the SJVCRP research corroborates Plaintiffs' experts' findings that the sensitivity of the proposed Citizenship Question will not only lead to higher failure to self-respond rates, but will also increase the likelihood that these same households will fail to respond to follow-up contacts. ECF. 140 ¶ at 351.

A. Inclusion of the Proposed Citizenship Question Decreased First and Second-Generation Latinos' Willingness to Respond to an Enumerator Who Came to the Door

SJVCRP survey respondents who had said they were not willing to self-respond to the census were asked if they would respond to an enumerator who came to the door. If contacted by an enumerator in the course of a census without the proposed Citizenship Question, slightly more than one out of four who were not inclined to self-respond (29%) said they would be willing to talk with the enumerator and slightly more said they might perhaps answer the door and the questions. Overall, slightly more than half were inclined to answer an enumerator's questions (*i.e.*, the "yes" and the "maybe" responses). Ex. A at 18.

However, with the proposed Citizenship Question added, those willing to respond to an enumerator who contacted them because their household had not previously self-responded declined sharply—from 29% down to 4%. Moreover, the proportion of respondents who said they would not talk to the enumerator at the door almost doubled—to 84%. The proportion of respondents who said they were uncertain as to whether they would respond to an enumerator visit decreased sharply—from 30% to 12%—if the proposed Citizenship Question ("CQ") were to be added (with the "maybe" responses pushed toward "no" responses). *Id*.

Proportions of Latino Immigrants Not Inclined To Self-Respond Who Would Respond to a							a
Follow-Up Visit by an Enumerator							
-							\neg

Would Respond to Enumerator		Census 2020 including the CQ ($N=170$)		
Yes	29%	4%		
No	43%	84%		
Maybe	30%	12%		

The SJVCRP research clearly found that the proposed Citizenship Question has a clear negative impact on this mode of response as well as on initial willingness to self-respond. Thus, based on these responses regarding willingness to talk with enumerators, these NRFU efforts will likely fail to improve the already-low response rates.

B. Inclusion of the Proposed Citizenship Question Decreased First and Second-Generation Latinos' Willingness to Respond to Enumerator Requests for Proxy Interviews

Similar to the survey results related to attempts by enumerators to directly visit the household, the SJVCRP research shows extraordinarily low willingness to respond to enumerator requests for proxy interviews when the Citizenship Question is included. Even without the proposed Citizenship Question, only 19% of the Latino survey respondents were willing to participate in a proxy interview to provide information about their neighbors. Adding the proposed Citizenship Question significantly decreased this minimal willingness to provide any information about one's neighbors. With the proposed Citizenship Question, only 8% of the respondents said they would provide an enumerator with information about a neighboring household. Ex. A at 19. Thus, based on these responses regarding willingness to talk with enumerators, these NRFU efforts will likely fail to improve the already-low response rates.

CONCLUSION

The survey conducted by the SJVCRP complements and corroborates the findings made by Plaintiffs' experts, demonstrating the dramatic negative impact of the proposed Citizenship Question on undocumented and mixed-status households' willingness to respond to the 2020 Census, as well as the remarkable impact dampening second-generation Latino immigrants' willingness to participate in the census at all. The SJVCRP research further demonstrates that the introduction of the proposed Citizenship Question will undoubtedly lead to a dramatic undercount of these populations that is not likely to be remedied by enumerator interviews. The result will lead to a statistically significant gross undercount of immigrant and Latino populations in the San Joaquin Valley region and the State of California, initiating a cascade of "proxy" mechanisms riddled with tabulation errors, further undermining census data accuracy and reliability, grossly skewing the demographic and sociological profile of the San Joaquin Valley region and the State of California as a whole, over the next decade. The result risks inaccurate apportionment, potential loss of Congressional representation, the loss of an estimated \$970 million to \$1.5 billion in federal funding for the entire population of California over the next decade—and, perhaps most significantly, an irreversible loss of public trust in the U.S. Census Bureau and in public

institutions—state, local, and federal—to adequately serve and remain responsive to the needs of the entire population. Dated: February 1, 2019 Respectfully submitted, /s/ Nicholas Espiritu Nicholas Espíritu National Immigration Law Center 3450 Wilshire Boulevard, #108-62 Los Angeles, CA 90010 Telephone: 213-639-3900 Email: espiritu@nilc.org Attorneys for Amici Curiae

Case 3:18-cv-01865-RS Document 197-1 Filed 02/01/19 Page 17 of 17