STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAKE

IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 18 CVS 014001

COMMON CAUSE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

V.

DAVID LEWIS, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SENIOR CHAIRMAN OF THE HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON REDISTRICTING, et al.,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE DIRECTION TO LEGISLATIVE DEFENDANTS



Plaintiffs bring this motion respectfully requesting that the Court issue certain direction to Legislative Defendants in light of recent developments relating to the electronic storage devices produced by Stephanie Hofeller to Plaintiffs in response to Plaintiffs' February 13, 2019 subpoena to Ms. Hofeller. In a May 31, 2019 letter to Plaintiffs' counsel, Legislative Defendants purported, unilaterally and without any plausible authorization, to designate "the entirety" of the Hofeller files as "Highly Confidential/Outside Attorneys' Eyes Only" in a transparent effort to conceal evidence of wrongdoing by Legislative Defendants and others. Legislative Defendants' May 31 letter further demanded, again without any plausible basis, that Plaintiffs return and destroy the Hofeller files in their entirety. Each of these attempts by Legislative Defendants to conceal evidence that is extraordinarily relevant to this case is unjustified and improper.

For the reasons set forth in detail below, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court direct Legislative Defendants as follows: (1) Legislative Defendants shall not further pursue the return or destruction of material properly produced by other parties or third parties in response to lawful court process in discovery in this case; and (2) Legislative Defendants shall not attempt to unilaterally designate material produced in discovery by other parties or third parties as Confidential or Highly Confidential under the Consent Protective Order.

BACKGROUND

As the Court knows, on February 13, 2019, Plaintiffs issued a third-party subpoena pursuant to Rule 45 to Stephanie Hofeller, the daughter of the late mapmaker Dr. Thomas Hofeller who created the redistricting plans at issue in this case (the "2017 Plans"). The subpoena requested all documents in Ms. Hofeller's possession, custody, or control relating to Dr. Hofeller's work on the challenged plans, as well as "[a]ny storage device" in Ms. Hofeller's possession, custody, or control that may contain such documents or any information "relating to"

such documents. Plaintiffs emailed a copy of the subpoena to all parties in this case on the same day the subpoena was served, February 13, 2019. Neither Legislative Defendants nor any other party or non-party moved to quash or otherwise objected to the subpoena.

In mid-March 2019, in response to the subpoena, Ms. Hofeller produced four external hard drives and eighteen thumb drives containing over 75,000 files (the "Hofeller files"). Plaintiffs received these storage devices on March 13, 2019, and e-mailed notice to all Defendants on March 20, 2019, pursuant to Rule 45(d1). A dispute subsequently arose between the parties regarding whether to filter out certain files containing sensitive personal information of the Hofeller family before providing copies of the contents of the devices to Defendants. In attempting to negotiate a resolution of that issue, on April 9, 2019, Plaintiffs sent all Defendants a searchable index listing the files names and files paths of the over 75,000 Hofeller files. And in early May, pursuant to the Court's direction, Plaintiffs provided complete copies of all of the Hofeller files to all three sets of Defendants.

It has now been nearly four months since all Defendants received notice of the subpoena to Ms. Hofeller. It has been two-and-a-half months since all Defendants received notice of Plaintiffs' receipt of the Hofeller files in response to the subpoena. It has been nearly two months since all Defendants received a substantially complete searchable index of the Hofeller files. And it has been more than a month since all Defendants received all of the Hofeller files themselves. Over this time, neither Legislative Defendants nor anyone else has filed a motion with this Court seeking a protective order or any other restriction over any of the Hofeller files.

On May 17, Plaintiffs took a trial-preservation deposition of Ms. Hofeller, with her own counsel defending the deposition, and also counsel for each set of Defendants present and afforded the opportunity to examine Ms. Hofeller. (Legislative Defendants' counsel examined

Ms. Hofeller for many hours.) Ms. Hofeller testified that she obtained the storage devices at issue with her mother's knowledge and express approval, while visiting her parents' home in Raleigh on October 11, 2018. Ex. A (S. Hofeller Dep.) at 20:3-26:10; 52:6-10; 81:8-82:2; 110:17-11:24. Specifically, Ms. Hofeller testified that she asked her mother, "Can I take these [devices]," and her mother "said absolutely" and in fact "encouraged" Ms. Hofeller to take them. *Id.* at 21:6-11, 26:3-10. Ms. Hofeller testified that "[her] mother gave to [her] unconditionally" "everything on those hard drives that [her] father had left in his room." *Id.* at 81:8-82:2.

Ms. Hofeller testified that she again sought and received her mother's consent before producing the Hofeller files to Plaintiffs in response to their subpoena. *Id.* at 39:21-41:8. Ms. Hofeller further testified that, in giving consent to produce the drives in response to the subpoena, her mother knew that the drives contained Dr. Hofeller's "work-related files." *Id.* at 56:22-57:18; *see id.* at 59:13-18 ("Q. At what point in time did you discuss with your mother the possibility of turning over your father's business records to Common Cause or to Arnold & Porter? A. The subpoena. That -- that would be when we specifically discussed that.").

In the course of reviewing the Hofeller files for this case, Plaintiffs' counsel recently realized that several of the files were also relevant to another pending lawsuit in which Plaintiffs' same counsel from Arnold & Porter are representing different plaintiffs—a federal challenge to the addition of a citizenship question on the 2020 Decennial Census, which is pending before the United States Supreme Court. Specifically, Plaintiffs' counsel realized that several files revealed that Dr. Hofeller played a substantial, previously undisclosed role in orchestrating the Department of Justice's request to add a citizenship question to the Census, and that this fact called into question the veracity of testimony by two government witnesses in the case, and also bore directly on central merits issues in the case. On May 30, 2019, the plaintiffs in the New

York action filed a motion in the district court for an order to show cause whether sanctions or other appropriate relief are warranted in light of the new evidence. New York v. Dep't of Commerce, No. 18-cv-2921, ECF No. 595 (S.D.N.Y.). The plaintiffs submitted notice of their district court filing to the Supreme Court. Dep't of Commerce v. New York, No. 18-966 (U.S.).

The very next day after Plaintiffs disclosed this evidence of potential government misconduct, Legislative Defendants in this case sent a letter to Plaintiffs' counsel purporting to take certain actions with respect to the Hofeller files, suggesting that Plaintiffs' counsel have been "neglecting [their] professional responsibilities," and making various demands. Ex. B at 5. First, Legislative Defendants' letter purported to suddenly and unilaterally designate "the entirety" of the Hofeller files as "Highly Confidential/Outside Attorney's Eyes Only" under the Consent Protective Order in this case. *Id.* at 1. Legislative Defendants' stated reason for taking this action—which is unauthorized and without effect under the plain terms of the Consent Protective Order for reasons explained below—was that there are some unidentified number of unspecified files supposedly containing "confidential financial information" beyond the 1,001 files designated Highly Confidential pursuant to the Court's May 1 order. *Id.* at 1. Legislative Defendants did not identify a single additional file containing "confidential financial information," did not provide any estimate of the number of such files, and did not make any claim that the number of such files is more than a miniscule fraction of the total Hofeller files.

Second, Legislative Defendants' May 31 letter asserted that Plaintiffs' counsel have "apparently been reviewing likely privileged materials" of Legislative Defendants. *Id.* at 1. But the letter listed only *five* specific files that Legislative Defendants asserted may be privileged on the ground that those five files were "expert witness materials created by Dr. Hofeller in connection with North Carolina legal matters." *Id.* at 2. Legislative Defendants provided no

substantiation for their assertion that Plaintiffs' counsel had "apparently" reviewed any of those five files or any other similar files.

Third, Legislative Defendants expressed various "concerns" about the circumstances under which Ms. Hofeller acquired the Hofeller files and produced them to Plaintiffs in response to their February 13, 2019 subpoena. *See id.* at 2-3. The details of these baseless concerns and Plaintiffs' responses are set forth in full in the attached letters and need not be repeated here.

Fourth, Legislative Defendants made a series of specific demands of Plaintiffs. In particular, Legislative Defendants demanded that Plaintiffs:

- 1) "immediately cease and desist reviewing all materials produced by Ms. Hofeller, and particularly all files unrelated to North Carolina";
- 2) "immediately cease and desist providing any or all of these materials to third parties unrelated to this case, as [Plaintiffs' counsel] have apparently recently done in a matter pending in New York";
- 3) "return all of the produced materials to the Trustee for the Kathleen H. Hofeller Irrevocable Trust to allow for a privilege review of Dr. Hofeller's materials";
- 4) "identify by name all individuals [Plaintiffs' counsel] employ who have reviewed and produced materials, the date[s] on which they reviewed those materials, and which materials they reviewed with sufficient specificity that [Legislative Defendants] can determine which materials are at issue";
- 5) "inform [Legislative Defendants] which of these wrongfully produced materials have been shared outside [Plaintiffs' counsel's] firms, including but not limited to any expert witnesses in the case, and, if so, with whom and which materials with

- sufficient specificity to allow [Legislative Defendants] to assess the scope of the intrusion into protected materials"; and
- 6) "attest that all copies of the materials wrongfully produced by Ms. Hofeller are no longer in [Plaintiffs' counsel's] possession and have been destroyed."
 Id. at 4-5 (emphasis added).

Finally, Legislative Defendants stated, without elaboration, that they "insist on" Plaintiffs' counsel's "compliance with the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure and Rules of Professional Responsibility." *Id.* at 5. Legislative Defendants' counsel continued: "Should you persist in neglecting your professional responsibilities, our clients are considering all options available to them to enforce their rights." *Id.* Immediately thereafter, Legislative Defendants demanded "compliance with the steps outlined above by June 5, 2019," including that Plaintiffs "return" all of the Hofeller files to a "Trustee" and "destroy[] . . . all copies of the materials." *Id.*

Plaintiffs responded to this letter on June 5, 2019. In their response, Plaintiffs explained that the Consent Protective Order does not authorize Legislative Defendants to designate any of the Hofeller files as Highly Confidential, let alone all of them. Ex. C at 2. As Plaintiffs explained, the Consent Protective Order unambiguously provides that only "the Party producing the material" may designate the material as Confidential or Highly Confidential under the Consent Protective Order. Id. (quoting Consent Protective Order ¶ 1) (emphasis added); see also Consent Protective Order ¶ 2-3 (only "the Producing party may designate" materials as Confidential or Highly Confidential). Legislative Defendants are not "the Producing party" of the Hofeller files.

With respect to Legislative Defendants' unsubstantiated allegations that Plaintiffs' counsel "apparently" have reviewed privileged materials in the Hofeller files, Plaintiffs' counsel

made clear that they have no intention of reviewing any of the five specific documents that Legislative Defendants identified in their letter, nor have they reviewed—or have any intention of reviewing—any other draft expert report or draft declaration prepared in connection with litigation. *Id.* at 3. Plaintiffs further noted, however, that Legislative Defendants have waived any privilege they may have held over any information in the Hofeller files. *Id.* at 4-13. Under well-settled case law, Legislative Defendants waived any privilege when they did not move to quash Plaintiffs subpoena to Ms. Hofeller or otherwise raise any objection to the subpoena. *Id.* at 4-5 (citing cases). Legislative Defendants independently waived privilege when they acquiesced to—and indeed demanded—Plaintiffs' production of complete copies of all of the Hofeller files to State Defendants and Intervenor Defendants, without any filtering or privilege-related protections in place. *Id.* at 5-6 (citing cases).

Plaintiffs also explained in their response that any purported claim of work-product privilege with respect to Dr. Hofeller's work in *Covington* or on the 2017 Plans challenged in this case is overcome by Plaintiffs' substantial need for the information and the prejudice to Plaintiffs and the public interest from concealing it. *Id.* at 6-13. Specifically, Legislative Defendants cannot possibly maintain any work-product privilege claim over such materials because the Hofeller files reveal false statements and material omissions made by Legislative Defendants to the federal district court in *Covington* and to the public, in at least three respects:

- In July 2017, Legislative Defendants convinced the federal district court in *Covington* not to order special elections under new remedial maps in 2017, based on Legislative Defendants' repeated statements that they had not yet started drawing new districts at all and needed sufficient time to develop criteria, draft the plans, and receive public input. See id. at 7-10. The Hofeller files reveal that not only had work on the remedial plans begun well before July 2017, but that the new state House and state Senate plans were already substantially complete by the end of June 2017. See id. at 10.
- In a September 7, 2017 submission to the *Covington* court, Legislative Defendants purported to describe the "process" and "criteria" used to the draw the 2017 Plans. They

stated that the process for drawing new plans began at the end of June 2017 and that the criteria used were the ones adopted on August 10, 2017. Id. at 11. The Hofeller files reveal that Dr. Hofeller had in fact already substantially completed drawing the 2017 Plans in June 2017, before Legislative Defendants stated the process had even begun and a month-and-a-half before the adopted criteria were even introduced and adopted. See id. at 11-12.

• Legislative Defendants repeatedly stated to the Covington court and at public hearings that neither they nor Dr. Hofeller had any racial data on the new districts being developed. Id. at 12. They said that "data regarding the race of voters... was not even loaded into the computer used by the map drawer to construct the districts." Id. (quoting Covington, ECF No. 192 at 28) (emphasis added). The Hofeller files reveal that Dr. Hofeller had data on the racial composition of the proposed districts in every one of his draft maps, including drafts prepared after he was formally retained by Legislative Defendants. Id. at 12-13.

Plaintiffs explained in their June 5 response letter that the evidence and full details of these false statements will be made clear at trial, and that the false statements not only overcome any work-product privilege claim, but also raise troubling questions regarding Legislative Defendants' recent efforts to use improper means to conceal the Hofeller files in their entirety. *Id.* at 13.

Finally, Plaintiffs' June 5 letter rebutted Legislative Defendants' allegations and mischaracterizations regarding the circumstances under which Ms. Hofeller obtained and produced the Hofeller files, and Plaintiffs refused to accede to Legislative Defendants' specific demands that Plaintiffs return and destroy material evidence to this case. *Id.* at 13-18.

ARGUMENT

I. This Court Should Direct Legislative Defendants Not To Pursue Further the Return and/or Destruction of the Hofeller Files

Plaintiffs request that this Court direct Legislative Defendants to cease pursuing the return and/or destruction of the Hofeller files. Legislative Defendants have demanded that Plaintiffs "return" all of the Hofeller files to a "Trustee" of an Irrevocable Trust, and Legislative Defendants have further demanded that Plaintiffs "destroy" all remaining "copies of the materials." Ex. B at 4-5.

Such demands are improper and should stop. Plaintiffs obtained the Hofeller files through the lawful process of this Court—a subpoena issued by Plaintiffs to Ms. Hofeller, through her attorney, with notice to all Defendants on the same day the subpoena was served. Ms. Hofeller produced the Hofeller files to Plaintiffs in response to their subpoena after no party or non-party moved to quash or otherwise raised any objection to the subpoena—none at all. The Hofeller files contain evidence that is highly relevant to the merits of this lawsuit, and Legislative Defendants' extrajudicial efforts to interfere with Plaintiffs' use of such lawfully obtained evidence—and indeed to have Plaintiffs "return" and/or "destroy" such evidence—are troubling. Legislative Defendants' actions are even more concerning given that the evidence in question appears to reveal false statements they made to a federal court and the public. I

If Legislative Defendants believe there are grounds for preventing or limiting introduction of evidence in the Hofeller files at trial, they should file a motion *in limine* or an objection when the evidence is introduced. They should not be making out-of-court demands that Plaintiffs' counsel destroy evidence that was obtained through a lawful subpoena and is highly materials to the merits of Plaintiffs' case. Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court direct Legislative Defendants to cease in such efforts.

_

¹ To the extent Legislative Defendants' demands are accompanied by threats directed to Plaintiffs' counsel regarding their purported "neglect[]" of their "professional responsibilities," they are all the more improper. Ex. B at 5. A party may not seek to gain leverage or an advantage in civil litigation by "sending threatening letters to opposing counsel" alleging purported disciplinary violations. Johnson v. EEOC Charlotte Dist. Office, 2016 WL 3514456, at *5 n.2 (W.D.N.C. June 27, 2016); see also Nieman v. Grange Mut. Ins. Co., 2012 WL 3779090, at *5 (C.D. Ill. Aug. 31, 2012) (admonishing party for "litigation tactics which are harassing and intimidating," including threatening opposing counsel with allegations of ethical violations). Here, Legislative Defendants do not specify a single implicated Rule of Professional of Responsibility or even attempt to identify what "professional responsibilities" Plaintiffs' counsel are purportedly "neglecting." Ex. B at 5. Indeed, Plaintiffs' counsel have acted cautiously, ethically, and above-board at every turn, and will continue to do so at all times.

II. This Court Should Direct Legislative Defendants Not to Attempt to Designate Documents in the Hofeller Files as Confidential or Highly Confidential Under the Consent Protective Order

This Court should further direct Legislative Defendants not to attempt again to unilaterally designate materials produced by Ms. Hofeller or other third parties as Confidential or Highly Confidential under the Consent Protective Order. Legislative Defendants' purported designation of "the entirety" of the Hofeller files as Highly Confidential in their May 31 letter is plainly not authorized under the Consent Protective Order, and seems to have been done for improper purposes.

The Consent Protective Order is unambiguous that *only* "the Party producing the material" in discovery may designate those materials as Confidential or Highly Confidential. Paragraph 1 of the Order states: "To fall within the scope of this Agreement, any such Confidential material shall be designated as 'CONFIDENTIAL' or 'HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL/OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," by the Party producing the material." 4/5/19 Consent Protective Order ¶ 1 (emphasis added). Paragraphs 2 and 3 confirm that only "[f]he producing Party may designate" materials as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL." Id. ¶¶ 2, 3 (emphasis added). Specifically, "[t]he producing Party may designate as 'CONFIDENTIAL' any materials that it produces in the litigation" subject to meeting certain confidentiality criteria, id. ¶ 3, and "[t]he producing Party may designate as 'HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL/ OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY' (a) any non-public personal information, or (b) any CONFIDENTIAL material that the producing party reasonably and in good faith believes" meets certain additional criteria. Id. ¶ 3 (emphases added); see id. ¶ 13 (stating that the Order applies equally to "information produced by a non-Party").

Legislative Defendants clearly are not "the *producing* Party" of the Hofeller files, but rather are a "*receiving* party" of those files. Legislative Defendants have no authority to unilaterally designate any of the Hofeller files as Highly Confidential under the Consent Protective Order, let alone all of them. Legislative Defendants could not reasonably and in good faith have read the Consent Protective Order to provide otherwise.

The sudden and pretextual nature of Legislative Defendants' attempted designation of material produced by a third party in discovery confirms as much. Legislative Defendants purported to designate each and every one of the over 75,000 documents in the Hofeller files as "Highly Confidential" because, supposedly, they have identified some unspecified and unknown number of files that contain "confidential financial information." Ex. B at 1. Plaintiffs can represent to this Court, and Legislative Defendants cannot dispute, that the *vast* majority of documents in the Hofeller files have no financial information whatsoever. Legislative Defendants' invocation of some small, unidentified number of files purportedly containing unspecified financial information as a basis to designate over 75,000 other files as Highly Confidential is a baseless and legally inoperative abuse of the Consent Protective Order.²

The true impetus for Legislative Defendants' attempted designation of the Hofeller files is laid bare by the timing of their actions. Legislative Defendants purported to designate "the entirety" of the files as Highly Confidential just *one day* after several of the files—which exposed misconduct by federal government officials—were submitted to a federal district court and the United States Supreme Court in a case of national public importance. At a June 5

² Plaintiffs offered in their June 5 response that, if Legislative Defendants are genuinely concerned about the privacy of files containing "confidential financial information," they should identify each such file, and Plaintiffs would consider jointly asking the Court to designate such files as Confidential or Highly Confidential, as appropriate, consistent with Plaintiffs' cautious approach to the highly sensitive personal information of the Hofeller family since they first received the Hofeller files. See Pls.' Mot. for Clarification Pursuant to Rule 45, filed 4/4/19.

hearing concerning the misconduct by public officials exposed by these several Hofeller files, the U.S. District Judge called the matter serious "serious" and set a schedule for full briefing on potential sanctions. Needless to say, concealing alleged misconduct by public officials is not a proper basis to designate materials as Highly Confidential/Outside Attorneys' Eyes Only under this or any other protective order.

Contrary to Legislative Defendants' suggestions in their May 31 letter, moreover, there was nothing improper about Plaintiffs' counsel sharing relevant, non-confidential materials obtained in discovery with litigants in another lawsuit. To the contrary, courts "have overwhelmingly and decisively endorsed the sharing of discovery information among different plaintiffs, in different cases, in different courts." Burlington City Bd. of Educ. v. U.S. Mineral Prod. Co., 115 F.R.D. 188, 190 (M.D.N.C. 1987) (emphasis added); accord United States v. Comstock, 2012 WL 1119949, at *1 (E.D.N.C. Apr. 3, 2012) ("The general rule . . . is that information produced in discovery in a civil case may be used in other cases."); In re Accent Delight Int'l Ltd., 869 F.3d 121, 135 (2d Cir. 2017) (nothing "prevent[s] [a litigant] who lawfully has obtained discovery . . . from using the discovery elsewhere."); Parkway Gallery Furniture, Inc. v. Kittinger/Pennsylvania House Grp., Inc., 121 F.R.D. 264, 268-69 (M.D.N.C. 1988) ("[A] party needs to present good cause for prohibiting the dissemination of non-confidential discovery information or from prohibiting the utilization of such discovery in other litigation."); FTC v. Digital Interactive Assocs., Inc., 1996 WL 912156, at *3 (D. Colo. Nov. 18, 1996) ("[D]issemination of information to litigants in other forums is often encouraged for purposes of judicial economy."); United States v. Hooker Chemicals & Plastics Corp., 90 F.R.D. 421, 426 (W.D.N.Y. 1981) (similar); Patterson v. Ford Motor Co., 85 F.R.D. 152, 153-54 (W.D. Tex. 1980) (similar).

Again, if Legislative Defendants seek to restrict use of the Hofeller files, they must seek relief from this Court. While Plaintiffs would strenuously oppose any such request, nothing prevents Legislative Defendants from seeking an order of some sort from this Court. Legislative Defendants have had months to seek such an order with respect to these materials, but neither they nor anyone else has made any attempt to do so. What Legislative Defendants cannot do is purport to unilaterally take out-of-court actions that are contrary to the Consent Protective Order entered by this Court.

* * *

Plaintiffs recognize that the relief sought in this motion is not common, but believe that the circumstances warrant it. Legislative Defendants' actions are not only improper, but have diverted Plaintiffs' time and resources away from the steep demands of preparing for the upcoming trial. Indeed, Plaintiffs' expert rebuttal reports are due at the end of this week, and Plaintiffs' counsel have been forced to spend significant time responding to Legislative Defendants' demands and improper attempted confidentiality designation. Legislative Defendants' conduct should be brought to a stop.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court enter an order directing that (1) Legislative Defendants shall not further pursue the return or destruction of material properly produced in response to lawful court process in discovery in this case; and (2) Legislative Defendants shall not attempt to designate material produced in discovery by other parties or third parties as Confidential or Highly Confidential under the Consent Protective Order.

POYNER SPRUILL LLP

By:

Edwin M. Speas, Jr.
N.C. State Bar No. 4112
Caroline P. Mackie
N.C. State Bar No. 41512
P.O. Box 1801
Raleigh, NC 27602-1801
(919) 783-6400
espeas@poynerspruill.com

Counsel for Common Cause, the North Carolina Democratic Party, and the Individual Plaintiffs

*Admitted Pro Hac Vice

ARNOLD AND PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP

R. Stanton Jones*
David P. Gersch*
Elisabeth S. Theodore*
Daniel F. Jacobson*
601 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20001-3743
(202) 954-5000
stanton.jones@arnoldporter.com

PERKINS COIE LLP

Marc E. Elias*
Aria C. Branch*
700 13th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005-3960
(202) 654-6200
melias@perkinscoie.com

Abha Khanna*
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
Seattle, WA 98101-3099
(206) 359-8000
akhanna@perkinscoie.com

Counsel for Common Cause and the Individual Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing by email, addressed to the following persons at the following addresses which are the last addresses known to me:

Amar Majmundar Stephanie A. Brennan Paul M. Cox NC Department of Justice P.O. Box 629 114 W. Edenton St. Raleigh, NC 27602 amajmundar@ncdoj.gov sbrennan@ncdoj.gov pcox@ncdoj.gov Counsel for the State Board of Elections and

Ethics Enforcement and its members

John E. Branch III Andrew D. Brown Nathaniel J. Pencook H. Denton Worrell Shanahan Law Group, PLLC 128 E. Hargett Street, Suite 300 Raleigh, NC 27601 jbranch@shanahanlawgroup.com abrown@shanahanlawgroup.com dworrell@shanahanlawgroup.com npencook@shanahanlawgroup.com Counsel for the Defendant-Intervenors Thomas A. Farr Phillip J. Strach Michael McKnight Alyssa Riggins Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C. 4208 Six Forks Road, Suite 1100 Raleigh, NC 27609 Thomas.farr@ogletree.com Phillip.strach@ogletree.com Michael.mcknight@ogletree.com Alyssa.riggins@ogletree.com Counsel for the Legislative Defendants

E. Mark Braden Richard B. Raile Trevor M. Stanley Elizabeth Scully Katherine McKnight Baker & Hostetler, LLP Washington Square, Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5403 rraile@bakerlaw.com mbraden@bakerlaw.com tstanley@bakerlaw.com escully@bakerlaw.com kmcknight@bakerlaw.com Counsel for the Legislative Defendants

This the 6th day of June, 2019.

EXHIBIT A

```
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
                                      GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE
                                                                          1
                                                                                      APPEARANCES (continued)
                                       SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION
                                                                          2
                                                                                Counsel for the Defendant-Intervenors:
                                            18 CVS 014001
                                                                          3
     COUNTY OF WAKE
                                                                                     Shanahan Law Group
                                                                                     BY: John E. Branch, III
     COMMON CAUSE, ET AL.,
                                                                          4
                                                                                     128 E. Hargett Street, Suite 300
                                                                                     Raleigh, North Carolina 27601
                                                                          5
                   Plaintiffs,
                                                                                     (919) 856-9494
                                                                                     jbranch@shanahanlawgroup.com
                                                                          6
                                                                                Counsel for the Deponent:
     DAVID LEWIS, IN HIS OFFICIAL
     CAPACITY AS SENIOR CHAIRMAN
                                                                                     Fiduciary Litigation Group
                                                                                     BY: Tom Sparks
     OF THE HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
     ON REDISTRICTING, ET AL.,
                                                                                     223 South West Street, Suite 900
                                                                          9
                                                                                     Raleigh, North Carolina 27603
                   Defendants.
                                                                                     (919) 229-0845
                                                                        10
                                                                                     tom@fidlitlawgroup.com
                                                                        11
                                                                        12
                     VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
                                                                                 Also Present: Trae Howerton, Videographer
                                                                        13
                        STEPHANIE HOFELLER
                                                                        14
                                                                        15
                              9:38 A.M.
                                                                        16
                                                                                Reported By: Discovery Court Reporters and Legal
                       FRIDAY, MAY 17, 2019
                                                                                           Videographers
                                                                        17
                                                                                          BY: Lisa A. Wheeler, RPR, CRR
                                                                                          4208 Six Forks Road, Suite 1000
                           POYNER SPRUILL
                                                                        18
                                                                                          Raleigh, North Carolina 27609
                                                                                          (919) 649-9998
               301 FAYETTEVILLE STREET, SUITE 1900
                                                                        19
                                                                                               --oOo--
                     RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA
                                                                        20
                                                                        21
                                                                        22
                                                                        2.3
     BY: LISA A. WHEELER, RPR, CRR
                                                                        24
                                                                        25
                                                                                                                                         3
                                                                1
                                                                         1
             APPEARANCES
                                                                                            INDEX
      Counsel for the Plaintiffs:
                                                                                                           PAGE
         Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer
                                                                         2
         BY: R. Stanton Jones
601 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
                                                                                EXAMINATION BY MR. JONES
                                                                                                                                6
         Washington, D.C. 20001-3743 (202) 942-5000
                                                                                EXAMINATION BY MS. SCULLY
                                                                                                                                44
          stanton.jones@arnoldporter.com
                                                                                EXAMINATION BY MR. BRANCH
                                                                                                                                 195
            -and-
                                                                         5
         Poyner Spruill
                                                                                          EXHIBITS
         BY: Edwin M. Speas, Jr.
                                                                         8
                                                                                HOFELLER
         301 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1900
Raleigh, NC 27601
                                                                                                 DESCRIPTION
                                                                                                                          PAGE
                                                                                NUMBER
         (919) 783-6400
10
     espeas@poynerspruill.com
Counsel for the Defendants State Board of Elections
                                                                                EXHIBIT 1 Subpoena, Stephanie Hofeller
11
                                                                        10
                                                                                       Lizon
      and Ethics Enforcement and its members:
                                                                                EXHIBIT 2 Color Photocopied Photographs
12
                                                                        12
                                                                                EXHIBIT 3
                                                                                             Subpoena, Kathleen H. Hofeller 167
         North Carolina Department of Justice
                                                                        13
13
         Special Litigation
BY: Paul M. Cox
                                                                                EXHIBIT 4 Subpoena, The Estate of Thomas 167
                                                                                        Hofeller
14
          114 West Edenton Street
                                                                        14
         Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 (919) 716-6900
                                                                                EXHIBIT 5 Certificate of Service
                                                                                                                         174
15
                                                                        15
                                                                                        (Incompetent Proceeding), with
         pcox@ncdoj.gov
                                                                                        Attachments
16
                                                                        16
      Counsel for the Legislative Defendants:
                                                                                EXHIBIT 6 Petition for Adjudication of
                                                                        17
         BakerHostetler
                                                                                        Incompetence and Application
18
         BY: Elizabeth A. Scully
                                                                                        for Appointment of Guardian or
          Washington Square, Suite 1100
                                                                        18
                                                                                        Limited Guardian
19
         1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-5403
                                                                        19
                                                                                EXHIBIT 7 Interim Report of the Guardian 180
                                                                                        Ad Litem
20
         (202) 861-1500
                                                                        20
         escully@bakerlaw.com
                                                                                EXHIBIT 8 Order on Motion for
                                                                                                                          184
            -and-
                                                                        21
                                                                                        Appointment of Interim
22
                                                                                        Guardian
          Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart
                                                                        22
23
         BY: Thomas A. Farr
4208 Six Forks Road, Suite 1100
                                                                                EXHIBIT 9 Report of the Guardian Ad
                                                                                                                            188
                                                                        23
24
         Raleigh, North Carolina 27609
                                                                                        Litem
                                                                        24
         (919) 787-9700
                                                                                EXHIBIT 10 Motion to Dismiss
                                                                                                                          192
25
                                                                        25
          thomas.farr@ogletree.com
                                                                2
                                                                                                                                         4
```

PROCEEDINGS 1 married name of Stephanie Hofeller Lizon? 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going on the record 2 A. It was actually Stephanie Louise Lizon. at 9:38 a.m. Today's date is May the 17th, 3 Q. Okay. And now you -- you've dropped the 4 2019. This begins the video deposition of Lizon; you just go by Stephanie Hofeller? 5 Stephanie Hofeller taken in the matter of A. That's right. 6 Q. And that's your maiden name? Common Cause, et al., versus David Lewis, in his Official Capacity As Senior Chairman of A. Correct. 8 the House Select Committee on Redistrict --Q. Excellent. Okay. I'll go over some brief 9 Redistricting, et al. This is filed in the ground rules for the deposition today if 10 General Court of Justice, Superior Court 1.0 11 11 Division, in Wake County, North Carolina, A. Yes. 12 12 Case Number 18 CVS 014001. Q. So you understand that you've taken an oath 13 13 to tell the truth today? If counsel will please identify 14 14 A. I do. yourselves for the record and whom you 15 15 Q. Great. And the court reporter is taking down represent and then our court reporter will 16 16 swear in our witness. everything that we say so let's try not to 17 MR. JONES: Stanton Jones from Arnold & 17 talk over one another. If you let me finish 18 18 Porter for the plaintiffs. my question, I will let you finish your 19 19 MR. SPEAS: Eddie Speas with Poyner answer. Does that make sense? 20 20 Spruill for the plaintiffs. A. Acknowledged, yes. 21 21 MR. COX: Paul Cox with the North Q. Your -- your counsel may object to some of my 22 Carolina Attorney General's Office for the 22 questions today and -- and that's fine. 23 23 State Board of Elections. Un- -- you understand that unless he 2.4 MR. BRANCH: John Branch with Shanahan 24 instructs you not to answer a question, you 25 25 Law Group for the intervenor defendants. should let him state his objection for the 5 7 1 1 MR. FARR: Tom Farr with Ogletree record and then you'll go ahead and answer? 2 2 Deakins for the def- -- legislative A. Yes, I understand that. 3 3 defendants. Q. Great. Is there any reason that you couldn't 4 4 MS. SCULLY: Elizabeth Scully with give complete, accurate, and truthful 5 BakerHostetler for the legislative 5 testimony today? 6 6 defendants. A. No. 7 7 MR. SPARKS: Tom Sparks representing Q. And if you want a break, just let me know. 8 the deponent, Stephanie Hofeller. 8 We'll finish the question and answer that 9 9 we're doing and -- and happy to take a break 10 STEPHANIE HOFELLER, 10 whenever you'd like, okay? 11 11 having been first sworn or affirmed by the court All right. Thanks. 12 reporter and Notary Public to tell the truth, the 12 What state do you live in? 13 whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified 13 Kentucky. 14 as follows: 14 Great. So you don't live in North Carolina? 15 **EXAMINATION** 1.5 That's correct. 16 BY MR. JONES: 16 Okay. And where you live in Kentucky, how 17 Q. Good morning, Ms. Hofeller. 17 far is it from where we are in Raleigh? 18 A. Hello. 18 A. It's about a ten- or 11-hour drive. 19 Q. I'm Stanton Jones from Arnold & Porter and I 19 Q. Okay. Do you know, roughly how many miles is 20 represent the plaintiffs in this lawsuit. 20 21 Would you please state your full name for the 21 A. Roughly 650, something like that, I think. 22 record. 22 Q. Okay. And can you tell me, who -- who are 2.3 A. Stephanie Louise Hofeller. 23 your parents? 24 Q. Excellent. And am I right that you 24 A. My father is Thomas Brooks Hofeller and my 25 previously went by what I believe is a 25 mother is Kathleen Hartsough Hofeller. 6 8

1 Q. Great. So I have some questions about the February, did you review this -- this list of 2 2 subpoena that you received in this case. Is documents and things that were -- were asked 3 that okay? 3 to be produced? 4 4 A. Yes, I did. 5 Q. Great. So earlier this year you received a Q. Okay. And did -- did you understand that the 6 subpoena from the plaintiffs in this case; is subpoena was requesting any electronic that right? storage devices that had any of your father's 8 8 A. That's correct. work drawing maps for the North Carolina 9 Q. Okay. legislature? 10 1.0 MR. JONES: Mark this. A. Yes. 11 11 (HOFELLER EXHIBIT 1 was marked for Q. Okay. Did you have any materials that were 12 12 identification.) responsive to these requests in the subpoena? 13 13 BY MR. JONES: A. I did. 14 14 Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as Exhibit Q. Okay. And -- and were -- am I right that 15 1. Do you recognize this document as the 15 those were electronic storage devices? 16 16 subpoena that you received from the A. Yes. 17 plaintiffs in this case? 17 O. Okay. 18 18 A. Yes. Yes, I do. A. External hard drives and ad -- I don't know 19 19 Q. Okay. And do you see on the first page under what the proper -- or what people prefer to 20 20 name and address of person subpoenaed on the call them, ad-stick, thumb drive, external 21 21 storage devices to be used as backup left side toward the top it says, Stephanie 22 Hofeller Lizon? That -- that's you, correct? 22 principally. 23 23 A. That is me. Q. Okay. So -- so the materials that you had 24 24 Q. Okay. Great. And it says, care of Tom that were responsive to the requests in the 25 25 Sparks, Esquire. That's -- that's your subpoena were -- were external hard drives 9 11 1 and external what we'll call thumb drives? attorney, correct? 2 2 A. That's my attorney. A. That's correct. 3 3 Q. Great. Okay. And if you look down in the Q. Okay. Great. 4 A. Nothing that -- that appeared to have been handwritten portion where there's a date and 5 a signature, do you see it's dated February 5 pulled out from an already assembled 6 6 13th, 2019? computer. These were all, you know, backup 7 A. I do. devices. 8 Q. Okay. And is -- does -- is that around the Q. Okay. These were all external devices that 9 you would need to plug into a computer some time that you recall receiving this subpoena? 10 10 way --11 11 A. Correct. Q. When you received the subpoena, did you take 12 12 Q. -- to look at them? Okay. Am I right that a look at it? 13 13 these storage devices had previously belonged A. Yeah. 14 to your father? 14 Q. Great. 15 A. Yes. 15 A. I got it in a electronic format initially 16 Q. Okay. 16 from my attorney because I wasn't actually in 17 17 A. And mother. the state at that moment, but I was shortly 18 Q. And -- and you understood that the storage 18 after that. 19 devices contained your father's work on North 19 Q. Great. And if you flip a couple of pages 20 Carolina legislative maps? 20 ahead to what's -- what's marked as Page 2 at 21 MS. SCULLY: Objection to form, 21 the bottom of the page, do you see where it 22 leading. You can answer. 2.2 says, list of documents and things to be 2.3 A. It was -- at what point you -- I would have 23 produced pursuant to this subpoena? 24 to -- to ask you to clarify at what point 24 A. Yes, I do. 25 it -- it was or wasn't clear. I knew -- when 25 Q. Okay. And when you received this subpoena in

10

1 I first saw them I knew that they were all containing the storage devices in --2 2 belonging to my father and mother. I wasn't A. Yes. 3 really sure which of them, if any, would have 3 -- response to the subpoena? 4 4 anything involving his work in North Carolina A. Yes, that does appear to be the box that I 5 5 or elsewhere. sent them in, exactly. 6 6 Q. Got it. Let -- let's focus on the time when Q. Great. And -- and on the first page, if you 7 you received the subpoena and you -look at that top picture, it's addressed to 8 8 A. Oh, at that point, yes, I did know that it R. Stanton Jones at Arnold & Porter, LLP, at 9 9 contained -- that all of those devices had at an address in Washington, D.C. Is that the 1.0 10 least -- at least one or two -- at least one address where you sent the package? 11 11 or two files that would -- that were labeled A. Yes. 12 12 in a -- in a way that it was obvious that Q. Great. And if you flap -- flip to the second 13 13 they pertained to my father's work page, do you recognize those as additional 14 14 redistricting in North Carolina. photographs of the outside of the package 15 15 Q. And did you send the storage devices -- those that you sent with the storage devices in 16 16 storage devices that we've been discussing to response to the subpoena? 17 the plaintiffs' lawyers in response to the 17 A. Yes. 18 1.8 subpoena? Q. If you flip to the third page, if you'll 19 19 A. Yes, I did. focus on the bottom image, do you recognize 20 20 Q. Okay. Do you recall roughly when you sent that as a photograph of the -- the interior 21 21 them? of the box that you sent to the plaintiffs' 22 22 A. I remember it was about a month after I lawyers with the storage devices in response 23 23 received the subpoena. Originally, I -- my to the subpoena? 24 intention was to -- to bring them physically 24 A. Yes. 2.5 25 to Raleigh, but I got delayed and it was then Q. Okay. If you flip to Page 4, do you 13 15 1 1 decided that it would be best for preserving recognize the image there as being one of the 2 2 the integrity of -- of the evidence that it thumb drives that you put in the -- in the 3 3 would be going straight to a third party. package and sent to the plaintiffs' lawyers 4 Q. Great. And I'll represent to you that I in response to the subpoena? 5 5 A. Yes. received the materials you sent on March 6 6 13th. Does that sound about right in terms Q. Okay. Do you remember offhand how many external hard drives there were and how many A. That does. 8 thumb drives there were? 9 Q. -- the time? A. I know there were four external hard drives. 10 10 I honestly don't remember exactly how many --A. That does, actually. Where -- where I was in 11 11 you know, there were -- I -- I -- there were Kentucky, I couldn't even find a FedEx 12 office. I had to go -- I had to go down the 12 a couple of empty thumb drives in my -- in 13 13 highway. I was surprised. my, you know, possession so I -- I was making 14 MR. JONES: Can we mark this? 14 sure that I wasn't, you know, sending 15 (HOFELLER EXHIBIT 2 was marked for 15 anything wrong. These were all the ones 16 16 identification.) that -- that I got from my father, but I 17 17 BY MR. JONES: don't remember exactly -- from his room, but 18 18 Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as Exhibit I don't remember exactly how many there were. 19 19 2. On the -- you can take a moment to -- to Like eight or nine, maybe, was it, or seven? 20 20 flip through. That's fine. Go ahead. Q. So if I -- I'll represent to you that inside 21 21 A. That's... the package that we received that we're 2.2 2.2 Q. So my first question is, if you look at the looking at photographs of there were -- there 23 23 very first page, do you -- do you recognize were four external hard drives, as you said, 24 24 the -- the photograph -- the photographs and also 18 thumb drives. 25 25 there as images of the package that you sent A. 18, yeah. Okay.

14

1 Q. Does that seem right? A. No. 2 2 O. Okav. A. Yeah. 3 Q. Great. 3 A. No. 4 4 A. Yeah. Q. Did you -- did you delete any files that were MR. FARR: Excuse me. I don't mean to 5 on any of the storage devices? 6 A. No. I was careful not to add or take interrupt and I'm new to the game, but what were the stipulations about objections in anything away. 8 8 this case? Are all objections reserved Q. Did you modify any of the files in any way? 9 9 except for privilege and form of the A. No. 1.0 question? 1.0 Q. Okay. You didn't make any changes at all to 11 11 MR. SPEAS: Yeah. That's the way we've any of the files --12 12 been operating so far. A. None. 13 13 MR. FARR: Okay. Thank you. -- on the storage devices? You have to --14 14 BY MR. JONES: A. I'm sorry. 15 15 Q. Yeah. You -- you -- I'll just start over Q. I'm not going to go through every single 16 16 photograph here. There's about 50 pages of again so we have a clean record. 17 photographs. But would you just take a 17 1.8 18 moment and flip through them and if you could Q. So you -- you did not make any changes to any 19 19 just tell me, do you recognize these as of the files or data on these storage devices 20 20 photographs of the storage devices, both the before sending them to the plaintiffs' 21 21 external hard drives and the thumb drives, lawyers in response to the subpoena? 22 22 A. That's correct. I did not. that you sent to the plaintiffs' lawyers in 23 23 response to the subpoena? Do you recognize Q. Okay. You can put that to the side. So now 24 24 them that way? I have some -- some pretty basic questions 25 25 A. So far, yes. It's a rainbow of colors. I about where you got the devices from. Is 17 19 1 1 remember that, too. Yes, those look -- all that okay? 2 2 of them I -- I remember. A. Yes. 3 3 Q. Great. So having flipped through all of the Q. Okay. Great. So, first, can you please tell 4 4 photographs here, you recognize all of these me just the month and the year when you got 5 images --5 these devices. 6 6 A. Yes. A. October 2018. 7 7 O. -- as being --Q. Okay. And next could you please tell me just 8 8 A. I -- I don't see anything that I didn't have where specifically did you get the devices 9 9 my hands on and put in that package. from, just the physical location for 10 10 Q. Okay. Excellent. Would you flip to Page 23. starters? 11 11 Do you see the image there of a storage A. The apartment where my recently deceased 12 12 device with the label, NC Data? father lived with my mother at Springmoor. 13 13 A. Yes, I do. Q. Okay. And what is Springmoor? 14 14 Q. Do you recall that as one of the images that A. Springmoor is a retirement community. 15 15 you sent? Q. Okay. And your father and mother had been 16 16 A. I do. living in this apartment in Springmoor before 17 17 his -- his death; is that right? Q. Or, sorry, as one of the --18 A. One of the --18 A. That's correct. 19 19 Q. -- storage devices? Q. Okay. And at the time you got these files 20 20 A. -- storage devices, yes. from the Springmoor apartment in October 21 21 Q. Okay. Before sending all of these storage 2018, was your mother living there at the 22 22 devices to the plaintiffs' lawyers in time? 23 2.3 response to the subpoena you received, did A. Yes, she was. 24 24 you alter any of the -- the contents of the Q. Okay. Before getting the devices from the 25 25 storage devices? apartment in Springmoor, did you ask your 18 20

1 mother if it was okay to take them? flag that draped his coffin and a picture of 2 2 my grandparents and inside the box was A. Yes, I did. 3 Q. Okay. And did you ask her that in October 3 everything exactly as I had left it. So I 4 2018? took that to mean that I was supposed to look 5 A. Yes, that -- that same day. 5 for other things and so I started -- I -- I 6 6 Q. Okay. Did your mother object to you taking thought there was a chance that there might the devices? have been something specifically for me as in 8 8 A. No, she didn't. a note or a message of some sort that I would 9 9 Q. Okay. Did -- did -- did she say it was okay find. 1.0 10 Q. Okay. And -- and was that when you found the to take the devices? 11 11 A. Yes. She encouraged me to. storage devices that we've been discussing? 12 12 Q. Okay. So now I'm -- I'm going to back and --A. It was in that same incident, yes, that --13 13 and ask a few more questions just to fill in that same evening. 14 some additional details about when and where 14 Q. Okay. And where in the apartment were the 15 15 you got the devices, okay? storage devices? 16 16 A. Yes. A. They were on a shelf in my father's room. 17 Q. Okay. When did you first learn that your 17 Q. Okay. Were they just sitting out open on the 18 18 father had died? 19 19 A. September 30th, 2018. A. Yes, they were. There was a bag -- a clear 20 20 Q. Okay. And when you -- when you learned of plastic bag with the thumb drives and 21 21 his death -- and -- and I'll say for the ad-sticks and then there was just a stack 22 22 record, I'm -- I'm sorry for your -- for the of -- it wasn't the only thing on the shelf. 23 23 loss He had also some of those pullout boxes that 24 When you learned of your father's death, 24 kind of are like drawers that had some of his 25 25 did you contact your mother? papers in there, and the -- the hard drives 21 23 1 1 just were there in the corner of -- it was A. Yes. 2 2 Q. Did -- did you go to visit her then? a -- one of those kind of box-style book 3 3 shelves. It wasn't just a straight shelf. 4 Some of them had those removable drawers in Q. Okay. And -- and did you go to visit her in 5 Raleigh at the Springmoor apartment in 5 them and others were just open. 6 6 October 2018? Q. Okay. But all of the four external hard 7 A. Yes, I did. drives and the 18 thumb drives that you sent 8 8 to the plaintiffs' lawyers in response to the Q. And at that time when you were there at the 9 9 subpoena were on this bookshelf in your Springmoor apartment in Raleigh in October 10 10 father's room in the apartment at Springmoor? 2018 visiting your mother, did -- did you 11 11 A. That's right. go -- did you and your mother go through some 12 12 Q. Okay. And -- and they weren't in any sort of of your father's things? 13 13 safe or lockbox; they were -- they were just A. There wasn't much to go through. Most of 14 14 out? what there even was in there was what was 15 15 A. That's right. left out, really. There were a couple of 16 Q. Okay. Had you seen any of these storage 16 desk drawers. I -- there were a couple of 17 17 devices before? keepsakes of mine that I was looking for, but 18 A. Inasmuch as I could say later having looked 18 one of the main reasons that I was looking 19 at them and when they were done, then I was 19 was because when I walked in the door to his 20 able to confirm that, yes, there were a 20 room, immediately I saw a keepsake of mine 21 couple of those that I recognized from when I 21 from my childhood, a -- a jewelry box that I 22 was either staying with on short trips or 22 had and that I had left in -- in my parents' 2.3 living with my parents in their house in 23 care. And inside of it -- it was displayed 24 Alexandria, Virginia. 24 prominently right under the flag that he was 25 Q. Okay. And -- and could you just tell me 25 buried with and -- well, not with but the 22 24

4

5

6

8

17

18

19

25

1

2

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

briefly how -- how did you recognize -- what
 was the connection that you made to these
 storage devices?
 A. The -- one of them had that blue rubber

- A. The -- one of them had that blue rubber
 lining around it that I recognized
 immediately, and I know that there could be
 more than one and I also know it's a
 removable cover, so -- but then it just -- it
 appeared to be really what I -- what I was
 looking for, really.
 - Q. And after getting the storage devices, when did you ask your mother if it was okay to take them?

12

13

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

14 A. When I noticed them, it was in a survey and 15 I'd first come in and -- and I was a little 16 overwhelmed with emotion when I first walked 17 into my father's room. Excuse me. So, you 18 know, I was sort of looking around. There 19 was heirloom furniture all around the 20 apartment and other -- other things that 21 belonged to my extended family, my, you know, 22 great-grandparents and such, so I -- I sort 23 of took the whole thing in, had another sort 24 of, you know, casual, brief conversation with 25 my mother about how things had unfolded, and

A. Dalton Lamar Oldham. That was my father's business partner, attorney. Together he and my father were Geographic Strategies.

Q. Okay. And -- and you understood your mother to be telling you that Mr. Oldham had come to the apartment in Springmoor after your father's death and taken -- is -- was it a laptop and a desktop computer?

- 9 A. Yes. And, again, it was a -- it wasn't clear

 10 exactly how much had -- he had taken as my

 11 father was dying that he had -- that my

 12 father had said to him, take this. I don't

 13 think my mother really remembers exactly what

 14 was there before and -- shortly before and

 15 then shortly after his -- his death.

 16 O Okay Great Thank you Okay So now I
 - Q. Okay. Great. Thank you. Okay. So now I have some questions just about what you did after getting the devices, okay?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Great. So after getting the devices from your parents' apartment in Springmoor, did you consistently hold on to them until you sent them to the plaintiffs' lawyers in response to the subpoena?
 - A. Yes.

5

1 it was later when I was back in there and I 2 also said, this is -- I think he wanted me to 3 have this jewelry box. And so I said, I'm going to take that. Is that okay? And she 5 said, of course. And I said, I'm going to 6 take these, too. I think that I'll find the pictures and some of the things that I'm 8 looking for on -- on these. Can I take 9 these? And she said, absolutely. She -- she 10 said, I don't even know how to use them. 11

- Q. Okay. Do you know if anyone else other than you had been to your parents' apartment at Springmoor to -- to look through or -- or potentially take any of your father's things before you had gotten there?
- A. That was my understanding because before I took any of those things, I specifically asked my mother -- I said, he had a work laptop still, yes? She said, yes. And she said, and a work computer. And I said, okay, did Dale come and take that stuff? She said, yes, Dale took the laptop, Dale took the work computer, and Dale took everything that he wanted.
- Q. And -- and who is Dale?

Q. Okay. You didn't give them to anyone else for any period of time in there?

- ³ A. No.
- Q. Okay.
- A. I'm sorry I laugh. It's just I was so
 thrilled to have some of this precious data
 of mine that I would not let anyone else near
 them.
- Q. Great. And did -- did you stay in Raleigh
 then or did -- did you eventually go back to
 Kentucky?
 - A. I stayed in Raleigh for a few days that time and then I went back to Kentucky.
 - Q. Okay. And -- and did you take the storage devices with you when you went back to Kentucky?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Okay. And were you then able to look at any of the -- the actual contents of the devices?
 - A. I looked at the content of some of them that first night in my hotel room in Raleigh.
 - Q. Oh, okay. And did -- am I -- did you -- you connected them to a computer to be able to look at them?
 - A. Yes. Yes. I had a -- I had -- I had a

28

27

1 laptop with me that I use. I had found a -storage devices to the plaintiffs' lawyers in 2 2 an appropriate cable in one -- one of my this case in response to the subpoena, did 3 father's drawers I found a whole box of 3 you change or manipulate any of the files on 4 4 cables and one of them was the proper adapter the storage devices that related to your 5 for that -- for those external hard drives. 5 father's work? 6 6 A. No, I did not. Q. Okay. And -- and when you -- when you did connect some of the -- the storage devices to Q. Okay. Am I right that at some point after 8 8 the computer to be able to look at the getting the storage devices, you contacted 9 9 contents, did -- did you see any personal someone at the organization Common Cause; is 1.0 1.0 that right? information in there like photographs or 11 11 A. Yes. other personal information? 12 12 A. Yes. I found specifically really what I was Q. Okay. And do -- do you remember the specific 13 13 looking for, which were files of mine that I person who you first contacted at Common 14 14 had -- essentially I backed them up onto my Cause? 15 15 A. I first reached out to Bob Phillips, the parents' computer when I was visiting them 16 16 last and, actually, many times before that as director, and it was in hopes that he might 17 I felt that it was a really good way to 17 be able -- he and Common Cause might be able 18 18 assure that they would be preserved because I to give me a referral to find an attorney for 19 19 knew that my father was not -- you know, I my mother. 20 20 knew he had a tendency to -- to be, you know, Q. Okay. And in the course of those discussions 21 21 with Mr. Phillips, did you -- did you discuss careful about those things -- those kinds of 22 22 things. And, yes, I found a great many these storage devices? 23 23 photographs that I was looking for of my A. Not in that conversation, no. 24 24 children and other documents that were Okay. Did Mr. Phillips connect you to 25 25 related to my life, matters that concerned me someone else at Common Cause? 29 31 1 1 and my children, and it was -- it was -- I 2 2 felt, well, I buried this treasure and that I Q. Okay. And who was that? 3 3 was getting to dig it up. I was really very A. Jane Pinsky. 4 4 excited to see those pictures again, Q. Did you then have discussions with 5 pictures -- also some pictures of my -- of my 5 Ms. Pinsky? 6 6 great-grandparents and things like that that A. Yes, I did. 7 7 I had hoped that I would find copies of as Q. Okay. And in the course of those discussions 8 well. 8 with Ms. Pinsky did you mention the storage 9 9 Q. Got it. So -- so some of these photographs devices that we've been discussing? 10 and other personal materials were things that 10 A. Yes, I did. 11 you yourself had stored on your parents' 11 Q. Okay. And did -- did you offer to -- to 12 12 computer years earlier when your father was provide the devices to Ms. Pinsky and Common 13 still alive; is that correct? 13 Cause? 14 A. That's correct. 14 A. You know, when I first brought it up it was 15 Q. Okay. And -- and you -- you saw some of 15 really just kind of an anecdotal reference to 16 those materials on these storage devices? 16 a interview with David Daley that I had 17 A. Yes. 17 recently read. At the end of this interview 18 Q. Okay. Other than personal files like 18 his last statement, and it was really the --19 photographs, letters, et cetera, did you see 19 the gist of it was about the fact that the 20 data or files on the storage devices re---20 rejected districts had been sent for redraw 21 that related to your father's work creating 21 back to my father and now he was deceased and 22 maps? 22 the comment that David Daley made was, I 2.3 A. Yes, I did. 23 wonder -- I -- I think that somewhere out 24 Q. Okay. And I think I asked this before, but 24 there on a hard drive there's a gift for the 25 I'll just ask it again. Before sending the 25

state legislators.

30

1 Q. I see. And -- and am I right, Mr. Daley is a it -- because we were discussing whether 2 2 journalist, an author who covers there was new evidence or no new evidence. 3 redistricting issues? 3 errors of law only. So she mentioned that 4 A. Yes. He -the case of the state legislative districts 5 Q. Okay. would be accepting new evidence and I said, A. He sort of brought it to a little bit more well, I think this might be pertinent. And I mainstream attention by, I don't know, making didn't know if it was -- I said -- even at 8 it a little more personal, personable maybe 8 that time I said that I was skeptical that 9 9 even. there was anything here that was not already 10 1.0 Q. Got it. And -- and the article that you had disclosed after all of those. I recall 11 11 read by Mr. Daley was one that was discussing personally discovery and discovery and 12 12 the -- the redraw of North Carolina's discovery and discovery and a lot of 13 13 legislative districts? grumbling because everyone always grumbles 14 14 A. Specifically, yes. Yes. That was the first about discovery in civil litigation. That's 15 15 time -- I did not even know that -- I was my experience. 16 16 aware of Mr. Daley's book about Operation Red Q. So when you say that this is pertinent, you 17 17 mean you believed that the storage devices Map, but I was not aware that he was actually 18 18 from North Carolina and would have such a that you had gotten from your parents' 19 19 specific interest in this for that reason. apartment in Springmoor had files or evidence 20 20 Q. Got it. So -- so in these discussions with that were pertinent or relevant to -- to this 21 21 litigation? Ms. Pinsky, having read Mr. Daley's article, 22 22 am I right that you -- you expressed to A. Well, in that they -- they were clearly about 23 23 Ms. Pinsky that you wanted to provide the redistricting and they were clearly labeled, 24 24 storage devices to her and to Common Cause? North Carolina. 25 25 A. Well, I -- I sim- --Q. Excellent. After speaking to Ms. Pinsky 33 35 1 1 THE WITNESS: Pardon? about the devices, did she put you in touch 2 2 MR. SPARKS: I just want you to let him then with the plaintiffs' lawyers in this 3 3 finish. case? 4 4 A. Oh, I'm sorry. A. Yes. And I wanted to clarify. This -- the 5 Q. Yeah. Go ahead. 5 conversation about these hard drives did not 6 6 A. I -- I -- I simply quipped that, I have -- I come up in the first of my conversations with 7 have some hard drives. And we continued the Ms. Pinsky. That was a development later on 8 discussion about that. At that time I was 8 when we were discussing how I was very 9 9 not aware that there was -- that one of the frustrated about what was -- what was going 10 matters was not an appeal. I -- I was under 10 on and -- with -- with my mother and I 11 the impression that all of the matters 11 commented -- that's -- that's 12 pending were appeals, therefore, no new 12 right. I commented on the progress that 13 evidence. I -- when I first mentioned these 13 Common Cause had made with their assertions 14 things, it was really from a journalistic 14 about the relative fairness of partisan 15 point of view and more anecdotal. I did not 15 redistricting and also the underlying issues 16 presume that they had any value as 16 that -- that sometimes are disguised, in my 17 evidence --17 opinion, as simply partisan. And I sort of 18 Q. I see. And --18 made that comment. I said, this is -- this 19 A. -- per se. 19 is the furthest I've ever seen a plaintiff 20 Q. -- did Ms. Pinsky explain to you that there 20 get with anything that my father drew, and I 21 is, in fact, a lawsuit relating to North 21 will say I also said, and the way I knew my 22 Carolina's legislative districts that -- that 2.2 father a decade ago, he would have looked at 2.3 is not on appeal yet, that is still in the 23 those maps and -- and laughed. 24 trial phase? 24 So am I understanding correctly that when you 25 A. She did explain. I think the way she put 25 originally contacted Bob Phillips at Common

Cause and then in your initial discussions 1 A. Yes. 2 2 Q. Okay. Then in February of -- of 2019 did you with Jane Pinsky, you were not contacting 3 them principally about these storage devices? 3 receive the subpoena from plaintiffs and 4 4 A. No, I was not. that's when you sent the storage devices? 5 5 Q. Okay. Okay. Did you say you were -- you A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Did you tell anyone that you object to were contacting them in hopes that Common Cause would be able to help refer you to a the subpoena or that you object to providing 8 8 lawyer in connection with your -- with your a response to the subpoena? 9 9 mother's situation? A. No. 1.0 10 Q. Okay. Did you, in fact, have any objection A. Yes. 11 11 MR. SPARKS: Objection. or problem with the subpoena or with 12 12 MS. SCULLY: Objection to form, providing a response to the subpoena? 13 13 A. No, I didn't. mischaracterizes the witness's testimony. 14 14 A. I -- I know enough about litigation and Q. Okay. Did anyone else tell you that they 15 15 attorneys because I'm a Hofeller. I knew object to the subpoena? 16 16 that bias would come into play whether or not A. No. 17 it was admitted. My father was often 17 Q. Did anyone else tell you that they had any 18 18 concerned that he would be discriminated objection or problem with you providing a 19 19 against for his political position and took response to the subpoena? 20 20 care to know the allegiance of someone he 21 21 Q. Did you -- did you ever speak to your mother chose to represent him. I was not familiar 22 22 about the subpoena? with this town. I did not know -- I knew 23 23 that -- many of the parties that were A. Yes, I did. 24 24 involved in the litigation surrounding my Q. Okay. And did you tell her that you were 25 25 mother. I knew they had significant going to respond to the subpoena? 37 39 1 allegiances here and I felt that the only 1 A. Yes. And because there were files that 2 2 party in Raleigh that would both believe me belonged to her, I asked for her permission 3 3 also. I said -- she said that she had no that politics was an element and would know 4 problem with that. She also felt, as I did, who might be actually independent counsel for 5 my mother -that the process would most likely be 6 6 centered around provably pertinent files Q. Okay. And am I right that the -- the lawyer you were seeking for your mother was in anyway, but that -- I -- I reassured her -- I 8 8 assured her, I should say, that she should be connection with the incompetency proceeding? 9 9 aware that once you -- and, again, this is A. Correct. 10 10 something my father taught me. Once you let Q. Okay. Let's go -- go back. After you 11 11 go of it, you don't have control of it discussed the storage devices with Ms. Pinsky 12 12 anymore so you can't be guaranteed what will at Common Cause, am I right that Ms. Pinsky 13 13 and won't be disclosed, so it's something you then connected you directly with the 14 14 should be prepared for when you are involved plaintiffs' lawyers in this case? 15 15 with discovery. A. That's correct. 16 Q. Okay. And in the course of that discussion 16 Q. Okay. And is that Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie? 17 17 with your mother, did you understand that 18 your mother was giving you permission or her 18 Q. Okay. Great. And did you -- did you have 19 okay to --19 conversations with them then? 20 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. -- to -- let me -- let me finish the 21 Q. Okay. And in the course of those 22 question. 2.2 conversations did you -- did you express that 2.3 A. I'm sorry. 23 you wanted to provide the storage devices 24 That's okay. I'll just -- I'm just going to 24 that you had gotten from the apartment in 25

ask it again, okay?

Springmoor to them?

25

38

1 A. (Nods head). viewpoint to me seemed irrelevant to the 2 2 function of census data turning into voting Q. So in the course of that discussion with your 3 mother about the subpoena, did you understand 3 districts, and I really thought of it in --4 4 that she was giving you her permission or her in those terms. I really -- I knew that if I 5 5 okay to provide the storage devices that presented them this way that they would be 6 we've discussed to the plaintiffs' lawyers in preserved, that they -- their integrity would be preserved and everything there, including response to the subpoena? 8 8 A. Yes. my files, including other matters completely 9 9 unrelated to this, that those -- that that Q. Okay. Thank you. Okay. I just have a -- a 1.0 few other questions and I -- I did want to 10 would be a snapshot in time. 11 11 ask you just a couple of questions about your Q. Was -- was there any financial benefit to you 12 12 relationship with each of your parents. And personally from providing these files to the 13 13 I -- and I don't intend to pry, but -- but plaintiffs' lawyers? Did you -- did you make 14 14 any profit here? I'll just ask a couple of basic questions if 15 15 A. No. that's okay. 16 16 A. That is okay, yes. Q. Okay. 17 Q. Okay. Would -- would you say that you had a 17 MR. JONES: Can we go off the record, 1.8 18 take a five-minute break? positive relationship with your father in 19 19 recent years? THE WITNESS: Sounds great. 20 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the A. Not in recent years, no. 21 21 Q. Okay. When was the last time you spoke to record. The time is 10:24 a.m. 22 your father before his death last year? 22 (Whereupon, there was a recess in the 23 23 A. July of 2014. proceedings from 10:24 a.m. to 10:46 a.m.) 24 24 Q. Okay. Would you say that you have a positive THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 25 25 relationship, a functional relationship, with record. The time is 10:46 a.m. 41 43 1 your mother? MR. JONES: Thank you. Ms. Hofeller, I 2 2 A. Yes. have no more questions for you today. Thank 3 3 you for your time. Q. Okay. Do you know whether an official estate 4 THE WITNESS: My pleasure. was opened for your father after his death? 5 A. No. That has been a confused issue. 5 **EXAMINATION** 6 Q. Okay. So when you say no, you --BY MS. SCULLY: 7 O. Ms. Hofeller, Elizabeth Scully. We met 8 earlier this morning. I represent the Q. -- the answer is, no, you don't know? 9 legislative defendants in this case and I do A. Exactly. 10 10 have some follow-up questions that I would Q. Okay. That's fine. Did you send these 11 11 like to ask of you today. storage devices to the plaintiffs' lawyers in 12 12 First, if I could turn your attention to this case to -- to get back at your father or 13 13 the document that was marked as Exhibit 2 to spite your father for personal reasons? 14 14 that you went through with counsel for the A. Not at all. 15 15 plaintiffs earlier. Looking at -- at the --Q. Okay. Could you just tell me briefly in your 16 at the first page where there's a photograph 16 words, why did you want to provide these 17 17 of a -- of a box and then appears to be devices to the plaintiffs' lawyers in this 18 handwriting for -- addressed to Arnold & 18 case? 19 19 A. When I was expressing my skepticism that 20 Do you see that there? 20 there would be anything in the way of 21 A. I see the handwriting behind the box. 21 evidence, I stated that I felt that these 22 Q. Uh-huh. 2.2 files would if -- certainly be of historical 2.3 A. Yes. 23 value, that they would give insight into the 24 Is that your handwriting? 24 process, not any value judgment on that 25 A. No. 25 process. I did not have -- my political 42 44

1 Q. No. Do you know whose handwriting that is? Q. Did you review all of the drives that you 2 2 A. No. sent to Arnold & Porter during the same day? 3 Q. Did you personally prepare the box, label it, 3 A. Yes. Yes. Maybe perhaps I had to take a 4 4 put the contents in the box and send it to break overnight, but it was -- I -- I made 5 5 Arnold & Porter? sure that I was not including anything that 6 A. I put the contents in the box, I sealed the was mine that wasn't, you know, related to box, and at the FedEx office the label was this at all, that I hadn't mistakenly mixed 8 printed out and put on it in front of me. 8 anything in, that these were all just the 9 9 Q. Okay. Did you send the materials directly to files and things that had come from my 1.0 1.0 Arnold & Porter or to a vendor before you father's apartment. So that -- that's about 11 11 sent them to Arnold & Porter? the extent of it. 12 12 A. I sent them directly to Arnold Porter. Q. So if I understand you, if you found 13 13 Q. Did you ever send the materials to a -- a materials on the -- in any of these thumb 14 14 vendor? drives or drives that you thought were yours 15 15 A. No. or your personal information, you removed 16 16 O. Turning to the -- it's marked Number 4 in that information before you sent it to 17 Exhibit Number 2. 17 Arnold & Porter? 1.8 1.8 A. Okay. A. No. 19 19 Q. You have that in front of you? MR. JONES: Objection. That 20 20 A. I do. mischar- --21 21 Q. And it appears on Page Number 4 of Exhibit THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. 22 Number 2 is a picture of a thumb drive. Do 22 MR. JONES: -- mischaracterizes the 23 you see that? 23 testimony. 24 A. I do. 2.4 MS. SCULLY: I -- I believe --25 2.5 O. And on that thumb drive there are some MR. FARR: He asked -- she asked the 45 47 1 1 drawing -- a handwritten drawing on that question so she can answer it. 2 2 MR. SPEAS: Tom, how many people are thumb drive. Do you recall what material was 3 contained in this thumb drive? representing your side in this deposition? 4 A. Are -- are you -- please clarify the -- the MR. FARR: Three. 5 handwriting being the A as opposed to the 5 BY MS. SCULLY: 6 6 label on the drive, which is etched into the Q. I believe you testified earlier that when you 7 metal. I believe. looked through the materials you took from 8 8 Q. Well, let me -- let me back up and ask you your father's room that you did find 9 9 this: Do you know -- on this document on the information on those electronic files that 10 10 fourth page there appears to be two were personal to you, correct? 11 11 photographs. Both appear to reflect a thumb A. That is correct. 12 12 Q. Did you produce that personal information drive. Do you know if these are two 13 13 different thumb drives or one thumb drive? when you sent the electronic materials to 14 14 A. I believe that is the two opposite sides of Arnold & Porter? 15 15 A. Yes, I did. the same thumb drive. 16 16 Q. Do you know that for a fact or is that Q. A moment ago when you said you looked through 17 17 just -- you're making an assumption? the electronic files before you produced them 18 18 A. I am making an assumption. to Arnold & Porter to make sure that nothing 19 19 that related only to you or that wasn't Q. Do you know if you in -- if you ever reviewed 20 20 the information that was on this thumb drive relevant -- you wanted to make sure that 21 21 wasn't being produced, what did you mean by that appears on Page 4 of Exhibit Number 2 2.2 22 that you sent to Arnold & Porter? 23 23 A. I know that I reviewed all of the drives that A. That wasn't what I said. What I said is I 24 24 I sent to -- to Arnold Porter. I do not checked them to make sure that they were my 25 25 father's, that I hadn't mistakenly grabbed recall what was on which storage device.

46

1 something from my own room, a storage device take them, it was -- maybe I mentioned that I 2 2 that I would keep, use with my phone, with my was excited about the possibility that there 3 laptop, completely unrelated to this, never 3 would be pictures of my children, but she having been touched by my father. That's said, they're yours. Take them. I don't 5 what I meant. have any use for them. Q. Okay. Thank you for that clarification. How Q. And when you had that initial conversation many hours did it take you to go through and with your mother, you had no discussions with 8 8 review the entire contents of the materials her and expressed no interest in looking 9 9 that you provided to Arnold & Porter? through to find any of your father's business 10 A. And please -- I would like to clarify that I 1.0 records or materials he may have created in 11 11 did not open every file. I merely observed connection with his work as -- as an expert 12 12 that this was the media that I thought it was in other litigations, correct? 13 13 when I arrived at my home. So it was, oh, A. Correct. As a matter of fact, I went to the 14 14 two, three hours, I think, making sure. Some point of making sure that I asked my mother 15 of them, you know, I -- they didn't light up 15 that all of his specifically work-related 16 16 at first. I had to put them in the other USB material had already been collected. I 17 drive, reseat the connectors. Some -- some 17 didn't wish to assert myself in -- in --18 18 of them took -- some of them were slower than in -- into the business intentionally. 19 19 others to open, but I would say that I had Q. At some point you say when you were -- well, 20 20 made sure that -- done that last check before when you first took the -- the files, did 21 21 putting it in the mail that I knew what I was you -- you didn't know what was on these 22 sending and that it was all what I was 22 files when you first took them, correct? 23 23 asserting it was, and I think that process A. Some of them I didn't. The backups that I 24 24 took, yeah, maybe about two or three hours. recognized from my parents' home PC back in 25 25 Q. Do you know how many files you opened during Alexandria -- I was at least vaguely familiar 51 1 1 those two to three hours? with what had been on my parents' home PC 2 2 A. During those two to three hours I didn't open when I was there, so those were pretty much 3 3 as I expected them. And then I -- my thought any of the files. I merely looked in the was that I would at least look at everything basic root folders on each to confirm what it 5 and see what it was. was and that it had belonged to my father 6 really was the point. The files on all of Q. Now, you said you went to your mother's home. these that were mine specifically as in 7 It was sometime in October 2018. Do you know 8 specifically when you were -- went to your photographs I took, letters I wrote, those I 9 had looked at early on. My interest in these mother's home and took these files? 10 10 drives initially was only for those. I A. October 11th. 11 11 ignored everything else for a period of time. And how do you know it was October 11th? 12 12 A. I have had to recount the details of my Q. When you took these files from your father's 13 13 room and spoke to your mother about it, arrival at my mother's house several times 14 you -- in that conversation with your mother 14 over the past few months, so it's become 15 15 you told her you were taking the files pretty -- pretty normal. 16 16 because you wanted to look through the files Q. Do you have any documents that reflect when 17 17 to find personal things related to you, you were in North Carolina? 18 18 A. Documents. I don't think so, no. photographs that may be on the files, 19 19 correct? Q. Did you go to any restaurants, make any 20 20 A. That's correct. credit card charges, purchase gasoline near 21 Q. And with that understanding your mother gave 21 your mother's apartment, any type of document 2.2 2.2 you permission to take the files, correct? that would indicate the time period when you 23 A. I did not feel that my mother's permission 23 were visiting with your mother? 24 24 for me to have these was conditional on A. I believe that receipts would reflect that I 25 25 was in Raleigh during certain days. anything. When she gave me permission to

50

1 Q. How long did you stay -- did you stay with father's business work with his partner, Dale 2 2 your mother at that time? Oldham? 3 A. Not at that time. At that time I stayed in a 3 A. I noticed, as was standard on my father's 4 4 hotel and I stayed for, I believe, around home PC, there would -- there was usually at 5 four days. I think -- I don't honestly least a folder related to his work. I was recall off the top of my head if it was three accustomed to not really paying much nights or four nights. attention to the specifics. I talked to him 8 8 Q. Was the hotel located in Raleigh? about things. I didn't need to poke. 9 9 A. Yes. Q. And when you noticed that there were folders 1.0 Q. What was the name of the hotel where you 10 on this hard drive that you reviewed related 11 11 to your father's work and knowing that Dale 12 12 A. I stayed one night in a hotel, the name of Oldham had taken efforts to try to reclaim 13 13 which I don't recall because I didn't like business records, did you go back and tell 14 14 it. So then I moved to the La Quinta, I your mom, you know, we still have information 15 15 believe, yes -related to Dad's work? 16 16 O. And how --A. My father always had information related to 17 17 his work on the personal hard drive. It A. -- near Crabtree. 1.8 18 Q. And how did you pay for your stay at the wasn't noteworthy. 19 19 La Quinta? Q. Does that mean you did not go back and tell 20 20 A. I paid -- I think the first night I paid cash your mom that there was information related 21 21 and the next night I paid with my debit card. to his work on the hard drive that you had? 22 Q. And you get monthly statements of your debit 22 A. At some point when I discussed the fact that 23 23 card? they might be of interest to the case, I --24 24 A. I think I've gone paperless. again, with my mother there are some things 25 25 Q. Do you receive e-mails of -- notification of because she's my mother that don't need to be 55 1 1 your debit card statement -explicitly stated. She assumed that there 2 2 A. Yes. would be at least some work-related material on the hard drive. I discussed with her the Q. -- when it's available? 4 nature of this litigation and, again, such A. Yes. Q. And your debit card is held with what bank? similar litigation was a regular fixture in 6 A. PNC. my entire life living with my father. So the Q. After you took the materials from -- from idea that there would be some litigation 8 your father's room, when did you first begin going on around things that he had drawn was 9 just par for the course. So, yes, I don't to look through the materials? 10 10 know that I would have explicitly said, That same evening. 11 11 Mother, there are these kinds of files on Q. When you stayed at the hotel that you don't 12 12 this. It was more like, Common Cause may recall the name of? 13 13 have an interest in these work files. And A. Yes. 14 14 even I -- with her I even discussed my belief Q. And how many -- well, did you review one 15 15 that this would not -- these all being device? How many devices did you review that 16 backups, that this would not be any 16 night? 17 17 information that was not already known and A. That first night I stuck with the one because 18 had already been disclosed. There were files 18 that's where I found hundreds of pictures of 19 19 that were titled, Discovery, so I assumed me with my infant children. 20 that those had gone previously into 20 Q. And was the one a thumb drive or was it a 21 discoveries that had already happened. 21 hard drive, if you remember? 22 Q. From your answer I'm still not clear whether 2.2 A. An external hard drive. 2.3 you actually had a conversation with your 23 Q. When looking through this one external hard 24 mother about your father's business records 24 drive on that first night, did you also find 25 that you discovered on the external hard 25 materials that appeared to be related to your

54

1 drives. A. I'm aware. 2 2 Q. Do you know the time period in which that Did you have a specific conversation 3 with your mother to tell her that you 3 occurred? 4 identified business records of your father's A. November. Early November. 5 on these external hard drives that you had Q. October/November your conversations with your taken possession of? mom with respect to Common Cause are focused MR. JONES: Objection, asked and on how they'd helped her identify an 8 8 answered. attorney. Who was that attorney that they 9 9 helped her identify? A. All of those points were at some point 1.0 10 A. I was referred to Allan From, who explained mentioned. My mother was aware of the fact 11 11 that the interest -- the subpoena for these that he didn't handle specifically those 12 12 hard drives was, in fact, for work-related matters and referred us to Douglas Noreen. 13 13 Q. At what point in time did you discuss with files only. So not only was it clear to her 14 14 your mother the possibility of turning over that there were work-related files, but it 15 was clear to her that the lawyers that would 15 your father's business records to Common 16 16 be looking at it on either side would not be Cause or to Arnold & Porter? 17 looking at anything other than my father's 17 A. The subpoena. That -- that would be when we 18 18 work-related files. specifically discussed that. 19 19 Q. When did you first begin discussing with your Q. Did you --20 20 mother the fact that Common Cause may have an A. I think I might have quipped about that David 21 21 Daley article way back in October when I was interest in your father's work files? 22 22 looking at those hard drives recalling that A. My -- wow. She and I were discussing the 23 23 matter of this pressing issue of hers. Most comment, somewhere out there on a hard drive. 24 24 of our discussions about Common Cause in Q. Did you --25 25 those first two months were just about how A. I made a joke about that. I wasn't really, 57 59 1 1 you know, saying, look at those hard drives. nice it was that they had given us some 2 2 Well ---3 3 Q. When you say your discussions in those first Q. Did you have --4 two months, you mean -- what -- what time A. Dale got all the good stuff. Sorry. 5 period do you mean? 5 Q. Did you have a conversation with your mother 6 6 A. That would have been October and November. about the possibility of turning over your O. Of 2018? 7 father's business records to Common Cause or 8 A. Correct. I'm sorry. Yes. Arnold & Porter before you received the 9 Q. So October/November 2018 your discussions subpoena? 10 10 with your mother are focusing on the A. I think that I did -- the -- did -- she was 11 11 referral -- attorney referral you received also curious about the case and I had said 12 12 that I was -- I think I shared with her on for her and on the --13 13 that moment when I -- when I realized --A. And her case, really. 14 Q. And her case? 14 maybe around that same day when I realized 15 15 A. All of it as it may be related to the that this wasn't strictly appeal, that --16 16 unfortunate politicizing of our family life. that there had been a new -- a new matter 17 17 Q. And when you say her case, I believe you opened. And she never really was all that 18 18 familiar with the details and, to be honest, testified earlier that the case you're 19 19 referring to was a petition to have your I'm no expert on redistricting either. I 20 20 mother found incompetent, correct? really only felt that I was uniquely informed 21 21 A. Yes. about my father as a person and perhaps his 22 22 Q. You are aware that there was an interim order process, his -- his creative process, his --23 entered and your mother had a guardian over 23 his political philosophy. Those kinds of 24 24 her estate and over her person appointed, things I felt that I was perhaps -- that I 25 25 correct? possessed some unique understanding of the 58 60

1 man, but my mother was not -- my mother has a A. That is absolutely correct. 2 2 You have no legal training, correct? career of her own so her interest was really 3 more incidental, just as much as anyone in --3 No formal training. in -- in the public -- the general public You've never worked -might be interested in the political process. A. Just on the street. I'm sorry. Q. You testified earlier that you understood Q. You've never been employed or worked in a law firm, correct? your father had a business and a business 8 8 partner, Dale Oldham, correct? A. I believe that I've done temp work as a 9 9 receptionist for law firms but nothing --A. Correct. 10 10 Q. And you understood that your -- your father nothing noteworthy in that it would pad my 11 11 CV. and Mr. Oldham in their business were 12 12 retained and engaged as experts in Q. You have never made any determinations or 13 13 been asked by anyone to make any litigations over the years, correct? 14 14 determinations about whether something is a A. That's correct. 15 Q. You testified you're familiar with civil 15 privileged document or not, correct? 16 16 litigation earlier, correct? A. No. That's correct. I mean, I have not been 17 A. Yeah, and specifically with litigation on the 17 ever asked by anyone to do that, no. 18 18 matters of the concern of the people. Q. Other than seeing a document marked as 19 19 Q. You understand that in connection with your privileged, you have -- you've testified you 20 20 don't know and haven't -- you don't have the father's work as an expert consultant that 21 21 skills to determine whether a document is a there are materials that he prepares as an 22 expert that are privileged materials --22 privileged document or not if it doesn't 23 23 MR. JONES: Ob- -reflect privileged on the document itself? 24 24 BY MS. SCULLY: A. Well, you know, if it was civil litigation 25 25 O. -- materials that he prepares on behalf of concerning personal matters, then I think I 61 63 1 1 the clients he's been retained to be an would assume privilege, but considering that 2 2 expert for, correct? this is a public matter and it's -- this is 3 MR. JONES: Objection, calls for a a -- this is a -- my understanding of -- of 4 4 legal conclusion. The witness is not a political philosophy and the founding of this 5 lawyer. 5 republic is that this is -- this concerns the 6 6 A. None of the materials were labeled people and, therefore, I would probably err 7 7 privileged. in the direction of it not being privileged 8 8 Q. Do you have -- do you believe that you have if it weren't marked so, if that clarifies. 9 9 the appropriate training or skills to Q. Prior to making the production of the 10 10 determine whether the materials on your electronic files that you made to Arnold & 11 11 father's hard drives contained privileged Porter in response to the subpoena marked as 12 12 Exhibit 1, did you engage in any sort of information? 13 13 A. All of the attorneys I've ever worked with if review to determine whether the files that 14 14 you were turning over contained privileged they were concerned about protecting 15 15 privilege have pretty bold letters that said, information? 16 16 the following contains privileged MR. JONES: I'll -- I'll object. It's 17 17 ambiguous, the term privilege. There are attorney-client communication and the 18 18 proceeding contains privileged lots of privileges. 19 19 A. Also, I really was -- it had already been attorney-client communications. In that I 20 20 can read when something says that it's kind of clarified that the best way to 21 21 privileged, I'm qualified. But, no, beyond preserve the integrity of this -- of this 22 22 that, I think if -- if -- if I just stumbled data would be not to pick and choose. There 2.3 2.3 into a client's file. I would not be able to were personal files of mine on these hard

drives and I left everything exactly as it

was. I did not make decisions about what did

say which was and wasn't privileged, no.

Q. You do not have a law degree, correct?

24

25

62

24

25

1 1 and didn't go specifically for the purpose of MR. JONES: Okay. I'll object because 2 2 a historical documentation of the complete it misclar- -- -characterizes the testimony. 3 media as it was when I found it. 3 She has not testified that anyone clarified 4 4 O. You testified that it was clarified to you anything for her. 5 5 that the best way to preserve this data was A. Yeah. That's --6 not to go through and make any selection or Q. You may answer the question. remove anything from it, just to turn all of A. That's -- I -- yes, I was going to say 8 8 exactly that. I don't recall that -- that it the materials over to Arnold & Porter, 9 9 correct? was -- certainly if I said clarify -- in the 1.0 1.0 MR. JONES: Objection. I think that discussion that I had with the attorneys 11 11 mischaracterizes the testimony. Caroline Mackie and Eddie Speas, there was 12 12 BY MS. SCULLY: discussion on how it would be best recognized 13 13 Q. You can answer the question. in court as -- as -- as a -- a good chain of 14 14 A. Could you ask it again? custody, transparency. There would be no 15 15 Q. You testified that it was clarified to you accusation of picking and choosing, of 16 16 that the best way for you to preserve the keeping some things secret and some things 17 integrity of this data was to just turn over 17 not if the media were turned over to a third 18 1.8 the data in its entirety to Arnold & Porter party in its exact state. 19 19 and not to go through and pick and choose or Q. Prior to turning over the hard drives and the 20 20 remove anything from the data, correct? thumb drives to Arnold & Porter did you ask 21 21 your counsel to conduct -- well, let me ask MR. JONES: I'll -- I'll object. 22 22 It's -this: Did you -- did you have representation 23 23 A. These are theoretical -at that point in time? 2.4 24 MR. SPARKS: Hold on. A. I did not or did --2.5 2.5 MR. JONES: Hold on. Hold on. Let THE WITNESS: Were we -- were you 65 1 1 retained yet? 2 2 THE WITNESS: Sorry. A. I don't -- certainly not in this matter. No, 3 3 I did -- I did not have counsel at that time MR. JONES: I have to state my 4 4 objection. So I'll object because it I don't think. 5 mischaracterizes the testimony and the use of 5 THE WITNESS: Or did I? 6 6 the passive voice makes it ambiguous. A. I don't know. I wasn't consulting with an 7 7 MR. SPARKS: Now you can answer. attorney on this matter. 8 8 A. I don't think there are any -- I don't think Q. I take it from --9 9 there are any solid lines in this. I think MR. SPARKS: Do you want me to 10 10 that there was a -- a collective attempt to interject anything here? 11 11 maintain accuracy, maintain transparency. MS. SCULLY: No, that's all right. 12 12 Q. Who clarified that for you? When you said, BY MS. SCULLY: 13 13 it was clarified --Q. I take it from your answer that you did not 14 14 A. It wasn't clar- -seek counsel from any attorney about whether 15 15 Q. -- for me -there were concerns with respect to any 16 16 A. Okay. privileged information that may be turned 17 17 O. -- who was that? over to Arnold & Porter in response to the 18 MR. SPARKS: Hold on a second. Please 18 subpoena? 19 19 let her finish. MR. JONES: I'll -- I'll object. I 20 20 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. think the question is asking about 21 21 MR. JONES: Yeah. I'll -communications she may or may not have had 22 22 MR. SPARKS: Thank you. between herself and one of her lawyers, which 2.3 2.3 MR. JONES: Go ahead and -- is the would be privileged. 24 24 question done? BY MS. SCULLY: 2.5 25 MS. SCULLY: (Nods head). Q. You testified a moment ago you didn't have 66 68

1 counsel at that point in time. I'm just that you had possession of business records 2 2 clarifying that you never sought any guidance of theirs? 3 from any attorney as to whether there was a 3 A. There have been work files on my father's 4 concern about turning over privileged home PC since we had a home PC so, no, in 5 information from your father's business that I asked -- there are other matters records to Arnold & Porter? concerning contact. Dale isn't exactly easy MR. SPARKS: And I will object to that to get ahold of, but I specifically -- I felt 8 8 because if she did it -that I had pretty much covered that when I 9 9 THE WITNESS: It would be privileged. asked everyone involved that knew anything 1.0 MR. SPARKS: -- it would be 1.0 about my father and/or Dale if Dale had 11 11 attorney-client privileged. gotten everything he wanted and the answer 12 12 MR. JONES: Just answer it -was yes given the fact that some of those 13 13 instruct -- instruct her not -- you should backups are from 2009, '10, '11, and that I 14 14 instruct her not to answer. was in many of those times living at home 15 15 MR. SPARKS: And don't answer, please. using that computer as my own and those files 16 16 BY MS. SCULLY: were there. 17 17 Q. You said you asked everyone involved if Dale Q. I'll ask a more general question. Did you 1.8 18 seek any counsel prior to producing the got everything he wanted and the answer was 19 19 materials in response to Arnold & Porter's yes. Who is the everyone involved that you 20 20 subpoena? asked? 21 21 MR. SPARKS: Same objection and please A. The other person that I asked -- there are 22 don't answer that. 22 two other people that I asked other than my 23 23 MR. FARR: Whether -- whether she mother. I asked my uncle -- oh, and 24 talked to an attorney is privileged? Are you 24 through -- I asked my cousin and I -- I sort 25 25 of tried to establish that he had come and saying that? 69 71 1 1 THE WITNESS: I think so. gone. That was when my mother explained that 2 2 MR. SPARKS: I'm sorry. Ask the also when Dale left with the things that were 3 3 question again. related to Geographic Strategies before my 4 MR. FARR: Whether she -- whether she father died, that my father had given him his 5 talked to an attorney is privileged, just the 5 half of the business, which amounted to 6 6 fact that she talked to an attorney? around \$300,000. MS. SCULLY: Just the general thing, 7 Q. Who was your uncle that you asked? What's 8 not what -- specifically what was discussed. 8 his name? 9 9 Did she speak with an attorney. A. Chris Hartsough. 10 MR. SPARKS: I'm -- I'm going to lodge 10 What was his relationship with Dale? 11 the same objection, yes, and give the same 11 There -- he did not have a relationship with 12 instruction. 12 Dale; rather, he had been present during my 13 BY MS. SCULLY: 13 parents' move from their house in Raleigh to 14 Q. You testified earlier that you understood 14 the retirement community in Raleigh. I was 15 that your father's business partner, 15 interested in this move because many of my 16 Mr. Oldham, had taken steps to retrieve 16 personal possessions went missing at this 17 records related to their business, correct, 17 time. That's my -- was my principle interest 18 retrieve one of your father's computers, yes? 18 in finding out what had happened. 19 A. Two --19 Q. And who's your cousin that you spoke with? 20 Q. Two? 20 Trudy Harris. 21 A. -- of his computers. 21 Q. Did she have a relationship with Dale? 22 Q. When you realized that there was information 22 A. No. None of these people had a relationship 2.3 related to your father's business contained 23 with Dale. It's just that he had apparently 24 on these hard drives and thumb drives, did 24 been there during this longer period of time 25 you reach out to Mr. Oldham to let him know 25 when my family was helping my parents move. 70

1 That's all. and his work in -- in public service, not so 2 2 O. If you wanted to know if Dale Oldham had much about -- about Dale, honestly. 3 gotten everything that he wanted, why not ask 3 Q. Is that, no, you did not communicate with 4 4 Mr. Oldham directly himself? Dale Oldham before you turned over these 5 5 A. Because he was a part of the litigation that files to Arnold & Porter to let him know that was ongoing with my mother. He was a -- he there were --7 was an opposing party in that litigation and A. I did not make --8 8 noncommunicative before that point as well. Q. -- records related to --9 I did at -- at one point attempt to reach out THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm sorry. 10 1.0 BY MS. SCULLY: to him to discuss my mother, but he did not 11 11 return my calls and resisted all of my Q. -- that there were records related to his 12 12 attempts to -- to talk to him. business with your father that were being 13 13 Q. When did you attempt to reach out to turned over in response to a subpoena? 14 14 Mr. Oldham to discuss your mother? MR. JONES: Objection, asked and 15 15 A. Twice, once during the first trip to Raleigh answered. 16 16 and again in the second trip to Raleigh. Oh, MR. SPARKS: Go ahead and answer. 17 and then we sent him notice of -- of certain 17 A. I didn't attempt yet again to contact 18 18 Mr. Oldham in advance of responding to that documents -- family documents that bore his 19 19 name as those documents had been changed. He subpoena. No, I did not. 20 20 got notice of that as well. Q. Did you ever attempt to contact Mr. Oldham 21 21 and leave any substantive message for him Q. The first trip to Raleigh, was that the trip 22 in October around -- on or about October 22 that you had possession of --23 23 11th, 2018? A. Of my father's stuff. 24 24 A. Yes. Q. -- business records --25 25 O. And when was the second trip? A. I'm sorry. 73 75 1 1 A. That would have been shortly after. Let's Q. -- of records related to your father and 2 2 see. The first trip was October -- okay. So Mr. Oldham's business and that you intended 3 3 to turn those records over to Arnold & Porter I -- I believe that I was then three or four 4 days back in Kentucky, but the situation and Common Cause? 5 was -- was serious enough that I felt I had 5 MR. JONES: Objection, asked and 6 6 answered. to -- to change my plans to continue my work in Kentucky and actually drop everything in A. I didn't. 8 8 Q. Turning back to Exhibit Number 2. I believe Kentucky and come back to Raleigh to help my 9 9 mother. That would be -- I think I was back you testified that you -- sitting here today, 10 10 by the 18th. you do not know what specific information is 11 11 Q. Prior to turning over the hard drives and the contained on the thumb drive that is pictured 12 12 on Page 4 of Exhibit 2, correct? thumb drives to Arnold & Porter, is it 13 13 correct that you never communicated with Dale A. That's correct. 14 Oldham to let him know that materials related 14 Q. If I could turn your attention to Page 7. 15 to his business with your father were being 15 And is -- do you know what this device is 16 16 turned over? that appears on Page 7? 17 17 A. Those were my father's files. I did not A. It appears to be an external drive. 18 18 Q. Do you know what the contents were of the -assume that any of them or all of them --19 19 many of them were there on that hard drive this external drive that appears on Page 7? 20 20 before Geographic Strategies existed. There A. I know that that's my father's handwriting on 21 21 were files related to my father's work that that label. Beyond that, I don't know 22 22 were there from a time when I'm not even sure 23 that Dale knew my father. I did not really 23 Q. Do you have any specific recollection of

reviewing the files that are contained on the

hard drive that appears on Page 7 of Exhibit

think of this in terms of Dale Oldham, no. I

thought of this in terms of my dead father

24

25

74

24

1 parents' personal computer, which would 2 2 contain the files that I was looking for of A. Not specifically that one, no. None of them 3 specifically. They all seem to have sort of 3 mine. 4 4 a -- a mix -- a mixture of -- of different O. In the subpoena that you received from 5 kinds of data on different matters. All of Arnold & Porter there was a specific request 6 6 them were mingle -- mingled. looking for materials relating to the 2011 or 7 Q. Turning to Page 9, do you know what that is a the 2017 North Carolina redistricting. You 8 8 picture of? understood that, correct? 9 9 A. Once again, it appears to be a picture of --A. Yes, I -- yes. 10 10 of one of the external drives. Q. Did you undertake any efforts to limit the 11 11 Q. I take it similar to the drive that we saw in materials that you were turning over to 12 12 the picture immediately before that you have Arnold & Porter in response to the subpoena 13 13 no specific recollection of what material is to only documents that related to the 2011 or 14 14 contained on this drive, correct? 2017 North Carolina redistricting? 15 A. That's correct. 15 MR. JONES: I'll -- I'll -- I'll 16 16 Q. Is it fair to say that you do not have any object. I think it mischaracterizes the 17 specific recollection of what information is 17 scope of the face of the subpoena. 18 18 contained on any of the hard drives or the MR. SPARKS: Go ahead and answer. 19 19 thumb drives that are photographed that A. The request was for any and all materials 20 20 appear in Exhibit 2? that might, so I -- since there appeared to 21 21 A. Well, it's very similar with all of them was be relevant -- relevant data, I -- I think I 22 my impression. So it was -- it would be very 22 already answered this question. I think the 23 23 difficult to say what was on which. I mean, idea was that it was going to be preserved 24 2.4 I don't know offhand -- like there were and that I would not be deciding which files 25 25 two -- for example, there were two drives would go and which files wouldn't. 77 79 1 1 that were identical in appearance, but they O. I take it from your answer that you did not 2 2 review each hard drive and each thumb drive seemed to be backups of the same hard drive 3 3 but at different times. So that would be to confirm that each hard drive and each 4 4 very hard for me to say which was the 2011 thumb drive, in fact, had any information 5 set and which was the 2013 set, for example. 5 with respect to the 2011 or 2017 North 6 6 Q. You testified earlier when -- under your Carolina redistricting; instead, you just 7 7 examination with plaintiffs' counsel that you turned it over in its entirety --8 8 recognized one of the hard drives because of A. I was answering the subpoena --9 9 the blue rubber band that was around it. MR. SPARKS: Let her finish. 10 10 A. No, the blue cover. THE WITNESS: Sorry. 11 11 Q. Blue cover. Turning your attention to Page BY MR. SPARKS: 12 12 15 of Exhibit 2, is that the blue -- is that Q. -- to Arnold & Porter, correct? 13 a picture of the blue cover you were 13 A. Yes. Yes. 14 referring to when you testified earlier? 14 Q. You testified earlier when you took the 15 A. It -- it -- I would assume that it is the 15 electronic hard drives and thumb drives from 16 cover that I was referring to. 16 your father's home you said you were so 17 Q. And what did -- what is it about that cover 17 thrilled to have precious data of yours. You 18 18 that stood out in your mind? said mine, but -- what precious data were you 19 A. You know, this -- it wasn't an effort at 19 referring to? 20 precision. I just remembered that this was a 20 A. Pictures of me and my infant children, 21 cover that went typically with a brand and 21 pictures of me on my property in West 22 type of external storage device that my 2.2 Virginia, pictures of dead friends, music 2.3 father liked to use. And I had a hunch -- I 23 recorded years ago by me and a friend who had 24 was hoping that it would be what it turned 24 a band together, letters that I had written 2.5 out to be and that is a backup of the -- my 25 to friends, letters that I wrote to my

78

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

father, documents that I might have otherwise 2 possession of if it weren't for first a house 3 fire that destroyed everything I owned in 4 2013 and also a divorce in which everything 5 else that I had pretty much was, you know, 6 left in the hands of -- of someone I didn't really feel like communicating with. 8 Q. You didn't consider the records relating to 9 your father's work -- redistricting work to 1.0 be your data, correct? 11 12 my mother, so I would say that I considered 13

- A. The hard drives were given to me by my -- by everything on those hard drives that my father had left in his room that my mother gave to me unconditionally -- I considered all of it mine at that point when it was given to me by my deceased father's wife.
- Q. Even if the material related to your father's business with another business partner, you considered it your material, your --
- A. I considered the stor---MR. JONES: Ob- -- objection. It's been asked and answered. MR. SPARKS: Go ahead and answer.
- A. I considered everything that my mother gave

before you gave them to Arnold & Porter.

- A. That would be difficult. Do you mean -- you know, I -- for example, I printed out copies of pictures of me and my children. Do you consider me putting those on my wall time reviewing the materials?
 - Q. No. Time spent looking through the electronic files on a computer.
 - A. That would be very difficult to determine. I mean, I don't know. How much time do you spend looking at pictures of your children?
 - Q. Putting aside the amount -- well --
- 13 A. I didn't spend a lot of time looking at my 14 father's work files if that's what you're 15 driving at. No, I didn't. 16
 - Q. So let's focus on that point. Putting aside the time you spent looking through files that related to you or photographs related to you or issues that were personal to you, putting all of those personal materials aside, how much time would you estimate you spent reviewing files that related to your father, his redistricting work, his business records, any expert documents he may have created, those materials?

1 me that had previously belonged to my father 2 who was now dead mine, yes. 3

- Q. Did your father have a will?
- A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

2.3

24

2.5

- Q. Do you know if in the will there was any provision with respect to his personal property and who the personal property would be left to?
 - A. My understanding, not being an estate attorney, is my mother was the beneficiary.
- Q. Have you seen a copy of the will?
- 12 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you -- did your father make any direct gifts to you in the will?
 - A. I don't believe he did, no.
 - Q. Did your father in the will address anything related to his -- his business records. business files?
- 19 A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Prior to turning over the electronic files to Arnold & Porter you said you spent two to three hours immediately before turning them over to Arnold & Porter. I would like to understand how much time in total you spent reviewing the materials at any point in time
- 1 A. Well, it's also hard because there were 2 certain situations in some of those backups 3 where there were folders that contained a 4 multitude of mixed documents. In certain 5 cases I would open something thinking that it 6 was one thing and find that it was something different. So there were -- there were both 8 situations where -- for example, news 9 articles that he had in a folder of -- I 10 believe there were a lot of -- of news 11 articles that I actually read through that he 12 had saved, maybe articles even that mentioned 13 him specifically and, of course, I was 14 interested in preserving that. Of course, I 15 wanted, you know, a scrapbook of my father 16 and so -- also, there were -- just looking at 17 the file extensions and having a basic 18 familiarity with my father's work, I knew a 19 lot of them would be file extensions that I 20 wouldn't even be able to open considering 21 that I didn't have the right proprietary 22 software. So -- wow. I really -- it would 2.3 be very difficult for me to give an estimate. 24 I don't really understand. Maybe -- I mean, 25 not -- not to be snide, but what -- what --

84

83

1 1 what -- what exactly are we driving at? How A. The specifically work-related stuff, the 2 2 stuff that would be -- you know, the stuff many hours I spent looking specifically at 3 just the files in folders that contained 3 that he wanted, the stuff that he felt was 4 4 things like, again, letters to me, old trust 5 5 documents, letters that my grandfather sent Q. And you said he took two computers from your 6 6 father's office; is that correct? to my father, and interesting stories and 7 A. That's what I'm told. maybe a few photographs, some of them of my 8 8 father and my relatives, some of them my Q. You've also testified today that these hard 9 9 father and my children, some of them me and drives and the thumb drives, you understood 1.0 10 my children? It would be -- it would be very them to be backups, correct? 11 11 difficult to give you an estimate of how many A. That's correct. 12 12 of those minutes were spent looking at files Q. Was it your understanding that your father's 13 13 that were specifically related to his work, work-related files that they had on the 14 14 much less specifically related to which -- I computer that Dale Oldham had taken or 15 15 computers that he'd taken were also backed up mean, I wouldn't be able to distinguish the 16 16 legislative maps from the congressional on any of these hard drives or thumb drives 17 17 district maps. that you received? 18 18 Q. Is it fair to say that the majority of the MR. JONES: Ob- -- objection, calls for 19 19 time you spent reviewing the files was spent speculation. 20 20 reviewing materials related personal to you A. Honestly, if I speculated I would speculate 21 21 and that, in comparison, you spent very that any backups that had been done 22 22 specifically of the work computers would be little time reviewing files related --23 23 A. Very little -already taken by him. I did not -- I did 24 24 Q. -- to your father's -not -- actually, the opposite. I assumed 2.5 25 A. -- is kind of a -that these were personal backups because they 85 87 1 1 MR. SPARKS: Hold, please. were there with -- with those things. And, 2 2 BY MS. SCULLY: again, it's -- it's always been a little 3 Q. -- work? Yeah. It's a -bit -- those lines have always been a bit blurry in the household. A. I'm sorry. Q. It's -- my question, is it fair to say that? 5 MR. BRANCH: All right. I'm --6 6 MR. JONES: Objection, asked and BY MS. SCULLY: 7 7 answered. O. Do you --8 8 MR. SPARKS: Please answer. MR. BRANCH: -- going to remind 9 9 everybody here that under the North Carolina A. Yes. 10 10 rules, counsel's only supposed to object to MR. JONES: We've been going about 11 11 the form of the question. There are no an -- about an hour. 12 12 MS. SCULLY: We can take a break. speaking objections allowed in North 13 13 Carolina. This is multiple times now that MR. JONES: Can we take a break? 14 14 THE WITNESS: This time I am going to the witness has changed her answer in 15 1.5 response to a speaking objection by smoke a cigarette. 16 Mr. Jones. Now, unless I'm mistaken, 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 17 17 Mr. Jones, you do not represent the witness. record. The time is 11:39 a.m. 18 Under the rules you can object to the form of 18 (Whereupon, there was a recess in the 19 19 the question and that's it. You can't proceedings from 11:39 a.m. to 11:59 a.m.) 20 instruct her not to answer and she should not 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 21 be changing her testimony in response to 21 record. The time is 11:59 a.m. 22 something that you articulate for her. 2.2 BY MR. SPARKS: 2.3 BY MS. SCULLY: 23 Q. Ms. Hofeller, you testified earlier today 24 Q. Ms. Hofeller, do you, in fact, know one way 24 that Dale got all the good stuff. What did 25 or another if the information that was 25 you mean by that? 88 86

contained on the hard drives and the thumb 1 concerning maps that had already been 2 2 drives that you provided to Arnold & Porter redrawn. were in part duplicative of the information 3 Q. You knew historically that Common Cause had 4 that was contained on the computers that Dale been antagonistic to the work that your 5 Oldham took possession of? father had done in North Carolina, correct? 6 A. I really don't know. I really honestly don't A. If -- if -- if that's the way to characterize know. it, then, yes. 8 Q. I believe you testified you reached out to Q. Turning back to your communications with Common Cause, you testified earlier that your 9 Mr. Phillips to seek a referral for your 10 first outreach to Common Cause was a 1.0 mother. Did you communicate any specific 11 11 communication that you had with someone named details to Mr. Phillips about why you were 12 12 Bob Phillips, correct? looking for an attorney for your mother? 13 13 A. Correct. A. Yes, so that I could get the right kind of 14 14 O. When did that communication occur? attorney. 15 A. That would have been in very -- very early 15 Q. What -- can you share with me specifically to 16 16 November, the first week of November. the best of your recollection what you said 17 Q. How many times did you speak with 17 to Mr. Phillips when you communicated with 18 18 Mr. Phillips? him on the phone? 19 19 A. Once. A. That my mother was facing a challenge to her 20 20 Q. Was your communication with Mr. Phillips in competence. 21 person, telephonic? How did you communicate 21 Q. Did you share with Mr. Phillips who had 22 with him? 22 brought the incompetency petition against 23 23 A. Telephonic. 24 24 Q. What did you know about Common Cause when you A. No. 25 25 reached out to Mr. Phillips? Q. Did you share with Mr. Phillips any 89 91 1 1 A. I knew that they were representing the information about who was involved in the 2 2 interest of voters that felt that this incompetency proceedings? 3 redistricting represented a violation of A. Not specifically, no. 4 their constitutional rights. Q. If I recall correctly, you testified that Q. And the redistricting that you're referring 5 Mr. Phillips then put you in touch with Jane 6 6 to, does that include the maps that were Pinsky? prepared by your father, Mr. Hofeller, in A. That's correct. 8 North Carolina? 8 Q. Jane Pinsky also works for Common Cause? 9 A. Yes. 10 10 Is Ms. Pinsky a lawyer, if you know? Q. So you understood that Common Cause was 11 11 seeking to have the redistricting maps that I don't think she is. 12 12 your father had prepared thrown out, correct? Q. How many times did you speak with Ms. Pinsky? 13 13 A. Yes. A. In total I believe that we had three -- three 14 14 Q. You knew that Common Cause was antagonistic or four conversations, all on the phone. 1.5 15 to the work of your father, Mr. Hofeller, Q. Do you know what Ms. Pinsky's title is with 16 16 correct? Common Cause? 17 17 A. I didn't know that they were -- initially, I A. Not offhand, no. 18 18 did not know that they were antagonistic to Q. I want to go through the three or four 19 19 communications that you had with Ms. Pinsky. the new maps. 20 20 Q. When you say the new maps, what do you mean Do you recall the first communication you had 21 21 by that? with her --2.2 22 A. Well, he's drawn more than one set, so A. Yes. 23 23 interesting to know I didn't actually know Q. -- the time period? 24 24 that there was a new case when I first spoke A. That would have been also very early 25 25 to Common Cause. I thought that this was all November. Sometime during the first --92 90

sometime during the first eight or nine days 1 Q. Reply. 2 2 A. -- for the -- for that. of November. 3 Q. Was anyone else on the phone during that 3 Q. Did you have any e-mail communications with 4 4 first communication that you had with Jane Pinsky? 5 Ms. Pinsky? 5 A. I think that I did, yes, because I wanted --A. Not that I know of. we -- we were confirming names and numbers Q. Approximately how long did that first and things. Like I didn't know how do you 8 8 communication with Ms. Pinsky last? spell that and I said, can you just e-mail me 9 9 A. I'm not -- it wasn't a particularly long that? And -- and then I think it was more --10 10 I think maybe one more time in e-mail -conversation. Ten minutes, maybe -- maybe, 11 11 if that. she -- she really prefers the phone. We --12 12 Q. Tell me what you recall about that we both kind of felt that way, I think. So 13 13 conversation, what you said and what she any further e-mail was more to the -- to 14 14 said. the -- to the -- like, are you going to be at 15 A. She had -- she -- we confirmed that this was 15 the office? Can I reach you today? Are you 16 16 about the matter of referral and that Bob had busy? That sort of thing. Like the --17 17 said that she would be the one that would -that -- that predicated the -- a follow-up 18 1.8 was more familiar with the names of -- of phone call about those attorneys. It was 19 19 local attorneys. And she had some names for still pretty much exclusively on that and 20 20 me and so I took down those names, and she just sort of incidentals on the topic of --21 21 wished me luck and expressed condolences for of what this proceeding against my mother 22 22 the loss of my father and I think that was really actually was, you know, very -- I 23 23 about it in that first conversation, I think. didn't know much about what -- what -- what 24 24 Q. When you first communicated with Ms. Pinsky, was actually being asserted. It's hard to 25 25 did she give you the impression that she was explain. It wasn't really very detailed. It 93 95 1 1 expecting your call? Did you make the call was just kind of clarifying what kind of 2 to her? attorney I would need, I think, really, 3 3 A. I re- -- I think we -- I don't actually know whether this is -- is this an estate who initiated the call that was the one where attorney? Is this a litigation attorney? Is we actually spoke. We exchanged a few 5 this -- and a lot of my questions she would 6 then say, you know, I would have to -- I messages. I got an e-mail from Bob saying that he had told Jane to reach out to me and would have to ask an attorney what kind of 8 8 then exactly what combination of who left who attorney you need for your mother, that sort 9 what message, I'm not honestly sure. of thing. 10 10 Q. Did you share with Ms. Pinsky any of the Q. You had an e-mail communication with Bob. 11 11 documents from the incompetency proceedings, How many e-mail communications did you have 12 12 any of the legal documents -with Mr. Phillips? 13 13 A. One. I mean, one conversation. It was, I A. No. 14 14 think, maybe two, maybe three messages, his O. -- court documents? 15 15 A. No, I don't -- no, I don't think I did, saying that and me saying thank you. So I 16 16 think was -- two, I think, was all. actually. It didn't seem necessary or 17 17 Q. I just want to make sure I understand your appropriate since she wasn't the attorney. 18 18 Q. Approximately how many e-mail communications testimony. You had one telephone 19 19 did you have with Ms. Pinsky? conversation with Mr. Phillips and then you 20 20 had one e-mail with Mr. Phillips, but the A. I think maybe a grand total of two, if two. 21 21 e-mail may have had a couple of threads I would have to look. It may even be just 2.2 22 within it? one thread. I hon- -- I didn't really study 23 23 A. Recalling to my best ability, it was -- the 24 24 e-mail would have contained his noted that I Q. Your first conversation that you had with 25 25 Ms. Pinsky in early November, first eight or would be hearing from Jane and my thanks --

nine days, said lasted approximately ten
minutes. Can you tell me what you recall
specifically about what was discussed during
that conversation, what you said to her and
what she said to you?

- A. I don't recall specifics, no. I -- it was -- I was just trying to get an attorney for my mother, so I don't remember exactly what I said on the --
- Q. In that first communication did she give you names of attorneys that you could reach out to?
 - A. Yes.

2.4

2.2

- Q. In the first conversation that you had with Ms. Pinsky did you talk substantively about who was involved in the incompetency proceedings?
- A. No.
- Q. Did you at any point in time discuss with Ms. Pinsky who was involved in the incompetency proceedings?
 - A. Not that I recall, no. I really said very little other than I felt that the fact that my father had so many friends and coworkers and colleagues and -- and supporters and

that image, when you begin to speak about that person as if they were a human being with multitudes of emotions, contradictions, all of those things, often people get hostile. If you are -- if you are bringing a human image to a hero's image, they -- they sometimes feel that maybe they -- they get angry.

- Q. How did your father's work in redistricting relate, if at all, to the incompetency proceedings that were ongoing with respect to your mother?
- A. Many people who only knew my father incidentally or knew him only in one context were resisting the assertion that I had that perhaps my mother and I would know better what it was that my father wanted that was not specifically spelled out. There was a lot of speculation about what your father wanted coming from a variety of sources, some people that really didn't know him very well outside of the context of work, and it was, frankly, a little bit offensive.
 - Q. You did not have any conversations with your father regarding what he wanted to have

- really, frankly, people who really, really, really idolized him and -- and -- and had kind of a -- a nonhuman vision of him, and that was why I was contacting Common Cause. I didn't have any -- I wasn't expecting there to be a discussion about specific names. It seemed to me from the point of view where I was at the time that the specific names were going to have to be people in Raleigh that didn't worship my father. There was no need to -- no need to -- to -- to detail. And also I wasn't really trying to discuss the merits of my mother's matter with -- with --with Common Cause. I was only trying to really seriously just hope that I might find an attorney in Raleigh that was independent of -- of my father and -- and the people he
 - Q. When you say independent from your father, what do you mean by that?
 - A. I mean that in matters that concern a man as a person, often when you're dealing with people that only know him in a professional context and have a great deal of their personal and professional life mingled with

- happen with his work related to redistricting upon his death, did you?
 - A. I don't believe I -- I don't believe I ever had a conversation with my father about what he wanted to have happen after his death pertaining specifically to his work. I think he felt that once he was dead, that his work to him at least would be no longer relevant.
 - Q. What led you to that belief?
 - A. He often would say that that was -- you know, if you're going to divide people into -- into camps of how they view death, my father would, whether he was sincere or not, he would often say, you know, sometimes jokingly -- I don't know how well you knew him, but he -- he had a -- he had a penchant for irony and he would often say, well, it won't matter once I'm dead, right? So -- he also said things like, I know that people on their deathbed very rarely look up and say, I wish I'd spent more time at work.
 - Q. At what point in time did you discuss with Ms. Pinsky that you had some of your father's hard drives that you thought might be of interest to Common Cause?

worked for.

1

2

3

4

5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2.5

A. That would have been sometime in December. 2 That was later. She -- she called me to ask 3 how things were going with my mother because 4 I also -- one of the things that I -- that comes -- that was coming pretty clear to anyone who talked to me in that time is there was a lot of -- there was a lot of emotion regarding the then still very recent death of 9 my father and that it was -- it was sad that, 1.0 you know, the principle concern about him, 11 his life, and everything having to do with 12 him was this -- this matter rather than the 13 matter of his family. 14

Q. How many conversations did you have with Ms. Pinsky about your father's hard drives and electronic materials that you had?

15

16

25

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 17 A. I'm sure -- pretty -- pretty sure it was only 18 one because she said that she really would 19 not be certain -- I mean, really, that was 20 it. I said -- we -- we had that 21 conversation. She said, I'll ask the 22 lawyers. And I think then any further 23 conversation at all about those -- that media 24 was had with the attorneys.
 - Q. When you say Ms. Pinsky said, I'll ask the

thinking of it in terms of -- of evidence for any case. I was thinking of it more just as a -- an archival -- an academic interest.

- Q. When did you come to the understanding that this action in which you received the subpoena is still at the trial level and not on appeal?
- 8 A. Actually, what's funny is that I was -- I was 9 a little bit confused and, again, other 1.0 matters were really, really pressing 11 throughout, so I wasn't spending a lot of 12 time studying what was going on with this. I 13 had somehow gotten the impression that this 14 already was in appeal, but for some reason 15 this was -- because it was going to the lower 16 court that it wasn't. I -- I just -- you 17 know, I'm used to lawyers saying things. 1.8 Okay, all right, whatever. I didn't even 19 know -- I just thought it was a certain type 20 of appeal that I wasn't even familiar with. 21 I didn't actually understand completely that 22 this was a new matter until it was said so 23 like about a week ago. I -- I just -- all I 24 knew -- all I knew for certain was that 25 unlike the congressional districts that are

101 | 103

lawyers, was that in response to a question you asked her? What do you mean by that?

- A. That was not a response to a specific question. That was a response to the conversation that had begun with me mentioning the David Daley interview and saying, I have hard drives. And in the context of that article he had -- David Daley had implied that those hard drives would have maps that the state legislators would like. I, once again, didn't really think that it was anything, you know -- I don't know how to describe it. I --
- Q. Do you -- do you have an understanding of which lawyer she was referring to when Ms. Pinsky said, I'll ask the lawyers?
- A. The -- the lawyers who were involved in this matter since we were discussing whether or not there would be any use -- any admissibility. Again, I thought -- I wasn't even sure that -- I didn't even understand -- at that moment when I spoke to her the first time about it and mentioned that article, I was under the impression that everything in this matter was on appeal so I wasn't

at the U.S. Supreme Court, this matter would -- that new evidence would be allowed. That was what was clear.

- Q. How did you come to that understanding?
- A. Because the -- because that first conversation that -- on the matter -- I think Jane mentioned that there might be. I think might be. And, again, she was always saying, you know, I'm not -- you know, I would have to confirm that with the attorneys as a, you know, good public servant.
- Q. What was Jane having to confirm with the attorneys?
- A. That there would be -- that -- that the -- that the hard drives would be potential -- potentially usable as evidence in that the matter was open in that regard. I just, again, initially felt that Common Cause, being not directly affiliated with my father, would be a good -- literally like a repository for the information that I felt had historical value beyond any partisan interest but, rather -- I even used the words insight into the process -- the literal process because I -- I -- again, I'm not an

104

1 expert on redistricting, but I have worked in Q. Did Ms. Pinsky put you eventually in 2 2 political demographics and I have alongside communication with any of the attorneys in 3 my father -- you know, he studied political 3 this litigation? 4 philosophy in general. So the -- the 5 academic interest in this was -- was Q. Did you initiate the communications with any 6 of the attorneys in this litigation? paramount to me even above any other 7 potential. I did -- I'm not a North Carolina 8 8 Q. Who did you first speak with as an attorney resident. I'm not a North Carolina voter. I 9 9 have no personal concern about what happens in this litigation? 1.0 in this case beyond the fact that this 10 A. I got a text from Eddie Speas. 11 11 would -- this -- this man was my father and Q. Do you still have a copy of the text message 12 12 my mother was being -- being -- having a -- a you received from him? 13 13 A. I don't. very unpleasant procedure in a town that was 14 14 not our home where the only people we even --Q. When did you receive the text from him, if 15 15 you recall? that she even knew were people that had been 16 16 working with my father. A. Shortly after that conversation with Jane. I 17 Q. I believe you testified that Jane mentioned 17 believe that was December. I'm honestly -- I 1.8 18 there might be some use for your father's really -- I didn't -- the phone that I was 19 19 materials as evidence, correct? using was running out of storage so it was --20 20 A. She did not put it in terms of use as it was kind of -- you know, the phones will 21 21 tend to dump those text messages. There was evidence. She simply stated that the matter 22 in the lower house was not a closed matter as 22 really no way for me to -- to track it back 23 23 far as evidence was concerned. I think to exactly when. 24 24 that -- I don't remember her exact words, but Q. So you believe it was sometime in December 25 25 there was no implication in that that there 2018 you received a text message from Eddie 105 1 1 would be a literal use, just that there's Speas, Jr. -- 2018, thank you, correct? 2 2 even a possibility that new evidence could be 3 3 heard on this matter at all. Q. What do you recall the text message saying? 4 A. Intro- -- he introduced himself and -- and Q. So you did understand based on your communications with Ms. Pinsky that there was 5 basically said that -- I don't remember exact 6 a possibility that this information might be words. More like, Jane said you might be useful in the matter, correct? willing to -- to speak to us, something along 8 those lines, and basically asking permission A. Yes. 9 Q. And -for contact and doing what is now polite in 10 10 MR. SPARKS: I need to clarify one business and -- if you have a cell phone, you 11 11 thing. I'm sorry. You said lower house. introduce yourself over text so that if he 12 12 were to call again, I would know what that Did you mean lower court? 13 13 THE WITNESS: Lower court, yes. I'm number was. 14 sorry. 14 Q. Did you respond to the text message? 15 MR. SPARKS: Go ahead. 15 Yes. 16 16 BY MS. SCULLY: How did you respond? 17 17 Q. And the party you were producing the A. Yes. I don't know if I said more than just 18 18 information that might be useful to was on yes. Maybe something polite just to -- to 19 19 the opposite side from the work your father make it not so terse, but --20 20 had done, correct? Q. You responded via text; is that correct? 21 21 MR. JONES: Objection, asked and A. Yes, I did. 22 22 Q. Approximately how many text communications 23 MR. SPEAS: That's not a --23 have you had with Mr. Speas? 24 24 A. I understood that Common Cause was A. Not very many. There -- it was really more 25 25 just an effort to schedule phone calls. representing the voters. 106 108

1 Q. You have had more than one text communication recollection what you said and what Mr. Speas 2 2 with Mr. Speas, correct? said on that first telephone call. 3 A. I think there were may- -- I think there were 3 A. I said that I had -- I said that I had 4 two, one in advance of -- of -- of two phone material that might be relevant to the case. 5 calls, two, you know, are you going to be Q. Did you explain in any further detail what material you had? available at such and such a time sort of thing. A. Vague detail, external storage devices 8 8 Q. After you communicated in response to that -- I don't know whether or not I 9 9 Mr. Speas's first text where you said, yes, mentioned -- I -- I don't think I 10 willing to talk to you, when was the next 1.0 specifically said backups. I just said 11 11 time you spoke with Mr. Speas? external storage devices. 12 12 A. I think that that was about a week or so. It Q. What do you recall Mr. Speas saying in 13 13 was -- you know, it was starting to get close response to that? 14 14 to the holidays so, you know, there was time A. I believe that he did even in that first 15 between communiques. If -- if, you know, 15 phone call want to clarify that these were --16 16 research needed to be done or references that -- that these had been given to me. 17 or -- or questions asked, it -- everything 17 Q. What specifically did Mr. Speas ask you about 18 18 was starting to take a lot longer because it the hard drives? 19 19 was the holiday season. A. The -- I think if they'd been given to me. 20 20 Q. The next time you spoke with Mr. Speas, was Q. And so your recollection is Mr. Speas said, 21 21 that a telephone communication? have these been given to you? 22 A. Yes. 22 A. I don't know what his exact words were. The 23 23 Q. Did you initiate the call? gist of it was, are they yours, and I said 24 24 A. I don't know. I really don't remember. It that they had, indeed, been given to me. 25 25 was -- we -- the idea being follow-up Q. Did you tell him the circumstances under 109 111 1 1 questions need to be asked on our end and -which you had obtained them? 2 2 and it -- the -- the discussion continued as A. More or less, that along with things that 3 3 to whether or not there was -- I don't know. literally belonged to me and things that I 4 I think I -- I don't know how to -- to took to mean from my father that he wanted me 5 explain it any differently than I've already 5 to have, I had -- I had asked for these, you 6 6 explained it, frankly. know, and as I said, I asked my mother if I 7 Q. On the first telephone call that you had with could take my jewelry box, too, even though, 8 Mr. Speas, was there anyone else on the call 8 of course, the answer would have been yes and 9 9 as far as you know? many -- many would say that if it was 10 A. No. 10 something that I left with my father of mine 11 Q. So just you and Mr. Speas on the first 11 specifically with the intent that he would 12 telephone call? 12 hold it for me, that when I came to his 13 A. That's how I remember it. 13 apartment after his death, that anything that 14 Q. And that's all I can ask you for is the best 14 had belonged to me up till the point of his 15 of your recollection --15 death was already mine, but I still went to 16 A. Yeah. 16 the extra effort to make sure because, you 17 Q. -- today. Approximately how long did the 17 know, I -- I didn't want to -- I didn't what 18 first telephone call between you and 18 to give anyone the impression that I was 19 Mr. Speas last? 19 there to -- to pick over the corpse. 20 A. Maybe ten minutes, again, just -- there was 20 Q. Just to clarify, your -- your father never 21 not a lot of detail --21 told you he wanted you to have his external 22 Q. Tell me --2.2 hard drives or these thumb drives, correct? 2.3 A. -- discussed. It was really more just a 23 A. He said that he wanted -- that he would keep 24 friendly business-style conversation. 24 the data that I had stored on his computer. 25 Q. Tell me as -- to the best of your 25 With that I took to mean -- we didn't really

112

1-919-424-8242

1 get a chance to discuss the details of all of 2 2 his personal effects because when I last 3 spoke to him he wasn't dying. 3 4 4 Q. The information you turned over to Arnold & 5 Porter in response to the subpoena was not 6 6 limited to the -- your personal data that you 7 discussed with your father that he would 8 8 preserve for you, correct? 9 9 A. Correct. 1.0 10 Q. You did not have any conversations with your 11 11 father in which he told you he wanted you to 12 12 have possession of his hard drives or thumb 13 13 drives which you've turned over to Arnold & 14 14 Porter, correct? 15 15

MR. JONES: Objection, asked and answered.

A. No.

16

17

25

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

25

- Q. In your initial conversation with Mr. Speas
 did you share with him your understanding
 that the external hard drives and thumb
 drives that you had contained your -contained information regarding your father's
 redistricting work including his expert
 consulting work?
 - A. Could -- could you ask the question again?

Poyner Spruill tell you that the best way to proceed would be to give them the entirety of the contents?

- A. Well, I didn't necessarily know who was and wasn't with Pointer Spruill [sic]. I only knew that these were attorneys that were working on the matter.
- Q. Did Mr. Speas or Ms. Mackie ever tell you that it would be best for you to turn over the entirety --
- A. They didn't say that it would be best. I'm

 sorry. They said that it would be a -- a -
 a better preservation of the integrity, that

 the chain of custody would be transparent and

 in that transparency, the integrity of the -
 of the potential evidence would be preserved.
 - Q. Who told you that, Mr. Speas, Mr. Mackie, or both?

MR. FARR: It's Ms. Mackie.

A. Ms. Mackie.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

113

- Q. Ms. Mackie. Sorry.
- A. I -- I don't recall which one of them said that. I'm sorry. I really don't.
 - Q. This was a discussion you had with Mr. Speas or Ms. Mackie prior to your receiving the

I'm sorry.

Q. Did you share with Mr. Speas any detailed information about what you believed these hard drives and thumb drives -- what the materials were on those hard drives and thumb drives?

- A. I did not get very specific, no. That is how I'm accustomed to doing things with attorneys is that attorneys decide what's relevant and what isn't and that if there's a chance that it might be relevant to a matter that that attorney is working on, that I would say, this might be relevant to the matter that you're working on. So that was pretty much what I said. I don't recall talking about specific files. I don't think that there was -- already we -- there was a feeling that it would be most proper to say, this might be relevant, and then to not speculate further.
- Q. Did anyone from Arnold & Porter specifically tell you that would be the better way to proceed, to give --
- A. I did not have any discussion with anyone from Arnold Porter.
 - Q. Okay. Did anyone from -- I apologize --

subpoena, correct?

A. I -- I don't know. Now that you ask, I don't know which -- because at some point, honestly, I, once again, had assumed that this had all been seen before and I was really honestly talking about the fact that there was personal information of mine and explaining that, once again, it's that classic, okay, you know, just because you don't have anything to hide doesn't mean that you aren't entitled to privacy. So I actually did have a -- you know, with my dad echoing in my ear that you ask about that. I was getting ready to potentially turn over data that was personal to me as well so I really wanted to find out what the intentions were. And it was explained to me that -that this was quite clear -- it was quite clear that -- that anyone, either the -- the legislative defendants or the plaintiffs, were only properly entitled to even look at the content of files that were explicitly and obviously related to this case.

Q. And that was something that either Mr. Speas or Ms. Mackie told you, that the only

114

116

1 information anyone would be entitled to look incompetency matter with Eddie Speas or 2 2 Caroline Mackie beyond the fact that it at is information related to the 3 redistricting and that no one would be 3 existed. 4 4 entitled to look at any of your personal --Q. You do recall the -- having the discussion of 5 5 A. Well -the existence of the fact with them in the 6 6 Q. -- information? context --7 A. -- no -- I'm sorry. No one in this -- in A. You know --8 8 O. -- of the referral? this -- in this matter, yes. 9 9 Q. Is it your understanding that your personal A. -- I -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you 1.0 1.0 information to the extent it existed on the off. I honestly don't know if -- if we 11 11 hard drives and the thumb drives has been discussed it even to that point. The only 12 12 maintained by Poyner Spruill and has not been way in which there would have even been any 13 13 produced in this litigation? awareness -- I don't even know if I got as 14 14 A. You know, I haven't really been keeping up to specific as to say that it was incompetency. 15 15 date on -- I know that it's a matter of I think, honestly, I probably used some sort 16 16 contention. I know that I was a little of colloquialism, à la Hofellerism, like, 17 17 yeah, I got to beat the vultures off the bit -- kind of raised my eyebrows when I 1.8 18 found out that the legislative defendants widow. So really I think I put it more in 19 19 felt that they needed to see everything, terms like that. It was never my intention 20 20 but -- I knew that that was probably going to to discuss the matter or the merits of the 21 21 be the end result because I know how case or anything specific with these 22 22 litigation goes and I myself have been the attorneys. It was unrelated. 23 23 subject of, you know, quite a few Q. And who are the -- the vultures you were 24 24 speculations about whether or not a person is referring to? 25 25 entitled to privacy or confidentiality. Various friends and family. 117 119 1 1 Usually the answer ends up somehow being no O. Who specifically? 2 2 so with that expectation, I still yet spoke A. Trudy Harris, my cousin; a half-uncle who may 3 3 my intention and that was that my personal or may not have been -- you know, there -data be protected, that my mother's personal it's -- it's been very unclear how many 5 data be protected, and that my father's 5 friends and family were expressing some sense 6 personal data be protected, and that the only of entitlement to things like my things that were on these drives that would grandmother's jewelry, you know, things like be -- would be looked at on paper was files 8 that. 9 that were explicitly and clearly related to Q. Were either Ms. Harris or your uncle involved 10 10 this matter. So when the legislative at all in the incompetency proceedings? 11 11 defendants moved to see it all, I -- I went, A. Involved, no. And, again, it's still yet 12 12 huh, well, what do you know. Wonder why they unclear exactly. There's been very little 13 13 want that. That was about the extent of it, transparency. So names of interested 14 but it seemed pretty -- pretty predictable. 14 parties. That doesn't mean they were 15 15 My father used to often exasperate about, involved. It just means that someone, i.e., 16 16 well, they -- they're not entitled to that, the petitioner, may have looked on documents 17 17 it's personal, so... including trusts and wills and such and seen 18 18 Q. Did you have any conversations with Mr. Speas names of beneficiaries and simply written 19 19 or with Ms. Mackie about the incompetency them down. I was all very unclear who was 20 20 proceedings that you were dealing with with and wasn't literally involved. I mean, this 21 21 your mother? is an estate. There's usually a mess when 2.2 22 A. No. No. I mean, maybe I might have there's an estate that has any -- any 23 mentioned that that's how we got into 23 interest to anyone at all. 24 24 conversation, because I was getting a Q. During your first telephone call with 25 25 referral, but, no, I did not discuss the Mr. Speas sometime in December 2018 did 120 118

1 Mr. Speas during that communication talk re- -- do our research and get back to you. 2 2 MR. SPARKS: Are you okay? Do you need about possibility of sending you a subpoena? 3 A. I don't remember in which conversation, but, 3 a break? 4 4 actually, I believe that it was -- I believe THE WITNESS: (Nods head). 5 that it was Jane Pinsky that actually said MS. SCULLY: We can take a break. 6 MR. SPARKS: She seems to be tired. they're going to send -- I think she said, they -- they asked me to let you know so that Thank you. 8 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the you would have a heads-up that there was a 9 9 record. The time is 12:47 p.m. subpoena out. 1.0 10 (Whereupon, there was a recess in the Q. So you had -- that there was a subpoena out. 11 11 proceedings from 12:47 p.m. to 1:04 p.m.) I don't understand. 12 12 A. That it had been mailed --THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 13 13 record. The time is 1:04 p.m. Q. Okay. 14 14 BY MS. SCULLY: A. -- or whatever. 15 15 Q. Ms. Hofeller, before we went off the record Q. Prior to your receiving the subpoena, it's 16 16 your recollection that Ms. Pinsky called you we were talking about the first telephone 17 to let you know that there was a subpoena 17 communication that you had with Mr. Speas and 18 18 being sent out? I believe you testified that in conclusion of 19 19 A. I don't know that that was the specific that conversation, Mr. Speas said something 20 20 reason that she called. We had sort of -along the lines of, okay, we'll have to do 21 21 you know, we were -- we had casual some research. We'll be back in 22 22 communication with you; is that correct? conversation at that point because we --23 23 she -- she, once again, was asking me how A. As far as I know. I mean, it -- it -- I 24 24 things were going and was there -- you know, remember it being very much what I would 2.5 25 how -- how was my mother feeling, was she -expect communication with an attorney on a 123 1 1 how was she doing, because I'd told her that civil matter to be like as in, tell us about 2 2 she was extremely stressed out and -- and what you have and we will then -- they -- I 3 got the impression that they really wanted to emotionally -- emotionally drained and make sure that -- that I was -- that this was very -- feeling very vulnerable and -- and all because, you know, she really isn't -a voluntary -- you know, that I was okay with 6 she isn't prepared for litigation. She was the idea that -- that I might -- you not expecting to be in such a -- an exposed know, that this would be potentially involved 8 in the matter, not just, you know, an aside. position and, you know, my father had managed 9 And with that they wanted to make sure that to keep her very sheltered from his work up 10 10 it was relevant really, I guess, would be the until the point when he was no longer around 11 11 best word, that it was relevant. And before to do that. 12 12 they even wanted to go into any more of the Q. In the first telephone call that you had with 13 13 nuts and bolts, they wanted to make sure that Mr. Speas you told him that you had some 14 14 this was even a relevant matter because I external storage devices. You weren't sure 15 15 think the impression being that they didn't if they were backup or not, but you had these 16 want to discuss -- they didn't want to 16 materials. You said he asked you for 17 17 discuss a lot with me that wasn't clarification if they were yours and you said 18 specifically relevant to the case. 18 yes, they were yours. 19 19 Q. When was the next communication that you What else was discussed during that 20 recall having with Mr. Speas after this 20 conversation, if you recall? 21 original approximately ten-minute phone 21 A. I think at that point really that -- there 22 conversation that you had with him sometime 2.2 wasn't much other than that. It was -- as 2.3 in December 2018? 23 communication with attorneys often is, you 24 A. Well, again, my impressions from that time, 24 know, there was a -- a basic set of questions 25 mostly about the fact that the holidays were 25 and then it was let's -- let's consult, let's 122 124

1 upon us and so there was a lot of -- there with the -- the media we'd already 2 2 was a lot of phone tag. There was a lot of established was relevant to the -- to the 3 someone's going to be out of town and then 3 case. Like is there any -- is -- is there 4 another person's going to be on vacation and anything else that you have that appears to things like that. So I think -- I mean, the be related to this directly that you would next -- the next conversation, I believe, like to -- to mention? And I think -- I that I can really firmly say it happened think that there was only -- there were 8 8 instead of just leaving messages would have, things that were related to my father's work 9 9 I think, been after the holidays, sometime -in that everything was related to his work, 1.0 1.0 I think sometime in January, I think. like, you know, certain -- certain statements 11 11 Q. That next conversation when you actually where the -- the business is mentioned like 12 12 spoke with Mr. Speas, not just exchanging as a -- like taxes, things like that, but 13 13 voicemail messages, sometime in January, did nothing -- you know, nothing specific. I 14 14 you make that call or did Mr. Speas call you? don't -- I don't recall. 15 15 A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recall having conversations with 16 16 O. Regardless of who initiated the call, who was Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie about the fact that 17 17 information about your father's taxes were on the call? 18 18 A. I think that -- I think that it was just -included in these materials that you were 19 19 you know, it -- it -- it had come to the discussing producing to them? 20 20 point where it was clear to me at least We did not discuss specifically taxes. I 21 21 had -- we were -- it -- it was established that -- that Eddie and Caroline were the 22 22 attorneys that -- that were -- at Common already that this media contained really a --23 23 Cause that were working on this matter. So, a masala of -- of -- of data that was my 24 24 honestly, which -- which step was -- which -personal data, my father's personal data, my 2.5 25 which bit of information was given to me by father's work data, and, frankly, even my 127 1 1 which one of them, Eddie or Caroline, it's work data. There was stuff relevant to my 2 kind of hard for me to recall off the top of 2 work as well as my personal life on all of 3 my head, honestly. I'm not trying to be them and that it was very -- it was -- I evasive. I just don't know who -- who said think when I said personal that that pretty what. I was -- I was already thinking of much covered everything nonre- --6 them as interchangeable, you know, so -specifically North Carolina redistricting O. I understand. related. What I'm saying is I don't remember A. -- it didn't seem relevant to me so I 8 saying specifically, his tax returns are on 9 didn't -- I didn't make the point to remember this. I'm pretty sure I never said that. 10 10 who said what. I -- we just -- when -- when we discussed the 11 Q. Did you have any telephone conversations in 11 fact that it was all mingled, personal and 12 12 which both Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie were both work, that I -- I think that was implied that 13 13 on the line at the same time? was covered. 14 A. Yes. Yes, we did have at least one, and I 14 Q. If I understand your testimony, you discussed 1.5 think that was -- yeah, I think that would 15 with Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie that within the 16 16 have been in January. materials you were providing to them was both 17 17 Q. What do you recall about that conversation data related to your father's work as well as 18 18 with both Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie on the personal data with regards to your father and 19 19 phone in January? personal data for your mother and personal 20 20 A. I remember that the -- I believe -- I could data for yourself, correct? 21 21 say that the point of the conversation was A. Correct. 2.2 2.2 to -- to get a -- an accurate survey of what Q. Do you recall what, if anything, Mr. Speas or 23 information, what format, anything else that 23 Ms. Mackie said in response to you sharing 24 24 might be includable -- I know that's not a with them that this data was commingled and 25 25 word but, you know, might be best included contained --126 128

1 A. They addressed it without -- I don't think I it was going to a third party anyway and that 2 2 even had to really specify what, I think, it would be basically not even handled by 3 seemed obvious and that is that obvious -- I 3 them. It would go directly to a third party 4 4 wouldn't expect to see a lot of personal data anyway, so it would probably be just as well 5 suddenly appearing in this matter because 5 that I mail it directly to that third party 6 their understanding of the directive to them for the -- the forensic IT expert really is was that only files that were explicitly, what my understanding was. I don't remember 8 8 the exact words they used, but the idea that obviously North Carolina redistricting during 9 9 this period of time related would even be this would be someone that could say, this is 1.0 1.0 how it was when we received it and could looked at, much less entered into evidence. 11 11 That was their understanding at that time. confirm things like that none of the files 12 12 Q. And when you say that was their had been altered. 13 13 understanding --Q. I thought you testified earlier that you did 14 14 A. That's what they told me their understanding not mail the materials directly to a 15 15 third-party vendor; is that correct? 16 16 A. I mailed them to -- I mean, I thought that Q. Did you have any conversations with 17 17 Poyner Spruill -- no, not Poyner Spruill. I Ms. Mackie without Mr. Speas on the line? 18 18 19 19 Q. How many conversations have you had with Q. Is it your understanding that you thought --20 20 A. Yes. Ms. Mackie? 21 21 Q. -- Arnold & Porter was a third-party vendor A. I don't know. Three, maybe four. It was 22 22 very -- again, many of these conversations when you sent them the material? 23 23 weren't much more than just touch base, A. Vendor? No. Just another -- a different 24 24 here's what we're doing, we're doing the attorney. I said an attorney in D.C. who is 25 25 research on this, we will get back to you, a forensic expert on IT essentially. 129 131 1 1 just, you know, polite -- if it had been a O. Okay. 2 2 while or if I called and left a message, A. I don't remember the exact words, but that 3 3 like, you know, have you found out whether or was the understanding that I took away from 4 it, that they felt that it would be a -- a -not X, X, X, then it was -- a lot of this was voice mail. I don't honestly -- I can't tell 5 a better -- I don't know how to put it. I 6 you exactly how many conversations and many don't -- I don't have, as my father would of them were very brief, like just an attempt call it, the legalese to -- to repeat exactly 8 8 what was said. I did not ever get the to schedule a phone call or something. 9 9 Q. Did you have any e-mail communications with impression this was a vendor. My 10 10 Ms. Mackie? understanding this was still a lawyer but 11 11 A. I did and I -- the -- the -- what pops into that this was somebody who specialized in 12 12 this sort of thing. my mind instantly is she e-mailed me the 13 13 address to which I -- when it was established Q. Okay. Approximately how many e-mail 14 that I was not going to be able to get to 14 communications did you have with Ms. Mackie? 15 15 Raleigh to actually produce the -- the A. Not very many. I remember that she gave me 16 16 evidence as per the subpoena -- because that the address and then she had said that if I 17 17 was my original intention because I was back was having trouble -- at a certain point 18 18 because I was having trouble finding a -- a and forth, you know, helping my mother 19 19 between my work in Kentucky and -- and -- and FedEx office close to my house, and also, for 20 20 visiting and helping her with -- with her a brief period of time, you know, the --21 21 matters. But it -- it -- it became it -- it was about a hundred dollars to ship 22 22 increasingly clear, one, that I wasn't going and we had a brief discussion about how I 23 to make it to Raleigh soon enough to -- to --23 would be reimbursed and I said, well, I'll 24 24 to -- to -- to get this produced and, two, I have to wait till Friday because, you know, 25 25 my paycheck was clearing and I didn't want to think they -- that they had already said that

132

1

2

3

4

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

spend that money in advance. So, you know, 2 stuff like that. It was very much just how 3 was I going to actually achieve getting it in a box and getting it to that party. So I don't know exactly how many exchanges we had over that.

Q. I know we talked about your text messages with Mr. Speas. Did you have any e-mail communications with Mr. Speas?

8

9

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

2.5

- 10 A. I don't know that I had a specific e-mail 11 communication with Mr. Speas. I -- I think 12 he was maybe CC'd on a couple of the things 13 or if not all the things that -- anything --14 like I said, I was -- I was very quickly 15 aware of the fact that Caroline and Eddie 16 were the attorneys, so, again, I'm accustomed 17 to working with teams of lawyers where 18 everybody is CC'd on everything relevant. So 19 I don't know how many of them were. I just 20 remember seeing who was on the CC list and --21 like, for example, when I saw the motion, I 22 noticed Mark Braden. I was like, oh, hey, 23 hi, Mark. 24
- Q. In your -- you've testified in the 25 conversations that you've had with Ms. Mackie

A. At what point did I make the decision to -did we make the decision to mail them --

O. No.

A. -- or --

- 5 Q. Earlier in the process. At what point did you say, yeah, I'm going to give you -- I'm 7 comfortable giving you all of this stuff, you 8 can have it?
 - A. Well, honestly, I wouldn't have brought it up if I wasn't comfortable with the idea that I would eventually give it to somebody.
 - Q. So is it fair to say when you had your initial communication with Mr. Speas, at that point in time you already intended and planned to provide them if they wanted it the hard drives and the thumb drives?
- 18 Q. Have you had conversations with anyone else 19 at Poyner Spruill besides Edwin Speas and 20 Ms. Mackie?
 - A. No.
- 22 Q. Is there anything you discussed with 23 Ms. Speas [sic] or Ms. Mackie in your 24 communications with them that we haven't 25 already covered?

1 and as well as with Mr. Speas that they've 2 mentioned doing research. Did they say 3 specifically what type of research they were 4 doing?

A. As to the relevance and admissibility of this -- potential relevance and admissibility of this evidence. Also, they -- they were -you know, they were very polite and -- and really wanted to make sure that I didn't feel that they were pulling this out of me or that I was on the spot. They were sensitive about the fact that my father had very recently passed and they were just, I mean, like attorneys are, you know, careful, you know, just polite. They didn't -- they didn't want to make me feel like I was under any pressure or -- I don't know how to put it best. I think -- is my -- am I getting my point across? I don't know.

Q. When you -- at what point in time did you make the decision that you were going to turn over to Arnold & Porter these hard drives and thumb drives? I know you said you originally had a plan that you were going to hand deliver them in Raleigh and couldn't do that.

1 A. I really don't think so, no. Maybe -- maybe 2 somebody said something about the weather but 3 nothing -- certainly nothing relevant.

Q. Other than exchanging of general pleasantries on the communications that you've had with Ms. Speas and Ms. Mackie, have we discussed the substance of the communications that you've had with them?

10 Q. Have you had any communications with Stanton 11 Jones with Arnold & Porter before today? 12

A. Phone call.

THE WITNESS: Were you -- yes, that

A. I'm sorry. I don't remember all of the names.

THE WITNESS: When you called and -and said, I have a room full of attorneys -it's, you know, a colloquialism -- that was -- what day was that?

A. Last week before the weekend. The Thursday, I think it was, there was a conference call where we -- where it was -- it was dropped that there would very likely be a deposition to authenticate.

136

135

1 Q. Last Thursday you had a conference call with last? 2 2 Mr. Jones. Was Mr. Sparks on the --A. It -- it -- it's hard to say because my -- my 3 3 Bluetooth connection with my car kept A. Yes. 4 4 Q. -- call as well? Who else was on the call, dropping calls so there were -- there were a 5 5 if anyone? number of -- of drops. There was -- at one 6 6 A. I -- Caroline definitely and -point I even continued -- I must have gone on THE WITNESS: Eddie, were you part of for at least a minute or two before I 8 8 that, too? realized that there was no one on the other 9 9 A. No. Okay. end. Basically, it was just about how I 1.0 1.0 Q. It's only if you recall. came -- the same set of questions that you 11 11 A. I don't. I -- I -- I remember asking for the asked today, basically, how did I come by it, 12 12 list, but I was in the car and -making -- you know, was I -- was it given to 13 13 MR. JONES: I'll -- I'll just say we're me? Yes. All of that. That -- and I -- you 14 14 looking blankly at you because -know, I spoke a lot about -- actually, in 15 MS. SCULLY: Yes. 15 that phone call I ex- -- I spoke a lot about 16 16 MR. JONES: -- you have to answer based the importance of -- of my father's work and 17 17 how it was a very -- it seemed to me a very on your recollection. 18 18 THE WITNESS: I know. pertinent matter. And I explained at that 19 19 MR. JONES: You're not allowed -time that I had throughout my young life 20 20 THE WITNESS: I know. It's -been as an only child very involved in --21 21 MR. JONES: -- to ask us questions. involved in that when my father had a 22 22 THE WITNESS: It's -- it's -- I --PowerPoint presentation that he had just 23 23 MR. JONES: So I don't -designed for the state legislators, he would 24 THE WITNESS: I --24 say (indicates). He -- I -- at age 11 I 2.5 25 MR. JONES: And we're not trying to be think he felt that I was about at that level. 139 137 1 If you can understand this, then I've done -rude. 2 2 BY MS. SCULLY: I've done my job. And -- and any -- any 3 3 attempts that he made to -- to -- to make the Q. It's an un- --4 matter understandable to someone who wasn't A. Sometimes I forget that it's not --Q. And it's an unnatural --5 in, you know, cartography and demographics, 6 A. -- a casual conversation. he would often test that on me to see because MR. JONES: Yes. I knew more probably than your average 8 BY MS. SCULLY: 11-year-old but still wasn't, you know, like 9 one of the programmers. So he thought that Q. Right. 10 10 if -- if it was clear to me, that that would A. This is -- I honestly don't recall the names 11 11 be a good measure of if he, you know, of -- of everyone that was involved. I do 12 12 summarized it accurately. So, you know, I remember because I said, hi, Caroline --13 13 did a little bit of -- of -- of, I don't because I had spoken to her before. And I 14 14 know, sort of anecdotal tales about what it think that the other names were names that I 15 15 was like growing up in -- in a -- inside the did not offhand know so... 16 16 beltway as it were. Q. So to the best of your recollection, on the 17 17 Q. Would you say the call lasted more than an call was Stanton Jones, Caroline Mackie, and 18 18 Mr. Sparks. There may have been a few 19 19 A. I don't think it was more than an hour, no. additional individuals whose names you can't 20 It was about -- as -- as far as the amount of 20 recall and you didn't recognize at the time? 21 time that I actually spent on the phone, 21 A. Yes. 22 closer to 45 minutes. I mean, I -- as best I 2.2 Q. You were in a car when you received the call 2.3 can recall. I honestly was kind of trying to 23 you said, yes? 24 find a place to park where people weren't all 24 25 close by. I had -- you know, wasn't really 25 Q. Approximately how long did the telephone call 138 140

1 familiar with the area. I just wanted to get A. No. No. 2 2 somewhere so I wasn't going to be talking and Q. In what context did they bring up that a 3 driving at the same time. 3 subpoena was issued to Dale Oldham? 4 Q. Did you have any in-person meeting with A. I think it was when I, again, had said 5 Mr. Jones or Mr. Speas in advance of today's something about -- I don't know. I felt like I didn't want to promise that any of this deposition? A. Nope. This is the first time I've seen was -- was relevant or new because -- and I 8 8 either of them. kept -- I really did genuinely believe that 9 9 Q. Prior to today's deposition had you ever seen because of the fact that Dale had had this 10 the photographs that were marked as Exhibit 10 repeated conversation, this repeated 11 11 2? interaction with my father and his -- you 12 12 A. No. know, his possessions that everything that 13 13 could possibly be at all pertinent had Q. Have you had any other communications with 14 14 Mr. Jones besides this telephone conversation already been collected. 15 we were talking about that occurred last 15 Q. Did either Mr. Speas or Ms. Mackie tell you 16 16 Thursday? that Dale Oldham had produced materials in 17 A. No. No. Messages about everything have been 17 response to a subpoena? 18 18 coming to me through my attorney. A. No. I -- I did ask. 19 19 Q. In your communications with Mr. Speas and Q. And what did they say? 20 20 Ms. Mackie, at what point in time did either A. And I think it was Caroline that said, he's 21 21 Ms. Speas or Ms. Mackie address the actual refusing this -- to accept service. And I 22 22 said, that's the Dale I know. issuance of a subpoena? 23 23 A. I don't think -- I honestly don't think Q. So it didn't surprise you that Mr. Oldham was 24 24 that -- I'm not sure that I even spoke to not responding to the subpoena? 25 25 them directly in advance of -- well, I think A. That's correct. It's --141 143 1 1 that -- that -- that it was Jane who MR. SPARKS: Objection --2 2 mentioned that they wanted to give me the THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. 3 3 heads-up that there would be -- that that MR. SPARKS: -- mischaracterization. THE WITNESS: Yeah. would be out and -- because I had mentioned 5 MR. SPARKS: Go ahead. that the Geographic Strategies computers had been taken already by my father's business 6 A. I -- I would say nothing -- nothing surprises partner, I think they mentioned to me that me with attorneys. I -- again, you know, my 8 there was a subpoena issued to Dale, to father did not -- no offense to any -- any 9 Dalton Oldham, but then at that point it esquire here, but he did not have a very 10 10 was -- I asked questions like, will I reverential attitude towards the whole 11 11 theoretically get this back? process. He said something about that --12 12 Q. Uh-huh. along with like a -- a little quip like with 13 13 A. And they said yes. And I was just trying to legislation -- you know, legislation is like 14 get an idea of -- of what their journey was 14 sausage, you -- you shouldn't watch it being 15 15 going to be, you know, considering that it made. You know, I think he felt the same 16 16 was my property. And it was mostly at that about litigation so -- he --17 17 point discussion about just, you know, O. You un- --18 18 literally where they should be sent and --A. -- often used to say that Dale was a very --19 and all of that. 19 very -- a good strategist. 20 20 Q. Who mentioned to you that a subpoena was Q. You understood at the time you were speaking 21 21 issued to Dale Oldham? with Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie that they had 2.2 22 A. I don't remember whether that was Eddie or been unable to obtain from Mr. Oldham records 23 23 Caroline. relating to your father's work --24 24 Q. Were you surprised that a subpoena was issued A. Only --25 25 to Dale Oldham? Q. -- correct? 144 142

1 A. -- because I -didn't feel, charged with maintaining the 2 2 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. forensic integrity so I was just -- I wanted 3 MR. SPARKS: Objection, 3 to make sure that I had -- that I had 4 mischaracterization. And just to be specific everything in that it was mine, in that it 5 and not to have a talking -- she said that was -- I don't have a lot of -- of memento her -- what she was told is he never accepted from my father. I was kind of hoping that I service so -- and I'm not trying to shape would be able to preserve this for posterity 8 8 testimony. That's just what she said. if nothing else. And knowing how these 9 9 A. Yes. I asked because I was curious because things work, even though it was clear that 1.0 1.0 the -- that the intention was that these I -- again, the same reason I was curious 11 11 when I saw all of these files and had a things would be returned to me, that's 12 12 minute to look at them, really my -- my another thing my father taught me. You don't 13 13 interest in them was a bit more on the count on it. 14 14 academic end than anything else. Q. The copies that you made of the -- some of 15 15 Q. You understood based on your conversations the materials that you provided to Arnold & 16 16 with Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie that they had Porter, where are those copies maintained? 17 not received any of your father's business 17 A. I have those at home in my home in Kentucky 18 18 records from Mr. Oldham in the litigation, and I have it on a couple of my own thumb 19 19 correct? 20 20 MR. JONES: Objection. It's been asked Q. And where are the thumb drives kept? 21 21 and answered. A. In the same drawer where I keep pens, 22 22 pencils, stuff like that. A It was --23 23 MS. SCULLY: It hasn't been answered. Q. Is the drawer in your home in Kentucky? I'm 24 24 A. -- my -trying to understand --25 25 Q. You may answer. A. Yes. 145 147 1 1 A. -- understanding based on a response to my Q. -- physically --2 2 direct question that Dalton Oldham was A. Yes. 3 3 refusing to accept service on the subpoena. Q. -- where it is. Q. And as a result of his refusing to accept A. Yes. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to -- I -- I 5 service, you understood he had not turned 5 wasn't sure what you were asking. Yes, 6 6 over any documents, correct? they're -- they're in Kentucky. 7 A. Yes. Q. So all of the copies that you've made are 8 8 maintained at someplace in your home in Q. Did you retain copies of any of the hard 9 9 drives and thumb drives that you produced to Kentucky, correct? 10 10 Arnold & Porter in response to the subpoena? A. All of the copies that I made, yes, and --11 11 A. Yes. Q. Correct? 12 12 Q. Did you make copies of all of the hard drives A. Except, of course -- now, I have some copies 13 13 and thumb drives? of the photographs of me and my children, for 14 A. I was not actually able to copy everything 14 example, on -- on -- on like my laptop that 15 because I did not at that moment have 15 is -- it's like -- I -- I don't put pictures 16 16 adequate storage. as background for desktop, but sometimes I 17 17 Q. What -- which files did you copy and have little decorative things. I was, again, 18 18 maintain? so happy to have these pictures again that I 19 19 A. I was really principally concerned with -have some of those, but other than that, no, 20 well, first of all, I -- I did -- there was 20 I -- I tried really to keep it separate. I'm 21 21 one hard drive I know that had many, many, not, you know -- have more pressing matters. 22 22 many, many backups of the same hard drive, so Q. Have you provided anyone else with any copies 23 I copied, you know, the first one and the 23 of the materials that you turned over to 24 24 last one only knowing that that was going to Arnold & Porter? 25 25 A. Yes. My files, things that were literally be redundant and I was not -- I was not, I 148 146

1 mine, I have shared with colleagues in my Porter, correct? 2 2 work as a research consultant in criminology, A. Yes. specifically victimology, specifically with 3 Q. I'd like to understand if -- putting that 4 an emphasis on gender-based violence. So information aside -things that were relevant to our study of --5 A. Uh-huh. 6 of anything involving that topic that were Q. -- have you provided any other information from the materials you provided to Arnold & there on note files, those -- mine, yes. 8 8 Q. Have you shared with anyone any copies of any Porter to anyone else? 9 9 materials that relate to your father or your A. No. 10 father's work? 1.0 Q. You mentioned that Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie 11 11 A. No, other than communication between him and talked to you about a subpoena that they'd 12 12 me on matters that were related to me, but issued to Dale Oldham. Did either Mr. Speas 13 13 or Ms. Mackie inform you that they had issued not -- nothing related to his work. 14 Q. There was, I understand also, on the files 14 a subpoena to your mother as well as to the 15 you provided to Arnold & Porter personal 15 estate of your father? 16 16 health information about your mother, A. Yes. 17 correct? 17 Q. When did they first tell you about that 18 18 A. I -- I honestly don't know. I didn't really subpoena that they had issued? 19 19 examine all of the files that appeared to be A. I think almost immediately after it was 20 20 health related to see which of them were Mom issued. 21 21 and which of them were Dad, and honestly, Q. Did they tell you in advance of issuing it 22 right at this moment I -- I don't -- I don't 22 that they were going to issue it? 23 23 know that I really observed -- okay. I think A. I don't think so. I don't honestly remember. 24 24 there was like a HIPAA form, but one of them No. I think it was they had just issued it. 25 25 was mine and I know there are medical records Q. Did they tell you why they were sharing that 149 151 1 1 of mine on that hard drive, one of them. information with you? 2 2 Several, I think. I have some HIPAA release A. Because they knew that I was in constant 3 3 forms that I scanned and sent to hospitals, communication with my mother and they --4 4 doctors, to obtain medical records on myself again, this was all -- there was -- there's a 5 and my children. My children's medical 5 lot of talk about being sensitive to the fact 6 records are part of that archive, vaccination that my father had recently deceased and I 7 records, things like that. think that the -- the impression was that 8 Q. Sitting here today, do you know if -- in the 8 they wanted me to know so that I -- so that 9 9 materials that you provided to Arnold & my mother wouldn't, you know, see another 10 Porter if there was personal health 10 legal document and think that it was, you 11 information related to your mother in those 11 know, something that she was going to be, you 12 materials? 12 know, directly -- I don't know. That the 13 A. I don't know. 13 incompetency got her very understandably --14 Q. Could have been; you just don't know? 14 she felt very put upon, very examined, and --15 A. Exactly. 15 and I think the idea was -- I think I had 16 Q. Other than the information related to you 16 told them that they -- that I would like them 17 personally that you provided to some of your 17 to tell me at that point so that I could know 18 coworkers, have you provided copies of 18 that my mother was not going to be scared 19 information -- this information that you 19 when -- when she received it and think, you 20 produced to Arnold & Porter to anybody else? 20 know, she's -- she has some memory -- memory 21 A. I'm -- I'm sorry. Clarify the question 21 issues as is normal for someone her age. So 22 again. 22 they knew that I was very sensitive to that 2.3 Q. You've testified that you provided some of 23 and that she -- even if I had told her, which 24 your personal information that is contained 24 I didn't, that she might not remember that --25 within the materials you provided to Arnold & 25 that that's what that was. So that was 150 152

1 really pretty much it, so that -- that I I just checked around to see if I saw 2 2 would -- that my mother wouldn't be caught anything untoward I -- looking for, you 3 off guard and -- and be frightened and that I 3 know --4 would have a chance to -- to, once again, Q. So you shared -- if I understand your 5 clarify with her what was going on and that testimony correctly, you had shared with that wasn't going to be a -- a problem for Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie that between Dale Oldham having the two computers of your 8 8 Q. And when you say it wasn't going to be a father and you having the hard drives and the 9 problem for her, what do you mean by that? 9 thumb drives that your mother no longer had 10 1.0 A. As opposed to the proceedings that are possession of any of your father's electronic 11 11 directly -- that were directly challenging work files, correct? 12 12 her competence, which was very much a problem A. I had said that if there was -- I remember 13 13 for her. that I was, again, like a -- like a lawyer, 14 14 Q. Did you have conversations with either you know, I can't say for sure, but it looked 15 Mr. Speas or Ms. Mackie about the fact that 15 to me that the only thing that could possibly 16 16 your mom had these memory problems? even exist in her possession would be most 17 A. No, not specifically the memory problems. I 17 certainly a duplicate of one or two files, a 18 1.8 think it was more casual like, you know, duplicate of something that was already in 19 19 she's -- she's -- her emotions are very raw the matter, i.e., that -- that there might be 20 20 right now. She's on edge from everything one or two of the last things that he -- he 21 21 that's been happening. And I think really it mentioned to himself on that PC but that --22 was more, again, in casual conversation 22 that -- at first glance -- because also, I 23 23 the -- neither Eddie nor Caroline was was looking for things relevant to me, 24 24 expressing any type of interrogatory interest photographs of the family, things that I 25 2.5 in -- in the other matter. We really -- our might have missed, but it appeared as though 153 1 conversation really was very much centered on 1 there really wasn't anything much new at all 2 this whole -- this, this matter, those 2 on -- on -- on my mother's hard drive. So materials, and my father in his -- in the I -- I did not say for sure that I knew 4 because I -- I didn't feel confident. I context of his work as a political demographer. wasn't even in Raleigh at that time. I just 6 6 Q. Did you have any conversations with Mr. Speas said, as far as I know, there is nothing on 7 or Ms. Mackie about whether your mom would -her personal computer and I don't believe 8 8 had possession of any materials that would be there's anything else much there. And I said 9 that I would -- that I would probably be responsive to a subpoena? 10 10 better able to confirm it when I was next in A. Yes, in that I -- basically, I -- I had said 11 11 that I -- that between Dale having taken the Raleigh. 12 12 And in answer to your next question, no, work stuff and I taken the rest of what I 13 13 I haven't really been -- my mother and I have saw, then that all -- all that remained in 14 14 not really been -- that hasn't been our her home was -- was a personal PC that was 15 1.5 focus. I only recently found out that there really relatively new. I don't think that --16 was even going to be a deposition or that --16 that my parents even had that PC for more 17 17 so I haven't actually gone through to -than a few weeks before my father died, and 18 to -- to confirm it, but that's my 18 it did not -- it did not appear to me -- and 19 19 understanding and that's her understanding, the reason that I was familiar at all with 20 my mother's understanding, as far as I know, 20 the content of my mother's -- now my mother's 21 21 personal computer is because she'd had some 22 Q. I want to make sure I understand your 2.2 issue with a virus shortly before I had come, 2.3 23 testimony. So you -so I had -- along with the -- with the -- the 24 MR. SPEAS: Ms. Scully, your questions 24 gentleman that she had -- had come in to help 25 about my conversations with this witness have 25 her make sure that her -- her PC was secure, 154 156

1 now exceeded the length of those really have to be worried about this. This 2 2 conversations. I really think it's time you is -- this is -- this is about stuff that you 3 moved on to something else. 3 gave me, but just -- she's used to the idea 4 4 BY MS. SCULLY: that lawyers like to cross their T's and dot 5 5 Q. In your communications with Mr. Speas, did their I's, and that's the way I put it to her 6 you share with him that you would take it and she understood it that way, and that was upon yourself to look to determine if your the end of the matter as far as she was 8 8 mom in her files had information related to concerned. I really didn't want to -- I 9 9 your father's work? mean, she -- she's bored with this. She 1.0 1.0 A. I really -- it was not -- I don't know -- I spent 52 years being married to my father. 11 11 mean, I wasn't giving testimony. It was just MR. JONES: We've --12 12 a casual conversation where I said, as far as BY MS. SCULLY: 13 13 I know, there's really nothing there. I Q. It was your ex---14 14 can't say for sure because I'm not there, but MR. JONES: We've been going --15 I'll ask my mother and I'll look just like to 15 BY MS. SCULLY: 16 16 see if there's a new computer sitting on the Q. It was your expectation that your mother 17 17 didn't have any materials to produce and so table when I get there. I mean, really, 18 1.8 there was very nonspecific tone, but I you told her, you don't have to worry about 19 19 expressed what I'll go ahead and express it because you have no materials to produce 20 20 again and that is that I really think that I in response to the subpoena, correct? 21 21 MR. SPARKS: Objection, had gotten the -- the survey of everything 22 22 mischaracterization. Go ahead and answer the that could possibly be relevant and it was 23 23 already in the hands of Poyner Spruill, I question. 24 24 guess. No. Which one? I'm -- I'm getting A. I'm really not trying to be evasive. I don't 2.5 25 all of you confused. Yes. Okay. Arnold understand what part of your question I 157 159 1 haven't answered yet. Maybe you could 1 Porter. 2 2 Q. Did you at any point in time actually go clarify what you would like to know so that I 3 3 through your mother's files to determine if can answer --Q. Did you -she had any information that may be 5 responsive to the subpoena that was served on 5 A. -- your question. 6 6 Q. -- tell your mother that there -- there were her? 7 MR. SPARKS: Objection. That has been no materials that she needed to produce in 8 8 asked and answered. response to the subpoena? 9 9 A. You know what, no, I didn't put it that way A. Yes, it has. It --10 10 because -- I just told her not to worry about Q. Did you? 11 11 it because my mother's really had enough of A. -- really has. I -- I said that I went 12 12 all of this and I didn't -- really, it was -through her files before -- not her files --13 13 it was pointless to -- to trouble her at that again, the personal PC principally to look 14 14 for any other pictures -- honestly, pictures moment because we were actually discussing 15 15 the funding of her trust, whether or not she of family members was specifically what I was 16 was going to be able to access funds to come 16 looking for. As I did that survey, I didn't 17 17 and visit me in Lexington. That was really notice anything else work related -- my 18 the meat of our conversation and I -- as she 18 father's work related. So did I go through 19 19 was accustomed to sort of letting things go it with the idea that I was looking for stuff 20 by with my father's work as married couples 20 for them? No. Did I go through it? Yes. 21 often don't pay a lot of attention to each 21 Q. Did you have a conversation with your mother 22 other's work, it was in that tone. So I 2.2 about the subpoena that was issued by Poyner 2.3 don't -- I'm really just trying to be 23 Spruill on her? 24 accurate. 24 A. Yes. A conversation is a little bit an 25 Q. How about --25 exaggeration. I basically said, you don't 160 158

A. I don't know how important it is... Q. Are you a member of Common Cause? 2 2 MR. SPARKS: Do you have any more? THE WITNESS: No. Have you ever worked for Common Cause? MR. SPARKS: Okay. We need to take a break. She's -- she's tired. Thank you. Q. Have you ever told anyone that you were THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the working for Common Cause? record. The time is 1:50 p.m. 8 (Whereupon, there was a recess in the Q. Have you ever received any money from Common 9 proceedings from 1:50 p.m. to 1:57 p.m.) 10 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the A. No. Oh, you know, actually, I think there 11 11 was reimbursement for the FedEx -record. The time is 1:57 p.m. 12 12 BY MS. SCULLY: Q. And the reim- --13 13 Q. Ms. Hofeller, have you had any communications A. -- in the form of a check. 14 14 with a David Gersch? Q. The reimbursement for the FedEx -- and you're 1.5 A. Not that I can recall, no. 15 referring to the FedEx for shipping the 16 16 Q. Have you had any communications with someone documents to Arnold & Porter, correct? 17 17 named Elizabeth Theodore? A. Yes. I provided them with a receipt and they 18 18 A. No. provided me with a reimbursement for that 19 19 Q. Any conversations or communications with amount. 20 20 Daniel Jacobson? Q. Other than the reimbursement for the shipment 21 21 A. No. for the box that you sent via FedEx to 22 22 Q. Any conversations that you can recall with Arnold & Porter, have you received any other 23 23 anyone that works for Arnold & Porter besides monies from Common Cause? 2.4 2.4 Mr. Stanton Jones, the conversation we've A. No compensations, no considerations, no 25 25 already discussed? money. 161 163 1 1 Q. Have you at any point in time received any 2 2 Q. Any conversations with anyone working for monies from anyone at Poyner Spruill? 3 3 Poyner Spruill besides the conversations that A. No. 4 you've had with Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie? Q. Have you received any monies at any point in 5 A. No. time from anyone at Arnold & Porter? 6 Q. Have you had any conversations or 7 communications with Mark Elias? Q. Have you received monies at any time from 8 anyone working for Perkins Coie? A. No. 9 A. No. Q. Have you had any conversations or other 10 10 O. You've talked about the review of the communications with someone named Aria C. 11 11 materials that you have conducted of the hard Branch? 12 12 drives and the thumb drives. At any point in A. No. 13 13 time did anyone else have access to and Q. Have you had any communications or other 14 14 review those materials before you produced written communications with Abha Khanna? 15 15 them to Arnold & Porter? 16 16 A. No. Q. Have you had any communications with anyone 17 Q. Did -- you testified that the materials that 17 working for Perkins Coie? 18 you took possession of from the residence 18 A. No. 19 where your father and mother resided -- you 19 Q. Have you had any communications with anyone 20 took those materials -- those electronic 20 at Common Cause besides the communications 21 materials to your home in Kentucky --21 with Ms. Pinsky and the communication with --22 A. That's correct. 2.2 MR. JONES: Mr. Phillips. 2.3 O. -- before --23 BY MS. SCULLY: 24 A. I'm sorry. I --24 Q. -- Bob Phillips? 25 Q. -- before you produced them to Arnold & 25 A. No. 162 164

1 1 Porter approximately March 13th, 2019, done over the phone. I didn't get the 2 2 correct? impression that there was anyone else there 3 3 so as far as I know there wasn't, no. A. Correct. 4 4 O. Has anyone else resided in your home in MS. SCULLY: Can I have these marked 3 5 5 Kentucky during that period of time between and 4? 3 is on top, 4 is on bottom. 6 (HOFELLER EXHIBIT 3 was marked for October 2018 and March 13th, 2019? 7 A. No. I live alone. Ditched the husband. identification.) 8 8 (HOFELLER EXHIBIT 4 was marked for First time in my life, actually, I have my 9 9 own place. It's wonderful. I love it. identification.) 1.0 10 MR. BRANCH: Thank you. Q. Prior to sending the hard drives and thumb 11 11 drives to Arnold & Porter, did you provide MS. SCULLY: We're short one. 12 12 copies of any of those materials to anyone MR. BRANCH: If you need to --13 13 else? MS. SCULLY: She has it. It's marked. 14 14 MR. JONES: Ob- -- objection. That's MR. JONES: Why don't we give Tom your 15 15 copy because -been --16 16 A. I already answered that. MR. SPEAS: Yeah. 17 MR. JONES: -- asked and answered. 17 MR. JONES: -- he doesn't have one and 18 18 BY MS. SCULLY: we can share. So, Tom -- Tom --19 19 O. Was --A. Okay. I see. 20 20 A. I already answered that. MR. JONES: -- take a --21 21 BY MS. SCULLY: Q. I just wanted to clarify if it was prior to 22 22 Q. Oh. your -- I know you -- you've testified 23 23 already that you provided some personal MR. JONES: -- take a copy for each. 2.4 24 information to a coworker. Was that prior to MR. SPARKS: Thank you. 2.5 25 your sending the information to Arnold & A. I see that these are two different --165 167 1 1 Porter or after? MR. JONES: We'll share. 2 2 A. That was prior and after because there was MS. SCULLY: Thank you. I thought I'd 3 3 something else relevant. So, again, my made enough copies but apparently not. 4 4 material, exclusively mine, as in may -- I MR. SPARKS: It's good. We're good. 5 sent a copy of one of those pictures to 5 Thanks. 6 6 BY MS. SCULLY: another one of my colleagues, picture of my 7 7 son. Q. Ms. Hofeller, what's just been put in front 8 8 Q. I just wanted to clarify -of you marked as Exhibit 3 and 4, focusing 9 9 A. Yeah. first on Exhibit 3, do you recognize Exhibit 10 10 O. -- so there wasn't a confusion about whether 3 as a copy of the subpoena that was issued 11 11 the copies were distributed prior to or after to your mother, Kathleen Hofeller, on or 12 12 the -- the release of the information to about January 15th, 2019? 13 13 Arnold & Porter. A. I see that it is, but I don't recognize it. 14 14 A. Yeah. I mean, I don't know. I mean, you Q. Had you ever seen -- I know you testified 15 15 know... earlier that you were aware that a subpoena 16 16 Q. You testified earlier that before you made was issued to your mother in this case. Had 17 17 the production of the materials to Arnold & you ever seen a copy of the subpoena before 18 18 Porter that you did have some conversations today? 19 19 with your mother about the fact that you were A. Actually, no. 20 20 going to produce those materials to Arnold & Q. Exhibit 4 appears to be a copy -- I'll 21 21 Porter, correct? represent to you is a copy of a subpoena that 22 22 A. Yes. was issued to the Estate of Thomas Hofeller. 23 2.3 Q. Was anyone else present when you had those I know you testified earlier that you were 24 24 communications with your mother? aware that a subpoena was issued to your 25 25 A. No. I don't think so. I mean, these were father's estate. Had you ever seen a copy of 166 168

the actual subpoena? 1 she hadn't contacted me. 2 2 Q. Had your father -- had there already been a 3 3 Q. Put that aside. You testified earlier that funeral service for your father at that point 4 you first learned of your father's passing in time when you learned of his passing? 5 in -- I apologize --MR. JONES: Object again. It's -- I A. September 30th. think it's inappropriate. 7 Q. -- September 30th, 2018. How did you come to A. I know as much about it as anyone who read 8 8 learn of your father's passing? the New York Times obituary. 9 A. I typed his name into Google and saw the New Q. I take it you did not attend a funeral 10 10 York Times article of his obituary. service for your father; is that correct? 11 11 Q. What had prompted you to search for your MR. JONES: Objection. 12 12 father's name that day? A. No. 13 13 A. I had a feeling, a hunch something might Q. You testified that you -- earlier that you 14 14 be -- and, you know, it would -- I think it had not spoken to your father -- the last 15 had -- like a few months ago I was aware of 15 time you'd spoken to your father was July 16 16 the -- the -- the fact that there was another 2014 prior to his passing in August of 2018, 17 17 set of -- another set of districts in court, correct? 18 18 so, I mean, I figured if nothing else, I'd A. Yes. 19 19 see if there was anything interesting about Q. Had you followed your father's work in any 20 20 that basically really in my role as a -- as way between July 2014 and August 2018? 21 21 a -- as a student of -- of -- of political MR. SPARKS: Now I'm going to object. 22 philosophy and -- and other such things. 22 It's -- my understanding of this proceeding 23 23 But, honestly, I -- I -- I had a hunch that is that this is to authenticate things that 24 maybe something was wrong. 24 she turned over and we're now getting to 25 25 Q. Once you found out that your father had personal family matters. I'm going to -- are 169 171 1 1 we going to continue down this line? If passed away, did you reach out to your 2 2 mother? we're going to continue down this line, I am 3 3 A. Yes. going to instruct her not to answer. 4 Q. Did you ask your mother why she hadn't MS. SCULLY: Not much further, but I 5 contacted you to inform you -just want -- it is important. It is relevant 6 A. I didn't. 6 and we can talk outside about whether it's O. -- that your father -relevant or not, but I'm not going to talk A. No. 8 about that in front of the witness. 9 Q. -- had passed? MR. SPARKS: Okay. 10 A. No. 10 MS. SCULLY: I'm simply asking if she's 11 Q. And why not? You said you didn't --11 kept track of --12 A. I didn't need to because I don't believe that 12 THE WITNESS: Oh, go on ahead. 13 she knew how to reach me. 13 MS. SCULLY: -- her father's work. 14 Q. And -- and why do you say that? 14 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 15 MR. JONES: I'm -- I'm -- I'll object 15 MR. SPARKS: Go ahead and answer that 16 to this line of questioning. I -- I can't 16 question. 17 imagine why the -- the circumstances around 17 MR. JONES: Can you repeat it? I 18 Ms. Hofeller's communications with her -- her 18 19 mother relating to her father's death could 19 Can you -- can you read back the last 20 possibly have any relevance here. It 20 question? 21 seems -- it seems vexatious. 21 MS. SCULLY: I can reask the question. 22 MR. SPARKS: Are you going to instruct 22 BY MS. SCULLY: 2.3 the witness not to answer? 23 Q. Between July 2014 and August 6 -- I'm sorry, 24 MR. JONES: She's not my witness. 24 July 2014 and August 16th, 2018, have you 25 A. I was -- let's see. No, I didn't ask her why 25 followed any of your father's work? 170 172

1 MR. JONES: These are 5 and 6? A. That is a very vague question. Maybe you 2 2 MS. SCULLY: Yes. could be more specific. I was not in 3 communication with him. In what way would I 3 BY MS. SCULLY: 4 follow his work? Q. Ms. Hofeller, have you had an opportunity to 5 Q. Have -- did you read articles about any work review the documents that's been put in front 6 your father was doing in redistricting of you marked Exhibit 5 and Exhibit 6? between July 2014 and August 16th, 2018? A. Let me look quickly at 6. Yes. 8 8 A. I quite certainly may have read any number of Q. Yes. 9 9 the many, many newspaper articles about my A. Yeah. 10 10 father who was rather well-known including Q. Have you seen the documents marked as Exhibit 11 11 the one I just mentioned, the New York Times 5 and Exhibit 6 before? 12 12 article that was his obituary. I read that. A. I have never seen this page right here 13 13 Q. Did you read any articles or any statements (indicates). 14 14 Q. When you're pointing to this page right here, made by Common Cause about your father's 15 15 work? which one are --16 16 A. I do not recall having made note of the name A. This one on top, the first page --17 Common Cause until such point as my father 17 Q. -- you referring to? 18 18 was already deceased. I really wasn't that A. -- of Exhibit 5, I have never seen this 19 19 involved. before. I have seen the -- the -- this page 20 20 Q. Ms. Hofeller, have you ever been charged with is familiar to me. 21 21 a crime? Q. And when you're saying this page, I just want 22 MR. SPARKS: Objection. Ob- -- this is 22 to reflect for the record on the document 23 23 totally inadmissible. I mean, this is marked as Exhibit 5, you're referring to the 24 absolutely inadmissible. Don't answer that. 24 second page which has the caption, Notice of 25 25 Go ahead. Hearing on Incompetence Motion in the Cause 173 175 1 1 MS. SCULLY: You're going to instruct and Order Appointing Guardian Ad Litem? 2 2 her not to answer? 3 3 MR. SPARKS: I am instructing her not Q. Okay. And have you seen the third page of 4 4 to answer that question. the document? 5 MS. SCULLY: Okay. 5 A. No. 6 6 MR. BRANCH: Okay. Q. In the document marked Exhibit 5, the second MS. SCULLY: Oh, did I give you one 7 page that you've seen, did you see that on or 8 that's got any markings on it? I don't think 8 about October 29th, 2018, that there was 9 9 going to be a hearing for your mother 10 MR. SPARKS: Here, you can --10 regarding her in- -- whether she was 11 MS. SCULLY: That's all right. No, 11 incompetent or not? 12 that's all right. I'll give you one in one 12 A. On or about. 13 second. Sorry. I just... 13 MR. SPARKS: Ask the question again, 14 THE WITNESS: Oh, more -- you would 14 please. 15 have --15 BY MS. SCULLY: 16 MR. SPARKS: Please. 16 Q. Do you recall when you first saw the second 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Okav. 17 page of the document marked Exhibit 5? 18 (HOFELLER EXHIBIT 5 was marked for 18 A. Yes. 19 identification.) 19 O. When? 20 MS. SCULLY: I seem to have lost mine. 20 A. I think it was a few -- few days later. 21 I'm going to have this one marked also at the 21 Q. A few days later from --22 same time. 22 A. After it was filed. 2.3 (HOFELLER EXHIBIT 6 was marked for 23 Q. -- when? 24 identification.) 24 A. A few days after it was filed. I mean, I 2.5 MR. BRANCH: Thank you. 25 guess that it was filed on the 29th 174 176

1 considering that this is stamped there. basis for seeking your -- to find your mother 2 2 incompetent, you understood that those were 3 A. I did not see it on the 29th. 3 the grounds that were being alleged, correct? 4 4 Q. Your recollection is that you recall seeing A. I understood that these were the facts set 5 the second page of the document marked as forth that the petitioner alleges are 6 Exhibit 5 a few days after October 29th, grounds, yes. 7 2018, correct? Q. One of the facts that were set forth that the 8 8 A. Correct. petitioner alleged that were grounds was that 9 O. The document marked as Exhibit 6 which the respondent is believed to be under the 10 states, Petition for Adjudication of 1.0 influence of a previously estranged child. 11 11 Incompetence and Application for Appointment Since appearance of child financial assistant 12 12 of Guardian or Limited Guardian, have you hired for respondent quit her employment upon 13 13 seen that document before? concerns of personal safety based on actions 14 14 A. Yes. of -- actions of previously estranged child. 15 Q. When did you first see that document? 15 Respondent removed appointed attorney-in-fact 16 16 A. A few days after it was filed. over security of funds. 17 Q. You understood that one of the grounds that 17 Did you disagree with those assertions? 18 18 was asserted by the petitioner for seeking to MR. JONES: I'll -- I'm going to 19 19 have your mother found incompetent, if you object. 20 20 A. The -refer to the --21 21 A. Yes, I understand --MR. JONES: I think that you're just --22 Q. -- second page --22 A. The -- you know what --23 23 A. -- what's written here. THE REPORTER: One -- one at a time. 24 24 Q. You had knowledge of that? MR. JONES: Hold on. Hold on. I'm 25 25 A. I have know- -- I had knowledge of what was going to object. I -- I think at this point 177 179 1 1 written here when I saw the document. you're just harassing the -- the witness. 2 2 Q. And when you're referring to what was written MR. SPARKS: Yeah. 3 3 MR. JONES: She's not my witness so I'm here, you are referring to -- on the second 4 not going to -- but it seems -page under Paragraph 5 there are four grounds listed as the grounds for seeking to have 5 A. This is not for me to say. 6 your mother found incompetent. You MR. SPARKS: I believe the same thing. 7 understood those, correct? I -- I believe the same thing. If -- if you 8 MR. SPARKS: Objection as to want to ask about the factual basis of this, 9 I don't understand how it has anything to do characterization. They're allegations. I 10 10 with something so we're going to take a understand that I'm parsing -- I'm being a 11 11 break -- or can you answer -- there's a lawyer here, but they are allegations and 12 12 question on the table. Can you answer the that -- to the extent that you're saying 13 13 question? they're grounds, they're -- they're verified 14 14 THE WITNESS: No. or they're -- they're true... 15 15 MR. SPARKS: Okay. Let's you and I Do you understand they're allegations? 16 talk, please, if we can take a break. 16 THE WITNESS: I understand that they 17 17 Thanks. are allegations. 18 18 Not you -- not you and I. BY MS. SCULLY: 19 THE WITNESS: Oh, good. Excellent. 19 Q. I'll reask the question, Ms. Hofeller. Did 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 20 you -- you understood -- when you're saying, 21 record. Time is 2:23 p.m. 21 I understood what is written here, I'm just 22 (Whereupon, there was a recess in the 22 trying to make sure we have agreement on the 2.3 proceedings from 2:23 p.m. to 2:36 p.m.) 23 record that the here you're referring to are 24 (HOFELLER EXHIBIT 7 was marked for 24 the four allegations that are set forth on 25 identification.) 25 the second page of Exhibit 6 as the alleged 180 178

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 1 had concluded that based on the interview of 2 2 record. The time is 2:37 p.m. the petitioner's attorney and a review of 3 BY MS. SCULLY: 3 your mother's medical records, that she 4 Q. Ms. Hofeller, have you had an opportunity to believed the petitioner had met the burden to review the document that's marked Exhibit 7 5 show reasonable cause to believe that your that's in front of you? mother was --A. Let me -- let me finish. A. My mother didn't have --8 8 Q. Please, take your time. Tell me when you're Q. -- incompetent? 9 ready. A. -- and attorney. 10 A. Hold on. Get my glasses. Is this -- when 1.0 MR. SPARKS: Stop, please. 11 11 was this filed? What is the date on this? I THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 12 12 don't see the date that it was filed. Is it MR. SPARKS: Thank you. Go ahead. 13 13 on the second page? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 14 14 Q. It's -- the document is dated on Page 4, A. No. The answer to your question is no. 15 the -- November 5th, 2018. 15 Q. Did you at any point in time become aware 16 16 A. Oh, okay. All right. All right. I've that Ms. Riddick had informed the court that 17 had -- I've reviewed this. 17 she was concerned that your mother's 18 18 Q. Ms. Hofeller, my first question is, have you well-being and estate were at risk without 19 19 prior to today seen the document that's the appointment of an interim guardian? 20 20 marked as Exhibit 7? A. Not really, no. No. No. 21 A. I don't believe that I did ever see this one, 21 Q. Were you aware that the guardian ad litem had 22 22 informed the court that you had had until no. No. 23 23 Q. Were you at any point aware that a guardian recently an estranged relationship with your 24 24 ad litem had been appointed in the mother? 25 25 incompetency proceedings related to your A. Was I aware that Erin Riddick specifically 181 183 1 1 mother? said that I had a previously estranged 2 2 A. A guardian ad litem? relationship? 3 3 Q. Yes. Q. Yes. 4 4 A. As in the guardian ad litem, Erin Riddick? A. I don't think I was aware specifically that 5 Q. Yes. 5 Erin Riddick said that, no. No, I wasn't. 6 6 A. At -- ask again. Was I at some point aware (HOFELLER EXHIBIT 8 was marked for 7 that a guardian ad litem had been identification.) 8 appointed --8 MS. SCULLY: Can you provide Exhibit 8, 9 9 Q. Yes. please, to the witness. 10 A. -- at -- yes. Yes. 10 THE WITNESS: I never saw this. I'm 11 Q. When did you first become aware of the 11 sorry. 12 appointment of a guardian ad litem? 12 BY MS. SCULLY: 13 A. I think that that was part of the original 13 Q. Ms. Hofeller, you've had an opportunity to 14 petition. Yes, it was. Erin Riddick was 14 review the document marked as Exhibit 8? 15 appointed guardian ad litem when the petition 15 A. Uh-huh. 16 was filed. When that was served I was aware 16 Q. I believe you said a moment ago you've not 17 of the fact that a guardian ad litem had been 17 previously seen the document marked as 18 appointed for my mother. 18 Exhibit 8? 19 Q. Did you ever have any communications with 19 A. That's correct. 20 Ms. Riddick? 20 Q. This is the first time you've seen the 21 A. No. She never reached out to me. 21 document marked as Exhibit 8? 22 Q. Did you ever reach out to Ms. Riddick 22 A. Yep. 23 directly? 23 Q. You were aware, is it correct, that the court 24 24 had entered an order appointing an interim 25 Q. Did you ever become aware that Ms. Riddick 25 guardian of your mother, correct? Whether 182 184

1 was after Doug Noreen was retained that I saw you'd seen the document or not, you -- you 2 2 were aware that the court had appointed an the paperwork; otherwise, I would not be --3 interim guardian for your mother? 3 not really --4 4 A. At what point? Q. Do --5 5 Q. On or about November 6th, 2018. A. -- don't tend to be in communication with the 6 6 A. I was aware that the hearing -- the result of Wake County court as a -- as a matter of 7 the hearing was a interim guardian appointed, 8 8 Q. Did someone represent your mother prior to I believe, yes. 9 9 Q. You were aware that there was an interim Doug Noreen entering his appearance and 1.0 1.0 guardian appointed over both your mother's representing her in the incompetency 11 11 person and over her estate, correct? proceeding? 12 12 A. You know, again, I am reading these 13 13 documents. I am not an attorney in these Q. When did Mr. Noreen first begin to represent 14 14 matters. In that that is the proper your mother? 15 15 A. I think that his first conversation with her interpretation of these documents, I was 16 16 aware of what these documents said. My was one or two days after the preliminary. 17 17 mother's attorney handled the matter from Q. What preliminary? 18 18 that point forward, so my awareness would A. The one at which apparently the interim 19 19 extend to reading this as a layperson. So guardian -- the one requested in these 20 20 if -- if it says -- if you're asking me was I documents that I explained that I had seen. 21 21 aware that -- that this was done, I -- yes, Q. One or two days after the document that's 22 22 I -- I guess. I'm not -marked Exhibit 6, the petition for 23 Q. Contemporaneous with the proceedings that 23 incompetence? 24 24 were ongoing, the incompetency proceedings, A. Yes. Isn't there a -- yeah. I think that --25 25 were you communicating with your mother's if I -- let's see. November 8th rings a bell 187 185 1 attorney about the proceedings? 1 for the day that my mother retained Doug 2 2 A. Well, this is a -- this has -- this had been Noreen. 3 3 Q. November 8th -going on -- this was on -- going on for quite 4 A. Uh-huh. a while. At -- at some point I did have 5 5 O. -- 2018? communication with my mother's attorney on 6 A. Yeah. this matter, yes. 7 Q. And your mother's attorney on this matter I MS. SCULLY: Can you provide the 8 witness Exhibit 9. believe you said was Douglas Noreen? 9 9 (HOFELLER EXHIBIT 9 was marked for A. That's right. 10 10 identification.) Q. Did Mr. Noreen share with you or discuss with 11 11 BY MS. SCULLY: you the fact that an interim guardian over 12 12 Q. Ms. Hofeller, actually, before I review your mother's estate and over her person was 13 Exhibit 9, I had one follow-up question on 13 going to be appointed by the court? 14 14 Exhibit 8. If I could turn your attention A. Going to be? No. 15 15 back to Exhibit 8. Q. Did he share with you that it was, in -- that 16 Were you aware that the interim guardian 16 it did, in fact, occur? 17 17 of the estate that was appointed in these A. I don't think that --18 proceedings was Everett Bolton? 18 MR. SPARKS: Objection. You're 19 19 assuming facts not in -- in evidence and I --20 Q. Did you have any communications with 20 you might want to find out when Doug Noreen 21 Mr. Bolton at any point in time? 21 became her mother's attorney. Just a hint. 22 A. No. 2.2 Go ahead and answer the question to the 2.3 O. No? 23 best -- if you can, please. 24 24 A. I think that the actual -- the -- the moment 25 Q. Thank you. Were you aware that the Wake 25 when I finally saw the result of that was --186 188

County Human Services was appointed as the Q. At this point --2 2 interim guardian over your mom's person? A. -- all these dates. 3 A. Was that the name? I thought it was Q. -- in time, February 6, 2019, was Mr. Sparks LifeLinks? Oh, that was the one they representing you in any other matters other suggested, maybe. I -- I was aware that it than your mom's incompetency proceedings? 6 was a -- a -- a body of some sort rather than A. I -- not -- what else was going on a -- an individual. then? 8 8 Q. You were having communications with Mr. Speas Q. Did you at any point in time have any 9 and -communications with anyone at Wake County 10 10 A. Oh. Only in that --Human Services? 11 11 A. No. Q. -- Ms. Meese [sic]. 12 12 Q. Turning your attention to Exhibit 9, I A. Only in that -- I'm sorry. Only in that 13 13 he -- he was kind enough to allow me to use believe you had an opportunity to review that 14 14 his office address as a service address where a few moments ago, correct? 15 15 I could receive service. A. Yeah. 16 16 Q. Have you seen the document marked as Exhibit Q. Did you have any communications with your 17 17 mother's counsel, Mr. Noreen, about the 9 before today? 18 18 A. I don't -- okay. Report of the -- of the subpoena that was issued to her in -- in this 19 19 guardian ad litem. I think I reviewed it litigation? 20 20 briefly. A. No, I did not. 21 21 Q. It appears on Exhibit 9, last page, there's a Q. I take it you didn't have any communications 22 certificate of service and it reflects 22 with the interim guardian over her estate 23 23 that -- do you see the last page there? about the subpoena that was directed to her 24 2.4 A. Oh. Oh, okay. I -- I was going to say, this in this litigation, correct? 25 25 A. Yes. isn't... 189 191 1 1 Q. On that page it reflects that -- Tom Sparks Q. And you didn't have any communications with 2 2 is listed as your attorney? the interim guardian over her person 3 3 regarding the subpoena that was issued upon A. Yes. 4 her in this litigation, correct? Q. Yes. At this point in time, No- -- February 6, 2019, was Tom Sparks acting as your 5 A. That is correct. 6 attorney in these proceedings? MS. SCULLY: Can you show the witness MR. SPARKS: What -- what is this 7 Exhibit 10. 8 (HOFELLER EXHIBIT 10 was marked for proceeding? I want to make sure you 9 understand. identification.) 10 10 MS. SCULLY: Sorry. BY MS. SCULLY: 11 11 BY MS. SCULLY: Q. Ms. Hofeller, have you had -- had an 12 12 Q. The incompetency proceedings for your mother. opportunity to review the document marked 13 13 A. Yes. Exhibit 10? 14 Q. When did you first retain Mr. Sparks in 14 A. Yes. 15 connection with your mother's incompetency 15 Q. Have you seen the document marked as Exhibit 16 16 proceedings? 10 before? 17 17 A. Was it December or January? I don't -- it --A. Yes. 18 18 it's all a blur. I think it was early Q. When did you first see the document marked as 19 January. It was after the hol- -- no. It 19 Exhibit 10? 20 20 was --A. Sometime after. I really don't know exactly 21 21 THE WITNESS: I think you -- you got when. My attorney received --22 22 back to me during the holiday -- what I felt MR. SPARKS: Some -- sometime after 23 was the holiday time. There you go. Thank 23 when? Please tell her. 24 24 A. The 7th day of February, 2019. 25 25 A. I'm sorry. I can't keep track of --Q. Were you aware prior to February -- the date 190 192

1 on the document marked as Exhibit 7, February guardian over your mother's estate and over 2 2 7, 2019, that there was a plan to dismiss the her person? 3 incompetency proceedings and submit to the 3 A. Yes. I'm trusting you that those are the 4 4 court the settlement agreement that had been right dates. 5 5 entered into among the interested parties? MS. SCULLY: If I could just have a 6 A. You know, I was represented by my attorney at moment to look through my notes, I believe I 7 that time and he was in communication with my don't have any further questions. Might have 8 8 mother's attorney. What I was and wasn't a couple col- -- follow-ups. 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the aware of, that would be really difficult to 10 say what and when and how and to what degree 10 record. The time is 2:57 p.m. 11 11 because it was being negotiated. I was, (Whereupon, there was a recess in the 12 12 again, represented by counsel so I wasn't proceedings from 2:57 p.m. to 2:58 p.m.) 13 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the really being spoken to directly on these 14 14 record. The time is 2:58 p.m. matters other than my attorney. 15 15 **EXAMINATION** Q. You understood that Exhibit 10 was a motion 16 16 BY MR. BRANCH: to dismiss that was submitted to the court 17 along with a settlement agreement that was in 17 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Hofeller. My name is 18 18 the process of being executed, correct? John Branch. I am counsel for the intervenor 19 19 MR. JONES: And I'll -- I'll -- I'll defendants and with the Shanahan Law Group 20 20 law firm here in Raleigh. Appreciate you object. I think the witness has already 21 21 testified that she was communicating with her kind of plowing through things today. I know 22 22 there's been a lot and my hope is that I attorney here so it seems like anything that 23 23 she learned from her attorney would be don't have very many topics for you to cover 24 24 privileged. and we can get out of here on a fairly quick 25 25 THE WITNESS: Yes. basis. But what -- what's going to happen is 193 195 1 MR. SPARKS: Your -- your awareness of 1 I'm going to jump around some because my 2 2 it -- she's asked about your awareness of it. colleague has covered 95 percent of what I 3 3 Will you -had on my list to cover. So if you would be 4 A. Yes. At some -patient with me if I do that, and if you 5 MR. SPARKS: -- answer her question. 5 don't understand any of the questions that I 6 6 A. -- point I was aware of -- of this, yes. pose, want me to restate anything, please 7 Q. Do you know if the settlement agreement that feel free to ask me to do so. I'm happy to 8 8 is attached as Exhibit A to what's been accommodate you as best --9 9 A. Thank you. marked as Exhibit 10, do you know if that, in 10 10 Q. -- that I can. fact, was ultimately signed by all the 11 11 My first question is, what's your home individuals that are --12 12 A. I would --13 13 A. I stated that I wanted that protected. Q. -- listed on --14 14 I'm --A. -- not --15 Q. And --15 Q. -- Page 6 and 7? 16 16 A. -- a survivor of domestic violence and these A. I'm sorry. I would not be able to tell you 17 17 documents proliferate at an amazing rate. I if this is exactly like the one that's signed 18 don't believe that it's in my best interest 18 without seeing the signatures on it. I was 19 19 or -- it's a risk to my safety. That -- that not a signator. I would not have a 20 address is -- I've been able to have it 20 familiarity to the point where I would be 21 sealed with courts in the past. I think it's 21 able to say that this is the one that was 22 well established that I'm --22 signed. 2.3 O. Well, and --23 Q. Is it correct that you were aware that 24 A. -- at risk. 24 between the period November 6th, 2018, and 25 Q. -- with all due respect, ma'am, I -- I don't 25 February 7th, 2019, there was a interim 194 196

1 1 MR. JONES: Agree to disagree. If know that part of your personal history and 2 2 I'm not -she's outside the range of the -- the 3 A. Uh-huh. 3 subpoena range of the court I think you can't 4 4 Q. -- trying to antagonize you by asking you subpoena her. 5 5 your home address. However, there's a MR. SPARKS: So that we can move on, 6 process that the parties have agreed to with we've been here for a long time, may I regard to having documents held confidential interject with a question or two, please --8 8 and highly confidential in the context of MR. BRANCH: Uh-huh. 9 9 this litigation. And so what I would suggest MR. SPARKS: -- if -- if that's okay 1.0 1.0 is that if you're asking that the -- your with you because it's out of order? 11 11 address that you -- that would be -- that the At this time, Ms. Hofeller, are you 12 12 parties would agree that it is confidential willing to have -- allow me to accept service 13 13 of documents on your behalf? or highly confidential, I'm certain that we 14 14 would not have an objection to it so long as THE WITNESS: I am, yes. 15 15 we --MR. SPARKS: If that changes, will you 16 16 MR. SPARKS: She can be served at my provide to me an address at which you can be 17 17 served, wherever that address is, and give me office. She's not going to agree to reveal 18 1.8 that. If you want to go to the court and -permission to let all these fine people know 19 19 and compel that, you can go to the court and and everybody that's -- every attorney 20 20 compel that, but -involved in this case know where that address 21 21 might be? MR. BRANCH: Okay. 22 22 MR. SPARKS: -- she can be served at my THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, as long as it 23 23 office. doesn't appear on any of these documents. 24 24 BY MR. BRANCH: MR. SPARKS: No. No. No. I didn't 2.5 25 Q. And just -- just so we're clear, for purposes ask you for your home address. I said an 199 1 1 of any later subpoenas that's served in -address --2 THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. that are served in the context of this 3 3 lawsuit, trial subpoenas or any other MR. SPARKS: -- at which you can be 4 4 documents, you're willing to be served 5 through counsel here as opposed to at your THE WITNESS: Yes. Absolutely. 6 6 house? MR. SPARKS: Okay. Is that -- is that 7 7 MR. JONES: Hold on. sufficient, sir? 8 MR. SPARKS: At this time are you 8 MR. BRANCH: Yeah, I think that's fine. 9 9 willing to have that done? MS. SCULLY: Yeah. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 MR. SPARKS: Thank you. I'm sorry to 11 11 BY MR. BRANCH: interrupt. 12 Q. All right. And in the event that you are --12 MR. BRANCH: No. No. Well, that was 13 you withdraw that authorization for your 13 very helpful so thank you for interrupting. 14 lawyer, would you then be willing to provide 14 THE WITNESS: Thanks. 15 us with your home address so that we can 15 BY MR. BRANCH: 16 serve you with process? 16 Q. Why did you pick Common Cause to reach out to 17 MR. JONES: I'll object. She's 17 you -- or to reach out to with regard to 18 outside -- she lives outside the range of the 18 finding an attorney to represent your mother 19 subpoena range of the court. She already 19 in the competency dispute? 20 testified --20 MR. JONES: Objection, asked and 21 MR. BRANCH: I mean, doesn't mean we 21 answered earlier. 22 can't subpoena her and we have a right to --22 A. I answered that question I thought pretty 2.3 in the event that we believe that her 23 thoroughly. 24 testimony is necessary at trial to subpoena 24 Q. And maybe I missed it, but I'd just like to 25 her to testify and --25 go back over it just for a little bit. I 198 200

1 mean, why -- again, why Common Cause? MR. BRANCH: -- different question. 2 2 MR. JONES: Objection, asked and A. -- position. This was just what he did. 3 3 answered. MR. SPARKS: Please. 4 MR. BRANCH: And, again, she can answer THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 5 the question. BY MR. BRANCH: 6 A. They are local. They're local and I needed Q. Was your father retained by parties in 7 to, you know, ascertain who was local as far litigation with Common Cause? 8 8 as local attorneys, and their knowledge of MR. JONES: Objection. There's no 9 the politicization of my family affairs as it establishment of any foundation. 10 pertains to anyone who is involved on this 1.0 MR. BRANCH: I'm asking if she has 11 11 level with politics, it seemed that they knowledge of that. 12 12 would comprehend that. A. I don't know the details of how my father was 13 13 Q. And why -- why did it seem like Common Cause actually involved in all of this. I don't 14 14 would have a comprehension of the know the details. I -- he -- he was all over 15 politicization of your family's affairs? 15 the country all the time my whole entire 16 16 A. Because all of the attorneys involved in all childhood. I don't know when he signed on 17 of these matters would have an understanding 17 with who in what capacity, whether he was 18 1.8 working for the RNC, whether he was a 19 19 Q. So that's because Common Cause had attorneys consultant. I don't know those details. It 20 20 that had been involved in legal matters with would be very -- I don't know. It seems 21 21 knowledge of the politicization of your almost like it -- it -- we're trying to 22 family's affairs? 22 establish that I would misstate. I would 23 23 A. How shall I put this? Your average American rather just go ahead and say that I don't 24 doesn't understand what redistricting even 24 know these details. If you continue to press 25 25 is, so attorneys that are involved in matters me to tell you yes or no, eventually there is 201 203 1 1 that pertain to it are much more likely to an idea that I will say that I know something 2 2 understand the importance of my father's that I wasn't aware of. 3 3 position on these matters. Q. And I -- to be clear, I don't know is a 4 perfectly valid answer. If you don't know, Q. Okay. And prior to reaching out to Common 5 Cause about the -- about the topics on which 5 you don't know. That's fine. I'm not trying 6 6 to press you for a certain answer. I'm you reached out to them, you were aware that they -- that Common Cause was involved in trying to understand what it is you do 8 8 litigation regarding redistricting? actually know. 9 9 A. And, again, I've really tried to --10 10 Q. And were you -- and you were aware that they MR. JONES: There's no --11 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. had taken positions adverse to those of your 12 12 father or your father's businesses? A. I tried to address it before. 13 13 A. You know, my father --Q. And so are you aware that the redistricting 14 MR. JONES: Oh, object. Object. 14 maps at issue in this case are ones that were 15 15 MR. SPARKS: She -passed by the North Carolina General Assembly 16 16 MR. JONES: Ans and ans -in 2017? 17 17 MR. SPARKS: She --A. Passed by? You mean -- no. No, I wasn't 18 18 MR. JONES: Asked and answered. 19 MR. SPARKS: She actually said that --19 Q. Okay. Well, are you aware that redistricting 20 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. maps are enacted laws by the North Carolina 21 21 MR. JONES: You just changed the word General Assembly in North Carolina? 22 22 antagonistic to adverse. It's been asked and 23 answered multiple times. 23 Q. And you weren't -- I believe you just 24 24 MR. BRANCH: Well, then it's a -testified that you weren't aware that the 25 25 A. And this wasn't my father's -maps that are being challenged by the 202 204

1 plaintiffs in this lawsuit are ones that were A. -- a smartphone? 2 2 Q. -- an Android? Yes. That -enacted in 2017? 3 A. No. I didn't know --3 A. Yes, I have a smartphone. 4 4 Q. Okay. Q. Okay. And what kind of a phone is it? Is it 5 A. -- any of those state- -- specifics. an iPhone, Android? 6 Q. If -- on the assumption that I'm correct that MR. JONES: Ob- -- object. This is --7 the General Assembly passed the maps that are this is ri- -- ridiculously irrelevant. 8 8 at issue in this litigation in 2017, would it MR. BRANCH: It is not. 9 9 be correct to say that you had no BY MR. BRANCH: 1.0 10 communications with your father about those Q. You can answer. 11 11 maps that were passed? A. It's -- it's either an iPhone or an Android. 12 12 A. I don't know when he started drawing those Q. All right. And it's one specific device. Is 13 13 that the same device that you have used since maps. My fa- -- I was an only child. My 14 14 father and I spoke about a lot of matters September 30th of 2018? 15 15 right up until the point when I didn't speak A. No. 16 16 to him anymore. So I have no idea whether or Q. Okay. How many different devices have you 17 17 used since September 30th of 2018 associated not the maps that he was drawing the last 18 18 time I spoke to him were those maps. I would with your primary telephone number? 19 19 have no way of knowing that. A. Two. 20 20 Q. So you have no way of knowing one way or Q. Two? 21 another? 21 A. Two, I think, yeah. 22 22 A. That's right. Q. Okay. Do you --23 23 Q. Okay. Did you -- what's -- I'm not trying to A. I don't know. These were not associated with 24 24 raise the same concerns you have about your the same phone number. I -- I'm a popular 2.5 25 address, but I do have some questions about person. I don't tend to just give my phone 207 1 1 the use of your phone. So -number out and I also tend to -- to find that 2 2 A. The use of my what? it's better when you're on Google to -- to 3 3 Q. Your -not be quite as consistent as most of -- most 4 people are. MS. SCULLY: Phone. 5 BY MR. BRANCH: 5 MR. SPARKS: Do you need to take a 6 6 Q. Your cell phone. And so I'm going to ask you break? what your cell phone number is so... THE WITNESS: No. No. I don't. 8 MR. JONES: I'll --8 A. So, no, it hasn't been the same phone number. 9 9 BY MR. BRANCH: Q. Okay. And -- all right. So the question I 10 10 Q. Are you willing -- are you willing to share had was actually as to the device that you 11 that for the --11 use, the physical hardware. And what I was 12 12 A. No. asking, and it was based on an assumption 13 13 Q. Okay. Let me ask the question a different that I think turned out not to be correct, 14 way. Have you used the same -- do you have a 14 was how many different devices have you used 15 smartphone that you use -- that is associated 15 since September 30th of 2018 to present day? 16 with the regular phone number that you use 16 A. I think it's two. Two. 17 17 and give out to people? Q. Okay. Okay. Do you -- did you change phone 18 18 numbers when you changed devices at some A. Forgive me for being a little bit concerned 19 19 about where -- I mean, I -- what can I say? point during that period of time? 20 20 I mean, I -- the -- what -- what period of A. Yes. 21 21 time are we talking about here? I mean... Q. Okay. Can you tell me approximately when 2.2 2.2 Q. Current -- let's say today do you have an that was? 23 iPhone? 23 A. Late last year, I think. 24 24 A. Do I have --Towards the -- do you think possibly 25 25 Q. Do you have --December? I'm not looking for a specific 206 208

		1	
1	date.	1	A. I don't think so.
2	A. Possibly, yeah.	2	Q. Okay. So you wouldn't have lost any of the
3	Q. Okay. Can you tell me why you switched phone	3	text messages that have been sent to or from
4	numbers and devices?	4	you with regard to the new phone?
5	A. Old old device, running out of storage. I	5	A. I don't suppose that I would have.
6	didn't have a contract so	6	Q. Okay. And the old phone, I believe you
7	Q. Okay. Did you keep the old device?	7	testified that you gave you erased the
8	A. For a while I did.	8	information that was on the old phone and
9	Q. And where is it now?	9	gave it to a friend of yours in January or
10	A. I gave it to a friend. Cleared it off, reset	10	February of this year?
1,1	it to factory settings, and gave it to a	11	A. Sometime early this year, yeah.
12	friend of mine who couldn't afford to buy a	12	Q. Okay. What I'm shifting topics back to
13	new one.	13	the the devices that you turned over to
14	Q. Okay. And when did you do that	14	Arnold & Porter in connection with the
15	approximately?	15	subpoena. What computers or other electronic
16	A. January, February, sometime in there.	16	devices did you use to read the contents of
17	Q. All right. And is that you testified	17	those hard drives or thumb drives?
18	earlier when you were asked about the	18	A. A laptop.
19	being whether you're in possession of the	19	Q. Was it just one laptop?
20	text messages with Mr. Speas that some of the	20	A. Yes.
21	old text messages had been deleted. Were	21	Q. And do you still have possession of the
22	they when you talked about	22	laptop?
23	A. That's why I got a	23	A. Yes, I do.
24	Q them being	24	Q. Okay.
25	A new phone.	25	MR. BRANCH: All right. If we can go
	200		011
	209		211
1	MR. SPARKS: Let him	1	off the record for a couple minutes, I'm just
2	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	2	about done.
3	MR. SPARKS: Let him answer	3	MS. SCULLY: I want to talk about
4	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	4	something.
5	MR. SPARKS: ask the question,	5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
6	please.	6	record. The time is 3:15 p.m.
7	BY MR. BRANCH:	7	(Whereupon, there was a recess in the
8	Q. Yeah. Well, I think you you can go	8	proceedings from 3:15 p.m. to 3:18 p.m.)
9	ahead and explain. Can you tell me what	9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
10	happened?	10	record. The time is 3:18 p.m.
11	A. Yeah. My phone started running out of	11	MR. BRANCH: Nothing further.
12	storage, it couldn't do the updates, and as	12	MR. SPARKS: Nothing from me.
13	it ran more and more out of storage, it was	13	MR. JONES: Nothing from me either.
14	dropping it was dropping things like text	14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the
15	messages and yeah. Both the iPhones and	15	video deposition. Time going off the record
16	the androids do that so	16	is 3:18 p.m.
17	Q. Okay. And then after it was dropping text	17	[SIGNATURE RESERVED]
18	messages, you went and got a new phone?	18	[DEPOSITION CONCLUDED AT 3:18 P.M.]
19	A. You know, as at my earliest convenience I	19	-
20	got a new phone.	20	
21	Q. Okay. And and to the extent that	21	
22	you've well, strike that.	22	
23	Has have you encountered the same	23	
24	problems with dropping phone calls and text	24	
25	messages since you've had your new phone?	25	
1	210		212

1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT	¹ STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)) CERTIFICATE
³ I, STEPHANIE HOFELLER, declare under the	² COUNTY OF WAKE)
4 penalties of perjury under the State of North	3
5 Carolina that I have read the foregoing 212 pages,	I, LISA A. WHEELER, RPR, CRR, Court
6 which contain a correct transcription of answers	5 Reporter and Notary Public, the officer before whom
made by me to the question therein recorded, with	6 the foregoing proceeding was conducted, do hereby
8 the exception(s) and/or addition(s) reflected on	7 certify that the witness whose testimony appears in
9 the correction sheet attached hereto, if any.	8 the foregoing proceeding was duly sworn by me; that
Signed this, the day of	⁹ the testimony of said witness was taken by me to
11, 2019.	the best of my ability and thereafter transcribed
12	by me; and that the foregoing pages, inclusive,
13	constitute a true and accurate transcription of the
1.4	testimony of the witness.
15 STEPHANIE HOFELLER	I do further certify that I am neither
16	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
¹⁷ State of:	parties to this action and, further, that I am not
18 County of:	a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereof, nor financially or
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	employed by the parties thereof, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of said action.
²⁰ day of, 2019.	other wise interested in the outcome of said action.
21	²⁰ This the 20th day of May, 2019.
22	22
Notary Public	Lisa A. Wheeler, RPR, CRR
24 My commission expires:	24 Notary Public #19981350007
25	25
1 ERRATA SHEET 2 Case Name: COMMON CAUSE, ET AL. VS. DAVID R. 3 LEWIS, ET AL. 4 Witness Name: STEPHANIE HOFELLER	
5 Deposition Date: FRIDAY, MAY 17, 2019	
6 Page/Line Reads Should Read	
7 /	
8 / 1	
9 /	
10 /	
11 /	
12 /	
13 /	
14 /	
15 /	
16 /	
17 /	
18 /	
19 /	
20 /	
21 /	
22 /	
23 /	
24	
25 Signature Date	
214	

May 17, 2019 STEPHANIE HOFELLER

A	141:21 169:1	103:23 169:15	1 212.0	
			213:8	anyway 40:7
à 119:16	186:24	184:16 189:14	Android 207:2,5	131:1,4
a.m 1:15 5:3	ad 4:19,22 11:18	agree 197:12,17	207:11	apartment 20:11
43:21,23,23,25	176:1 181:24	199:1	androids 210:16	20:16,20,25
86:17,19,19,21	182:2,4,7,12	agreed 197:6	anecdotal 32:15	22:5,9 23:14
Abha 162:14	182:15,17	agreement	34:15 140:14	24:10 25:20
ability 94:23	183:21 189:19	178:22 193:4	angry 99:8	26:12 27:6,21
215:10	ad-stick 11:20	193:17 194:7	ans 202:16,16	35:19 38:24
able 24:20 28:18	ad-sticks 23:21	ahead 8:1 10:20	answer 7:19,24	47:10 52:21
28:23 29:8	adapter 29:4	14:20 34:5	8:1,8 12:22	112:13
31:17,17 37:7	add 19:6	66:23 75:16	42:8 48:1	apologize
62:23 84:20	addition(s)	79:18 81:24	56:22 65:13	114:25 169:5
85:15 130:14	213:8	106:15 144:5	66:7 67:6	apparently
146:14 147:7	additional 15:13	157:19 159:22	68:13 69:12,14	72:23 168:3
156:10 160:16	21:14 138:19	172:12,15	69:15,22 71:11	187:18
194:16,21	address 9:20	173:25 183:12	71:18 75:16	appeal 34:10,23
194.10,21	15:9,10 82:16	186:22 203:23	79:18 80:1	60:15 102:25
absolutely 26:9	130:13 132:16	210:9	81:24 86:8	103:7,14,20
•	141:21 191:14	ahold 71:7	88:14,20 112:8	appeals 34:12
63:1 173:24	191:14 196:12	al 1:4,8 5:6,9	118:1 137:16	appear 15:4
200:5	196:20 197:5	214:2,3	145:25 156:12	46:11 77:20
academic 103:3	197:11 198:15	Alexandria	159:22 160:3	154:18 199:23
105:5 145:14	199:16,17,20	24:24 51:25	170:23 172:3	appearance 78:1
accept 143:21	199:25 200:1	alive 30:13	170:25 172:3	179:11 187:9
146:3,4 199:12	204:12 205:25	Allan 59:10	174:2,4 180:11	appeared 12:4
accepted 145:6	addressed 15:7	allegations	180:12 183:14	25:9 54:25
accepting 35:5	44:18 129:1	178:9,11,15,17	186:22 194:5	79:20 149:19
access 160:16	adequate 146:16	178:24	201:4 204:4,6	155:25
164:13	Adjudication	alleged 178:25	207:10 210:3	appearing 129:5
accommodate	4:16 177:10	179:3,8	answered 57:8	appears 44:17
196:8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	75:15 76:6	
accuracy 66:11	admissibility	alleges 179:5	79:22 81:23	45:21 46:10,21 76:16,17,19,25
accurate 8:4	102:20 134:5,6	allegiance 37:20		
126:22 160:24	admitted 37:17	allegiances 38:1	86:7 106:22	77:9 127:4
215:12	advance 75:18	allow 191:13	113:16 145:21	168:20 189:21
accurately	109:4 133:1	199:12	145:23 158:8	215:7
140:12	141:5,25	allowed 88:12	160:1 165:16	Application
accusation	151:21	104:2 137:19	165:17,20	4:17 177:11
67:15	adverse 202:11	alongside 105:2	200:21,22	appointed 58:24
accustomed 55:6	202:22	alter 18:24	201:3 202:18	179:15 181:24
114:8 133:16	affairs 201:9,15	altered 131:12	202:23	182:8,15,18
160:19	201:22	amazing 196:17	answering 80:8	185:2,7,10
achieve 133:3	affiliated 104:19	ambiguous	answers 213:6	186:13 188:17
Acknowledged	affirmed 6:11	64:17 66:6	antagonistic	189:1
7:20	afford 209:12	American	90:14,18 91:4	appointing
acting 190:5	afternoon	201:23	202:22	176:1 184:24
action 103:5	195:17	amount 83:12	antagonize	appointment
215:16,19	age 139:24	140:20 163:19	197:4	4:17,21 177:11
actions 179:13	152:21	amounted 72:5	anybody 150:20	182:12 183:19
179:14	ago 36:22 48:16	and- 2:6,21	anymore 40:12	Appreciate
	60 0 7 00 00	1/ 71 10	205.16	105.20
actual 28:19	68:25 80:23	and/or 71:10	205:16	195:20

appropriate	ascertain 201:7	assumption	114:9 115:6	123:12,21
29:2 62:9	aside 83:12,16	46:17,18 205:6	119:22 122:23	129:25 130:17
96:17	83:20 124:8	208:12	125:22 133:16	142:11 161:10
approximately	151:4 169:3	assure 29:18	134:14 136:18	172:19 181:1
93:7 96:18	asked 11:2 26:18	assured 40:8	144:7 201:8,16	188:15 190:22
97:1 108:22	30:24 40:2	attached 194:8	201:19,25	195:13 200:25
110:17 124:21	47:25,25 51:14	213:9	August 171:16	211:12 212:9
132:13 138:25	57:7 63:13,17	Attachments	171:20 172:23	backed 29:14
165:1 208:21	71:5,9,17,20	4:15	172:24 173:7	87:15
209:15	71:21,22,23,24	attempt 66:10	authenticate	background
archival 103:3	72:7 75:14	73:9,13 75:17	136:25 171:23	148:16
archive 150:6	76:5 81:23	75:20 130:7	author 33:2	backup 11:21
area 141:1	86:6 102:2	attempts 73:12	authorization	12:6 78:25
Aria 162:10	106:21 109:17	140:3	198:13	122:15
Arnold 2:3 5:17	110:1 112:5,6	attend 171:9	available 54:3	backups 51:23
6:19 15:8	113:15 121:7	attention 33:7	109:6	56:16 71:13
44:18 45:5,10	122:16 139:11	44:12 55:7	Avenue 2:4,19	78:2 84:2
45:11,12 46:22	142:10 145:9	76:14 78:11	average 140:7	87:10,21,25
46:24 47:2,17	145:20 158:8	160:21 188:14	201:23	111:10 146:22
48:14,18 49:9	165:17 194:2	189:12	aware 33:16,17	bag 23:19,20
59:16 60:8	200:20 201:2	attitude 144:10	34:9 40:9	BakerHostetler
64:10 65:8,18	202:18,22	attorney 5:22	57:10 58:22	2:17 6:5
67:20 68:17	209:18	10:1,2,16 27:2	59:1 133:15	band 78:9 80:24
69:6,19 74:12	asking 68:20	31:18 58:11	168:15,24	bank 54:5
75:5 76:3 79:5	108:8 121:23	59:8,8 68:7,14	169:15 181:23	base 129:23
79:12 80:12	137:11 148:5	69:3,24 70:5,6	182:6,11,16,25	based 106:4
82:21,23 83:1	172:10 185:20	70:9 82:10	183:15,21,25	137:16 145:15
89:2 113:4,13	197:4,10	91:12,14 96:2	184:4,23 185:2	146:1 179:13
114:20,24	203:10 208:12	96:4,4,7,8,17	185:6,9,16,21	183:1 208:12
131:21 134:22	assembled 12:5	97:7 98:16	188:16,25	basic 19:24
136:11 146:10	Assembly	107:8 114:12	189:5 192:25	41:14 50:4
147:15 148:24	204:15,21	123:25 131:24	193:9 194:6,23	84:17 122:24
149:15 150:9	205:7	131:24 141:18	202:6,10 204:2	basically 108:5,8
150:20,25	assert 51:17	183:2,9 185:13	204:13,18,19	131:2 139:9,11
151:7 157:25	asserted 95:24	185:17 186:1,5	204:24	154:10 158:25
161:23 163:16	177:18	186:7,21 190:2	awareness	169:20
163:22 164:5	asserting 49:23	190:6 192:21	119:13 185:18	basis 179:1
164:15,25	assertion 99:15	193:6,8,14,22	194:1,2	180:8 195:25
165:11,25	assertion 33:13	193:23 199:19		beat 119:17
166:13,17,20	179:17	200:18 215:17	В	begins 5:4
211:14	assistant 179:11	attorney-client	B 4:7	begun 102:5
arrival 52:13	associated	62:17,19 69:11	back 21:12 26:1	behalf 61:25
arrived 49:13	206:15 207:17	attorney-in-fact	28:10,13,15	199:13
article 33:10,21	207:23	179:15	32:21 38:10	belief 56:14
59:21 102:8,23	assume 64:1	attorneys 37:15	42:12 43:24	100:9
169:10 173:12	74:18 78:15	62:13 67:10	46:8 51:24	believe 6:25 38:2
articles 84:9,11	assumed 56:1,19	93:19 95:18	55:13,19 59:21	46:7,14 47:24
84:12 173:5,9	87:24 116:4	97:11 101:24	74:4,8,9 76:8	48:6 52:24
173:13	assuming	104:10,13	86:20 89:8	53:4,15 58:17
articulate 88:22	186:19	107:2,6 114:8	107:22 123:1	62:8 63:8 74:3
		107.2,0111.0		02.0 03.0 7 1.3
				217

76:8 82:15	117:17 125:25	43:18 47:4	111:2,15	cartography
84:10 91:8	140:13 145:13	86:12,13 123:3	120:24 122:12	140:5
92:13 100:3,3	158:24 200:25	123:5 161:5	125:14,14,16	case 5:12 9:2,6
105:17 107:17	206:18	180:11,16	125:17 130:8	9:17 17:8 31:2
107:24 111:14	blankly 137:14	208:6	132:7 136:12	35:4 36:3
121:4,4 123:18	blue 25:4 78:9	brief 7:8 25:24	136:22 137:1,4	38:14 42:12,18
125:6 126:20	78:10,11,12,13	130:7 132:20	137:4 138:17	44:9 55:23
143:8 156:7	Bluetooth 139:3	132:22	138:22,25	58:13,14,17,18
170:12 180:6,7	blur 190:18	briefly 25:1	139:15 140:17	60:11 90:24
181:21 183:5	blurry 88:4	42:15 189:20	called 101:2	103:2 105:10
184:16 185:8	Board 2:11 5:23	bring 13:24	121:16,20	111:4 116:23
186:8 189:13	Bob 31:15 36:25	143:2	130:2 136:17	119:21 124:18
195:6 196:18	89:12 93:16	bringing 99:5	calls 62:3 73:11	127:3 168:16
198:23 204:23	94:6,10 162:24	Brooks 8:24	87:18 108:25	199:20 204:14
211:6	body 189:6	brought 32:14	109:5 139:4	214:2
believed 35:17	bold 62:15	33:6 91:22	210:24	cases 84:5
114:3 179:9	Bolton 188:18	135:9	camps 100:12	cash 53:20
183:4	188:21	burden 183:4	camps 100.12 capacity 1:7 5:7	casual 25:24
bell 187:25	bolts 124:13	buried 22:25	203:17	121:21 138:6
belonged 12:13	book 24:2 33:16	30:2	caption 175:24	153:18,22
25:21 40:2	bookshelf 24:9	business 27:2	car 137:12	157:12
50:5 82:1	bore 73:18	51:9,18 55:1	138:22 139:3	caught 153:2
112:3,14	bored 159:9	55:13 56:24	card 52:20 53:21	cause 1:4 5:6
belonging 13:2	bottom 10:21	57:4 59:15	53:23 54:1,5	31:9,14,17,25
beltway 140:16	15:19 167:5	60:7 61:7,7,11	care 9:24 22:23	32:13 33:24
beneficiaries	box 15:4,21	69:5 70:15,17	37:20	36:13 37:1,7
120:18	22:21 23:2	70:23 71:1	career 61:2	38:12 56:12
beneficiary	26:3 29:3	72:5 74:15	careful 19:6	57:20,24 59:6
82:10	44:17,21 45:3	75:12,24 76:2	29:21 134:14	59:16 60:7
benefit 43:11	45:4,6,7 112:7	81:19,19 82:17	Carolina 1:1,19	76:4 89:9,10
best 14:1 64:20	133:4 163:21	82:18 83:23	2:12,14,24 3:4	89:24 90:10,14
65:5,16 67:12	box-style 24:2	108:10 127:11	3:9,18 5:11,22	90:25 91:3
91:16 94:23	boxes 23:23	142:6 145:17	8:14 11:8	92:8,16 98:4
110:14,25	Braden 133:22	business-style	12:20 13:4,14	98:14 100:25
115:1,9,11	Branch 3:3 4:4	110:24	33:18 35:24	104:18 106:24
124:11 126:25	5:24,24 88:5,8	businesses	52:17 79:7,14	125:23 162:20
134:17 138:16	162:11 167:10	202:12	80:6 88:9,13	163:1,3,6,9,23
140:22 186:23	167:12 174:6	busy 95:16	90:8 91:5	173:14,17
196:8,18	174:25 195:16	buy 209:12	105:7,8 128:6	175:25 183:5
215:10	195:18 197:21		129:8 204:15	200:16 201:1
better 99:16	197:24 198:11	C	204:20,21	201:13,19
114:21 115:13	198:21 199:8	C 2:1 3:1 5:1	213:5 215:1	202:5,7 203:7
132:5 156:10	200:8,12,15	162:10 213:1	Carolina's 33:12	214:2
208:2	201:4 202:24	215:1,1	34:22	CC 133:20
beyond 62:21	203:1,5,10	cable 29:2	Caroline 67:11	CC'd 133:12,18
76:21 104:22	206:5,9 207:8	cables 29:4	119:2 125:21	cell 108:10 206:6
105:10 119:2	207:9 210:7	call 11:20 12:1	126:1 133:15	206:7
bias 37:16	211:25 212:11	94:1,1,4 95:18	137:6 138:12	census 43:2
bit 33:6 88:3,3	brand 78:21	108:12 109:23	138:17 142:23	centered 40:6
99:23 103:9	break 8:7,9	110:7,8,12,18	143:20 153:23	154:1
33.25 105.9			1.0.20 100.20	
				218

1-919-424-8242

	1	1	1	1
certain 52:25	childhood 22:21	clients 62:1	57:20,24 59:6	162:16,19,20
73:17 84:2,4	203:16	close 109:13	59:15 60:7	166:24 170:18
101:19 103:19	children 29:24	132:19 140:25	76:4 89:9,10	182:19 188:20
103:24 127:10	30:1 51:3	closed 105:22	89:24 90:10,14	189:9 191:8,16
127:10 132:17	54:19 80:20	closer 140:22	90:25 91:3	191:21 192:1
197:13 204:6	83:4,11 85:9	coffin 23:1	92:8,16 98:4	205:10
certainly 42:22	85:10 148:13	Coie 162:17	98:14 100:25	communiques
67:9 68:2	150:5	164:8	104:18 106:24	109:15
136:3 155:17	children's 150:5	col- 195:8	125:22 162:20	community
173:8	choose 64:22	colleague 196:2	163:1,3,6,8,23	20:14 72:14
certificate 4:14	65:19	colleagues 97:25	173:14,17	comparison
189:22	choosing 67:15	149:1 166:6	200:16 201:1	85:21
certify 215:7,14	chose 37:21	collected 51:16	201:13,19	
•			· ·	compel 197:19 197:20
cetera 30:19	Chris 72:9	143:14	202:4,7 203:7	
chain 67:13	cigarette 86:15	collective 66:10	214:2	compensations
115:14	circumstances	colloquialism	communicate	163:24
Chairman 1:7	111:25 170:17	119:16 136:19	75:3 89:21	competence
5:7	civil 35:14 61:15	Color 4:11	91:10	91:20 153:12
challenge 91:19	63:24 124:1	colors 17:25	communicated	competency
challenged	clar- 66:14	combination	74:13 91:17	200:19
204:25	clarification	94:8	93:24 109:8	complete 8:4
challenging	49:6 122:17	come 25:15	communicating	65:2
153:11	clarified 64:20	26:21 27:5	81:7 185:25	completely 43:8
chance 23:6	65:4,15 66:12	36:6 37:16	193:21	49:3 103:21
113:1 114:10	66:13 67:3	47:9 71:25	communication	comprehend
153:4	clarifies 64:8	74:8 103:4	62:17 89:11,14	201:12
change 31:3	clarify 12:24	104:4 125:19	89:20 92:20	comprehension
74:6 208:17	36:4 46:4	139:11 154:22	93:4,8 94:10	201:14
changed 73:19	49:10 67:9	154:24 160:16	97:10 107:2	computer 12:6,9
88:14 202:21	106:10 111:15	169:7	109:1,21 121:1	26:20,23 27:8
208:18	112:20 150:21	comes 101:5	122:23 123:17	28:23 29:8,15
changes 19:10	153:5 160:2	comfortable	123:22,25	30:12 71:15
19:18 199:15	165:21 166:8	135:7,10	124:19 133:11	79:1 83:8
changing 88:21	clarifying 69:2	coming 99:20	135:13 149:11	87:14 112:24
characterizati	96:1	101:5 141:18	152:3 162:21	154:21 156:7
178:9	classic 116:9	comment 32:22	173:3 186:5	157:16
characterize	clean 19:16	36:18 59:23	187:5 193:7	computers 70:18
91:6	clear 12:25	commented	communicatio	70:21 87:5,15
characterizes	23:19 27:9	36:11,12	62:19 68:21	87:22 89:4
67:2	56:22 57:13,15	commingled	89:8 92:19	142:5 155:7
charged 147:1	101:5 104:3	128:24	94:11 95:3	211:15
173:20	116:18,19	commission	96:18 106:5	concern 61:18
charges 52:20	125:20 130:22	213:24	107:5 108:22	69:4 98:21
check 49:20	140:10 147:9	Committee 1:8	130:9 132:14	101:10 105:9
163:13	197:25 204:3	5:8	133:9 135:24	concerned 29:25
checked 48:24	Cleared 209:10	Common 1:4 5:6	136:5,7,10	37:18 62:14
155:1	clearing 132:25	31:9,13,17,25	141:13,19	105:23 146:19
child 139:20	clearing 132:23 clearly 35:22,23	32:12 33:24	157:5 161:13	159:8 183:17
179:10,11,14	118:9	36:13,25 37:6	161:16,19	206:18
205:13	client's 62:23	38:12 56:12	[* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
203:13	CHEHT 8 02:23	30.12 30:12	162:7,10,13,14	concerning
	I	I .	1	219

63:25 71:6	49:17	contention	126:11 127:15	128:21 131:15
91:1	consider 81:8	117:16	129:16,19,22	143:25 144:25
concerns 64:5	83:5	contents 18:24	130:6 133:25	145:19 146:6
68:15 179:13	considerations	28:19 29:9	135:18 145:15	148:9,11
205:24	163:24	45:4,6 49:8	153:14 154:6	149:17 151:1
concluded 183:1	considered	76:18 115:3	156:25 157:2	155:11 159:20
212:18	81:12,15,20,21	211:16	161:19,22	163:16 164:22
concludes	81:25	context 98:24	162:2,3,6,9	165:2,3 166:21
212:14	considering 64:1	99:14,22 102:8	166:18	171:10,17
conclusion 62:4	84:20 142:15	119:6 143:2	copied 146:23	177:7,8 178:7
123:18	177:1	154:4 197:8	copies 30:7 83:3	179:3 184:19
conditional	consistent 208:3	198:2	146:8,12	184:23,25
50:24	consistently	continue 74:6	147:14,16	185:11 189:14
condolences	27:22	172:1,2 203:24	148:7,10,12,22	191:24 192:4,5
93:21	constant 152:2	continued 3:1	149:8 150:18	193:18 194:23
conduct 67:21	constitute	34:7 110:2	165:12 166:11	205:6,9 208:13
conducted	215:12	139:6	168:3	213:6
164:11 215:6	constitutional	contract 209:6	copy 82:11	correction 213:9
conference	90:4	contradictions	107:11 146:14	correctly 36:24
136:22 137:1	consult 122:25	99:3	146:17 166:5	92:4 155:5
confident 156:4	consultant 61:20	control 40:11	167:15,23	counsel 2:2,11
confidential	149:2 203:19	convenience	168:10,17,20	2:16 3:2,6 5:13
197:7,8,12,13	consulting 68:6	210:19	168:21,25	7:21 38:4
confidentiality	113:24	conversation	corner 24:1	44:14 67:21
117:25	contact 21:25	25:24 31:23	corpse 112:19	68:3,14 69:1
confirm 24:20	71:6 75:17,20	36:5 50:14	correct 7:7 8:15	69:18 78:7
50:4 80:3	108:9	51:6 56:23	9:8,22 10:1	191:17 193:12
104:10,12	contacted 31:8	57:2 60:5	12:2,11 19:22	195:18 198:5
131:11 156:10	31:13 36:25	93:10,13,23	20:18 30:13,14	215:15,17
156:18	170:5 171:1	94:13,19 96:24	38:9,15 48:10	counsel's 88:10
confirmed 93:15	contacting 37:2	97:4,14 100:4	48:11 50:19,20	count 147:13
confirming 95:6	37:6 98:4	101:21,23	50:22 51:12,13	country 203:15
confused 42:5	contain 79:2	102:5 104:6	51:22 58:8,20	County 1:2 5:11
103:9 157:25	213:6	107:16 110:24	58:25 61:8,9	187:6 189:1,9
confusion	contained 12:19	113:18 118:24	61:13,14,16	213:18 215:2
166:10	13:9 46:3	121:3,22	62:2,25 63:1,2	couple 10:19
congressional	62:11 64:14	122:20 123:19	63:7,15,16	16:12 22:15,16
85:16 103:25	70:23 76:11,24	124:22 125:6	65:9,20 70:17	24:21 41:11,14
connect 29:7	77:14,18 84:3	125:11 126:17	74:13 76:12,13	94:21 133:12
31:24	85:3 89:1,4	126:21 138:6	77:14,15 79:8	147:18 195:8
connected 28:23	94:24 113:21	141:14 143:10	80:12 81:10	212:1
38:13	113:22 127:22	153:22 154:1	87:6,10,11	couples 160:20
Connecticut	128:25 150:24	157:12 158:21	89:12,13 90:12	course 26:5
2:19	containing 15:1	158:24 160:18	90:16 91:5	31:20 32:7
connection 25:2	contains 62:16	161:24 187:15	92:7 105:19	38:21 40:16
37:8 38:8	62:18	conversations	106:7,20 108:1	41:2 56:9
51:11 61:19	Contemporan	36:6 38:19,22	108:20 109:2	84:13,14 112:8
139:3 190:15	185:23	59:5 92:14	112:22 113:8,9	148:12 187:7
211:14	content 28:20	99:24 101:14	113:14 116:1	court 1:1,1 3:16
connectors	116:22 154:20	113:10 118:18	123:22 128:20	5:10,10,15
				220

67:13 96:14	213:1	102:6,8 161:14	3:2	device 18:12
103:16 104:1	D.C 2:4,19 15:9	214:2	defendants 1:9	46:25 49:1
106:12,13	131:24	day 21:5 47:2	2:11,16 5:25	54:15 76:15
169:17 183:16	dad 116:12	60:14 136:20	6:3,6 44:9	78:22 207:12
183:22 184:23	149:21	169:12 188:1	116:20 117:18	207:13 208:10
185:2 186:13	Dad's 55:15	192:24 208:15	118:11 195:19	209:5,7
187:6 193:4,16	Dale 26:21,22,22	213:10,20	definitely 137:6	devices 11:7,15
197:18,19	26:23,25 55:1	215:20	degree 62:25	11:21 12:7,8
198:19 199:3	55:11 60:4	days 28:12 52:25	193:10	12:13,19 13:9
215:4	61:8 71:6,10	53:5 74:4 93:1	delayed 13:25	13:15,16 15:1
courts 196:21	71:10,17 72:2	97:1 176:20,21	delete 19:4	15:15,22 17:20
cousin 71:24	72:10,12,21,23	176:24 177:6	deleted 209:21	18:19,20,22,25
72:19 120:2	73:2 74:13,23	177:16 187:16	deliver 134:25	19:5,13,19,25
cover 25:8 78:10	74:24 75:2,4	187:21	demographer	20:5,8,24 21:7
78:11,13,16,17	86:24 87:14	dead 74:25	154:5	21:10,15 23:11
78:21 195:23	89:4 142:8,21	80:22 82:2	demographics	23:15 24:17
196:3	142:25 143:3,9	100:7,18	105:2 140:5	25:3,11 27:18
covered 71:8	143:16,22	Deakins 2:22 6:2	Department	27:20 28:15,19
128:5,13	144:18 151:12	deal 98:24	2:12	29:7 30:16,20
135:25 196:2	154:11 155:6	dealing 98:22	deponent 3:6 6:8	31:1,4,8,22
covers 33:2	Daley 32:16,22	118:20	deposition 1:12	32:9,12 33:24
coworker	33:1,11 59:21	death 20:17	5:4 7:9 48:3	35:17 36:1
165:24	102:6,8	21:21,24 27:7	136:24 141:6,9	37:3 38:11,23
coworkers 97:24	Daley's 33:16,21	27:15 41:22	156:16 212:15	39:4 41:5
150:18	Dalton 27:1	42:4 100:2,5	212:18 214:5	42:11,17 54:15
Cox 2:13 5:21	142:9 146:2	100:12 101:8	describe 102:13	111:7,11
5:21	Daniel 161:20	112:13,15	DESCRIPTION	122:14 207:16
Crabtree 53:17	data 18:12 19:19	170:19	4:8	208:14,18
created 51:10	28:6 30:20	deathbed 100:20	designed 139:23	209:4 211:13
83:24	43:2 64:22	debit 53:21,22	desk 22:16	211:16
creating 30:21	65:5,17,18,20	54:1,5	desktop 27:8	died 21:18 72:4
creative 60:22	77:5 79:21	decade 36:22	148:16	154:17
credit 52:20	80:17,18 81:10	deceased 20:11	destroyed 81:3	different 46:13
crime 173:21	112:24 113:6	32:21 81:17	detail 98:11	77:4,5 78:3
criminology	116:15 118:4,5	152:6 173:18	110:21 111:5,7	84:7 131:23
149:2	118:6 127:23	December 101:1	detailed 95:25	167:25 203:1
cross 159:4	127:24,24,25	107:17,24	114:2	206:13 207:16
CRR 1:23 3:17	128:1,17,18,19	120:25 124:23	details 21:14	208:14
215:4,23	128:20,24	190:17 208:25	52:12 60:18	differently
curious 60:11	129:4	decide 114:9	91:11 113:1	110:5
145:9,10	date 5:3 10:4	decided 14:1	203:12,14,19	difficult 77:23
Current 206:22	117:15 181:11	deciding 79:24	203:24	83:2,9 84:23
custody 67:14	181:12 192:25	decision 134:21	determinations	85:11 193:9
115:14	209:1 214:5,25	135:1,2	63:12,14	dig 30:3
cut 119:9	dated 10:5	decisions 64:25	determine 62:10	direct 82:13
CV 63:11	181:14	declare 213:3	63:21 64:13	146:2
CVS 1:2 5:12	dates 191:2	decorative	83:9 157:7	directed 191:23
	195:4	148:17	158:3	direction 64:7
<u> </u>	David 1:7 5:6	def- 6:2	development	directive 129:6
D 4:1 5:1 213:1	32:16,22 59:20	Defendant-Int	36:7	directly 38:13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
				221

45:9,12 73:4	31:20 32:4,7	185:13,15,16	57:1,5,12	94:11,20,21,24
104:19 127:5	33:20 37:1	187:20 196:17	59:22 60:1	95:3,8,10,13
131:3,5,14	51:7 57:24	197:7 198:4	62:11 64:24	96:18 130:9
141:25 152:12	58:3,9	199:13,23	67:19,20 70:24	132:13 133:8
153:11,11	disguised 36:16	doing 8:9 108:9	70:24 74:11,12	133:10
182:23 193:13	dismiss 4:24	114:8 122:1	77:10,18,19,25	e-mailed 130:12
director 31:16	193:2,16	129:24,24	78:8 80:15,15	e-mails 53:25
disagree 179:17	displayed 22:23	134:2,4 173:6	81:11,13 87:9	ear 116:13
199:1	dispute 200:19	dollars 132:21	87:9,16,16	earlier 9:5 30:12
disclosed 35:10	distinguish	domestic 196:16	89:1,2 100:24	44:8,15 48:6
40:13 56:18	85:15	door 22:19	101:15 102:7,9	58:18 61:6,16
discovered	distributed	dot 159:4	104:15 111:18	70:14 78:6,14
56:25	166:11	Doug 186:20	112:22,22	80:14 86:23
discoveries	district 85:17	187:1,9 188:1	113:12,13,20	89:9 131:13
56:21	districts 32:20	Douglas 59:12	113:12,13,20	135:5 166:16
discovery 3:16	33:13 34:22	186:8	114:5,6 117:11	168:15,23
35:11,11,12,12	35:4 43:3	drained 122:3	117:11 118:7	169:3 171:13
35:14 40:15	103:25 169:17	draped 23:1	134:22,23	200:21 209:18
56:19	Ditched 165:7	drawer 147:21	135:16,16	earliest 210:19
discriminated	divide 100:11	147:23	146:9,9,12,13	early 50:9 59:4
37:18	Division 1:1	drawers 22:16	147:19,20	89:15 92:24
discuss 31:21	5:11	23:24 24:4	155:8,9 164:12	96:25 190:18
59:13 73:10,14	divorce 81:4	29:3	164:12 165:10	211:11
97:19 98:12	doctors 150:4	drawing 11:8	165:11 211:17	easy 71:6
100:22 113:1	document 9:15	46:1,1 205:12	211:17	echoing 116:13
118:25 119:20	44:13 46:9	205:17	driving 83:15	Eddie 5:19
124:16,17	52:21 63:15,18	drawn 56:8	85:1 141:3	67:11 107:10
127:20 186:10	63:21,22,23	90:22	drop 74:7	107:25 119:1
discussed 38:11	152:10 175:22	drew 36:20	dropped 7:3	125:21 126:1
41:6 55:22	176:4,6,17	drive 8:18 11:20	136:23	133:15 137:7
56:3,14 59:18	177:5,9,13,15	32:24 45:22,25	dropping 139:4	142:22 153:23
70:8 97:3	178:1 181:5,14	46:2,3,6,12,13	210:14,14,17	Edenton 2:14
110:23 113:7	181:19 184:14	46:15,20 49:17	210:24	edge 153:20
119:11 122:19	184:17,21	54:20,21,22,24	drops 139:5	Edwin 2:8
128:10,14	185:1 187:21	55:10,17,21	due 196:25	135:19
135:22 136:6	189:16 192:12	56:3 59:23	duly 215:8	effects 113:2
161:25	192:15,18	74:19 76:11,17	dump 107:21	effort 78:19
discussing 13:16	193:1	76:19,25 77:11	duplicate 155:17	108:25 112:16
23:11 32:9	documentation	77:14 78:2	155:18	efforts 55:12
33:11 35:1	65:2	80:2,2,3,4	duplicative 89:3	79:10
36:8 57:19,22	documents	146:21,22	dying 27:11	eight 16:19 93:1
102:18 127:19	10:22 11:2	150:1 156:2	113:3	96:25
160:14	29:24 52:16,18	drives 11:18,25		either 24:22
discussion 34:8	73:18,18,19	12:1 16:2,7,8,9	<u>E</u>	57:16 60:19
40:16 41:2	79:13 81:1	16:12,23,24	E 2:1,1 3:1,1,3,4	116:19,24
67:10,12 98:6	83:24 84:4	17:21,21 23:20	4:1,7 5:1,1	120:9 141:8,20
110:2 114:23	85:5 96:11,12	23:25 24:7,7	213:1,1,1,1,1	143:15 151:12
115:24 119:4	96:14 120:16	29:5 34:7 36:5	214:1,1,1	153:14 207:11
132:22 142:17	146:6 163:16	46:13,23 47:1	215:1,1	212:13
discussions	175:5,10	47:14,14 50:10	e-mail 94:6,10	Elections 2:11
				222

5:23 electronic 10:15 Erin 18:24,14 42:21 103:1 104:2,16 42:21 103:1 104:2,16 105:19,21,23 125:17 106:21 15:16 106:21 15:16 106:21 15:16 106:21 15:16 129:10 130:10 130:10 129:10 130:10 130:10 129:10 130:10 130:10 129:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 130:10 13					
Ectronic 10:15	5:23	erased 211:7	35:2,5,19	Excuse 17:5	111:5 210:9
11:6,15 48:9					
48:13,17 64:10 err 64:6 force of 4:6 force of 4:6 force of 5:3:8 force of 7:18 force of 7:19, 21, 23 force of 2:10 force of 2:10 force of 7:18, 23:11 force of 7:18, 23 force of 7:18 force					_
80:15 82:20 errors 35:3 106:2 115:16 4:12,13,14,16 187:20 187:20 83:8 101:16 escully@bake 129:10 130:16 4:19,20,22,24 explaining 116:8 explaining 11			1		
83:8 101:16 155:10 164:20 211:15 esculty@bake 2:20 129:10 130:16 134:7 186:19 4:19,20,22,24 9:11,14 14:15 explaining 116:8 explicitly 56:1 211:15 element 38:3 Elias 162:7 Elizabeth 2:18 esquire 9:25 162:20 ex 139:15 159:13 4:19,20,22,224 45:17,21 46:21 explaining 116:8 explicitly 56:1 Elias 162:7 Elizabeth 2:18 esquire 9:25 131:25 exact (7:18 105:24 108:5 64:12 76:25 77:20 78:12 141:10 express 38:22 1157:19 118:9 129:7 6:4 44:7 101:7 essentially 29:14 131:25 113:25 203:22 116:10,17,18 233:27:10,13 78:12 141:10 167:6,8 168:8 167:0,25 77:20 167:6,5 [0,1] 175:6,6 [0,1] 175:6,6 [0,1] 175:6,6 [0,1] 175:18,23 express 33:22 157:19 157:19 207:20 express 33:22 157:19 157:19 207:20 express 33:22 157:19 157:19 207:20 express 33:22 157:19 157:19	/			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
135:10 164:20 21:20 cspeas@poyn cspeas cs				1 1 1	
211:15				1 1 1	
element 38:3 Elias 162:7 52:10 159:13 45:17,21 46:21 118:9 129:7 exposed 122:7		-		/	
Elias 162:7 Elizabeth 2:18 144:9 163:24 163:27 163:27 163:27 163:25 164:47 161:17 131:25 131:25 131:25 131:25 131:25 163:29,9,20 151:8,93:21 157:6,6,10,11 174:18,23 157:19 153:19 127:2,21 133:18 127:2,21 133:18 127:2,21 133:18 127:2,21 133:18 199:19 133:13 196:22 133:18 199:19 133:13 196:22 133:18 199:19 193:15 194:89 133:21 175:18,23 175:18,23 175:19,23 175:18,23 175:19,23 175:18,23 175:19,23 175:18,23 175:19,23 175:18,23 175:19,23 175:18,23 175:19,23 175:18,23 175:19,23 175:18,23 175:19,23 175:18,23 175:19,23 175:18,23 175:19,23 175:18,23 175:19,23 175:18,23 175:19,23 175					
Elizabeth 2:18		-		· ·	
6:4 44:7 161:17 161:17 161:17 203:22 203:22 203:22 203:32 203:32 203:32 203:32 213:18,13 22:33 212:2,21 22:33 213:19 203:		_		1	
161:17		_			
emotion 25:16 101:7 establish 71:25 203:22 exactly 15:5 16:10,17,18 23:3 27:10,13 175:16,6,10,11 175:18,23 175:19 168:9,9,20 177:18,23 175:16,6,10,11 175:18,23 175:16,6,10,11 175:18,23 175:19 51:8 93:21 157:19 emotions 99:3 153:19 130:13 196:22 establishment 203:9 67:8 71:6 85:1 42:9 64:24 107:23 120:12 180:24 181:5 180:24 181:5 180:21 181:5 180:21 181:5 180:22 184:14,18,21 180:21 184:6,8 181:10 184:6,8 181:17 191:22 168:25 183:18 158:25 176:6,17 177:6 176:6,17 177:6 176:6,17 177:6 176:6,17 177:6 176:6,17 177:6 176:6,17 177:6 181:20 184:24 181:20 184:6,8 181:20 184:6,8 181:20 184:6,8 181:20 184:6,8 181:20 184:14,18,21 182:21 184:14,18,21 182:22 188:8,9 184:19 4:14,18,21 182:21 184:14,18,21 182:22 188:8,9 183:21 183:15 150:15 189:12,16,21 177:10 118:13 177:10 119:3 178:12 210:21 178:10 119:3 178:12 210:21 178:10 119:3 178:12 210:21 178:12 210:21 178:13 199:19 178:14 210 178:14 210 178:14 210 179:10 118:13 179:10,14 17		•			
101:7				· ·	
emotionally established 23:3 27:10,13 175:6,6,10,11 expressing 42:919 120:5 emotions 99:3 130:13 196:22 67:8 71:6 85:1 176:6,17 177:6 153:24 42:19 120:5 tombions 99:3 130:13 196:22 67:8 71:6 85:1 176:6,17 177:6 153:24 42:19 120:5 emphasis 149:4 emphasis 149:4 203:9 107:23 120:12 180:24 181:5 extend 185:19 extend 185:19 extend 25:21 extend 185:19 extend 25:21 extend 185:19 extend 425:21 extend 185:19 extend 185:19 extend 185:19 extend 185:19 extend 425:21 extend 185:19 extend 425:21 extend 185:19 extend 425:21 extend 425:21 extend 185:19 extend 425:21 extend 425:21 extend 425:21 extend 425:21 extend 47:11 17:10 118:13 17:10 118:13 17:10 118:13 17:10 118:13 17:10 118:13 17:10 118:13 17:20:21 17:21 24:6 extend 47:20 existed 74:20 29:5 54:22,23 17:10 119:3 56:25 57:5 76:17,19 77:10 29:5 54:22,23 17:21 24:6 29:5 54:22,23 17:10 119:3 56:25 57:5 76:17,19 7				1 1	
122:3,3			1 1	1	
emotions 99:3 130:13 196:22 67:8 71:6 85:1 176:6,17 177:6 153:24 extend 185:19 employed 63:6 203:9 130:13 196:22 67:8 71:6 85:1 177:9 178:25 extend 185:19 ex	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 1 1	
153:19	-	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
emphasis 149:4 employed 63:6 z15:15,18 203:9 estate 4:13 42:3 state 4:15 4:3 42:3 state 4:15 4:3,4 6:15 44:5 state 4:13 42:3 state 4:15 4:3,4 6:15 44:5 state 4:13 42:3 state 4:13 42:3 state 4:15 4:3,4 6:15 44:5 state 4:13 42:3 state 4:14 149:19 state 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:15 4:15				/	
employed 63:6 215:15,18 estate 4:13 42:3 58:24 82:9 130:6 132:7 133:5 150:15 181:20 184:6,8 184:14,18,21 extensions 84:17 employee 215:17 employment 179:12 151:15 168:22 168:25 183:18 158:25 188:17 191:22 188:13,14,15 158:25 188:13,14,15 188:13,14,15 117:10 118:13 empty 16:12 enacted 204:20 205:2 185:11 186:12 188:17 191:22 examination 4:2 4:3,4 6:15 44:5 78:7 195:15 192:19 193:1 192:19 193:1 11:20,25 12:1 external 11:18 encouraged 21:11 estimate 83:21 179:10,14 examine 149:19 examined existed 74:20 172:10 119:3 172:12 24:6 existed 74:20 ends 118:1 183:23 184:1 179:10,14 et 1:4,8 5:6,9 30:19 214:2,3 etched 46:6 133:21 148:14 exasperate 118:2 159:16 expect 123:25 expect 123:25 76:17,19 77:10 2:11 entered 58:23 129:10 184:24 etched 46:6 2159:24 Excellent 6:24 7:8 18:10 18:2 159:16 expected 52:3 exchangel 33:5 expect 123:25 expecting 94:1 F entiret 49:8 56:6.9 203:15 entering 187:9 entire 49:8 56:18 80:7 115:2,10 entitled 116:11 event 198:12,23 event 198:12 exchangel 94:5 exchangel 33:5 exchangel 94:5 exchangel 30:4 expert 5:11 60:19 61:20,22 F entitled 116:11 117:25 118:16 133:18 199:19 evidence 14:2 133:18 199:19 evidence 14:2 133:18 199:19 evidence 14:2	emphasis 149:4				
215:15,18	_	estate 4:13 42:3			
employee 215:17 employment 96:3 120:21,22 151:15 168:22 151:15 168:22 168:25 183:18 192:20 194:17 188:13,14,15 192:19 193:1 192:19 193:1 192:19 193:1 193:15 194:8,9 exist 155:16 existed 74:20 193:15 194:8,9 exist 155:16 existed 74:20 193:15 194:8,9 exist 167:10 119:3 existence 119:5 examined 187:9 example 77:25 expect 123:25 13:21 148:14 example 77:25 expect 123:25 13:21 148:14 example 77:25 expect 123:25 118:15 exceded 157:1 example 77:25 expect 188:18 118:15 exceded 157:1 example 78:29 118:15 exceded 157:1 expect 49:8 56:6 203:15 eventing 187:9 extenting 187:9 extenti				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
151:15 168:22				1 1	extent 47:11
179:12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	exaggeration	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	117:10 118:13
empty 16:12 enacted 204:20 205:2 185:11 186:12 188:17 191:22 195:1 examination 4:2 4:3,4 6:15 44:5 78:7 195:15 193:15 194:8,9 11:20,25 12:1 193:15 194:8,9 12:8 16:7,9,23 195:10 193:15 194:8,9 11:20,25 12:1 193:15 194:8,9 11:20,25 17:20,25 11:			00		
enacted 204:20 188:17 191:22 4:3,4 6:15 44:5 192:19 193:1 11:20,25 12:1 205:2 195:1 estimate 83:21 examine 149:19 exist 155:16 12:8 16:7,9,23 encouraged 21:11 estranged 179:10,14 152:14 example 77:25 29:5 54:22,23 ends 118:1 183:23 184:1 example 77:25 78:5 83:3 84:8 existence 119:5 76:17,19 77:10 engage 64:12 eth:4,8 5:6,9 133:21 148:14 exaperate 118:2 159:16 expect 123:25 78:22 111:7,11 entered 58:23 ethed 46:6 Ethics 2:11 exceeded 157:1 expected 52:3 expecting 94:1 entering 187:9 entire 49:8 56:6 203:15 evening 23:13 54:10 213:8 exception(s) 213:8 203:15 eventually 28:10 107:1 135:11 203:25 exchanged 94:5 60:19 61:20,22 62:2 83:24 entitled 116:11 116:21 117:1,4 117:25 118:16 133:18 199:19 excited 30:4 experts 61:12 expert	empty 16:12		examination 4:2		external 11:18
205:2 195:1 78:7 195:15 193:15 194:8,9 12:8 16:7,9,23 encountered 84:23 85:11 estimate 83:21 examine 149:19 exist 155:16 29:5 54:22,23 encouraged 179:10,14 example 77:25 78:5 83:3 84:8 17:10 119:3 56:25 57:5 ends 118:1 183:23 184:1 example 77:25 78:5 83:3 84:8 expect 123:25 76:17,19 77:10 2:11 193:19 214:2,3 etched 46:6 118:15 expect 123:25 78:22 111:7,11 engage 64:12 entered 58:23 129:10 184:24 159:24 159:24 evening 23:13 exceded 157:1 expected 52:3 expecting 94:1 expecting 94:1 98:5 122:7 17:17:17 entering 187:9 eventing 187:9 event 198:12,23 eventually 28:10 exchanged 94:5 exchanged 94:5 experience 35:15 entirety 65:18 107:1 135:11 203:25 exchanged 94:5 exchanges 133:5 62:2 83:24 16:19 61:20,22 face 79:17 facing 91:19 facing 91:19 fact 32:19 34:21 39:10 46:16 51:13 55:22 57:10,12,20 70:6 71:12 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 1 1</td> <td></td>				1 1 1	
encountered estimate 83:21 examine 149:19 exist 155:16 17:21 24:6 210:23 84:23 85:11 estranged 152:14 17:10 119:3 56:25 57:5 21:11 179:10,14 example 77:25 rample 78:26 rample 74:20 ra	205:2	195:1	1 '	193:15 194:8,9	· ·
encouraged estranged 152:14 117:10 119:3 56:25 57:5 ends 118:1 183:23 184:1 example 77:25 existence 119:5 76:17,19 77:10 Enforcement et 1:4,8 5:6,9 133:21 148:14 expect 123:25 78:22 111:7,11 engage 64:12 etched 46:6 118:15 exasperate expectation 122:14 extra 112:16 extremely 122:2 eyebrows 117:17:17:17 118:2 159:16 extra 112:16 extremely 122:2 eyebrows 117:17:17 117:17 extra 112:16 extremely 122:2 eyebrows 117:17 extra 112:16 extremely 122:2 eyebrows 117:17 expect d 52:3 extremely 122:2 eyebrows 117:17 expect d 52:3 expecting 94:1 expect d 52:3	encountered	estimate 83:21	examine 149:19	exist 155:16	17:21 24:6
21:11	210:23	84:23 85:11	examined	existed 74:20	29:5 54:22,23
ends 118:1 183:23 184:1 78:5 83:3 84:8 expect 123:25 78:22 111:7,11 Enforcement 2:11 et 1:4,8 5:6,9 133:21 148:14 129:4 78:22 111:7,11 engage 64:12 etched 46:6 118:15 expectation 122:14 extra 112:16 engage 64:12 etched 46:6 Ethics 2:11 exceeded 157:1 expected 52:3 extra 112:16 entering 187:9 evening 23:13 52:5 180:19 98:5 122:7 expecting 94:1 expecting 94:1 entire 49:8 56:6 203:15 event 198:12,23 exception(s) 35:15 expert 51:11 60:19 61:20,22 F entirety 65:18 80:7 115:2,10 203:25 exchanged 94:5 exchanges 133:5 62:2 83:24 60:19 61:20,22 face 79:17 entitled 116:11 116:21 117:1,4 133:18 199:19 125:12 136:4 excited 30:4 experts 61:12 39:10 46:16 entitlement 120:6 34:13,17 35:2 95:19 166:4 95:25 110:5 70:6 71:12	encouraged	estranged	152:14	117:10 119:3	56:25 57:5
Enforcement et 1:4,8 5:6,9 133:21 148:14 129:4 112:21 113:20 2:11 30:19 214:2,3 exasperate 118:15 expectation 122:14 engaged 61:12 etched 46:6 118:15 expected 52:3 extrea 112:16 entered 58:23 129:10 184:24 159:24 7:8 18:10 98:5 122:7 expecting 94:1 193:5 entering 187:9 evening 23:13 35:25 180:19 exception(s) 35:15 entire 49:8 56:6 event 198:12,23 eventually 28:10 213:8 exchanged 94:5 exchanged 94:5 entirety 65:18 80:7 115:2,10 203:25 exchanges 133:5 62:2 83:24 face 79:17 entitled 116:11 116:21 117:1,4 everybody 88:9 125:12 136:4 133:18 199:19 excited 30:4 experts 61:12 39:10 46:16 entitlement 120:6 34:13,17 35:2 95:19 166:4 95:25 110:5 70:6 71:12	21:11	179:10,14	example 77:25	existence 119:5	76:17,19 77:10
2:11	ends 118:1	183:23 184:1	78:5 83:3 84:8	expect 123:25	78:22 111:7,11
engage 64:12 etched 46:6 118:15 118:2 159:16 extra 112:16 engaged 61:12 Ethics 2:11 exceeded 157:1 expected 52:3 extremely 122:2 entered 58:23 129:10 184:24 159:24 7:8 18:10 98:5 122:7 eyebrows 193:5 evening 23:13 35:25 180:19 exception(s) 35:15 expert 51:11 expert 51:11 entire 49:8 56:6 event 198:12,23 eventually 28:10 exchanged 94:5 exchanged 94:5 exchanges 133:5 exchanges 133:5 60:19 61:20,22 face 79:17 entitled 116:11 Everett 188:18 everybody 88:9 125:12 136:4 excited 30:4 experts 61:12 experts 61:12 39:10 46:16 51:13 55:22 57:10,12,20 57:10,12,20 70:6 71:12 entitlement evidence 14:2 95:19 166:4 explain 34:20,25 57:10,12,20 70:6 71:12	Enforcement	et 1:4,8 5:6,9	133:21 148:14	129:4	112:21 113:20
engaged 61:12 entered 58:23 Ethics 2:11 evasive 126:4 exceeded 157:1 Excellent 6:24 7:8 18:10 expected 52:3 expecting 94:1 98:5 122:7 extremely 122:2 eyebrows 129:10 184:24 193:5 159:24 evening 23:13 54:10 35:25 180:19 exception(s) 98:5 122:7 experience 117:17 203:15 entirety 65:18 80:7 115:2,10 entitled 116:11 11:25 118:16 entitlement 120:6 107:1 135:11 203:25 exchanges 133:5 exchanges 133:5 exchanges 133:5 exchanges 133:5 excited 30:4 51:2 excited 30:4 51:2 experts 61:12 experts 61:12 experts 61:12 experts 61:12 experts 61:12 experts 61:12 experts 213:24 explain 34:20,25 7:10,12,20 70:6 71:12 57:10,12,20 70:6 71:12	2:11	30:19 214:2,3	exasperate	expectation	122:14
entered 58:23 evasive 126:4 Excellent 6:24 expecting 94:1 eyebrows 129:10 184:24 159:24 7:8 18:10 98:5 122:7 117:17 193:5 evening 23:13 35:25 180:19 experience 35:15 entire 49:8 56:6 event 198:12,23 eventually 28:10 exchanged 94:5 exchanged 94:5 exchanges 133:5 203:15 107:1 135:11 exchanges 133:5 62:2 83:24 face 79:17 entitled 116:11 203:25 exchanging 125:12 136:4 131:6,25 experts 61:12 fact 32:19 34:21 117:25 118:16 everybody 88:9 133:18 199:19 excited 30:4 experts 61:12 39:10 46:16 51:13 55:22 entitlement 34:13,17 35:2 95:19 166:4 95:25 110:5 70:6 71:12	engage 64:12	etched 46:6	118:15	118:2 159:16	extra 112:16
129:10 184:24 159:24 7:8 18:10 98:5 122:7 117:17 193:5 evening 23:13 35:25 180:19 experience 35:15 entire 49:8 56:6 event 198:12,23 eventually 28:10 213:8 exchanged 94:5 exchanged 94:5 60:19 61:20,22 fa- 205:13 entirety 65:18 107:1 135:11 exchanges 133:5 62:2 83:24 face 79:17 entitled 116:11 203:25 exchanging 125:12 136:4 experts 61:12 facing 91:19 117:25 118:16 133:18 199:19 excited 30:4 expires 213:24 39:10 46:16 entitlement 120:6 34:13,17 35:2 95:19 166:4 95:25 110:5 70:6 71:12	engaged 61:12	Ethics 2:11	exceeded 157:1	expected 52:3	extremely 122:2
193:5 evening 23:13 35:25 180:19 experience entire 49:8 56:6 sevent 198:12,23 sevent 198:12,23 cexchanged 94:5 exchanged 94:5 exchanges 133:5 exchanges 133:5 exchanges 133:5 fa- 205:13 face 79:17 entirety 65:18 107:1 135:11 203:25 exchanges 133:5 62:2 83:24 face 79:17 facing 91:19 facing 91:19 fact 32:19 34:21 39:10 46:16 51:2 experts 61:12 experts 61:12 39:10 46:16 51:13 55:22 57:10,12,20 70:6 71:12 70:6 71:12	entered 58:23	evasive 126:4	Excellent 6:24	expecting 94:1	eyebrows
entering 187:9 54:10 exception(s) 35:15 F 203:15 event 198:12,23 eventually 28:10 exchanged 94:5 exchanged 94:5 60:19 61:20,22 fa- 205:13 80:7 115:2,10 203:25 exchanging 105:1 113:23 facing 91:19 entitled 116:11 Everett 188:18 everybody 88:9 125:12 136:4 excited 30:4 experts 61:12 39:10 46:16 117:25 118:16 entitlement 120:6 95:19 166:4 95:25 110:5 95:25 110:5	129:10 184:24	159:24			117:17
entire 49:8 56:6 203:15 entirety 65:18 80:7 115:2,10 entitled 116:11 116:21 117:1,4 117:25 118:16 entitlement 120:6 event 198:12,23 eventually 28:10 107:1 135:11 203:25 Everett 188:18 everybody 88:9 133:18 199:19 evidence 14:2 34:13,17 35:2 event 198:12,23 eventually 28:10 107:1 135:11 203:25 exchanged 94:5 exchanges 133:5 exchanged 94:5 exchanges 133:5 exchanged 94:5 exchanges 133:5 exchanged 94:5 exchanges 133:5 exchanges 133:6,25 experts 61:12 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 explain 34:20,25 95:25 110:5 Everett 51:11 60:19 61:20,22 face 79:17 facing 91:19 fact 32:19 34:21 39:10 46:16 51:13 55:22 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:25 57:10,12,20 70:6 71:12	193:5	evening 23:13	35:25 180:19	_	
203:15 entirety 65:18 80:7 115:2,10 entitled 116:11 116:21 117:1,4 117:25 118:16 entitlement 120:6 eventually 28:10 107:1 135:11 203:25 exchanged 94:5 exchanges 133:2 face 79:17 facing 91:19 fact 32:19 34:21 39:10 46:16 51:13 55:22 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:25 face 79:17 facing 91:19 fact 32:19 34:21 39:10 46:16 51:13 55:22 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:25 face 79:17 facing 91:19 fact 32:19 34:21 39:10 46:16 51:13 55:22 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:25 face 79:17 facing 91:19 fact 32:19 34:21 39:10 46:16 51:13 55:22 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 face 79:17 facing 91:19 fact 32:19 34:21	_		_ ` ` ′		
entirety 65:18 80:7 115:2,10 entitled 116:11 116:21 117:1,4 117:25 118:16 entitlement 120:6 entitled 5:18 107:1 135:11 203:25 Everett 188:18 203:25 exchanging 125:12 136:4 excited 30:4 51:2 exclusively 95:19 166:4 exchanges 133:5 62:2 83:24 105:1 113:23 131:6,25 experts 61:12 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 expires 213:24 95:25 110:5 face 79:17 facing 91:19 fact 32:19 34:21 39:10 46:16 51:13 55:22 expires 213:24 explain 34:20,25 70:6 71:12		· ·		-	
80:7 115:2,10				1	
entitled 116:11 Everett 188:18 125:12 136:4 131:6,25 fact 32:19 34:21 116:21 117:1,4 everybody 88:9 excited 30:4 experts 61:12 39:10 46:16 117:25 118:16 entitlement evidence 14:2 exclusively explain 34:20,25 57:10,12,20 120:6 34:13,17 35:2 95:19 166:4 95:25 110:5 70:6 71:12	•				
116:21 117:1,4 117:25 118:16 everybody 88:9 133:18 199:19 evidence 14:2 120:6 everybody 88:9 133:18 199:19 evidence 14:2 39:10 46:16 51:13 55:22 expires 213:24 explain 34:20,25 95:19 166:4 95:25 110:5 70:6 71:12	· ·				
117:25 118:16				-	
entitlement evidence 14:2 exclusively explain 34:20,25 57:10,12,20 120:6 34:13,17 35:2 95:19 166:4 95:25 110:5 70:6 71:12				_	
120:6 34:13,17 35:2 95:19 166:4 95:25 110:5 70:6 71:12				<u>-</u>	
31.13,17 33.2 33.17 100.1 33.23 110.3				_	, , ,
	120:6	34:13,17 35:2	95:19 166:4	95:25 110:5	/0.0 /1.12
		I	I	1	223

5 5.12 52.21	5,.21 5,.15	11,,17 124,1	00.17 01.7	11,0 11111111111
30:12 32:21	57:21 59:15	117:19 132:4	50:17 51:9	five-minute
27:12 29:19	56:24 57:4,17	104:18,21	31:18 48:8	196:11
21:18 27:3,11	54:8 55:1,3,11	97:23 100:7	26:6 30:7	190:14 192:18
16:16 20:12,15	50:12 51:9	90:2 95:12	find 14:11 23:9	187:13,15
12:14 13:2	47:10 48:8,25	71:7 74:5 87:3	215:18	182:11 184:20
father 8:24	30:21 31:5	42:21 60:20,24	financially	177:15 181:18
70:4 115:19	27:1,7 29:3	38:1 40:4	179:11	175:16 176:16
17:5,13 47:25 48:4 69:23	23:16 24:10 25:17 26:14	felt 29:17 30:2	finally 186:25 financial 43:11	155:22 165:8 168:9 169:4
		169:13		
Farr 2:23 6:1,1	21:24 22:12	121:25 122:4	fill 21:13	146:23 151:17
201:7	12:19 13:13	feeling 114:17	157:8 158:5,12	141:7 146:20
150:20 157:12	father's 11:7	196:7	157:8 158:3,12	122:12 123:16
156:20 157:12	205:0,12	147:1 156:4	155:11,17	110:18 111:2
140:20 156:6	202:12,13	99:7 134:9,16	149:14,19	110:18 111:2
110:9 123:23	202:12,13	feel 50:23 81:7	148:25 149:7	104:3 107:8
17:25 105:23	173:10,17	163:21	145:11 146:17	104:5 107:8
far 8:17 17:12	171:2,5,10,14	163:11,14,15	129:7 131:11	97:14 102:22
201:22	171:2,3,10,14	45:7 132:19	116:22 118:8	96:24,25 97:10
family's 201:15	169:25 170:7	FedEx 14:11	87:13 114:16	93:1,4,7,23,24
171:25 201:9	159:10 164:19	209:16 211:10	85:3,12,19,22	90:24 92:20,25
155:24 158:15	154:3,17 155:8	192:24,23	83:14,17,22	81:2 89:10,16
119:25 120:5	151:15 152:6	192:24,25	82:18,20 83:8	73:15,21 74:2
73:18 101:13	147:6,12 149:9	190:4 191:3	79:2,24,25	57:19,25 58:3
58:16 72:25	143:11 144:8	11:1 39:2	75:5 76:24	54:8,17,24
family 25:21	134:12 139:21	February 10:5	71:15 74:17,21	51:20,22 53:20
84:18 194:20	128:18 132:6	2:8	64:13,23 71:3	44:12,16 49:16
familiarity	118:15 122:8	Fayetteville 1:18	57:18,21 64:10	34:13 36:6
154:19 175:20	113:7,11	202:2,12,25	56:18 57:13,14	32:14 33:14
103:20 141:1	112:10,20	172:25 173:14	52:9 56:11,13	28:21 31:13,15
61:15 93:18	106:19 112:4	171:19 172:13	50:22 51:20,22	21:17 25:15,16
51:25 60:18	105:11,16	169:12 170:19	50:12,15,16,18	15:6 20:3
familiar 37:21	104:19 105:3	168:25 169:4,8	49:25 50:3,6	13:1 14:22,23
fairness 36:14	100:4,12 101:9	158:18 160:20	47:9 48:9,17	first 6:11 9:19
fairly 195:24	99:13,17,19,25	155:10 157:9	42:22 43:8,12	firms 63:9
86:5 135:12	98:10,17,19	145:17 149:10	35:19 40:1,6	firmly 125:7
fair 77:16 85:18	93:22 97:24	142:6 144:23	30:18,20 31:3	firm 63:7 195:20
factual 180:8	90:12,15 91:5	128:17 139:16	20:19 29:13	fire 81:3
186:19	85:6,8,9 90:7	127:24,25	19:8,11,19	181:7
facts 179:4,7	83:22 84:15	118:5 127:8,17	files 13:11 19:4	66:19 80:9
factory 209:11	82:1,3,13,16	105:18 113:22	181:12 182:16	8:8 34:3 40:21
186:16 194:10	78:23 81:1,14	100:23 101:15	177:16 181:11	finish 7:17,18
182:17 186:11	75:12 76:1	87:6,12 99:9	176:24,25	200:8 204:5
166:19 169:16	74:15,23,25	84:18 85:24	filed 5:9 176:22	42:10 199:18
152:5 153:15	71:10 72:4,4	81:18 83:14	84:17,19	fine 7:22 14:20
134:12 143:9	60:21 61:7,10	80:16 81:9,17	file 49:11 62:23	132:18 200:18
128:11 133:15	55:16 56:6	75:23 76:20	figured 169:18	finding 72:18
124:25 127:16	49:4 50:5	71:3 74:17,21	Fiduciary 3:7	186:20 208:1
116:6 119:2,5	42:4,12,13	70:15,18,23	190:22	140:24 179:1
97:23 105:10	40:10 41:18,22	62:11 69:5	144:15 152:14	98:15 116:16

43:18	foundation	61:4 69:17	65:1,6,19	206:6 212:5,9
fixture 56:5	203:9	70:7 105:4	66:23 75:16	212:15
flag 22:24 23:1	founding 64:4	136:4 204:15	79:18,25 81:24	good 6:17 29:17
flap 15:12	four 16:9,23	204:21 205:7	92:18 106:15	60:4 67:13
flip 10:19 14:20	24:6 53:5,7	General's 5:22	124:12 131:3	86:24 104:11
15:12,18,25	74:3 92:14,18	gentleman	144:5 157:19	104:20 140:11
17:18 18:10	129:21 178:4	154:24	158:2,18,20	144:19 168:4,4
flipped 18:3	178:24	genuinely 143:8	159:22 160:19	180:19 195:17
focus 13:6 15:19	fourth 46:10	Geographic 27:3	172:12,15	Google 169:9
83:16 156:15	frankly 98:1	72:3 74:20	173:25 183:12	208:2
focused 59:6	99:23 110:6	142:5	186:22 190:23	gotten 26:15
focusing 58:10	127:25	Gersch 161:14	197:18,19	35:18 38:24
168:8	free 196:7	getting 20:24	200:25 203:23	71:11 73:3
folder 55:5 84:9	Friday 1:16	25:11 27:18,20	210:8 211:25	103:13 157:21
folders 50:4 55:9	132:24 214:5	30:3 31:8	goes 117:22	grabbed 48:25
84:3 85:3	friend 80:23	116:14 118:24	going 5:2 14:3	grand 96:20
follow 173:4	209:10,12	133:3,4 134:18	17:15 21:12	grandfather
follow-up 44:10	211:9	157:24 171:24	26:4,5 36:9	85:5
95:17 109:25	friendly 110:24	gift 32:24	39:25 40:24	grandmother's
188:13	friends 80:22,25	gifts 82:14	43:20,24 56:8	120:7
follow-ups 195:8	97:24 119:25	gist 32:19	67:7 70:10	grandparents
followed 171:19	120:5	111:23	79:23 86:10,14	23:2
172:25	frightened 153:3	give 8:4 28:1	86:16,20 88:8	great 7:15 8:3,14
following 62:16	front 45:8,19	31:18 42:23	95:14 98:9	9:1,5,24 10:3
follows 6:14	168:7 172:8	70:11 84:23	100:11 101:3	10:14,19 12:3
foregoing 213:5	175:5 181:6	85:11 93:25	103:12,15	14:4 15:6,12
215:6,8,11	frustrated 36:9	97:10 112:18	109:5 117:20	17:3 18:3 20:3
forensic 131:6	full 6:21 136:18	114:22 115:2	121:6,24 123:8	27:16,20 28:9
131:25 147:2	function 43:2	135:6,11 142:2	123:12 125:3,4	29:22 38:18
forget 138:4	functional 41:25	167:14 174:7	130:14,22	43:19 98:24
Forgive 206:18	funding 160:15	174:12 199:17	131:1 133:3	great-grandpa
forgot 172:18	funds 160:16	206:17 207:25	134:21,24	25:22 30:6
Forks 2:23 3:17	179:16	given 58:1 71:12	135:6 141:2	ground 7:9
form 12:21 17:9	funeral 171:3,9	72:4 81:11,17	142:15 146:24	grounds 177:17
37:12 88:11,18	funny 103:8	111:16,19,21	151:22 152:11	178:4,5,13
149:24 163:13	furniture 25:19	111:24 125:25	152:18 153:5,6	179:3,6,8
formal 63:3	further 95:13	139:12	153:8 156:16	Group 3:3,7
format 10:15	101:22 111:5	giving 40:18	159:14 160:16	5:25 195:19
126:23	114:19 172:4	41:4 135:7	161:6,10	growing 140:15
forms 150:3	195:7 212:11	157:11	166:20 170:22	grumbles 35:13
forth 130:18	215:14,16	glance 155:22	171:21,25	grumbling
178:24 179:5,7	furthest 36:19	glasses 181:10	172:1,2,3,7	35:13
forward 185:18	G	go 7:4,8 8:1	174:1,21 176:9	guaranteed
found 23:10	G 5:1 213:1	14:12,12,20	179:18,25	40:12
29:1,3,12,22	game 17:6	17:15 22:2,4	180:4,10,20	guard 153:3
47:12 54:18	gasoline 52:20	22:11,11,13	181:1 186:3,3	guardian 4:17
58:20 65:3 117:18 130:3	gender-based	28:10 34:5 38:10,10 40:11	186:13,14 189:24 191:6	4:18,19,21,22 58:23 176:1
156:15 169:25	149:4	43:17 49:7	195:9,13,25	177:12,12
177:19 178:6	general 1:1 5:10	52:19 55:13,19	195:9,13,23	181:23 182:2,4
1//.171/0.0	83	32.19 33.13,19	170.1 17/.1/	101.23 102.2,4
	•		1	225

	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
182:7,12,15,17	62:11 64:23	hide 116:10	164:21 165:4	I's 159:5
183:19,21	67:19 70:24	highly 197:8,13	196:11 197:5	i.e 120:15
184:25 185:3,7	74:11,19 76:25	highway 14:13	198:15 199:25	155:19
185:10 186:11	77:18 78:2,4,8	hint 186:21	hon- 96:22	idea 56:7 79:23
187:19 188:16	80:2,3,15	HIPAA 149:24	honest 60:18	109:25 124:6
189:2,19	81:11,13 84:1	150:2	honestly 16:10	131:8 135:10
191:22 192:2	87:8,16 89:1	hired 179:12	53:5 75:2	142:14 152:15
195:1	95:24 100:24	historical 42:22	87:20 89:6	158:19 159:3
guess 124:10	101:15 102:7,9	65:2 104:22	94:9 107:17	204:1 205:16
157:24 176:25	104:15 111:18	historically 91:3	116:4,6 119:10	identical 78:1
185:22	112:22 113:12	history 197:1	119:15 125:24	identification
guidance 69:2	113:20 114:4,5	Hofeller 1:13	126:3 130:5	9:12 14:16
	117:11 126:2	4:8,9,12,13 5:5	135:9 138:10	167:7,9 174:19
H	134:22 135:16	6:8,10,17,23	140:23 141:23	174:24 180:25
H 4:7,12 214:1	139:2 146:8,12	7:1,4 8:24,25	149:18,21	184:7 188:10
half 72:5	146:21,22	9:11,22 14:15	151:23 158:14	192:9
half-uncle 120:2	150:1 155:8	37:15 44:1,7	169:23	identified 57:4
hand 134:24	156:2 164:11	86:23 88:24	hope 98:15	identify 5:13
handle 59:11	165:10 211:17	90:7,15 123:15	195:22	59:7,9
handled 131:2	hardware	161:13 167:6,8	hoped 30:7	idolized 98:2
185:17	208:11	168:7,11,22	hopes 31:16 37:6	ignored 50:11
hands 18:9 81:6	Hargett 3:4	173:20 174:18	hoping 78:24	III 3:3
157:23	Harris 72:20	174:23 175:4	147:6	image 15:19
handwriting	120:2,9	178:19 180:24	hospitals 150:3	16:1 18:11
44:18,21,24	Hartsough 8:25	181:4,18 184:6	hostile 99:5	99:1,6,6
45:1 46:5	72:9	184:13 188:9	hotel 28:21 53:4	images 14:25
76:20	head 41:1 53:6	188:12 192:8	53:8,10,12	18:5,14
handwritten	66:25 123:4	192:11 195:17	54:11	imagine 170:17
10:4 46:1	126:3	199:11 213:3	hour 86:11	immediately
happen 100:1,5	heads-up 121:8	213:15 214:4	140:18,19	22:20 25:6
195:25	142:3	Hofeller's	hours 49:7,14,24	77:12 82:22
happened 56:21	health 149:16,20	170:18	50:1,2 82:22	151:19
72:18 125:7	150:10	Hofellerism	85:2	implication
210:10	heard 106:3	119:16	house 1:8 5:8	105:25
happening	hearing 94:25	hol- 190:19	24:23 52:13	implied 102:9
153:21	175:25 176:9	hold 27:22 65:24	72:13 81:2	128:12
happens 105:9	185:6,7	65:25,25 66:18	105:22 106:11	importance
happy 8:9	heirloom 25:19	86:1 112:12	132:19 198:6	139:16 202:2
148:18 196:7	held 54:5 197:7	179:24,24	household 88:4	important 161:1
harassing 180:1	Hello 6:18	181:10 198:7	Howerton 3:12	172:5
hard 11:18,25	help 37:7 74:8	holiday 109:19	huh 118:12	impression
16:7,9,23	154:24	190:22,23	human 99:2,6	34:11 77:22
17:21 23:25	helped 59:7,9	holidays 109:14	189:1,10	93:25 102:24
24:6 29:5	helpful 200:13	124:25 125:9	hunch 78:23	103:13 112:18
32:24 34:7	helping 72:25	home 49:13	169:13,23	124:3,15 132:9
36:5 54:21,22	130:18,20	51:24 52:1,6,9	hundred 132:21	152:7 167:2
54:23 55:10,17	hereto 213:9	55:4 71:4,4,14	hundreds 54:18	impressions
55:21 56:3,25	hero's 99:6	80:16 105:14	husband 165:7	124:24
57:5,12 59:22	hey 133:22	147:17,17,23		in- 176:10
59:23 60:1	hi 133:23 138:12	148:8 154:14	I	in-person 141:4
	111 133.23 130.12	170.0127.17		m-person 171.7

		I	1	
inadmissible	influence 179:10	70:12	interrupt 17:6	Jacobson 161:20
173:23,24	inform 151:13	instructs 7:24	200:11	Jane 32:3 37:2
inappropriate	170:5	integrity 14:2	interrupting	92:5,8 94:7,25
171:6	information	43:6 64:21	200:13	95:4 104:7,12
Inasmuch 24:18	29:10,11 46:20	65:17 115:13	intervenor 5:25	105:17 107:16
incident 23:12	47:15,16 48:9	115:15 147:2	195:18	108:6 121:5
incidental 61:3	48:12 55:14,16	intend 41:13	interview 32:16	142:1
incidentally	55:20 56:17	intended 76:2	32:17 102:6	January 125:10
99:14	62:12 64:15	135:14	183:1	125:13 126:16
incidentals	68:16 69:5	intent 112:11	Intro- 108:4	126:19 168:12
95:20	70:22 76:10	intention 13:24	introduce	190:17,19
includable	77:17 80:4	118:3 119:19	108:11	209:16 211:9
126:24	88:25 89:3	130:17 147:10	introduced	jbranch@sha
include 90:6	92:1 104:21	intentionally	108:4	3:5
included 126:25	106:6,18 113:4	51:18	involved 37:24	jewelry 22:21
127:18	113:22 114:3	intentions	40:14 71:9,17	26:3 112:7
including 43:7,8	116:7 117:1,2	116:16	71:19 92:1	120:7
47:5 113:23	117:6,10	interaction	97:16,20	job 140:2
120:17 173:10	125:25 126:23	143:11	102:17 120:9	John 3:3 5:24
inclusive 215:11	127:17 149:16	interchangeable	120:11,15,20	195:18
incompetence	150:11,16,19	126:6	124:7 138:11	joke 59:25
4:17 175:25	150:19,24	interest 33:19	139:20,21	jokingly 100:15
177:11 187:23	151:4,6 152:1	50:9 51:8	173:19 199:20	Jones 2:3 4:2
incompetency	157:8 158:4	55:23 56:13	201:10,16,20	5:17,17 6:16
38:8 91:22	165:24,25	57:11,21 61:2	201:25 202:7	6:19 9:10,13
92:2 96:11	166:12 211:8	72:17 90:2	203:13	14:14,17 15:8
97:16,21 99:10	informed 60:20	100:25 103:3	involving 13:4	17:14 43:17
118:19 119:1	183:16,22	104:23 105:5	149:6	44:1 47:19,22
119:14 120:10	initial 37:1 51:6	120:23 145:13	iPhone 206:23	57:7 61:23
152:13 181:25	113:18 135:13	153:24 196:18	207:5,11	62:3 64:16
185:24 187:10	initially 10:15	interested 61:5	iPhones 210:15	65:10,21,25
190:12,15	50:10 90:17	72:15 84:14	irony 100:17	66:3,21,23
191:5 193:3	104:18	120:13 193:5	irrelevant 43:1	67:1 68:19
incompetent	initiate 107:5	215:19	207:7	69:12 75:14
4:15 58:20	109:23	interesting 85:6	issuance 141:22	76:5 79:15
176:11 177:19	initiated 94:4	90:23 169:19	issue 42:5 57:23	81:22 86:6,10
178:6 179:2	125:16	interim 4:19,21	151:22 154:22	86:13 87:18
183:8	inside 16:20	58:22 183:19	204:14 205:8	88:16,17
increasingly	22:23 23:2	184:24 185:3,7	issued 142:8,21	106:21 113:15
130:22	140:15	185:9 186:11	142:24 143:3	136:11 137:2
independent	insight 42:23	187:18 188:16	151:12,13,18	137:13,16,19
38:4 98:16,19	104:24	189:2 191:22	151:20,24	137:21,23,25
indicate 52:22	instantly 130:12	192:2 194:25	158:22 168:10	138:7,17 141:5
indicates 139:24	instruct 69:13	interior 15:20	168:16,22,24	141:14 145:20
175:13	69:13,14 88:20	interject 68:10	191:18 192:3	159:11,14
individual 189:7	170:22 172:3	199:7	issues 33:3 36:15	161:24 162:22
individuals	174:1	interpretation	83:19 152:21	165:14,17
138:19 194:11	instructing	185:15	issuing 151:21	167:14,17,20
infant 54:19	174:3	interrogatory		167:23 168:1
80:20	instruction	153:24	J	170:15,24
				227

171:5,11	95:12 96:1,1,7	95:23 96:6	157:13 160:2,9	laws 204:20
172:17 175:1	98:3 107:20	98:23 99:16,21	161:1 163:10	lawsuit 6:20
179:18,21,24	117:17 126:2	100:10,14,15	165:22 166:14	34:21 198:3
180:3 193:19	140:23 147:6	100:19 101:10	166:15 167:3	205:1
198:7,17 199:1	191:13 195:21	102:12,12	168:14,23	lawyer 37:8 38:6
200:20 201:2	207:4	103:17,19	169:14 171:7	62:5 92:10
202:14,16,18	kinds 29:21	104:9,9,11	179:22 185:12	102:15 132:10
202:21 203:8	56:11 60:23	105:3 107:20	192:20 193:6	155:13 178:11
204:10 206:8	77:5	108:12,17	194:7,9 195:21	198:14
207:6 212:13	knew 12:25 13:1	109:5,13,14,15	197:1 199:18	lawyers 13:17
journalist 33:2	29:19,20 36:21	109:24 110:3,4	199:20 201:7	15:22 16:3
journalistic	37:15,22,25	110:9 111:8,22	202:13 203:12	17:22 18:22
34:14	43:4 49:21	112:6,17 115:4	203:14,16,19	19:21 24:8
journey 142:14	71:9 74:23	116:2,3,9,12	203:20,24	27:23 31:1
Jr 2:8 108:1	84:18 90:1,14	117:14,15,16	204:1,3,4,5,8	36:2 38:14
judgment 42:24	91:3 99:13,14	117:21,23	205:3,12	41:6 42:11,17
July 41:23	100:15 103:24	118:12 119:7	207:23 210:19	43:13 57:15
171:15,20	103:24 105:15	119:10,13	know- 177:25	68:22 101:22
172:23,24	115:6 117:20	120:3,7 121:7	knowing 55:11	102:1,16,17
173:7	140:7 152:2,22	121:17,19,21	146:24 147:8	103:17 133:17
jump 196:1	156:3 170:13	121:24 122:5,8	205:19,20	159:4
Justice 1:1 2:12	know 8:7,19	122:24 123:23	knowledge	layperson
5:10	11:18 12:6	124:5,7,8	177:24,25	185:19
	13:8 16:9,11	125:19 126:4,6	201:8,21	leading 12:22
K	16:13,14 25:6	126:24,25	203:11	learn 21:17
K 213:1	25:7,18,21,24	127:10,13	known 56:17	169:8
Kathleen 4:12	26:10,11 29:19	129:21 130:1,3		learned 21:20,24
8:25 168:11	29:20 32:14	130:18 132:5	L	169:4 171:4
Kaye 2:3	33:7,15 35:7	132:20,24	L 213:1	193:23
keep 49:2	37:14,20,22	133:1,5,7,10	la 53:14,19	leave 75:21
112:23 122:9	38:3 42:3,8	133:19 134:8	119:16	leaving 125:8
			label 18:12 45:3	led 100:9
147:21 148:20	45:1 46:9,12	134:14,14,17	1abci 16.12 73.3	1eu 100:9
147:21 148:20 190:25 209:7	45:1 46:9,12 46:16,19,23	134:14,14,17 134:19,23	45:7 46:6	left 9:21 22:15
	·		45:7 46:6 76:21	
190:25 209:7	46:16,19,23	134:19,23	45:7 46:6	left 9:21 22:15
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25	134:19,23 136:19 137:18	45:7 46:6 76:21	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13 8:16 14:11	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10 59:2 60:1	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14 140:5,8,11,12	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22 27:8 29:1 49:3	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8 94:8 112:10
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13 8:16 14:11 28:11,13,16	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10 59:2 60:1 63:20,24 68:6	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14 140:5,8,11,12 140:14,25	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22 27:8 29:1 49:3 148:14 211:18	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8 94:8 112:10 130:2
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13 8:16 14:11 28:11,13,16 74:4,7,8	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10 59:2 60:1 63:20,24 68:6 70:25 73:2	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14 140:5,8,11,12 140:14,25 142:15,17	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22 27:8 29:1 49:3 148:14 211:18 211:19,22	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8 94:8 112:10 130:2 legal 3:16 62:4
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13 8:16 14:11 28:11,13,16 74:4,7,8 130:19 147:17	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10 59:2 60:1 63:20,24 68:6 70:25 73:2 74:14 75:5	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14 140:5,8,11,12 140:14,25 142:15,17 143:5,12,22	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22 27:8 29:1 49:3 148:14 211:18 211:19,22 lasted 97:1	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8 94:8 112:10 130:2 legal 3:16 62:4 63:2 96:12
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13 8:16 14:11 28:11,13,16 74:4,7,8 130:19 147:17 147:23 148:6,9	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10 59:2 60:1 63:20,24 68:6 70:25 73:2 74:14 75:5 76:10,15,18,20	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14 140:5,8,11,12 140:14,25 142:15,17 143:5,12,22 144:7,13,15	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22 27:8 29:1 49:3 148:14 211:18 211:19,22 lasted 97:1 140:17	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8 94:8 112:10 130:2 legal 3:16 62:4 63:2 96:12 152:10 201:20
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13 8:16 14:11 28:11,13,16 74:4,7,8 130:19 147:17 147:23 148:6,9 164:21 165:5	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10 59:2 60:1 63:20,24 68:6 70:25 73:2 74:14 75:5 76:10,15,18,20 76:21 77:7,24	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14 140:5,8,11,12 140:14,25 142:15,17 143:5,12,22 144:7,13,15 146:21,23	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22 27:8 29:1 49:3 148:14 211:18 211:19,22 lasted 97:1 140:17 Late 208:23	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8 94:8 112:10 130:2 legal 3:16 62:4 63:2 96:12 152:10 201:20 legalese 132:7
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13 8:16 14:11 28:11,13,16 74:4,7,8 130:19 147:17 147:23 148:6,9 164:21 165:5 kept 139:3 143:8	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10 59:2 60:1 63:20,24 68:6 70:25 73:2 74:14 75:5 76:10,15,18,20 76:21 77:7,24 78:19 81:5	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14 140:5,8,11,12 140:14,25 142:15,17 143:5,12,22 144:7,13,15 146:21,23 148:21 149:18	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22 27:8 29:1 49:3 148:14 211:18 211:19,22 lasted 97:1 140:17 Late 208:23 laugh 28:5	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8 94:8 112:10 130:2 legal 3:16 62:4 63:2 96:12 152:10 201:20 legalese 132:7 legislation
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13 8:16 14:11 28:11,13,16 74:4,7,8 130:19 147:17 147:23 148:6,9 164:21 165:5 kept 139:3 143:8 147:20 172:11	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10 59:2 60:1 63:20,24 68:6 70:25 73:2 74:14 75:5 76:10,15,18,20 76:21 77:7,24 78:19 81:5 82:5 83:3,10	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14 140:5,8,11,12 140:14,25 142:15,17 143:5,12,22 144:7,13,15 146:21,23 148:21 149:18 149:23,25	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22 27:8 29:1 49:3 148:14 211:18 211:19,22 lasted 97:1 140:17 Late 208:23 laugh 28:5 laughed 36:23	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8 94:8 112:10 130:2 legal 3:16 62:4 63:2 96:12 152:10 201:20 legalese 132:7 legislation 144:13,13
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13 8:16 14:11 28:11,13,16 74:4,7,8 130:19 147:17 147:23 148:6,9 164:21 165:5 kept 139:3 143:8 147:20 172:11 Khanna 162:14	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10 59:2 60:1 63:20,24 68:6 70:25 73:2 74:14 75:5 76:10,15,18,20 76:21 77:7,24 78:19 81:5 82:5 83:3,10 84:15 87:2	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14 140:5,8,11,12 140:14,25 142:15,17 143:5,12,22 144:7,13,15 146:21,23 148:21 149:18 149:23,25 150:8,13,14	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22 27:8 29:1 49:3 148:14 211:18 211:19,22 lasted 97:1 140:17 Late 208:23 laugh 28:5 laughed 36:23 law 3:3 5:25	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8 94:8 112:10 130:2 legal 3:16 62:4 63:2 96:12 152:10 201:20 legalese 132:7 legislation 144:13,13 legislative 2:16
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13 8:16 14:11 28:11,13,16 74:4,7,8 130:19 147:17 147:23 148:6,9 164:21 165:5 kept 139:3 143:8 147:20 172:11 Khanna 162:14 kind 23:24 24:2	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10 59:2 60:1 63:20,24 68:6 70:25 73:2 74:14 75:5 76:10,15,18,20 76:21 77:7,24 78:19 81:5 82:5 83:3,10 84:15 87:2 88:24 89:6,7	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14 140:5,8,11,12 140:14,25 142:15,17 143:5,12,22 144:7,13,15 146:21,23 148:21 149:18 149:23,25 150:8,13,14 152:8,9,11,12	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22 27:8 29:1 49:3 148:14 211:18 211:19,22 lasted 97:1 140:17 Late 208:23 laugh 28:5 laughed 36:23 law 3:3 5:25 35:3 62:25	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8 94:8 112:10 130:2 legal 3:16 62:4 63:2 96:12 152:10 201:20 legalese 132:7 legislation 144:13,13 legislative 2:16 6:2,5 12:20
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13 8:16 14:11 28:11,13,16 74:4,7,8 130:19 147:17 147:23 148:6,9 164:21 165:5 kept 139:3 143:8 147:20 172:11 Khanna 162:14 kind 23:24 24:2 32:15 64:20	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10 59:2 60:1 63:20,24 68:6 70:25 73:2 74:14 75:5 76:10,15,18,20 76:21 77:7,24 78:19 81:5 82:5 83:3,10 84:15 87:2 88:24 89:6,7 89:24 90:17,18 90:23,23 92:10 92:15 93:6	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14 140:5,8,11,12 140:14,25 142:15,17 143:5,12,22 144:7,13,15 146:21,23 148:21 149:18 149:23,25 150:8,13,14 152:8,9,11,12 152:12,17,20	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22 27:8 29:1 49:3 148:14 211:18 211:19,22 lasted 97:1 140:17 Late 208:23 laugh 28:5 laughed 36:23 law 3:3 5:25 35:3 62:25 63:6,9 195:19	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8 94:8 112:10 130:2 legal 3:16 62:4 63:2 96:12 152:10 201:20 legalese 132:7 legislation 144:13,13 legislative 2:16 6:2,5 12:20 33:13 34:22 35:4 44:9 85:16 116:20
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13 8:16 14:11 28:11,13,16 74:4,7,8 130:19 147:17 147:23 148:6,9 164:21 165:5 kept 139:3 143:8 147:20 172:11 Khanna 162:14 kind 23:24 24:2	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10 59:2 60:1 63:20,24 68:6 70:25 73:2 74:14 75:5 76:10,15,18,20 76:21 77:7,24 78:19 81:5 82:5 83:3,10 84:15 87:2 88:24 89:6,7 89:24 90:17,18 90:23,23 92:10	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14 140:5,8,11,12 140:14,25 142:15,17 143:5,12,22 144:7,13,15 146:21,23 148:21 149:18 149:23,25 150:8,13,14 152:8,9,11,12 152:12,17,20 153:18 155:3	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22 27:8 29:1 49:3 148:14 211:18 211:19,22 lasted 97:1 140:17 Late 208:23 laugh 28:5 laughed 36:23 law 3:3 5:25 35:3 62:25	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8 94:8 112:10 130:2 legal 3:16 62:4 63:2 96:12 152:10 201:20 legalese 132:7 legislation 144:13,13 legislative 2:16 6:2,5 12:20 33:13 34:22 35:4 44:9
190:25 209:7 keeping 67:16 117:14 keepsake 22:20 keepsakes 22:17 Kentucky 8:13 8:16 14:11 28:11,13,16 74:4,7,8 130:19 147:17 147:23 148:6,9 164:21 165:5 kept 139:3 143:8 147:20 172:11 Khanna 162:14 kind 23:24 24:2 32:15 64:20	46:16,19,23 47:6 49:15,25 51:21 52:7,11 55:14 56:10 59:2 60:1 63:20,24 68:6 70:25 73:2 74:14 75:5 76:10,15,18,20 76:21 77:7,24 78:19 81:5 82:5 83:3,10 84:15 87:2 88:24 89:6,7 89:24 90:17,18 90:23,23 92:10 92:15 93:6	134:19,23 136:19 137:18 137:20 138:15 139:12,14 140:5,8,11,12 140:14,25 142:15,17 143:5,12,22 144:7,13,15 146:21,23 148:21 149:18 149:23,25 150:8,13,14 152:8,9,11,12 152:12,17,20 153:18 155:3 155:14 156:6	45:7 46:6 76:21 labeled 13:11 35:23 62:6 Lamar 27:1 laptop 26:19,22 27:8 29:1 49:3 148:14 211:18 211:19,22 lasted 97:1 140:17 Late 208:23 laugh 28:5 laughed 36:23 law 3:3 5:25 35:3 62:25 63:6,9 195:19	left 9:21 22:15 22:22 23:3 64:24 72:2 81:6,14 82:8 94:8 112:10 130:2 legal 3:16 62:4 63:2 96:12 152:10 201:20 legalese 132:7 legislation 144:13,13 legislative 2:16 6:2,5 12:20 33:13 34:22 35:4 44:9 85:16 116:20

legislators 32:25 106:1 106k 10:3, 12 12:12 14:22 12:12 14:22 12:12 14:22 14:18 148:25 142:18 148:25 26:13 28:18, 24 20:57, 71:16 13:6 13:6 13:7 35:14, 21 23:4 23:18, 24 20:57, 71:16 13:6 13:6 13:7 35:14, 21 23:18 23:8 32:18 23:18		ı	1	1	ı
102:10 139:23 titerally 104:20 12:12 14:22 12:23 120:20 12:3 120:20 12:3 120:20 12:3 120:20 12:3 12:3 120:20 12:3 12:3 120:20 12:25 120:20 13:3 123:1 20:5:14 20:5:18 20	legislators 32:25	106:1	look 10:3,12	M	91:1 102:10
legislature 1:9 112:3 120:20 15:7 18:1 23:4 ma'am 196:25 20:518 142:18 148:25 29:8 50:16 33:10 74:1 33:10 74:1 33:10 74:1 37:35:14,21 37:35:14,21 37:35:14,21 37:35:14,21 37:35:25 25:55,5 61:16 61:17 63:24 15:25 25:25 56:5,7 61:16 61:17 63:24 15:15 15:81 15:19:20,21 15:25 13:25 20:25 10:20 10:20 17:36,9 10:73,6,9 10:73,6,9 10:73,6,9 10:73,6,9 10:73,6,9 10:73,6,9 10:73,6,9 10:74:18 15:18 191:19 28:20 36:22 11:12 12:19 12:4 192:4 18:18 191:19 191:24 192:4 18:18 191:19 191:24 192:4 18:19 191:24 193:19 193		literally 104:20			
length 157:1	legislature 11:9		15:7 18:1 23:4	1	205:7,11,13,17
let's 7:16 13:6 litigation 2:13 3:7 35:14,21 52:4 54:9 60:1 15:25 116:25 165:1.6 mark 9:10 14:14 15:4,17 15:9,20,21 15:25 116:25 165:1.6 mark 9:10 14:14 15:14 17:14,24 56:4 96:21 100:20 15:25 116:25 165:1.6 mark 9:10 14:14 13:22 22:25,25 16:17 63:24 145:12 157:7 15:25 116:25 162:1,18 13:22 23 16:27 marked 9:11,14 16:21 117:1,4 16:21 117:1,4 16:21 117:1,4 16:21 117:1,4 16:21 117:1,4 17:16 128:13 17:7 16:16 128:15 16:17 88:24 17:16 128:15 16:18 18:19 119 17:16 128:2 112 18:18 120:16 18:18 19:19 19:20 13:15 18:18 19:19 19:20 13:15 18:18 19:19 19:20 13:15 18:18 19:19 19:20 13:15 18:18 19:19 19:20 13:15 18:18 19:19 18:18 123:20 18:18 13:15	length 157:1	142:18 148:25	26:13 28:18,24		205:18
38:10 74:1 37:14,24 56:4 122:65,25 122:65,25 170:25 180:15 170:25 180:15 170:25 180:15 170:25 180:15 170:25 180:15 170:25 180:15 170:25 180:15 170:25 180:15 187:25 206:22 187:25 206:22 187:25 206:22 187:25 206:22 187:25 206:22 188:25 190:36,9 175:7 195:6 180:24,25 85:4 180:24,25 85:4 180:24,25 85:4 180:24,25 85:4 180:24,25 85:4 180:24,25 85:4 180:24,25 85:4 180:24,25 85:4 180:24,25 85:4 180:24,25 85:4 180:24,25 85:4 180:24,25 85:4 180:24,25 85:4 180:24,25 85:4 180:24 180:25 180:24,25 85:4 180:24 180:25 180:25 201:11 180:25 201:11 180:25 201:11 180:25 113 180:25 201:11 180:25 113 180:25 201:11 180:25 113 180:25 201:11 180:25 113 180:25 201:11 180:25 113 180:25 201:11 180:25 113 180:25 201:11 180:25 113 180:25 201:11 180:25 113 180:25 201:12 180:25 206:18 180:26 206:25 180:25 206:25 180:25 206:25 180:25 206:25 180:25 206:25 180:25 206:25 180:25 206:25 180:25 206:25 180:25 206:25 180:25 2	let's 7:16 13:6	litigation 2:13	29:8 50:16		March 14:5
83:16 122:25, 25	38:10 74:1	3:7 35:14,21	52:4 54:9 60:1	1	165:1,6
122:25,25 56:5,7 61:16 116:21 117:1,4 145:12 157:7 175:72 206:22 73:5,7 96:4 157:15 158:13 127:16 128:15 162:7 157:15 158:13 127:16 128:15 162:1 117:1,4 160xed 24:18 123:6 144:16 85:5 145:18 191:19 175:7 195:6 145:18 191:19 188:1 20:16 145:18 191:19 188:1 20:16 167:8,13 168:3 160:17 189:29 203:7 205:8 161:13 122:17,18 25:10 133:22,23 141:10 167:4,6 167:8,13 168:3 160:17 180xing 16:22 143:15 144:21 175:6,10,23 175:15,15 158:13 135:10 155:10 155:14 153:20,184:6 153:13 153:15 153:15 155:6 160:17 175:15 158:13 175:20,23 175:6,10,23 175:16,175 175:15 175:13 175:16 175:13 175:12 175:15 175:13 175:15 175:13 175:15 175:13 175:15 175:13 175:15 175:13 175:15 175:13 175:15 175:13 175:15 175:13 175:15 175:13 175:15 175:13 175:15 175:13 175:15 175:13 175:15 175:13 175:15 175:13 175:15 1	83:16 122:25	37:14,24 56:4	96:21 100:20	1	mark 9:10 14:14
170:25 180:15 61:17 63:24 145:12 157:7 157:15 158:13 175:7 195:6 107:3,6.9 175:15 158:13 175:7 195:6 100** 24:18 175:7 195:6 100** 24:18 122:6 144:16 175:7 195:6 100** 24:18 122:6 144:16 175:7 195:6 185:23 129:17 129:20 130:10 44:13 45:16 63:18 64:8,11 129:20 130:10 44:13 45:16 63:18 64:8,11 135:20,23 141:10 167:4,6 135:20,23 141:10 167:4,6 135:20,23 141:10 167:4,6 135:20,23 141:10 167:4,6 135:20,23 141:10 167:4,6 135:20,23 141:10 167:4,6 141:20,21 143:15 144:21 145:16 145:18 191:19 125:18 26:8 129:10 155:14 145:12 145:16 151:10 155:14 145:16 151:10 155:13 153:15 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:6,10,23 175:18 153:15 154:7 155:6 162:4 184:17 158:24 185:2,12 91:12 186:4 78:2 186:4 78:5 186:4	122:25,25	56:5,7 61:16	116:21 117:1,4		133:22,23
187:25 206:22	170:25 180:15	61:17 63:24	145:12 157:7		162:7
cletters 30:19	187:25 206:22	73:5,7 96:4	157:15 158:13	1	marked 9:11,14
50:8 62:15 117:13,22 looked 24:18 129:20 130:10 44:13 45:16 80:24,25 85:4 122:6 144:16 28:20 36:22 135:20,23 14:10 167:46 85:5 145:18 191:19 48:7,16 50:3,9 135:20,23 136:6 138:17 167:8,13 168:8 139:25 201:11 120:37 205:8 litigations 51:12 22:17,18 25:10 143:15 144:21 175:6,10,23 Lewis 1:7 5:6 61:13 22:17,18 25:10 145:16 151:10 175:6,10,23 Lexington 33:8 85:22,23 51:8 54:23 15:8 54:23 175:6,10,23 160:17 33:8 85:22,23 57:16,17 59:22 57:16,17 59:22 162:4 181:52.6 18:15 177:9 180:24 58:16 98:25 99:23 103:9 79:2,6 83:7,11 131:5,14 135:2 188:12,12 91:12 131:5,14 135:2 188:16,123 21 189:16 192:8 192:12,15,18 192:11 19:49 189:16 192:8 192:12,15,18 193:19 49:2 131:16 184:14,17,21 183:19 19 49:9 189:16 192:8 192:12,15,18 193:19 19:65 131:5,14 135:2 189:19 19:17,7 183:11 19:91 183:17 166:11 146:18 183:7 14:133:2	letters 30:19	107:3,6,9	175:7 195:6		10:20 14:15,18
80:24,25 85:4 122:6 144:16 28:20 36:22 132:14 133:25 63:18 64:8,11 85:5 191:24 192:4 118:8 120:16 136:6 138:17 167:8,13 168:8 18tting 160:19 191:24 192:4 118:8 120:16 136:6 138:17 141:20,21 174:18,21,23 174:18,21,23 175:6,10,23 174:18,21,23 175:6,10,23 181:17 181:14:13:15:14 1	50:8 62:15	117:13,22	looked 24:18		44:13 45:16
85:5 letting 160:19 191:24 192:4 18:8 120:16 197:9 202:8 197:9 202:8 129:10 155:14 143:15 144:21 175:6,10,23 176:6,17 177:5 177:9 180:24 18:8 120:16 160:17 18:8 120:16 160:17 18:8 189:25 160:11 128:2 19:21 10:11 128:2 19:21 10:11 128:2 19:21 10:11 128:2 19:11 128:2 117:16 120:12 83:13,17 84:16 139:19 165:8 140:13 144:12 85:2,12 91:12 139:19 165:8 140:13 144:12 85:2,12 91:12 139:19 165:8 140:13 144:12 85:2,12 91:12 139:19 165:8 140:13 144:12 85:2,12 91:12 131:16 130:15 131:5,14 135:2 19:11 128:2 113:6 177:12 18:8 198:18 113:6 177:12 18:8 198:18 113:6 177:12 18:8 198:18 129:17 170:16 172:1,2 18:8 123 3:17 108:8 123:20 174:9 22 108:55 158:123 3:17 108:8 123:20 174:19 109:18 133:20 137:12 106:10 20:9 160:21 195:22 106:11 18:15,17 199:22 100:8 109:18 100:8 109:1	80:24,25 85:4	122:6 144:16	28:20 36:22		63:18 64:8,11
letting 160:19	85:5	145:18 191:19	48:7,16 50:3,9		141:10 167:4,6
level 103:6 197:9 202:8 129:10 155:14 loking 16:22 143:15 144:21 176:6,17 177:5 161:13 25:18 26:8 29:13,23 44:15 151:13 153:15 177:9 180:24 184:14,17,21 186:29:25 56:6 58:16 98:25 99:23 103:9 79:2,6 83:7,11 101:11 128:2 139:19 165:8 140:13 144:12 200:25 206:18 liked 78:23 limit 49:15 limit 79:10 limit 26:13 limit 29:10 limit 29:10 limit 29:5 lime 126:13 108:8 123:20 limit 79:10 limit 29:5 lime 126:13 108:8 123:20 limit 79:10 limit 29:5 lime 126:13 108:8 123:20 limit 79:10 limit 29:5 LLP 15:8 129:11:2 line 126:13 108:8 123:20 limit 79:10 limit 29:5 limit 79:10 limit 29:5 LLP 15:8 129:19 101:7,7 limit 25:5 Lisa 1:23 3:17 215:4,23 located 53:8 list 10:22 11:1 location 20:9 lockbox 24:13 location 20:9 106:15 190:2 194:13 litem 4:19,23 176:0,123 location 20:9 106:17 181:24 190:2 106:15 190:2 194:13 listed 178:5 190:2 194:14 190:6 190:2 24 100:8 109:18 listed 103:18 listed 178:5 190:2 100:18 listed 179:0 loss 61:2 105:2 100:11 loss 61:2 105:10 101:16 loss 61:16 loss 61:2 105:10 loss 61:2 105:10 loss	letting 160:19	191:24 192:4	118:8 120:16	1	167:8,13 168:8
139:25 201:11	level 103:6	197:9 202:8	129:10 155:14		174:18,21,23
Lewis 1:7 5:6 214:3 litigations 51:12 6:13 22:17,18 25:10 25:18 26:8 145:16 151:10 151:13 177:5 176:6,17 177:5 Lexington 160:17 little 25:15 33:6 33:8 85:22,23 57:16,17 59:22 518 24:15 53:6 151:13 153:15 157:6 177:9 180:24 153:14 181:5,20 184:6 184:14,17,21 17:5 181:5,20 184:6 184:14,17,21 17:9 180:24 184:14,17,21 17:5 181:5,20 184:6 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:5 184:14,17,21 17:1	139:25 201:11	203:7 205:8	looking 16:22		175:6,10,23
25:18 26:8	Lewis 1:7 5:6	litigations 51:12	22:17,18 25:10		176:6,17 177:5
Lexington 160:17	214:3	61:13	25:18 26:8		177:9 180:24
160:17 33:8 85:22,23 51:8 54:23 57:16,17 59:22 58:16 98:25 99:23 103:9 79:2,6 83:7,11 101:11 128:2 117:16 120:12 83:13,17 84:16 139:19 165:8 140:13 144:12 85:2,12 91:12 131:5,14 135:2 131:5,14 135:2 131:5,14 135:2 131:5,14 135:2 131:5,14 135:2 131:5,14 135:2 131:5,14 135:2 131:6 49:15 165:7 lived 20:12 lived 20:12 lived 20:12 lives 198:18 live 8:12,14,16 158:19 208:25 limit 49:15 live 8:12,14,16 158:19 208:25 limit 20:13 129:17 170:16 120:12 lives 198:18 live 120:12 lives 198:18 living 20:16,21 24:23 56:6 71:14 99:19 101:7,7 lines 66:9 88:3 108:8 123:20 7:49:22 10:21 12:12 10:33:17 215:4,23 local 93:19 215:4,23 location 20:9 160:21 195:22 160:3 listed 178:5 lodge 70:10 long 53:1 93:7,9 176:11 181:24 199:22 lociton 20:9 100:5:21 100:15 176:12 182:15,17 182:24,7,12 182:15,17 183:21 189:19 100:88 109:18 lock 93:21 lock 143:21 100:8109:18 lock 93:21 lock 143:21 lock 143:21 lock 143:21 lock 93:21 lock 143:21 lock 1	Lexington	little 25:15 33:6	29:13,23 44:15		181:5,20 184:6
Sife 29:25 56:6	160:17	33:8 85:22,23	51:8 54:23		184:14,17,21
58:16 98:25 99:23 103:9 79:2,6 83:7,11 mail 49:21 130:5 189:16 192:8 101:11 128:2 117:16 120:12 83:13,17 84:16 131:5,14 135:2 132:1,5,18 139:19 165:8 140:13 144:12 85:2,12 91:12 mailed 121:12 131:5,14 135:2 192:12,15,18 light 49:15 200:25 206:18 155:23 158:16 live 8:12,14,16 live 8:12,14,16 live 8:12,14,16 mained 121:12 131:16 married 7:1 limit 79:10 165:7 lives 198:18 lives 198:18 lives 198:18 159:10 160:20 159:10 160:20 113:6 177:12 lives 198:18 living 20:16,21 211:2 lot 35:12 83:13 maintain 66:11 66:11 146:18 maintain 66:11 66:11 146:18 maintain 66:11 66:11 146:18 maintain 66:11 148:8 maintain 66:11 148:8 117:12 147:16 51:16 56:2 77:13 81:18,20 10ses 66:9 88:3 Lizon 4:10 7:1,2 103:11 109:18 147:1 147:1 majority 85:18 majority 85:18 majority 85:18 majority 85:18 majority 85:18 majority 85:18 majority 85:14 46:9 139:12 48:7,1	life 29:25 56:6	88:2 97:23	57:16,17 59:22		187:22 188:9
101:11 128:2 139:19 165:8 140:13 144:12 85:2,12 91:12 131:16 131:194:9 131:194:9 131:194:9 131:194:9 131:106 131:194:9 131:106 131:194:9 131:106 131:194:9 131:106 131:194:9 131:106	58:16 98:25	99:23 103:9	79:2,6 83:7,11		189:16 192:8
139:19 165:8	101:11 128:2	117:16 120:12	83:13,17 84:16		192:12,15,18
LifeLinks 189:4 148:17 158:24 137:14 155:2 131:16 main 22:18 mainstream markings 174:8 mainstream liwed 78:23 live 8:12,14,16 155:23 158:16 loss 21:23 93:22 lost 174:20 155:12 208:25 loss 21:23 93:22 lost 174:20 mainstream 33:7 maintain 66:11 doi:10 146:18 mainstream Massachusetts limited 4:18 living 20:16,21 lives 198:18 living 20:16,21 lives 98:18 living 20:16,21 lives 98:18 living 20:16,21 point 7:14 lot 35:12 83:13 maintain 66:11 doi:11 doi:11.74 Massachusetts 172:1,2 lines 66:9 88:3 129:17 170:16 lives 193:19 lost 64:18 lost 190:2 194:13 listed 178:5 logg 70:10 lost 64:18 long 53:1 93:7, litem 4:19,23 litem 4:19,23 litem 4:19,23 litem 4:19,23 litem 4:19,23 long 53:1 93:7, longer 72:24 longer 72:	139:19 165:8	140:13 144:12	85:2,12 91:12		193:1 194:9
light 49:15 200:25 206:18 155:23 158:16 main 22:18 married 7:1 liked 78:23 live 8:12,14,16 158:19 208:25 main 32:18 masala 127:23 limit 79:10 165:7 loss 21:23 93:22 main 33:7 masala 127:23 limited 4:18 lived 20:12 lost 174:20 maintain 66:11 Massachusetts 113:6 177:12 living 20:16,21 lot 35:12 83:13 maintain 66:11 66:11 146:18 material 46:2 172:1,2 71:14 99:19 101:7,7 maintaining 117:12 147:16 51:16 56:2 77:13 81:18,20 lines 66:9 88:3 Lizon 4:10 7:1,2 103:11 109:18 maintaining 111:4,6 131:22 166:4 lining 25:5 LLP 15:8 125:1,2,2 maintaining 111:4,6 131:22 166:4 Lisa 1:23 3:17 local 93:19 129:4 130:4 maintaining 111:4,6 131:22 166:4 list 10:22 11:1 located 53:8 147:5 152:5 49:14 51:14 maintaining 11:23 14:5 199:2 10cated 53:8 147:5 152:5 49:14 51:14 49:14 51:14 45:13 47:1			137:14 155:2		markings 174:8
limit 79:10 165:7 loss 21:23 93:22 lost 174:20 33:7 maintain 66:11 maintain 66:11 maintain 66:11 maintain 66:11 doi:11 doi:11 doi:12 lost 174:20 masala 127:23 Massachusetts 113:6 177:12 lives 198:18 living 20:16,21 lives 198:18 living 20:16,21 lives 198:18 living 20:16,21 lost 35:12 83:13 living 20:16,21 lost 35:12 83:13 living 20:16,21 lost 35:12 83:13 living 20:16,67 grain foliants and points are foliants and points are foliants and points are foliants are foliants. The foliants. The foliants are foliants. The foliants are foliants. The foliants are foliants. The foliants. The foliants. The foliants are foliants. The foliants. The foliants are	light 49:15	200:25 206:18	155:23 158:16		married 7:1
limited 4:18 lived 20:12 lost 174:20 maintain 66:11 Massachusetts line 126:13 living 20:16,21 lot 35:12 83:13 maintain 66:11 2:4 129:17 170:16 24:23 56:6 84:10,19 96:5 172:1,2 147:16 51:16 56:2 lines 66:9 88:3 Lizon 4:10 7:1,2 103:11 109:18 maintain 66:11 7:13 81:18,20 lines 66:9 88:3 Lizon 4:10 7:1,2 103:11 109:18 maintaining 111:4,6 131:22 lining 25:5 LLP 15:8 125:1,2,2 majority 85:18 materials 11:11 Lisa 1:23 3:17 local 93:19 129:4 130:4 majority 85:18 materials 11:11 list 10:22 11:1 located 53:8 147:5 152:5 49:14 51:14 45:13 47:13 133:20 137:12 location 20:9 160:21 195:22 64:9 139:12 48:7,13 49:8 listed 178:5 lodge 70:10 lots 64:18 105:11 54:25 61:21,22 190:2 194:13 listed 181:24 197:14 199:6 lower 103:15 Map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 100:8 109:18 lock 93:21 85:16,1				mainstream	
113:6 177:12 lives 198:18 living 20:16,21 lot 35:12 83:13 84:10,19 96:5 172:1,2 71:14 99:19 101:7,7 148:8 maintained 117:12 147:16 148:8 108:8 123:20 7:4 9:22 110:21 124:17 lining 25:5 LLP 15:8 125:1,2,2 106at 93:19 201:6,6,7,8 139:14,15 133:20 137:12 located 53:8 147:5 152:5 196:3 lockbox 24:13 lockbox 24:13 lockbox 24:13 logg 70:10 lots 64:18 109:18 109:19 101:7, 100:11 109:18 lots 64:18 105:11 105:11 lots 64:18 maintained 117:12 147:16 148:8 maintaining 147:1 166:4 materials 11:11 166:4 material 46:2 51:16 56:2 77:13 81:18,20 111:4,6 131:22 147:1 166:4 material 46:2 51:16 56:2 77:13 81:18,20 111:4,6 131:22 166:4 materials 11:11 166:4 material 46:2 51:16 56:2 77:13 81:18,20 111:4,6 131:22 166:4 materials 11:11 166:4 material 46:2 51:16 56:2 77:13 81:18,20 111:4,6 131:22 166:4 materials 11:11 166:4 material 46:2 51:16 56:2 77:13 81:18,20 111:4,6 131:22 166:4 materials 11:11 166:4 material 46:2 51:16 56:2 77:13 81:18,20 111:4,6 131:22 166:4 material 46:2 51:16 56:2 77:13 81:18,20 111:4,6 131:22 166:4 material 46:2 51:16 56:2 77:13 81:18,20 111:4,6 131:22 166:4 material 46:2 51:16 56:2 77:13 81:18,20 11:4,6 131:22 166:4 material 46:2 51:16 56:2 11:25 166:4 material 46:2 51:16 56:2 17:18 166:4 material 46:2 11:21 166:4 11:4,6 131:22 11:21 147:1 166:4 material 46:2 11:21 147:1 166:4 11:21 147:1 166:4 material 40:2 11:21 147:1 166:4 material 40:2 11:21 166:4 1				33:7	
line 126:13 living 20:16,21 lot 35:12 83:13 maintained material 46:2 129:17 170:16 24:23 56:6 71:14 99:19 101:7,7 148:8 177:12 147:16 51:16 56:2 77:13 81:18,20 lines 66:9 88:3 Lizon 4:10 7:1,2 103:11 109:18 maintaining 111:4,6 131:22 166:4 lining 25:5 LLP 15:8 125:1,2,2 majority 85:18 materials 11:11 Lisa 1:23 3:17 201:6,6,7,8 139:14,15 making 16:13 33:7 46:17,18 30:10,16 45:9 list 10:22 11:1 located 53:8 147:5 152:5 49:14 51:14 45:13 47:13 133:20 137:12 lockbox 24:13 lockbox 24:13 lots 64:18 44:9 139:12 48:7,13 49:8 190:2 194:13 long 53:1 93:7,9 louise 6:23 7:2 man 61:1 98:21 51:10 54:7,9 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 100:et 103:15 map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 100:21 105:22 106:11 30:22 36:23 83:6,20,25 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16				maintain 66:11	
129:17 170:16 24:23 56:6 84:10,19 96:5 117:12 147:16 51:16 56:2 77:13 81:18,20 lines 66:9 88:3 Lizon 4:10 7:1,2 103:11 109:18 148:8 111:4,6 131:22 166:4 lining 25:5 LLP 15:8 125:1,2,2 129:4 130:4 139:14,15 133:20 137:12 160:21 195:22 160:21 195:22 48:7,13 49:8 30:10,16 45:9 48:7,13 49:8 48:7,13 49:8 48:7,13 49:8 105:11 54:25 61:21,22 105:11 105:11 105:11 54:25 61:21,22 105:11 105:11 105:11 48:7,13 49:8 105:11 54:25 61:21,22 105:11 54:25 61:21,22 105:11 54:25 61:21,22 105:11 54:25 61:21,22 105:11 105:11 54:25 61:21,22 105:11 105:11 54:25 61:21,22 65:8 69:19 105:11				66:11 146:18	
172:1,2 71:14 99:19 101:7,7 148:8 77:13 81:18,20 lines 66:9 88:3 Lizon 4:10 7:1,2 103:11 109:18 maintaining 111:4,6 131:22 lining 25:5 LLP 15:8 125:1,2,2 majority 85:18 materials 11:11 Lisa 1:23 3:17 local 93:19 129:4 130:4 making 16:13 30:10,16 45:9 list 10:22 11:1 located 53:8 147:5 152:5 49:14 51:14 45:13 47:13 133:20 137:12 location 20:9 160:21 195:22 64:9 139:12 48:7,13 49:8 196:3 lockbox 24:13 lots 64:18 man 61:1 98:21 51:10 54:7,9 listed 178:5 long 53:1 93:7,9 Louise 6:23 7:2 manipulate 31:3 Map 33:17 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 lower 103:15 Map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 loci12,13 Mack 93:21 85:20 101:16 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16				maintained	
lines 66:9 88:3 Lizon 4:10 7:1,2 103:11 109:18 maintaining 111:4,6 131:22 lining 25:5 LLP 15:8 125:1,2,2 majority 85:18 materials 11:11 Lisa 1:23 3:17 local 93:19 129:4 130:4 making 16:13 33:7 46:17,18 materials 11:11 215:4,23 201:6,6,7,8 139:14,15 33:7 46:17,18 30:10,16 45:9 list 10:22 11:1 located 53:8 147:5 152:5 49:14 51:14 45:13 47:13 133:20 137:12 lockbox 24:13 lockbox 24:13 lots 64:18 49:14 51:14 64:9 139:12 48:7,13 49:8 190:2 194:13 long 53:1 93:7,9 louse 6:23 7:2 love 165:9 manipulate 31:3 65:8 69:19 176:1 181:24 197:14 199:6 lower 103:15 Map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 79:19 82:25 182:15,17 longer 72:24 lockloss 109:18 luck 93:21 30:22 36:23 85:20 101:16 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	117:12 147:16	
108:8 123:20 7:4 9:22 110:21 124:17 147:1 materials 11:11 lining 25:5 LLP 15:8 125:1,2,2 majority 85:18 materials 11:11 Lisa 1:23 3:17 local 93:19 129:4 130:4 making 16:13 33:7 46:17,18 30:10,16 45:9 list 10:22 11:1 located 53:8 147:5 152:5 49:14 51:14 45:13 47:13 133:20 137:12 location 20:9 160:21 195:22 64:9 139:12 48:7,13 49:8 196:3 lockbox 24:13 lots 64:18 lots 64:18 105:11 54:25 61:21,22 190:2 194:13 long 53:1 93:7,9 10:17 138:25 love 165:9 managed 122:8 61:25 62:6,10 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 lower 103:15 Map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 182:15,17 longer 72:24 106:12,13 30:22 36:23 83:6,20,25 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	148:8	
lining 25:5 LLP 15:8 125:1,2,2 majority 85:18 materials 11:11 Lisa 1:23 3:17 201:6,6,7,8 139:14,15 33:7 46:17,18 30:10,16 45:9 list 10:22 11:1 located 53:8 147:5 152:5 49:14 51:14 45:13 47:13 133:20 137:12 location 20:9 160:21 195:22 48:7,13 49:8 196:3 lockbox 24:13 lots 64:18 51:10 54:7,9 listed 178:5 long 53:1 93:7,9 lous 6:23 7:2 man 61:1 98:21 51:10 54:7,9 litem 4:19,23 110:17 138:25 love 165:9 manipulate 31:3 65:8 69:19 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 106:12,13 Map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 30:22 36:23 85:20 101:16		-		maintaining	· ·
Lisa 1:23 3:17 local 93:19 129:4 130:4 making 16:13 30:10,16 45:9 215:4,23 201:6,6,7,8 139:14,15 33:7 46:17,18 30:10,16 45:9 list 10:22 11:1 located 53:8 147:5 152:5 49:14 51:14 45:13 47:13 133:20 137:12 lockbox 24:13 160:21 195:22 64:9 139:12 48:7,13 49:8 196:3 lodge 70:10 lots 64:18 105:11 54:25 61:21,22 190:2 194:13 long 53:1 93:7,9 110:17 138:25 love 165:9 managed 122:8 61:25 62:6,10 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 lower 103:15 Map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 182:15,17 100:8 109:18 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 30:22 36:23 85:20 101:16 100:8 109:18 100:8 1				147:1	
215:4,23 201:6,6,7,8 139:14,15 33:7 46:17,18 30:10,16 45:9 list 10:22 11:1 located 53:8 147:5 152:5 49:14 51:14 45:13 47:13 133:20 137:12 lockbox 24:13 160:21 195:22 48:7,13 49:8 196:3 lockbox 24:13 lodge 70:10 lots 64:18 190:2 194:13 long 53:1 93:7,9 Louise 6:23 7:2 man 61:1 98:21 54:25 61:21,22 litem 4:19,23 110:17 138:25 love 165:9 manipulate 31:3 65:8 69:19 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 loser 103:15 Map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 lock 93:21 30:22 36:23 85:20 101:16			1 '	majority 85:18	
list 10:22 11:1 located 53:8 147:5 152:5 49:14 51:14 45:13 47:13 133:20 137:12 location 20:9 160:21 195:22 49:14 51:14 48:7,13 49:8 196:3 lockbox 24:13 lockbox 24:13 lots 64:18 man 61:1 98:21 51:10 54:7,9 190:2 194:13 long 53:1 93:7,9 love 165:9 managed 122:8 65:8 69:19 176:1 181:24 197:14 199:6 lower 103:15 Map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 lose 70:24 106:12,13 30:22 36:23 83:6,20,25 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 lock 93:21 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16				making 16:13	
133:20 137:12 location 20:9 160:21 195:22 48:7,13 49:8 196:3 lockbox 24:13 205:14 man 61:1 98:21 51:10 54:7,9 listed 178:5 long 53:1 93:7,9 lots 64:18 105:11 54:25 61:21,22 litem 4:19,23 110:17 138:25 love 165:9 manipulate 31:3 65:8 69:19 176:1 181:24 197:14 199:6 lower 103:15 Map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 lose 106:12,13 30:22 36:23 83:6,20,25 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16	· ·		1	33:7 46:17,18	· ·
196:3 lockbox 24:13 205:14 man 61:1 98:21 51:10 54:7,9 listed 178:5 lodge 70:10 lots 64:18 Louise 6:23 7:2 man 61:1 98:21 54:25 61:21,22 190:2 194:13 long 53:1 93:7,9 Louise 6:23 7:2 managed 122:8 61:25 62:6,10 litem 4:19,23 176:1 181:24 197:14 199:6 lower 103:15 Map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 los:22 106:11 106:12,13 maps 11:8 12:20 79:19 82:25 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16				49:14 51:14	
listed 178:5 lodge 70:10 lots 64:18 105:11 54:25 61:21,22 190:2 194:13 long 53:1 93:7,9 Louise 6:23 7:2 managed 122:8 61:25 62:6,10 litem 4:19,23 176:1 181:24 197:14 199:6 lower 165:9 manipulate 31:3 65:8 69:19 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 105:22 106:11 maps 11:8 12:20 79:19 82:25 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 30:22 36:23 83:6,20,25 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16				64:9 139:12	· ·
190:2 194:13 long 53:1 93:7,9 Louise 6:23 7:2 managed 122:8 61:25 62:6,10 16:25 62:6,10 love 165:9 manipulate 31:3 65:8 69:19 176:1 181:24 197:14 199:6 lower 103:15 Map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 106:12,13 maps 11:8 12:20 79:19 82:25 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16					·
litem 4:19,23 110:17 138:25 love 165:9 manipulate 31:3 65:8 69:19 176:1 181:24 197:14 199:6 lower 103:15 Map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 106:12,13 maps 11:8 12:20 79:19 82:25 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16				105:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
176:1 181:24 197:14 199:6 lower 103:15 Map 33:17 74:14 79:6,11 182:2,4,7,12 199:22 105:22 106:11 maps 11:8 12:20 79:19 82:25 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 30:22 36:23 83:6,20,25 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16					
182:2,4,7,12 199:22 105:22 106:11 maps 11:8 12:20 79:19 82:25 182:15,17 longer 72:24 106:12,13 30:22 36:23 83:6,20,25 183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16				_	
182:15,17 longer 72:24 106:12,13 30:22 36:23 83:6,20,25 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16				_	
183:21 189:19 100:8 109:18 luck 93:21 85:16,17 90:6 85:20 101:16				1 -	
05.10,17 50.0	· ·		1		
nteral 104:24 122:10 155:9 90:11,19,20 105:19 114:5			luck 93:21		
	iiteral 104:24	122:10 155:9		90:11,19,20	105:19 114:5
229		I	1	1	I

122:16 127:18	98:20,21	102:6	missed 155:25	55:24,25 56:11
128:16 131:14	101:19 102:2	merely 49:11	200:24	56:24 57:3,10
143:16 147:15	106:12 112:4	50:3	missing 72:16	57:20 58:10,20
148:23 149:9	112:25 116:10	merits 98:13	misstate 203:22	58:23 59:14
150:9,12,25	118:22 119:9	119:20	mistaken 88:16	60:5 61:1,1
151:7 154:3,8	120:14,20	mess 120:21	mistakenly 47:7	71:23 72:1
159:17,19	123:23 125:5	message 23:8	48:25	73:6,10,14
160:7 164:11	131:16,18	75:21 94:9	mix 77:4	74:9 81:12,14
164:14,17,20	134:13 140:22	107:11,25	mixed 47:7 84:4	81:25 82:10
164:21 165:12	148:4 153:9	108:3,14 130:2	mixture 77:4	91:10,12,19
166:17,20	157:11,17	messages 94:6	modify 19:8	95:21 96:8
matter 5:5 51:13	159:9 166:14	94:14 107:21	mom 55:14,20	97:8 99:12,16
57:23 60:16	166:14,25	125:8,13 133:7	59:6 149:20	101:3 105:12
64:2 68:2,7	169:18 173:23	141:17 209:20	153:16 154:7	112:6 118:21
93:16 98:13	176:24 198:21	209:21 210:15	157:8	121:25 128:19
100:18 101:12	198:21 201:1	210:18,25	mom's 189:2	130:18 149:16
101:13 102:18	204:17 206:19	211:3	191:5	150:11 151:14
102:25 103:22	206:20,21	met 44:7 183:4	moment 10:17	152:3,9,18
104:1,6,17	means 120:15	metal 46:7	14:19 17:18	153:2 155:9
105:21,22	meant 49:5	miles 8:19	48:16 60:13	156:13 157:15
106:3,7 114:11	measure 140:11	mind 78:18	68:25 102:22	158:21 159:16
114:13 115:7	meat 160:18	130:12	146:15 149:22	160:6 164:19
117:8,15	media 49:12	mine 22:17,20	160:14 184:16	166:19,24
118:10 119:1	65:3 67:17	28:7 29:13	186:24 195:6	168:11,16
119:20 124:1,8	101:23 127:1	47:6 50:7	moments 189:14	170:2,4,19
124:14 125:23	127:22	64:23 79:3	money 133:1	176:9 177:19
129:5 139:18	medical 149:25	80:18 81:16	163:8,25	178:6 179:1
140:4 153:25	150:4,5 183:3	82:2 112:10,15	monies 163:23	182:1,18 183:6
154:2 155:19	Meese 191:11	116:7 147:4	164:2,4,7	183:7,24
159:7 185:17	meeting 141:4	149:1,7,25	month 13:22	184:25 185:3
186:6,7 187:6	member 163:1	150:1 166:4	20:4	187:8,14 188:1
matters 29:25	members 2:11	174:20 209:12	monthly 53:22	190:12 200:18
34:10,11 43:8	158:15	mingle 77:6	months 52:14	mother's 37:9
59:12 61:18	memento 147:5	mingled 77:6	57:25 58:4	50:23 52:6,9
63:25 71:5	memory 152:20	98:25 128:11	169:15	52:13,21 98:13
77:5 98:21	152:20 153:16	minute 139:7	morning 6:17	118:4 154:20
103:10 130:21	153:17	145:12	44:8	154:20 156:2
148:21 149:12	mention 32:8	minutes 85:12	mother 8:25	156:20 158:3
171:25 185:14	127:6	93:10 97:2	12:17 13:2	160:11 183:3
191:4 193:14	mentioned 34:13	110:20 140:22	20:12,15,21	183:17 185:10
201:17,20,25	35:3 51:1	212:1	21:1,6,25	185:17,25
202:3 205:14	57:10 84:12	mischar- 47:20	22:10,11 25:12	186:5,7,12,21
may- 109:3	102:23 104:7	mischaracteri	25:25 26:18	190:15 191:17
mean 17:5 23:4	105:17 111:9	144:3 145:4	27:4,13 31:19	193:8 195:1
35:17 48:21	118:23 127:11	159:22	36:10 37:25	motion 4:20,24
55:19 58:4,5	134:2 142:2,4	mischaracteri	38:5,7 39:21	133:21 175:25
63:16 77:23	142:7,20	37:13 47:22	40:17,18 41:3	193:15
83:2,10 84:24	151:10 155:21	65:11 66:5	42:1 50:13,14	move 72:13,15
85:15 86:25	173:11	79:16	50:21 51:7,14	72:25 199:5
90:20 94:13	mentioning	misclar- 67:2	52:23 53:2	moved 53:14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
·	·	·	·	230

	<u> </u>	1	1	1
118:11 157:3	74:13 112:20	79:7,14 80:5	64:16 65:21	offense 144:8
multiple 88:13	119:19 128:9	88:9,12 90:8	66:4 67:1	offensive 99:23
202:23	145:6 175:12	91:5 105:7,8	68:19 69:7	offer 32:11
multitude 84:4	175:18 182:21	128:6 129:8	79:16 88:10,18	offhand 16:6
multitudes 99:3	184:10	204:15,20,21	170:15 171:5	76:22 77:24
music 80:22	new 17:6 34:12	213:4 215:1	171:21 179:19	92:17 138:15
	35:2,2,5 60:16	Notary 6:12	179:25 193:20	office 5:22 14:12
N	60:16 90:19,20	213:23 215:5	198:17 202:14	45:7 87:6
N 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	90:24 103:22	215:24	202:14 207:6	95:15 132:19
213:1,1,1,1	104:2 106:2	note 23:8 149:7	objection 7:25	191:14 197:17
N.W 2:19	143:7 154:15	173:16	12:21 37:11,12	197:23
name 6:21 7:1,6	156:1 157:16	noted 94:24	39:10,18 47:19	officer 215:5
9:20 53:10,12	169:9 171:8	notes 195:6	57:7 62:3	official 1:7 5:7
54:12 72:8	173:11 209:13	noteworthy	65:10 66:4	42:3
73:19 169:9,12	209:25 210:18	55:18 63:10	69:21 70:11	Ogletree 2:22
173:16 189:3	210:20,25	notice 73:17,20	75:14 76:5	6:1
195:17 214:2,4	211:4	158:17 175:24	81:22 86:6	oh 13:8 28:22
named 89:11	news 84:8,10	noticed 25:14	87:18 88:15	34:4 47:21
161:17 162:10	newspaper	55:3,9 133:22	106:21 113:15	49:13 71:23
names 93:18,19	173:9	notification	144:1 145:3,20	73:16 133:22
93:20 95:6	nice 58:1	53:25	158:7 159:21	144:2 163:10
97:11 98:6,8	night 28:21	November 58:6	165:14 171:11	167:22 172:12
120:13,18	53:12,20,21	59:4,4 89:16	173:22 178:8	174:7,14
136:16 138:10	54:16,17,24	89:16 92:25	186:18 197:14	180:19 181:16
138:14,14,19	nights 53:7,7	93:2 96:25	200:20 201:2	189:4,24,24
Nash 2:22	nine 16:19 93:1	181:15 185:5	203:8	191:10 200:2
nature 56:4	97:1	187:25 188:3	objections 17:7	202:14
NC 2:9 18:12	No- 190:4	194:24	17:8 88:12	okay 7:3,8,10
near 28:7 52:20	Nods 41:1 66:25	number 4:8 5:12	observed 49:11	8:10,16,19,22
53:17	123:4	45:16,17,21,22	149:23	9:3,9,19,24
necessarily	noncommunic	46:21 76:8	obtain 144:22	10:3,8,25 11:5
115:4	73:8	108:13 139:5	150:4	11:11,14,17,23
necessary 96:16	nonhuman 98:3	173:8 206:7,16	obtained 112:1	12:3,8,12,16
198:24	nonre- 128:5	207:18,24	obvious 13:12	13:20 15:25
need 12:9 55:8	nonspecific	208:1,8	129:3,3	16:6,25 17:13
55:25 96:2,8	157:18	numbers 95:6	obviously	18:10,21 19:2
98:10,11	Nope 141:7	208:18 209:4	116:23 129:8	19:10,23 20:1
106:10 110:1	Noreen 59:12	nuts 124:13	occur 89:14	20:3,7,13,15
123:2 161:4	186:8,10,20	NW 2:4	186:16	20:19,24 21:1
167:12 170:12	187:1,9,13		occurred 59:3	21:3,6,9,9,12
208:5	188:2 191:17	0	141:15	21:15,17,20
needed 109:16	normal 52:15	O 5:1 213:1,1	October 20:6,20	22:4 23:10,14
117:19 160:7	152:21	oath 7:12	21:3 22:6,9	23:17 24:6,12
201:6	North 1:1,19	Ob- 61:23 81:22	52:7,10,11	24:16,25 25:12
negotiated	2:12,14,24 3:4	87:18 165:14	58:6 59:21	26:4,11,20
193:11	3:9,18 5:11,21	173:22 207:6	73:22,22 74:2	27:4,16,16,18
neither 153:23	8:14 11:8	obituary 169:10	165:6 176:8	28:1,4,14,18
215:14	12:19 13:4,14	171:8 173:12	177:6	28:22 29:6
never 49:3 60:17	33:12,18 34:21	object 7:21 21:6	October/Nove	30:15,18,24
63:4,6,12 69:2	35:24 52:17	39:6,7,15	58:9 59:5	31:7,12,20,24
				231

32:2,7,11 33:5	89:19 100:7,18	p.m 123:9,11,11	35:18 51:24	people 11:19
37:5,5 38:6,10	102:11 116:4,8	123:13 161:7,9	52:1 72:13	48:2 61:18
38:16,18,21	121:23 153:4	161:9,11	79:1	64:6 71:22
39:2,6,10,14	169:25	180:21,23,23	park 140:24	72:22 98:1,9
39:24 40:16,19	ones 16:15	181:2 195:10	parsing 178:10	98:17,23 99:4
40:24,25 41:5	204:14 205:1	195:12,12,14	part 73:5 89:3	99:13,21
41:9,9,15,16	ongoing 73:6	212:6,8,8,10	137:7 150:6	100:11,19
41:17,21,24	99:11 185:24	212:16,18	159:25 182:13	105:14,15
42:3,6,10,15	oOo 3:19	package 14:25	197:1	140:24 199:18
43:16 45:9,18	open 23:17 24:5	15:10,14 16:3	particularly	206:17 208:4
49:6 66:16	49:11,19 50:2	16:21 18:9	93:9	percent 196:2
67:1 74:2	84:5,20 104:17	pad 63:10	parties 37:23	perfectly 204:4
103:18 114:25	opened 42:4	page 4:1,8 9:19	120:14 193:5	period 28:2
116:9 121:13	49:25 60:17	10:20,21 14:23	197:6,12 203:6	50:11 52:22
123:2,20 124:5	operating 17:12	15:6,13,18,25	215:16,18	58:5 59:2
132:1,13 137:9	Operation 33:16	18:10 44:16	partisan 36:14	72:24 92:23
149:23 157:25	opinion 36:17	45:21 46:10,21	36:17 104:22	129:9 132:20
161:4 167:19	opportunity	76:12,14,16,19	partner 27:2	165:5 194:24
172:9 174:5,6	175:4 181:4	76:25 77:7	55:1 61:8	206:20 208:19
174:17 176:3	184:13 189:13	78:11 175:12	70:15 81:19	perjury 213:4
180:15 181:16	192:12	175:14,16,19	142:7	Perkins 162:17
189:18,24	opposed 46:5	175:21,24	party 14:3 38:2	164:8
197:21 199:9	153:10 198:5	176:3,7,17	67:18 73:7	permission 40:2
200:6 202:4	opposing 73:7	177:5,22 178:4	106:17 131:1,3	40:18 41:4
204:11,19	opposite 46:14	178:25 181:13	131:5 133:4	50:22,23,25
205:4,23	87:24 106:19	181:14 189:21	passed 134:13	108:8 199:18
206:13 207:4	order 4:20 58:22	189:23 190:1	170:1,9 204:15	person 9:20
207:16,22	176:1 184:24	194:15	204:17 205:7	31:13 58:24
208:9,17,17,21	199:10	Page/Line 214:6	205:11	60:21 71:21
209:3,7,14	organization	pages 10:19	passing 169:4,8	89:21 98:22
210:17,21	31:9	17:16 213:5	171:4,16	99:2 117:24
211:2,6,12,24	original 124:21	215:11	passive 66:6	185:11 186:12
old 85:4 209:5,5	130:17 182:13	paid 53:20,20,21	patient 196:4	189:2 192:2
209:7,21 211:6	originally 13:23	paper 118:8	Paul 2:13 5:21	195:2 207:25
211:8	36:25 134:23	paperless 53:24	pay 53:18	person's 125:4
Oldham 27:1,5	other's 160:22	papers 23:25	160:21	personable 33:8
55:2,12 61:8	outcome 215:19	paperwork	paycheck	personal 29:9,11
61:11 70:16,25	outreach 89:10	187:2	132:25	30:10,18 33:8
73:2,4,14	outside 15:14	par 56:9	paying 55:6	42:13 47:15
74:14,24 75:4	99:22 172:6	Paragraph	PC 51:24 52:1	48:10,12 50:17
75:18,20 87:14	198:18,18	178:4	55:4 71:4,4	55:17 63:25
89:5 142:9,21	199:2	paramount	154:14,16,25	64:23 72:16
142:25 143:3	overnight 47:4	105:6	155:21 158:13	79:1 82:6,7
143:16,23	overwhelmed	Pardon 34:1	pcox@ncdoj.g	83:19,20 85:20
144:22 145:18	25:16	parents 8:23	2:15	87:25 98:25
146:2 151:12	owned 81:3	24:23 41:12	penalties 213:4	105:9 113:2,6
155:7		72:25 154:16	penchant 100:16	116:7,15 117:4
Oldham's 76:2	$\frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{P}^{2}+1}$	parents' 22:22	pencils 147:22	117:9 118:3,4
once 40:9,10	P 2:1,1 3:1,1 5:1	26:12 27:21	pending 34:12	118:6,17
73:15 77:9	213:1	29:15 30:11	pens 147:21	127:24,24
				232

128:2,4,11,18	207:4,24,25	97:15,20	57:9 59:13	48:14,18 49:9
128:19,19	208:8,17 209:3	100:23 101:15	67:23 69:1	59:16 60:8
129:4 149:15	209:25 210:11	101:25 102:16	73:8,9 81:16	64:11 65:8,18
150:10,24	210:18,20,24	106:5 107:1	82:25 83:16	67:20 68:17
154:14,21	210:25 211:4,6	121:5,16	97:19 98:7	69:6 74:12
156:7 158:13	211:8	162:21	100:22 112:14	75:5 76:3 79:5
165:23 171:25	phones 107:20	Pinsky's 92:15	116:3 119:11	79:12 80:12
179:13 197:1	Photocopied	place 140:24	121:22 122:10	82:21,23 83:1
personally 35:11	4:11	165:9	122:21 125:20	89:2 113:5,14
43:12 45:3				114:20,24
	photograph 14:24 15:20	plaintiff 36:19	126:9,21 132:17 134:18	131:21 134:22
150:17		plaintiffs 1:5 2:2		
pertain 202:1	17:16 44:16	5:18,20 6:20	134:20 135:1,5	136:11 146:10
pertained 13:13	photographed	9:6,17 39:3	135:14 139:6	147:16 148:24
pertaining 100:6	77:19	44:15 116:20	141:20 142:9	149:15 150:10
pertains 201:10	photographs	205:1	142:17 152:17	150:20 151:1,8
pertinent 35:6	4:11 14:24	plaintiffs' 13:17	158:2 164:1,4	158:1 161:23
35:16,20 40:6	15:14 16:22	15:21 16:3	164:12 171:3	163:16,22
87:4 139:18	17:17,20 18:4	17:22 18:22	173:17 179:25	164:5,15 165:1
143:13	29:10,23 30:9	19:20 24:8	181:23 182:6	165:11 166:1
petition 4:16	30:19 46:11	27:23 31:1	183:15 185:4	166:13,18,21
58:19 91:22	50:8,18 83:18	36:2 38:14	185:18 186:4	211:14
177:10 182:14	85:7 141:10	41:6 42:11,17	188:21 189:8	Porter's 69:19
182:15 187:22	148:13 155:24	43:13 78:7	190:4 191:1	portion 10:4
petitioner	physical 20:9	plan 134:24	194:6,20	pose 196:6
120:16 177:18	208:11	193:2	205:15 208:19	position 37:19
179:5,8 183:4	- l: II 12.24	1 112717		100 0 000 0
	physically 13:24	planned 135:15	Pointer 115:5	122:8 202:3
petitioner's	148:1	plans 74:6	pointing 175:14	203:2
petitioner's 183:2	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13	203:2 positions 202:11
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18
petitioner's 183:2	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24 philosophy	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7 30:4,5,5 51:3	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16 176:14 180:16	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4 169:21	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10 155:16 164:18
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24 philosophy 60:23 64:4	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7 30:4,5,5 51:3 54:18 80:20,21	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16 176:14 180:16 181:8 183:10	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4 169:21 politicization	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10 155:16 164:18 209:19 211:21
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24 philosophy 60:23 64:4 105:4 169:22	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7 30:4,5,5 51:3 54:18 80:20,21 80:22 83:4,11	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16 176:14 180:16 181:8 183:10 184:9 186:23	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4 169:21 politicization 201:9,15,21	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10 155:16 164:18 209:19 211:21 possessions
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24 philosophy 60:23 64:4 105:4 169:22 phone 49:2	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7 30:4,5,5 51:3 54:18 80:20,21 80:22 83:4,11 148:15,18	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16 176:14 180:16 181:8 183:10 184:9 186:23 192:23 196:6	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4 169:21 politicization 201:9,15,21 politicizing	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10 155:16 164:18 209:19 211:21 possessions 72:16 143:12
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24 philosophy 60:23 64:4 105:4 169:22 phone 49:2 91:18 92:14	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7 30:4,5,5 51:3 54:18 80:20,21 80:22 83:4,11 148:15,18 158:14,14	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16 176:14 180:16 181:8 183:10 184:9 186:23 192:23 196:6 199:7 203:3	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4 169:21 politicization 201:9,15,21 politicizing 58:16	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10 155:16 164:18 209:19 211:21 possessions 72:16 143:12 possibility 51:2
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24 philosophy 60:23 64:4 105:4 169:22 phone 49:2 91:18 92:14 93:3 95:11,18	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7 30:4,5,5 51:3 54:18 80:20,21 80:22 83:4,11 148:15,18 158:14,14 166:5	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16 176:14 180:16 181:8 183:10 184:9 186:23 192:23 196:6 199:7 203:3 210:6	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4 169:21 politicization 201:9,15,21 politicizing 58:16 politics 38:3	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10 155:16 164:18 209:19 211:21 possessions 72:16 143:12 possibility 51:2 59:14 60:6
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24 philosophy 60:23 64:4 105:4 169:22 phone 49:2 91:18 92:14 93:3 95:11,18 107:18 108:10	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7 30:4,5,5 51:3 54:18 80:20,21 80:22 83:4,11 148:15,18 158:14,14 166:5 Pinsky 32:3,5,8	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16 176:14 180:16 181:8 183:10 184:9 186:23 192:23 196:6 199:7 203:3 210:6 pleasure 44:4	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4 169:21 politicization 201:9,15,21 politicizing 58:16 politics 38:3 201:11	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10 155:16 164:18 209:19 211:21 possessions 72:16 143:12 possibility 51:2 59:14 60:6 106:2,6 121:2
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24 philosophy 60:23 64:4 105:4 169:22 phone 49:2 91:18 92:14 93:3 95:11,18 107:18 108:10 108:25 109:4	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7 30:4,5,5 51:3 54:18 80:20,21 80:22 83:4,11 148:15,18 158:14,14 166:5 Pinsky 32:3,5,8 32:12 33:21,23	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16 176:14 180:16 181:8 183:10 184:9 186:23 192:23 196:6 199:7 203:3 210:6 pleasure 44:4 plowing 195:21	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4 169:21 politicization 201:9,15,21 politicizing 58:16 politics 38:3 201:11 pops 130:11	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10 155:16 164:18 209:19 211:21 possessions 72:16 143:12 possibility 51:2 59:14 60:6 106:2,6 121:2 possibly 143:13
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24 philosophy 60:23 64:4 105:4 169:22 phone 49:2 91:18 92:14 93:3 95:11,18 107:18 108:10 108:25 109:4 111:15 124:21	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7 30:4,5,5 51:3 54:18 80:20,21 80:22 83:4,11 148:15,18 158:14,14 166:5 Pinsky 32:3,5,8 32:12 33:21,23 34:20 35:25	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16 176:14 180:16 181:8 183:10 184:9 186:23 192:23 196:6 199:7 203:3 210:6 pleasure 44:4 plowing 195:21 plug 12:9	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4 169:21 politicization 201:9,15,21 politicizing 58:16 politics 38:3 201:11 pops 130:11 popular 207:24	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10 155:16 164:18 209:19 211:21 possessions 72:16 143:12 possibility 51:2 59:14 60:6 106:2,6 121:2 possibly 143:13 155:15 157:22
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24 philosophy 60:23 64:4 105:4 169:22 phone 49:2 91:18 92:14 93:3 95:11,18 107:18 108:10 108:25 109:4 111:15 124:21 125:2 126:19	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7 30:4,5,5 51:3 54:18 80:20,21 80:22 83:4,11 148:15,18 158:14,14 166:5 Pinsky 32:3,5,8 32:12 33:21,23 34:20 35:25 36:7 37:2	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16 176:14 180:16 181:8 183:10 184:9 186:23 192:23 196:6 199:7 203:3 210:6 pleasure 44:4 plowing 195:21 plug 12:9 PNC 54:6	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4 169:21 politicization 201:9,15,21 politicizing 58:16 politics 38:3 201:11 pops 130:11 popular 207:24 Porter 2:3 5:18	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10 155:16 164:18 209:19 211:21 possessions 72:16 143:12 possibility 51:2 59:14 60:6 106:2,6 121:2 possibly 143:13 155:15 157:22 170:20 208:24
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24 philosophy 60:23 64:4 105:4 169:22 phone 49:2 91:18 92:14 93:3 95:11,18 107:18 108:10 108:25 109:4 111:15 124:21 125:2 126:19 130:8 136:12	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7 30:4,5,5 51:3 54:18 80:20,21 80:22 83:4,11 148:15,18 158:14,14 166:5 Pinsky 32:3,5,8 32:12 33:21,23 34:20 35:25 36:7 37:2 38:11,12 92:6	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16 176:14 180:16 181:8 183:10 184:9 186:23 192:23 196:6 199:7 203:3 210:6 pleasure 44:4 plowing 195:21 plug 12:9 PNC 54:6 point 12:23,24	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4 169:21 politicization 201:9,15,21 politicizing 58:16 politics 38:3 201:11 pops 130:11 popular 207:24 Porter 2:3 5:18 6:19 15:8	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10 155:16 164:18 209:19 211:21 possessions 72:16 143:12 possibility 51:2 59:14 60:6 106:2,6 121:2 possibly 143:13 155:15 157:22 170:20 208:24 209:2
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24 philosophy 60:23 64:4 105:4 169:22 phone 49:2 91:18 92:14 93:3 95:11,18 107:18 108:10 108:25 109:4 111:15 124:21 125:2 126:19 130:8 136:12 139:15 140:21	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7 30:4,5,5 51:3 54:18 80:20,21 80:22 83:4,11 148:15,18 158:14,14 166:5 Pinsky 32:3,5,8 32:12 33:21,23 34:20 35:25 36:7 37:2 38:11,12 92:6 92:8,10,12,19	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16 176:14 180:16 181:8 183:10 184:9 186:23 192:23 196:6 199:7 203:3 210:6 pleasure 44:4 plowing 195:21 plug 12:9 PNC 54:6 point 12:23,24 13:8 31:7	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4 169:21 politicization 201:9,15,21 politicizing 58:16 politics 38:3 201:11 popus 130:11 popular 207:24 Porter 2:3 5:18 6:19 15:8 44:19 45:5,10	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10 155:16 164:18 209:19 211:21 possessions 72:16 143:12 possibility 51:2 59:14 60:6 106:2,6 121:2 possibly 143:13 155:15 157:22 170:20 208:24 209:2 posterity 147:7
petitioner's 183:2 phase 34:24 Phillips 31:15,21 31:24 36:25 89:12,18,20,25 91:9,11,17,21 91:25 92:5 94:12,19,20 162:22,24 philosophy 60:23 64:4 105:4 169:22 phone 49:2 91:18 92:14 93:3 95:11,18 107:18 108:10 108:25 109:4 111:15 124:21 125:2 126:19 130:8 136:12 139:15 140:21 167:1 206:1,4	148:1 pick 64:22 65:19 112:19 200:16 picking 67:15 picture 15:7 23:1 45:22 77:8,9,12 78:13 166:6 pictured 76:11 pictures 26:7 30:4,5,5 51:3 54:18 80:20,21 80:22 83:4,11 148:15,18 158:14,14 166:5 Pinsky 32:3,5,8 32:12 33:21,23 34:20 35:25 36:7 37:2 38:11,12 92:6 92:8,10,12,19 93:5,8,24 95:4	plans 74:6 plastic 23:20 play 37:16 pleasantries 136:4 please 5:13 6:21 20:3,7 46:4 49:10 66:18 69:15,21 86:1 86:8 174:16 176:14 180:16 181:8 183:10 184:9 186:23 192:23 196:6 199:7 203:3 210:6 pleasure 44:4 plowing 195:21 plug 12:9 PNC 54:6 point 12:23,24 13:8 31:7 34:15 50:6	pointing 175:14 pointless 160:13 points 57:9 poke 55:8 polite 108:9,18 130:1 134:8,15 political 37:19 42:25 60:23 61:5 64:4 105:2,3 154:4 169:21 politicization 201:9,15,21 politicizing 58:16 politics 38:3 201:11 pops 130:11 popular 207:24 Porter 2:3 5:18 6:19 15:8 44:19 45:5,10 45:11,12 46:22	203:2 positions 202:11 positive 41:18 41:24 possessed 60:25 possession 16:13 57:6 71:1 75:22 81:2 89:5 113:12 154:8 155:10 155:16 164:18 209:19 211:21 possessions 72:16 143:12 possibility 51:2 59:14 60:6 106:2,6 121:2 possibly 143:13 155:15 157:22 170:20 208:24 209:2 posterity 147:7 potential 104:15

	i	1	i	1
134:6	pretty 19:24	153:17 210:24	proliferate	36:1 45:4,6,8
potentially	52:2,15,15	procedure	196:17	49:16 92:5
26:14 104:16	62:15 71:8	105:13	prominently	105:20 107:1
116:14 124:7	81:5 95:19	proceed 114:22	22:24	119:18 132:5
PowerPoint	101:5,17,17	115:2	promise 143:6	134:17 148:15
139:22	114:14 118:14	proceeding 4:15	prompted	152:14 159:5
Poyner 1:17 2:7	118:14 128:4,9	38:8 62:18	169:11	160:9 168:7
5:19 115:1	153:1 200:22	95:21 171:22	proper 11:19	169:3 175:5
117:12 131:17	previously 6:25	187:11 190:8	29:4 114:18	201:23
131:17 135:19	12:13 56:20	215:6,8	185:14	putting 49:21
157:23 158:22	82:1 179:10,14	proceedings	properly 116:21	83:5,12,16,19
162:3 164:2	184:1,17	43:23 86:19	property 80:21	151:3
precious 28:6	primary 207:18	92:2 96:11	82:7,7 142:16	
80:17,18	principally	97:17,21 99:11	proprietary	Q
precision 78:20	11:22 37:3	118:20 120:10	84:21	qualified 62:21
predicated	146:19 158:13	123:11 153:10	protected 118:4	question 7:18,24
95:17	principle 72:17	161:9 180:23	118:5,6 196:13	8:8 14:22
predictable	101:10	181:25 185:23	protecting 62:14	17:10 40:22
118:14	printed 45:8	185:24 186:1	provably 40:6	48:1 65:13
prefer 11:19	83:3	188:18 190:6	provide 32:12	66:24 67:6
prefers 95:11	prior 64:9 67:19	190:12,16	33:23 38:23	68:20 69:17
preliminary	69:18 74:11	191:5 193:3	41:5 42:16	70:3 79:22
187:16,17	82:20 115:25	195:12 212:8	135:15 165:11	86:5 88:11,19
prepare 45:3	121:15 141:9	process 40:5	184:8 188:7	102:1,4 113:25
prepared 40:14	165:10,21,24	42:24,25 49:23	198:14 199:16	146:2 150:21
90:7,12 122:6	166:2,11	60:22,22 61:5	provided 49:9	156:12 159:23
prepares 61:21	171:16 181:19	104:24,25	89:2 147:15	159:25 160:5
61:25	187:8 192:25	135:5 144:11	148:22 149:15	172:16,20,21
present 3:12	202:4	193:18 197:6	150:9,17,18,23	173:1 174:4
72:12 166:23	privacy 116:11	198:16	150:25 151:6,7	176:13 178:19
208:15	117:25	produce 48:12	163:17,18	180:12,13
presentation	privilege 17:9	130:15 159:17	165:23	181:18 183:14
139:22	62:15 64:1,17	159:19 160:7	providing 39:7	186:22 188:13
presented 43:5	privileged 61:22	166:20	39:12,18 43:12	194:5 196:11 199:7 200:22
preservation	62:7,11,16,18	produced 10:23	128:16	201:5 203:1
115:13	62:21,24 63:15	11:3 48:17,21	provision 82:6	206:13 208:9
preserve 64:21	63:19,22,23	117:13 130:24	pry 41:13	210:5 213:7
65:5,16 113:8	64:7,14 68:16	143:16 146:9	public 6:12 61:4	questioning
147:7	68:23 69:4,9	150:20 164:14	61:4 64:2 75:1	170:16
preserved 29:18	69:11,24 70:5	164:25	104:11 213:23	questions 7:22
43:6,7 79:23	193:24	producing 69:18	215:5,24	9:1 19:24
115:16	privileges 64:18	106:17 127:19	pulled 12:5	21:13 27:17
preserving 14:1 84:14	probably 64:6 117:20 119:15	production 64:9 166:17	pulling 134:10 pullout 23:23	41:10,11,14
press 203:24	131:4 140:7	professional	purchase 52:20	44:2,10 96:5
204:6	156:9	98:23,25	purpose 65:1	109:17 110:1
pressing 57:23	problem 39:11	98:23,23 profit 43:14	purposes 197:25	122:24 137:21
103:10 148:21	39:18 40:4	profit 43.14 programmers	purposes 197.23 pursuant 10:23	139:10 142:10
pressure 134:16	153:6,9,12	140:9	put 16:2 18:9	156:24 195:7
presume 34:16	problems 153:16	progress 36:12	19:23 34:25	196:5 205:25
presume 57.10	Problems 155.10	progress 30.12	17.23 37.23	
				234

quick 195:24	33:21 62:20	159:1,8,24	138:22 145:17	150:7 183:3
quickly 133:14	84:11 171:7	160:11,12,17	152:19 163:8	recount 52:12
175:7	172:19 173:5,8	160:23 169:20	163:22 164:1,4	Red 33:16
Quinta 53:14,19	173:12,13	173:18 183:20	164:7 192:21	Redistrict 5:8
quip 144:12	211:16 213:5	187:3 192:20	receiving 10:9	redistricting 1:8
quipped 34:6	214:6	193:9,13 204:9	115:25 121:15	5:9 13:14 33:3
59:20	reading 185:12	reask 172:21	receptionist 63:9	35:23 36:15
quit 179:12	185:19	178:19	recess 43:22	60:19 79:7,14
quite 116:18,18	Reads 214:6	reason 8:3 33:19	86:18 123:10	80:6 81:9
117:23 173:8	ready 116:14	103:14 121:20	161:8 180:22	83:23 90:3,5
186:3 208:3	181:9	145:10 154:19	195:11 212:7	90:11 99:9
	realized 60:13	reasonable	reclaim 55:12	100:1 105:1
R	60:14 70:22	183:5	recognize 9:15	113:23 117:3
R 2:1,3 3:1 5:1	139:8	reasons 22:18	14:23 15:13,19	128:6 129:8
15:8 214:1,1,2	really 13:3 22:15	42:13	16:1 17:19,23	173:6 201:24
215:1	25:9,10 27:13	reassured 40:7	18:4 25:1	202:8 204:13
rainbow 17:25	29:12,17 30:3	recall 10:9 13:20	138:20 168:9	204:19
raise 205:24	32:15,18 34:14	18:14 35:10	168:13	redraw 32:20
raised 117:17	43:3,4 50:6	46:2,25 53:6	recognized	33:12
Raleigh 1:19 2:9	55:6 58:13	53:13 54:12	24:21 25:5	redrawn 91:2
2:14,24 3:4,9	59:25 60:17,20	67:8 82:19	51:24 67:12	redundant
3:18 8:17	61:2 64:19	92:4,20 93:12	78:8	146:25
13:25 22:5,9	74:23 81:7	97:2,6,22	recollection	refer 37:7
28:9,12,21	84:22,24 89:6	107:15 108:3	76:23 77:13,17	177:20
38:2 52:25	89:6 95:11,22	111:12 114:15	91:16 110:15	reference 32:15
53:8 72:13,14	95:25 96:2,22	115:22 119:4	111:1,20	references
73:15,16,21	97:22 98:1,1,1	122:20 124:20	121:16 137:17	109:16
74:8 98:9,16	98:2,12,15	125:15 126:2	138:16 177:4	referral 31:18
130:15,23	99:21 101:18	126:17 127:14	record 5:2,14	58:11,11 91:9
134:25 156:5	101:19 102:11	127:15 128:22	6:22 8:1 19:16	93:16 118:25
156:11 195:20	103:10,10	137:10 138:10	21:22 43:17,21	119:8
ran 210:13	107:18,22	138:20 140:23	43:25 86:17,21	referrals 58:2
range 198:18,19	108:24 109:24	161:15,22	123:9,13,15	referred 59:10
199:2,3	110:23 112:25	173:16 176:16	161:7,11	59:12
rarely 100:20	115:23 116:6	177:4	175:22 178:23	referring 58:19
rate 196:17	116:16 117:14	recalling 59:22	180:21 181:2	78:14,16 80:19
raw 153:19	119:18 122:5	94:23	195:10,14	90:5 102:15
re- 30:20 94:3	122:21 124:3	receipt 163:17	212:1,6,10,15	119:24 163:15
123:1	124:10 125:7	receipts 52:24	recorded 80:23	175:17,23
reach 70:25 73:9	127:22 129:2	receive 39:3	213:7	178:2,3,23
73:13 94:7	131:6 134:9	53:25 107:14	records 51:10	reflect 46:11
95:15 97:11	136:1 140:25	191:15	55:13 56:24	52:16,24 63:23
170:1,13	143:8 145:12	received 9:2,5	57:4 59:15	175:22
182:22 200:16	146:19 148:20	9:16 10:11,25	60:7 69:6	reflected 213:8
200:17	149:18,23	13:7,23 14:5	70:17 71:1	reflects 189:22
reached 31:15	153:1,21,25	16:21 18:23	75:8,11,24	190:1
89:25 91:8	154:1,15 156:1	58:11 60:8	76:1,3 81:8	refusing 143:21
182:21 202:6	156:13,14	79:4 87:17	82:17 83:23	146:3,4
reaching 202:4	157:2,10,13,17	103:5 107:12	144:22 145:18	regard 104:17
read 32:17 33:11	157:20 158:11	107:25 131:10	149:25 150:4,6	197:7 200:17
	157.20 150.11	107.23 131.10	117.23 130.7,0	177.7 200.17
	•			235

Telatively 154:15 regarding 99:25 101:8113:22 relevance 134:5 179:23 215:5 179:24 135:24 179:24 135:					
regarding 99:25 101:8 113:22 176:10 192:3 1	211:4	relatively 154:15	reporter 5:15	179:9.12.15	183:2 184:14
Total 13:22 166:12 166:12 Reporters 3:16 rejevance 134:5 134:6 170:20 125:16 regards 128:18 rejevance 134:5 regards 128:18 rejevance 134:5 regards 128:18 rejevance 134:5 regards 128:18 rejevance 136:5 206:16 144:21 16:20 37:21 16:4 17:23 181:17 189:19 rejevance 131:2 16:20 14:4 24:8 27:24 82:25 83:6;22 82:25 83:6;22 134:19 14:9 14:7 rejevance 131:2 rejevance 135:20 124:10,11,14 168:21 187:8 64:11 68:17 rejevance 131:1,14,18 133:18 136:3 rejevance 131:1,14,18 133:18 136:3 rejected 32:20 155:23 157:22 rejected 32:20 155:23 157:22 representing 6:7 remained 149:9 154:13 remember 149:9 154:13 remember 155:20 58:15 105:24 108:5 55:5,10,15,16 105:24 108:5 55:5,10,15,16 105:24 108:5 55:5,10,15,16 105:24 108:5 75:11 76:1 131:7 132:2,15 75:11 76:1 131:7 132:2,15 75:11 76:1 131:7 132:2,15 75:11 76:1 131:7 132:2,15 75:11 76:1 131:7 132:2,15 138:18 133:20 136:15 138:18 133:20 136:15 129:2 134:23 remember 4 78:20 129:14 rejected 178: reject	regarding 99:25	_	-	l	
176:10 192:3 166:12 relevance 134:5 repository 15:3,16.22 46:23 55:10 regards 128:18 regular 56:5 100:81 111-4 16:20 37:21 31:23 39:8,12 reimbursed 124:18 1,14.18 16:20 37:21 31:23 39:8,12 reimbursed 124:18 1,26:8 132:23 reimbursement 163:11,14,18 163:20 rejected 32:20 remember 149:9 166:31 172:5,7 represented 29:25 reletad 29:2			179:23 215:5		
Televance 134:5 134:6 170:20 134:1 170:20 164:17:23 164:17:24 164:17:23 164:17:23 166:24 164:17:23 166:24					
Regardless 134:6 170:20 104:21 16:4 17:23 181:17 189:19 regards 128:18 48:20 79:21,21 16:20 14:4 24:8 27:24 206:16 114:9,11,13,19 44:8 88:17 39:19 14:7 reimbursed 114:9,11,13,19 44:8 88:17 39:19 14:7 reimbursed 124:18 126:8 187:13 200:18 69:19 75:13 79:12 88:15,21 16:21 14:9 79:12 88:15,21 16:20 37:21 31:2 39:8,12 79:12 88:15,21 16:20 37:21 31:2 39:8,12 79:12 88:15,21 16:20 37:21 30:18 18:18 163:10,14,18 133:18 136:3 163:11,14,18 133:18 136:3 163:11,14,18 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:22 155:23 157:22 190:3 193:6,12 190:21 134 106:25 187:10 106:25 187:10 149:9 remained 154:13 106:25 187:10 160:8 113:5 128:23 17:12 106:25 187:10 160:8 113:5 128:23 17:12 106:25 187:10 160:8 113:5 128:23 17:12 106:25 187:10 160:8 113:5 128:23 17:12 106:25 187:10 160:8 113:5 128:23 17:12 17:13 17:					
125:16				l ' '	
regards 128:18 48:20 79:21,21 6:20 14:4 24:8 27:24 82:25 83:6,22 regular 56:5 100:8 11:4 16:20 37:21 31:3 39:8,12 31:3 39:8,12 85:19,20,22 70:20,77 Riddick 182:4 reimbursed 124:18 126:8 187:13 200:18 69:19 75:13 78:144:20,22 70:21 28:15,21 79:12 88:15,21 78:142,02,22 79:12 88:15,21 79:13 88:15,21 79:13 88:15,21 79:13 88:15,21 79:13 88:15,21 79:13 88:15,21 79:13 88:15,21 79:13 88:15,21 79:13 88:15,21 79:13 88:19,20,22 79:13 88:19,20,22 79:13 88:19,20,22 79:13 88:19,20,22 79:13 88:15,21 79:13 88:15,21 79:13 88:15,21 79:14 88:15,21 79:14 6:10 18 79:12 88:15,21 79:12 88:15,21 79:13 88:15,21 79:14 6:10 18 79:18	0				
regular 56:5 100:8 111:4 16:20 37:21 31:2 39:8,12 85:19,20,22 ri-207:7 85:19,20,22 ri-207:7 reim-163:12 14:49,11,31,19 41:48,88:17 39:19 41:7 85:19,20,22 ri-207:7 ri-207:7 Riddick 182:4 rei-207:7 Riddick 182:4 resided 19:13,3,4 resided 29:25 resided 29:25 rei-213:3 resided 29:25 resided 29:25 resided 29:25 resides 29:20 128:7 resides 29:20 128:7 resides 29:20 128:7 resides 29:21 128:1 resides 29:12 23:12:1 resided 16:12 resided 16:12 resided 16:12 resided 16:12 resided 16:12 resided 16:12			_		_
206:16 114:9,11,13,19 44:8 88:17 39:19 41:7 ri-207:7 riddick 18:4 ris 18:14,20:22 ris 18:14,20:22 riddick 18:4 ris 18:14,20:22 represented 109:8 111:13 ris 20:18:16 riddick 18:4 riddick 18:4 riddick 18:4 riddick 18:4 represented 109:8 111:13 ris 32:5 184:5 riddick 18:4 riddick 18:4 ris 20:22 represented 109:8 111:13 ris 32:5 184:5 riddick 18:4 riddick 18:4 represented 109:8 11:13 represented 109:8 11:13 representing 6:7 representing 6:7 responsive responsive responsive rest 19:12-66 rest 19:66 <td>U</td> <td>· ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td>	U	· ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
reimbursed 124:10,11,14 168:21 187:8 64:11 68:17 Riddick 182:4 132:23 127:2 128:1 187:13 200:18 69:19 75:13 182:25 183:16 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:18 136:3 133:61,12 102:1,3,4 183:25 184:5 102:1,3,4 133:25 184:5 102:1,3,4 133:25 184:5 102:1,3,4 133:25 184:5 102:1,3,4 133:25 184:5 102:1,3,4 133:25 184:5 102:1,3,4 133:25 184:5 102:1,3,4 133:25 184:5 102:1,3,4 133:25 184:5 102:1,3,4 133:26 136:1 106:25 187:10 106:25 187:10 160:8 113:5 128:23 207:7 143:17 146:1 133:11 122 160:25 187:10 160:8 12:12 14:6 160:	U			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i i
Teimbursed 124:18 126:8 17:2 128:1 18:2:14,20,22 18:2:25 18:12 18:2:25 18:15 18:15 18:2:14,20,22 18:2:25 18:2:5 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:18 18:15 17:2 17 18:16 10:15 10:2 19:15 18:2:15 18:15 18:16 18:15 18:18 18:15 17:2 17 18:16 18:15 18:18 18:15 17:2 17 18:16 18:15 18:18 18:15 17:2 17 18:16 18:15 18:18 18:15 17:2 17 18:16 18:15 18:18 18:18 18:1					
132:23					
reimbursement 163:11,14,18 143:7 149:5 155:23 157:22 166:3 172:5,7 remained 154:13 remember 13:22 rejected 29:25 30:21 31:4 47:6 48:19 166:6,10,17,18 181:2 31:12 request 75:51,01,5,16 55:50,58:15 109:24 110:13 70:17,23 72:3 121:3 123:24 74:14,21 75:8 75:11 76:1 79:13 81:18 83:22 813,14 83:22 813,14 83:22 813,14 83:22 813,14 83:22 117 83:18,18 83:22 813,14 142:22 151:22 149:2 166:31 72:2,15 166:30 172:5,7 requested 191:4 request 79:5,19 requested 156:24 108:5 request 79:5,19 research 109:24 110:13 requests 11:12 restate 196:6 restaurants 84:21 88:5 79:13 81:18 133:20 136:15 123:1,21 research 109:16 133:20 136:15 123:1,21 166:23 117:2 remembered 157:8 158:17 158:18 181:25 27:9 128:7,17 129:9 149:12 149:13,20 150:11,16 157:8 158:17 158:18 181:25 27:9 128:7,17 129:9 149:12 149:13,20 removable 24:4 25:8 remove 65:7,20 removed 47:15 179:15 repeat 132:7 repeat 143:10 represented 109:8 111:13 113:5 128:23 207:7 representing 6:7 48:3 90:1 146:10 159:20 8:11 97:11:14 106:25 187:10 166:8 responded 154:10 199:20 11:12,24 154:9 199:24 11:12,24 154:9 11:12,24 154:9 11:12,24 154:9 11:12,24 154:9 11:12,24 154:9 11:12,24 158:5 13:7 13:13 10:33:1 requests 11:6 restaurants 84:21 88:5 restaurants 12:13 120:12 149:2 13:13 10:13 10:18 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 12:13 13:13 12:13 13:13 13:13 13:13					
163:11,14,18				[
163:20					
rejected 32:20 relate 99:10 166:3 172:5,7 remained representing 6:7 48:3 90:1 106:25 187:10 160:8 119:14 146:10 159:20 160:8 119:14 republic 64:5 19:14 republic 64:5 19:14 republic 64:5 19:14 republic 64:5 15:5,10,15,16 105:24 108:5 55:20 58:15 109:24 110:13 70:17,23 72:3 123:24 74:14,21 75:8 126:9,20 128:7 75:11 76:1 131:7 132:2,15 79:13 81:18 133:20 136:15 133:20 136:15 133:20 136:15 133:20 136:15 133:20 136:15 15:224 153:12 remembers 18:22 151:23 18:22 85:20,22 100:1 152:24 155:12 remembers 18:9 127:5,8 129:13 123:24 remembers 18:22 151:23 remembers 18:12 2 remembers 18:12 remembers 18:22 151:13 remembers 18:18 18:12 5 25:8 127:15 relating 34:21 79:6 81:8 144:23 170:19 relationship 41:21,18,25,25 72:10,11,21,22 relative 36:14 215:17 Reported 3:16 representing 6:7 48:3 90:1 160:25 187:10 160:8 1146:10 159:20 160:8 119:4 republic 64:5 republic 64:5 request 79:5,19 160:8 179:10 requested 13:12 requested 11:12,24 154:9 request 11:12 restate 196:6 restaurants 52:19 91:13 100:18 restate 196:6 restaurants 52:19 91:13 100:18 100:18 restate 196:6 restaurants 75:19 1 140:10 159:20 17:10 3:1 17:2 restate 196:6 restaurants 75:19 1 140:10 159:20 17:10 3:1 17:2 restate 196:6 restaurants 75:19 1 140:13 17:12:17 resert 11:12,24 154:9 restate 196:6 restaurants 75:19 1 140:14 18:16:10 159:20 17:10 13:17 120:17 reserted 109:16 12:14 restate 196:6 restaurants 75:19 1 140:14 18:16:10 159:20 11:12,24 15:19 restate 196:6 restaurants 75:19 1 140:14 18:16:10 159:20 17:12 11:12,24 154:9 restate 196:6 restaurants 75:19 1 140:14 18:16:10 159:20 17:12 11:12,24 15:19 restate 196:6 restaurants 75:19 1 100:18 13:17 120:17 restate 196:6 restaurants 75:19 1 100:18 100:18 100:18 11:12 12:14 16:24 11:24 12:14 18:6:19 100:18 11:12 12:14 11:12 12:14					•
relate 99:10 149:9 remained 154:13 48:3 90:1 106:25 187:10 146:10 159:20 160:8 8:11 9:7 11:14 12:12 14:6 related 29:25 30:21 31:4 47:6 48:19 47:6 48:19 50:17 54:25 50:17, 54:25 55:5, 10, 15, 16 55:20 58:15 70:17, 23 72:3 72:3 72:3 72:3 72:3 72:3 72:3 7					
149:9	•	· ·			0
related 29:25 30:21 31:4 remember 13:22 191:4 responsive 17:1 20:17 22:24 24:11,15 47:6 48:19 18:1,2 31:12 16:6,10,17,18 18:1,2 31:12 158:5 31:7,10 33:1 22:24 24:11,15 33:22 36:12 33:22 36:12 33:22 36:12 33:22 36:12 33:22 36:12 33:22 36:12 33:22 36:12 33:23 18:42 34:21 85:5 99:13 10:18 16:44 185:6 16:44 185:6 16:44 185:6 16:44 185:6 17:13 10:18 17:13 10:18 17:13 10:18 17:13 10:18 17:1					
30:21 31:4					
47:6 48:19 50:17 54:25 54:21 97:8 105:24 108:5 105:24 108:5 105:24 108:5 187:19 requested 187:19 restate 196:6 33:22 36:12 restate 196:6 33:22 36:12 restate 196:6 33:22 36:12 restate 196:6 33:23 23:23 36:12 restate 196:6 33:20 36:12 restate 196:6 73:40 70:18 restate 196:6 73:40 70:18 restate 196:6 73:10 70:18 70:13 7				_	
50:17 54:25 54:21 97:8 requested rest 154:12 33:22 36:12 55:5,10,15,16 105:24 108:5 187:19 restate 196:6 38:6,12 68:11 55:20 58:15 109:24 110:13 requesting 11:6 restate 196:6 38:6,12 68:11 70:17,23 72:3 121:3 123:24 requests 11:12 restaurants 84:21 88:5 70:17,23 72:3 126:9,20 128:7 11:24 result 117:21 103:18 138:9 75:11 76:1 131:7 132:2,15 research 109:16 186:25 174:11,12 82:17 83:18,18 137:11 138:12 129:25 134:2,3 186:25 174:11,12 85:20,22 100:1 152:24 155:12 reseat 49:17 retaine 46:8 175:12,14 16:23 117:2 remembered reserved 17:8 62:1 68:1 187:19 88:1 129:9 149:12 78:20 reset 209:10 203:6 207:12 208:9 150:11,16 removable 24:4 resided 164:19 retriement 209:17 21:25 158:18 181:25 remove 65:7,20 resided 164:18 return 73:11 return 73:11 return 73:11 return 73:11		1	•		· ·
55:5,10,15,16		-	_ ·		· ·
55:20 58:15 109:24 110:13 requesting 11:6 restaurants 84:21 88:5 70:17,23 72:3 121:3 123:24 126:9,20 128:7 11:24 requests 11:12 103:18 138:9 75:11 76:1 131:7 132:2,15 133:20 136:15 123:1,21 146:4 185:6 149:22 153:20 79:13 81:18 133:20 136:15 123:1,21 186:25 174:11,12 83:22 85:13,14 142:22 151:23 149:2 190:14 181:16,16 85:20,22 100:1 152:24 155:12 resert 49:17 retain 146:8 175:12,14 116:23 117:2 remembered 78:20 resert 209:10 62:1 68:1 198:12,22 129:9 149:12 27:13 reset 209:10 203:6 207:12 208:9 157:8 158:17 removed 24:4 residence 164:18 retriement 20:14 72:14 retrieve 70:16 rigst 89:04 158:18 181:25 repeat 132:7 172:17 82:6 99:11 return 73:11 retrieve 70:16 rigst 183:18 79:6 81:8 repeat 132:7 172:17 82:6 99:11 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 <					
70:17,23 72:3 121:3 123:24 requests 11:12 52:19 91:13 100:18 74:14,21 75:8 126:9,20 128:7 131:7 132:2,15 11:24 result 117:21 103:18 138:9 75:11 76:1 131:7 132:2,15 13:21,21 146:4 185:6 149:22 153:20 79:13 81:18 133:20 136:15 123:1,21 186:25 174:11,12 82:17 83:18,18 137:11 138:12 129:25 134:2,3 186:25 174:11,12 83:22 85:13,14 142:22 151:23 149:2 retain 146:8 175:12,14 85:20,22 100:1 152:24 155:12 researed 49:17 reserved 17:8 62:1 68:1 198:12,22 118:9 127:5,8 78:20 212:17 187:1 188:1 205:15,22 129:9 149:12 27:13 resided 164:19 203:6 207:12 208:9 150:11,16 removable 24:4 resident 105:8 retirement 20:14 72:14 rights 90:4 157:8 158:15 removed 47:15 resisting 99:15 returned 147:11 returned 147:11 Rod 2:23 3:17 79:6 81:8 144:23 170:19 172:17 82:6 99:11					-
74:14,21 75:8 126:9,20 128:7 1:24 result 117:21 103:18 138:9 75:11 76:1 131:7 132:2,15 research 109:16 146:4 185:6 149:22 153:20 79:13 81:18 133:20 136:15 123:1,21 186:25 174:11,12 82:17 83:18,18 137:11 138:12 129:25 134:2,3 retain 146:8 175:12,14 83:22 85:13,14 142:22 151:23 149:2 retain 146:8 175:12,14 85:20,22 100:1 152:24 155:12 rememberd reseat 49:17 retained 61:12 186:9 195:4 116:23 117:2 remembered reserved 17:8 62:1 68:1 198:12,22 118:9 127:5,8 78:20 212:17 rest 209:10 203:6 207:12 208:9 129:9 149:12 27:13 remind 88:8 resided 164:19 retirement 20:14 72:14 rights 90:4 150:11,16 removed 65:7,20 resisted 73:11 retirement 20:14 72:14 rights 90:4 179:15 repeat 132:7 68:15 80:5 review 17:17 Road 2:23 3:17 79:6 81:8 179:15 82:6 99:11 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
75:11 76:1 131:7 132:2,15 research 109:16 146:4 185:6 149:22 153:20 79:13 81:18 133:20 136:15 123:1,21 186:25 174:11,12 82:17 83:18,18 137:11 138:12 129:25 134:2,3 186:25 174:11,12 83:22 85:13,14 142:22 151:23 149:2 retain 146:8 175:12,14 85:20,22 100:1 152:24 155:12 reseat 49:17 retained 61:12 186:9 195:4 116:23 117:2 remembered 78:20 212:17 187:1 188:1 205:15,22 129:9 149:12 27:13 reset 209:10 203:6 207:12 208:9 150:11,16 removable 24:4 25:8 resident 105:8 70:18 rings 187:25 157:8 158:17 removed 47:15 resisted 73:11 return 73:11 return 73:11 196:19,24 relating 34:21 179:15 respect 59:6 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 relating 34:21 172:17 82:6 99:11 reverential Road 2:23 3:17 relating 34:22 responded 143:10 responded 64:13 80:2 49:1 50:1	-		_		
79:13 81:18 133:20 136:15 123:1,21 186:25 174:11,12 82:17 83:18,18 137:11 138:12 129:25 134:2,3 172:11 175:12,14 83:22 85:13,14 142:22 151:23 149:2 149:2 186:25 174:11,12 85:20,22 100:1 152:24 155:12 152:24 155:12 152:24 155:12 186:25 174:11,12 116:23 117:2 116:23 117:2 152:24 155:12 149:2 190:14 181:16,16 118:9 127:5,8 78:20 212:17 186:21 68:1 198:12,22 129:9 149:12 27:13 186:419 203:6 207:12 208:9 129:9 149:12 27:13 165:4 20:14 72:14 165:4 20:14 72:14 165:4 20:14 72:14 165:4 165:4 179:15 165:4 70:18 188:18 187:25 188:18 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 196:19,24 <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
82:17 83:18,18 137:11 138:12 129:25 134:2,3 retain 146:8 175:12,14 83:22 85:13,14 142:22 151:23 149:2 reseat 49:17 retained 61:12 186:9 195:4 116:23 117:2 remembered 78:20 reserved 17:8 62:1 68:1 198:12,22 129:9 149:12 78:20 remembers 212:17 187:1 188:1 205:15,22 129:9 149:12 27:13 remind 88:8 resided 164:19 203:6 207:12 208:9 150:11,16 removable 24:4 residence 164:18 retrieve 70:16 rights 90:4 157:8 158:17 25:8 remove 65:7,20 resisted 73:11 return 73:11 return 73:11 196:19,24 79:6 81:8 repeat 132:7 68:15 80:5 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 72:10,11,21,22 143:10 82:6 99:11 reverential reverential respond 39:25 183:23 184:2 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18		-			
83:22 85:13,14 142:22 151:23 149:2 190:14 181:16,16 85:20,22 100:1 152:24 155:12 reseat 49:17 retained 61:12 186:9 195:4 116:23 117:2 78:20 212:17 187:1 188:1 205:15,22 127:9 128:7,17 remembers 27:13 reset 209:10 203:6 207:12 208:9 129:9 149:12 27:13 remind 88:8 resided 164:19 20:14 72:14 reights 90:4 150:11,16 removable 24:4 25:8 resident 105:8 70:18 rights 90:4 158:18 181:25 remove 65:7,20 resisted 73:11 return 73:11 196:19,24 79:6 81:8 repeat 132:7 68:15 80:5 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 144:23 170:19 172:17 82:6 99:11 reverential room 16:17 183:23 184:2 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 183:23 184:2 Report 4:19,22 responded 64:13 80:2 49:1 50:13 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 136:18			· ·		·
85:20,22 100:1 152:24 155:12 reseat 49:17 retained 61:12 186:9 195:4 116:23 117:2 remembered 78:20 212:17 187:1 188:1 205:15,22 127:9 128:7,17 remembers reset 209:10 203:6 207:12 208:9 129:9 149:12 27:13 resided 164:19 retirement 209:17 211:25 149:13,20 remind 88:8 165:4 residence 164:18 retirewe 70:16 rights 90:4 157:8 158:17 25:8 resident 105:8 resident 105:8 ro:18 rights 90:4 158:18 181:25 25:8 resident 105:8 ro:18 rights 90:4 158:18 181:25 25:8 resident 105:8 ro:18 rights 90:4 179:15 resisted 73:11 return 73:11 return 73:11 return 73:11 Road 2:23 3:17 79:6 81:8 repeat 132:7 82:6 99:11 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 41:12,18,25,25 143:10 respond 39:25 review 11:1 47:1 22:20 23:16 72:10,11,21,22 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
116:23 117:2 remembered reserved 17:8 62:1 68:1 198:12,22 118:9 127:5,8 78:20 212:17 187:1 188:1 205:15,22 127:9 128:7,17 remembers reset 209:10 203:6 207:12 208:9 129:9 149:12 27:13 resided 164:19 retirement 209:17 211:25 149:13,20 remind 88:8 165:4 retireve 70:16 rights 90:4 150:11,16 removable 24:4 resident 105:8 retrieve 70:16 rights 90:4 157:8 158:17 25:8 resident 105:8 70:18 rights 90:4 restlating 34:21 179:15 resisted 73:11 return 73:11 196:19,24 repeat 132:7 68:15 80:5 reveal 197:17 Road 2:23 3:17 relationship repeated 143:10 196:25 reverential reverential room 16:17 183:23 184:2 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 Reported 3:16 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:	,		_		· ·
118:9 127:5,8 78:20 212:17 187:1 188:1 205:15,22 127:9 128:7,17 remembers reset 209:10 203:6 207:12 208:9 129:9 149:12 27:13 resided 164:19 retirement 209:17 211:25 149:13,20 remind 88:8 165:4 residence 164:18 retrieve 70:16 rights 90:4 150:11,16 removable 24:4 residence 164:18 retrieve 70:16 rings 187:25 158:18 181:25 remove 65:7,20 resisted 73:11 return 73:11 196:19,24 215:15 removed 47:15 resisting 99:15 returned 147:11 RNC 203:18 relating 34:21 179:15 respect 59:6 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 144:23 170:19 172:17 82:6 99:11 reverential room 16:17 relationship repeated 143:10 196:25 144:10 22:20 23:16 41:12,18,25,25 143:10 respond 39:25 review 11:1 47:1 24:10 25:17 72:10,11,21,22 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 183:23 184:2					
127:9 128:7,17 remembers 27:13 reset 209:10 203:6 207:12 208:9 129:9 149:12 27:13 remind 88:8 165:4 retirement 209:17 211:25 150:11,16 removable 24:4 residence 164:18 residence 164:18 retrieve 70:16 rights 90:4 157:8 158:17 25:8 remove 65:7,20 resident 105:8 70:18 rights 90:4 215:15 remove 65:7,20 resisted 73:11 return 73:11 196:19,24 79:6 81:8 179:15 respect 59:6 returns 128:8 Road 2:23 3:17 79:6 81:8 144:23 170:19 172:17 82:6 99:11 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 144:12,18,25,25 143:10 196:25 respond 39:25 review 11:1 47:1 22:20 23:16 183:23 184:2 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 175:5 181:5 136:18					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
129:9 149:12 27:13 resided 164:19 retirement 209:17 211:25 149:13,20 remind 88:8 removable 24:4 residence 164:18 retrieve 70:16 rights 90:4 150:11,16 removable 24:4 resident 105:8 retrieve 70:16 rings 187:25 158:18 181:25 remove 65:7,20 resisted 73:11 return 73:11 196:19,24 215:15 removed 47:15 resisting 99:15 returned 147:11 RNC 203:18 79:6 81:8 179:15 68:15 80:5 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 144:23 170:19 172:17 82:6 99:11 reverential room 16:17 relationship 143:10 respond 39:25 review 11:1 47:1 24:10 25:17 72:10,11,21,22 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 183:23 184:2 Report 4:19,22 responded 64:13 80:2 49:1 50:13 relative 36:14 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18	· ·				
149:13,20 remind 88:8 165:4 20:14 72:14 rights 90:4 150:11,16 25:8 residence 164:18 retrieve 70:16 rings 187:25 157:8 158:17 25:8 resident 105:8 70:18 risk 183:18 158:18 181:25 remove 65:7,20 resisted 73:11 return 73:11 196:19,24 215:15 removed 47:15 resisting 99:15 returns 128:8 Road 2:23 3:17 79:6 81:8 179:15 respect 59:6 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 144:23 170:19 repeat 132:7 82:6 99:11 reverential room 16:17 relationship 143:10 respond 39:25 review 11:1 47:1 24:10 25:17 72:10,11,21,22 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 183:23 184:2 Report 4:19,22 responded 64:13 80:2 49:1 50:13 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18	· ·				
150:11,16 removable 24:4 residence 164:18 retrieve 70:16 rings 187:25 157:8 158:17 25:8 remove 65:7,20 resident 105:8 70:18 risk 183:18 158:18 181:25 remove 65:7,20 resisted 73:11 return 73:11 196:19,24 215:15 removed 47:15 resisting 99:15 returned 147:11 RNC 203:18 79:6 81:8 repeat 132:7 68:15 80:5 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 144:23 170:19 repeated 143:10 196:25 144:10 22:20 23:16 41:12,18,25,25 143:10 respond 39:25 review 11:1 47:1 24:10 25:17 72:10,11,21,22 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 183:23 184:2 Report 4:19,22 responded 64:13 80:2 49:1 50:13 relative 36:14 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 Reported 3:16 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18					
157:8 158:17 25:8 resident 105:8 70:18 risk 183:18 158:18 181:25 remove 65:7,20 resisted 73:11 return 73:11 196:19,24 215:15 removed 47:15 resisting 99:15 returned 147:11 RNC 203:18 79:6 81:8 repeat 132:7 68:15 80:5 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 144:23 170:19 repeated 143:10 196:25 reverential room 16:17 relationship 143:10 respond 39:25 review 11:1 47:1 22:20 23:16 72:10,11,21,22 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 183:23 184:2 Report 4:19,22 responded 64:13 80:2 49:1 50:13 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 Reported 3:16 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18	,				0
158:18 181:25 remove 65:7,20 resisted 73:11 return 73:11 196:19,24 215:15 removed 47:15 179:15 resisting 99:15 return 41:11 RNC 203:18 79:6 81:8 144:23 170:19 172:17 68:15 80:5 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 relationship repeated 143:10 196:25 144:10 22:20 23:16 41:12,18,25,25 143:10 respond 39:25 review 11:1 47:1 24:10 25:17 72:10,11,21,22 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 183:23 184:2 Report 4:19,22 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 Reported 3:16 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18	•				
215:15 removed 47:15 resisting 99:15 returned 147:11 RNC 203:18 79:6 81:8 179:15 repeat 132:7 68:15 80:5 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 144:23 170:19 172:17 82:6 99:11 reverential room 16:17 relationship 143:10 respond 39:25 144:10 22:20 23:16 72:10,11,21,22 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 183:23 184:2 Report 4:19,22 responded 64:13 80:2 49:1 50:13 relative 36:14 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 Reported 3:16 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18					
relating 34:21 179:15 respect 59:6 returns 128:8 Road 2:23 3:17 79:6 81:8 144:23 170:19 172:17 82:6 99:11 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 relationship repeated 143:10 196:25 144:10 22:20 23:16 41:12,18,25,25 143:10 respond 39:25 review 11:1 47:1 24:10 25:17 72:10,11,21,22 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 183:23 184:2 Report 4:19,22 responded 64:13 80:2 49:1 50:13 relative 36:14 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 Reported 3:16 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18					
79:6 81:8 repeat 132:7 68:15 80:5 reveal 197:17 role 169:20 144:23 170:19 172:17 82:6 99:11 reverential room 16:17 relationship repeated 143:10 196:25 144:10 22:20 23:16 72:10,11,21,22 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 183:23 184:2 Report 4:19,22 responded 64:13 80:2 49:1 50:13 relative 36:14 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 Reported 3:16 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18			_		
144:23 170:19 172:17 82:6 99:11 reverential room 16:17 relationship 41:12,18,25,25 143:10 respond 39:25 review 11:1 47:1 22:20 23:16 72:10,11,21,22 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 183:23 184:2 Report 4:19,22 responded 64:13 80:2 49:1 50:13 relative 36:14 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 Reported 3:16 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18	U		_		
relationship repeated 143:10 196:25 144:10 22:20 23:16 41:12,18,25,25 143:10 respond 39:25 review 11:1 47:1 24:10 25:17 72:10,11,21,22 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 183:23 184:2 Report 4:19,22 responded 64:13 80:2 49:1 50:13 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18		_			
41:12,18,25,25 143:10 respond 39:25 review 11:1 47:1 24:10 25:17 72:10,11,21,22 Reply 95:1 108:14,16 49:8 54:14,15 28:21 48:8 183:23 184:2 Report 4:19,22 responded 64:13 80:2 49:1 50:13 relative 36:14 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18					
72:10,11,21,22 183:23 184:2 relative 36:14 215:17 Reply 95:1 Report 4:19,22 189:18 108:14,16 responded 108:20 49:8 54:14,15 64:13 80:2 164:10,14 175:5 181:5 28:21 48:8 49:1 50:13 54:8 81:14 108:14,16 responded 108:20 108:20 108:50 164:10,14 175:5 181:5 49:8 54:14,15 136:13 28:21 48:8 49:1 50:13 136:18	_	_			
183:23 184:2 relative 36:14 Report 4:19,22 189:18 responded 108:20 164:10,14 175:5 181:5 64:13 80:2 49:1 50:13 54:8 81:14 175:5 181:5 215:17 Reported 3:16 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18			_		
relative 36:14 189:18 108:20 164:10,14 54:8 81:14 215:17 Reported 3:16 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18		1 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
215:17 Reported 3:16 respondent 175:5 181:5 136:18		_	_		
				· ·	
	213.1/	reported 3.10	respondent	1/3.3 101.3	150.10
		·		1	000

root 50:4	61:24 65:12	169:19 170:25	separate 148:20	shifting 211:12
roughly 8:19,21	66:25 68:11,12	176:7 177:3,15	September	ship 132:21
13:20	68:24 69:16	181:12,21	21:19 169:6,7	shipment 163:20
RPR 1:23 3:17	70:7,13 75:10	187:25 189:23	207:14,17	shipping 163:15
215:4,23	86:2,12 88:6	192:18	208:15	short 24:22
rubber 25:4	88:23 106:16	seeing 63:18	serious 74:5	167:11
78:9	123:5,14	133:20 177:4	seriously 98:15	shortly 10:17
rude 138:1	137:15 138:2,8	194:18	servant 104:11	27:14,15 74:1
rules 7:9 88:10	145:23 156:24	seek 68:14 69:18	serve 198:16	107:16 154:22
88:18	157:4 159:12	91:9	served 158:5	show 183:5
running 107:19	159:15 161:12	seeking 38:7	182:16 197:16	192:6
209:5 210:11	162:23 165:18	90:11 177:18	197:22 198:1,2	showing 9:14
	167:4,11,13,21	178:5 179:1	198:4 199:17	14:18
S	168:2,6 172:4	seen 24:16 36:19	200:4	sic 115:5 135:23
S 2:1 3:1 4:7 5:1	172:10,13,21	82:11 116:5	service 4:14 75:1	191:11
214:1	172:22 174:1,5	120:17 141:7,9	143:21 145:7	side 9:21 19:23
sad 101:9	174:7,11,20	168:14,17,25	146:3,5 171:3	48:3 57:16
safe 24:13	175:2,3 176:15	175:10,12,18	171:10 189:22	106:19
safety 179:13	178:18 181:3	175:19 176:3,7	191:14,15	sides 46:14
196:19	184:8,12 188:7	177:13 181:19	199:12	signator 194:19
sausage 144:14	188:11 190:10	184:17,20	Services 189:1	signature 10:5
saved 84:12	190:11 192:6	185:1 187:20	189:10	212:17 214:25
saw 13:1 22:20	192:10 195:5	189:16 192:15	set 78:5,5 90:22	signatures
30:15 77:11	200:9 206:4	Select 1:8 5:8	122:24 139:10	194:18
133:21 145:11	212:3	selection 65:6	169:17,17	signed 194:10,17
154:13 155:1	se 34:19	send 13:15 42:10	178:24 179:4,7	194:22 203:16
169:9 176:16	sealed 45:6	45:4,9,13	settings 209:11	213:10
178:1 184:10	196:21	121:6	settlement 193:4	significant 37:25
186:25 187:1	search 169:11	sending 16:14	193:17 194:7	sim- 33:25
saying 60:1	season 109:19	18:21 19:20	seven 16:19	similar 56:5
69:25 94:6,15	second 15:12	30:25 49:22	Shanahan 3:3	77:11,21
94:15 102:7	66:18 73:16,25	121:2 165:10	5:24 195:19	simply 34:6
103:17 104:8	174:13 175:24	165:25	shape 145:7	36:17 105:21
108:3 111:12	176:6,16 177:5	Senior 1:7 5:7	share 91:15,21	120:18 172:10
128:7,8 175:21	177:22 178:3	sense 7:19 120:5	91:25 96:10	sincere 100:13
178:12,20	178:25 181:13	sensitive 134:11	113:19 114:2	single 17:15
says 9:21,24	secret 67:16	152:5,22	157:6 167:18	sir 200:7
10:22 62:20	secure 154:25	sent 13:20 14:5	168:1 186:10	sitting 23:17
185:20	security 179:16	14:25 15:5,10	186:15 206:10	76:9 150:8
scanned 150:3	see 9:19 10:5,21	15:15,21 16:3	shared 60:12	157:16
scared 152:18	18:8,11 29:9	17:22 18:15	149:1,8 155:4	situation 37:9
schedule 108:25	30:4,19 33:1	24:7 27:23	155:5	74:4
130:8	34:18 44:20,21	32:20 39:4	sharing 128:23	situations 84:2,8
Scholer 2:3	45:23 52:5	45:11,12 46:22	151:25	Six 2:23 3:17
scope 79:17	74:2 117:19	46:24 47:2,16	she'd 154:21	skeptical 35:8
scrapbook 84:15	118:11 129:4	48:13 73:17	sheet 213:9	skepticism 42:19
Scully 2:18 4:3	140:6 149:20	85:5 121:18	shelf 23:16,18	skills 62:9 63:21
6:4,4 12:21	152:9 155:1	131:22 142:18	23:22 24:3	slower 49:18
37:12 44:6,7	157:16 167:19	150:3 163:21	sheltered 122:9	smartphone
47:24 48:5	167:25 168:13	166:5 211:3	shelves 24:3	206:15 207:1,3
				237

Smoak 2:22 smoke 86:15 smoke 6:15 smosphort 43:10 66:7,18,22 role of 68:9 69:7,10 119:1 120:25 role of 21:11 13:17 158:15 stated 23:21 role of 23:17,19 r		i	i	i	i
smoke 86:15 smapshot 43:10 68:9 69:7, 10 121:1 122:13 153:17 158:15 stack 23:21 standard 55:3	Smoak 2:22	66:7,18,22	119:1 120:25	134:3 149:3,3	Square 2:18
snapshot 43:10 69:15,21 70:2 snide 84:25 123:11 71.9 183:25 184:4 stamped 177:1 standard 55:3 software 84:22 poile 66:9 stamped 177:1 standard 55:3 stanton 2:3 5:17 standard 55:3 stanton 2	smoke 86:15			·	_
snide 84:25 software 84:22 software 84:22 solid 66:9 79:18 80:9,11 solid 66:9 somebody 122:420 125:12 legate 125:14 126:12 specifix 159:15 standard 55:3 standard 55:3 somebody 38:22 106:10 los:15 123:2,6 legate 129:17 133:8,9 los:13:11 los:1 los:15 123:2,6 los:15,22 los:15 someplace 148:8 los:15 184:1,35 los:13:1,134:1 someone's 125:3 low:166:7 los:24 168:4 los:11 los:16 los:24 los:16 lo	snapshot 43:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	123:17,19	183:25 184:4	stamped 177:1
software 84:22 solid 66:9 79:18 809,11 solid 66:9 125:14 126:12 specify 129:2 specify 14:19 5:14:19 6:19 15:8 specify 129:2 specify 129:2 specify 129:2 specify 129:2 specify 129:2 specify 129:2 specify 14:19 5:14:19 6:19 15:8 specify 129:2 specify 129:2 specify 129:2 specify 14:19 6:19 15:8 specify 129:2 specify 129:2 specify 129:2 specify 14:19 6:19 15:8 specify 129:2 specify 129:2 specify 14:19 6:19 15:8 specify 129:2 specify 129:2 specify 14:19 6:19 15:8 specify 14:2 specify 14:19 6:19 15:8 specify 14:2 specify 19:1 sp	_	70:10 75:16		specifics 55:7	_
somebody 86:22 106:10 128:15,22 speculate 87:20 136:10 138:17 136:2 106:15 123:2,6 129:17 133:8 133:11 134:1 someone's 125:3 144:1,3,5 135:13,19,23 speculation stanton.jones 2:5 somelos 18:25:3 145:3 158:7 136:6 141:5,19 speculation startal.915 startal.915 startel.915 startingl.916 startel.915 sta	software 84:22	79:18 80:9,11	125:14 126:12		Stanton 2:3 5:17
	solid 66:9	81:24 86:1,8	126:18 127:16	specify 129:2	6:19 15:8
136:12 135:11 106:15 123:2,6 137:2 138:18 133:11 134:1 speculated stanton.jones	somebody	86:22 106:10	128:15,22		136:10 138:17
136:2 137:2 138:18 133:11 134:1 speculated 87:20 stanton.jones		106:15 123:2,6	129:17 133:8,9	_	161:24
someone's 125:3 144:1,3,5 135:13,19,23 87:20 2:5 someplace 148:8 145:3 158:7 136:6 141:5,19 speculations start 19:15 son 166:7 79:21 161:2,4 141:21 143:15 87:19 99:19 start 19:15 sory 18:17 170:22 171:21 151:10,12 87:19 199:19 speculations 19:14 21:22 172:9,15 155:10,12 spell 95:8 start 19:15 28:5 34:4 173:22 174:3 155:6 156:24 155:6 156:24 spell 95:8 starting 109:13 58:8 60:4 63:5 176:13 178:8 167:16 191:8 209:20 spend 83:11,13 state: 1: 2:11 86:4 106:11,14 186:18 190:1,5 Special 2:13 85:2,12,19,19 13:12 109:18 state: 1: 2:11 15:2:5 6:2:4 spend 83:1,1,3 13:2:1 13:3:1 5:2:3 6:2:1,7:25 148:4 150:21 190:7,14 191:3 special 2:13 85:2,12,19,19 10:2:10:10:3 13:2:1 40:2:15,17,21 50:13 7:2:1 specilis 10:11 specilis 2:1 specilis 3:1 13:2:1 35:7,17,21 46:3 6:3 6:1 specilis 3:1 speci	136:2	137:2 138:18	T	speculated	stanton.jones
someplace 148:8 son 166:7 son 166:7 son 130:23 for 16:24 for 168:4 son 130:23 for 19:15 son 130:23 for 18:17 for 170:22 171:21 for 170:22 171:21 for 170:22 171:21 for 19:14 21:22 for 172:9,15 for 170:22 for 170:22 for 170:22 for 170:21 for 170:22 for 1	someone's 125:3	144:1,3,5	135:13,19,23	_	ū
soon 130:23 167:24 168:4 144:21 145:16 speculations 205:12 210:11 sorry 18:17 170:22 171:21 151:10,12 speculations 205:12 210:11 19:14 21:22 173:22 174:3 155:6 156:24 155:6 156:24 155:6 156:24 40:23 47:21 174:10,16 155:6 156:24 spell 95:8 spell 95:8 starting 109:13 58:8 60:4 63:5 176:13 178:8 167:16 191:8 209:20 spend 83:11,13 state 1:1 2:11 75:9,25 80:10 183:10,12 Specal 2:13 specidled 99:18 state 1:1 2:11 86:4 106:11,14 186:18 190:1,5 Specal 2:13 specidled 99:18 state 1:1 2:11 115:21,23 192:22 194:1,5 Special 2:13 specidled 99:18 state 1:1 2:11 115:21,23 192:7,14 191:3 132:11 specidled 99:18 state 1:1 2:11 164:24 172:14 200:3,6,10 17:17 19:5 speciffic 31:12 spit 42:13 spit 42:1	someplace 148:8			speculation	start 19:15
sorry 18:17 170:22 171:21 151:10,12 117:24 starters 20:10 19:14 21:22 172:9,15 153:15 154:6 spelled 99:18 starting 109:13 28:5 34:4 173:22 174:3 155:6 156:24 spelled 99:18 spelled 99:18 starting 109:13 58:8 60:4 63:5 176:13 178:8 167:16 191:8 spend 83:11,13 133:1 spend 83:11,13 5:23 6:21 7:25 86:4 106:11,14 183:10,12 Speas's 109:9 spend 82:21,24 32:25 35:4 86:4 106:11,14 186:18 190:1,5 190:71,41 91:3 specialized 85:2,12,19,19 102:10 139:23 117:7 119:9 197:16,22 198:8 199:5,9 133:1 specific 31:12 spite 42:13	son 166:7	159:21 161:2,4	141:21 143:15	87:19 99:19	started 23:5
19:14 21:22 172:9,15 153:15 154:6 155:6 156:24 173:22 174:3 157:5 162:4 174:10,16 157:5 162:4 174:10,16 157:5 162:4 176:13 178:8 167:16 191:8 133:1	soon 130:23	167:24 168:4	144:21 145:16	speculations	205:12 210:11
28:5 34:4 40:23 47:21 58:8 60:4 63:5 66:2,20 70:2 75:9,25 80:10 86:4 106:11,14 186:18 190:1,5 114:1 115:12 115:2,13 115:2,123 115:2,123 115:2,123 116:2,123 116:2,14 16:18 199:5,9 148:4 150:2,1 16:4:24 172:14 200:3,6,10 172:23 174:13 183:11,13 203:3 208:5 183:11,13 203:3 208:5 183:11,13 203:3 208:5 199:15,24 184:11 190:10 190:25 191:12 194:16 200:10 203:4 210:2,4 sort 23:8 24:12 25:18,22,23 33:6 36:17 64:12 71:24 76:3 207:2 25:18,22,23 33:6 36:17 64:12 71:24 77:3 95:16,20 96:8 109:6 119:15 121:20 50 50 50 50 61 132:11 133:11 155:6 156:24 167:16 191:8 speelde 99:18 speeld 83:11,13 133:1 133:1 133:1 speeding 103:11 speeding 103:11 speet 82:21,24 88:7,17,21 88:21 10:17 speet 82:21,24 88:7,17,21 140:21 159:10 spite 42:13 spoke 41:21 spite 42:13 spoke 41:21 50:13 72:19 102:10 39:23 spoke 41:21 50:13 72:19 196:13 state ment 32:18 56:1 105:21 56:1 105:21 56:1 105:21 56:1 105:21 56:1 105:21 56:1 105:21 57:10 98:6,8 90:24 94:5 110:12 100:20 113:3 118:2 125:12 121:19 127:13 118:2 125:12 121:19 127:13 118:2 125:12 121:19 127:13 118:2 125:12 121:19 127:13 118:2 125:12 133:14 14:124 205:14 14:24 205:14 14:24 205:14 157:5 162:4 118:18 109:20 130:11 133:11 13:	sorry 18:17	170:22 171:21	151:10,12	117:24	starters 20:10
40:23 47:21 174:10,16 157:5 162:4 spend 83:11,13 state 1:1 2:11 58:8 60:4 63:5 176:13 178:8 167:16 191:8 133:1 133:1 5:23 6:21 7:25 66:2,20 70:2 180:2,6,15 209:20 spending 103:11 8:12 10:17 86:4 106:11,14 186:18 190:1,5 Speas's 109:9 spending 103:11 8:12 10:17 114:1 115:12 190:7,14 191:3 specialized 83:7,17,21 66:3 67:18 117:7 119:9 197:16,22 specific 31:12 85:21 100:21 102:10 139:23 136:15 145:2 198:8 199:5,9 33:19 57:2 76:10,23 77:13 spoke 41:21 56:1 105:21 164:24 172:14 200:3,6,10 77:17 79:5 50:13 72:19 50:13 72:19 56:1 105:21 183:11,13 203:3 208:5 102:3 114:7,16 102:22 109:11 54:1 54:1 184:11 190:10 210:1,3,5 119:14,21 109:20 113:3 statement 32:18 194:16 200:10 speak 39:21 70:9 133:10 145:4 139:14,15 53:22 127:10 219:15 18,22,23 33:6 36:17 88:12,15 <		172:9,15	153:15 154:6	spell 95:8	starting 109:13
40:23 47:21 174:10,16 157:5 162:4 spend 83:11,13 state 1:1 2:11 58:8 60:4 63:5 176:13 178:8 167:16 191:8 133:1 133:1 5:23 6:21 7:25 66:2,20 70:2 180:2,6,15 209:20 spending 103:11 8:12 10:17 86:4 106:11,14 186:18 190:1,5 Speas's 109:9 spending 103:11 8:12 10:17 114:1 115:12 190:7,14 191:3 specialized 83:7,17,21 66:3 67:18 117:7 119:9 197:16,22 specific 31:12 85:21 100:21 102:10 139:23 136:15 145:2 198:8 199:5,9 33:19 57:2 76:10,23 77:13 spoke 41:21 56:1 105:21 164:24 172:14 200:3,6,10 77:17 79:5 50:13 72:19 50:13 72:19 56:1 105:21 183:11,13 203:3 208:5 102:3 114:7,16 102:22 109:11 54:1 54:1 184:11 190:10 210:1,3,5 119:14,21 109:20 113:3 statement 32:18 194:16 200:10 speak 39:21 70:9 133:10 145:4 139:14,15 53:22 127:10 219:15 18,22,23 33:6 36:17 88:12,15 <	28:5 34:4	173:22 174:3	155:6 156:24		
58:8 60:4 63:5 66:2,20 70:2 180:2,6,15 176:13 178:8 180:2,6,15 167:16 191:8 209:20 133:1 spending 103:11 spending 10	40:23 47:21	174:10,16	157:5 162:4	_	state 1:1 2:11
75:9,25 80:10 183:10,12 Speas's 109:9 spent 82:21,24 32:25 35:4 66:3 67:18 86:4 106:11,14 186:18 190:1,5 specialized 83:7,17,21 66:3 67:18 66:3 67:18 114:1 115:12 190:7,14 191:3 specialized 85:2,12,19,19 10:210 139:23 115:21,23 192:22 194:1,5 199:16,22 specific 31:12 32:11 40:21 159:10 state-205:5 136:15 145:2 198:8 199:5,9 198:8 199:5,9 76:10,23 77:13 spite 42:13 state-205:5 stated 42:21 148:4 150:21 199:15,24 76:10,23 77:13 spoke 41:21 56:1 105:21 56:1 105:21 172:23 174:13 200:3,6,10 77:17 79:5 90:24 94:5 50:1 37:219 196:13 184:11 190:10 210:1,3,5 119:14,21 109:20 113:3 statement 32:18 190:25 191:12 212:12 133:10 145:4 139:14,15 139:14,15 54:1 25:18,22,23 33:6 36:17 speak 39:21 70:9 133:10 145:4 193:13 141:24 205:14 53:22 127:10 25:18,22,23 33:6 36:17 speak 3:8 5:19<	58:8 60:4 63:5	176:13 178:8	167:16 191:8		5:23 6:21 7:25
86:4 106:11,14 186:18 190:1,5 Special 2:13 83:7,17,21 66:3 67:18 114:1 115:12 190:7,14 191:3 specialized 85:2,12,19,19 66:3 67:18 115:21,23 192:22 194:1,5 190:7,14 191:3 specialized 85:2,12,19,19 66:3 67:18 116:15 145:2 198:8 199:5,9 197:16,22 199:15,24 76:10,23 77:13 spite 42:13 state-205:5 stated 42:21 164:24 172:14 200:3,6,10 77:17 79:5 90:24 94:5 50:13 72:19 90:24 94:5 50:13 72:19 90:24 94:5 50:13 72:19 90:19:13 50:13 72:19 196:13 54:1 50:13 72:19 50:1	66:2,20 70:2	180:2,6,15	209:20	spending 103:11	8:12 10:17
114:1 115:12 190:7,14 191:3 192:22 194:1,5 132:11 85:21 100:21 139:23 213:4,17 215:1 130:15 145:2 198:8 199:5,9 33:19 57:2 140:21 159:10 spite 42:13 spoke 41:21 56:1 105:21 196:13 172:23 174:13 202:15,17,19 91:10 98:6,8 90:24 94:5 133:11,13 203:3 208:5 102:3 114:7,16 102:22 109:11 148:11 190:10 210:1,3,5 119:14,21 109:20 113:3 184:11 190:10 speak 39:21 70:9 133:10 145:4 139:14,15 139:14,15 133:60 127 203:4 210:2,4 89:17 92:12 208:25 33:6 36:17 88:12,15 25:18,22,23 33:6 36:17 88:12,15 25:18,22,23 33:6 36:17 88:12,15 29:12 33:14 77:3 95:16,20 96:8 109:6 19:15 121:20 19:15 121:20 19:15 121:20 19:15 121:20 19:15 121:20 10:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 20:29 24:10 20:20,25 22:5 Sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:21,13,14 60:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 108:23 109:2 87:1,22 91:15 Sounds 43:19 109:11,20 92:3 97:3 Spruill 1:17 2:7 Sources 99:20 10:8,11,19 99:18 100:6 South 3:8 111:1,12,17,20 111:10,17 17:12 131:17 Stewart 2:22 Stipulations 17:7 Stop 183:10 Stop 183:10 Stop 183:10 Stop 183:10 Stop 183:10 Stop 183:10 Statement 3:18 Stop 183:10 Statement 3:18 Stop 183:10 Statement 3:18 Statement	75:9,25 80:10	183:10,12	Speas's 109:9	spent 82:21,24	32:25 35:4
115:21,23 192:22 194:1,5 132:11 85:21 100:21 213:4,17 215:1 117:7 119:9 197:16,22 198:8 199:5,9 33:19 57:2 spite 42:13 state-205:5 148:4 150:21 199:15,24 76:10,23 77:13 spoke 41:21 56:1 105:21 164:24 172:14 200:3,6,10 77:17 79:5 50:13 72:19 196:13 183:11,13 203:3 208:5 102:3 114:7,16 102:22 109:11 196:13 184:11 190:10 210:1,3,5 119:14,21 109:20 113:3 statement 32:18 190:25 191:12 212:12 121:19 127:13 118:2 125:12 53:22 127:10 203:4 210:2,4 89:17 92:12 173:2 207:12 141:24 205:14 173:13 25:18,22,23 108:7 205:15 speaking 35:25 specifically 20:8 spoken 138:13 states 177:10 33:6 36:17 64:12 71:24 88:12,15 29:12 33:14 193:13 53:3,4,11,12 77:3 95:16,20 144:20 50:7 51:15 spot 134:11 Springmoor staying 24:22 160:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 22:9 24:10 <td>86:4 106:11,14</td> <td>186:18 190:1,5</td> <td>Special 2:13</td> <td>83:7,17,21</td> <td>66:3 67:18</td>	86:4 106:11,14	186:18 190:1,5	Special 2:13	83:7,17,21	66:3 67:18
117:7 119:9 197:16,22 198:8 199:5,9 199:15,24 76:10,23 77:13 172:23 174:13 200:3,6,10 200:3,6,10 200:3,6,10 200:15,17,19 200:25,17,19 200:25,17,19 200:13,3,5 190:25 191:12 194:16 200:10 200:4 200:24 200:24 200:24 200:10 200:34 210:2,4 200:10	114:1 115:12	190:7,14 191:3	specialized	85:2,12,19,19	102:10 139:23
136:15 145:2 198:8 199:5,9 33:19 57:2 spite 42:13 stated 42:21 148:4 150:21 199:15,24 76:10,23 77:13 spoke 41:21 56:1 105:21 164:24 172:14 200:3,6,10 77:17 79:5 50:13 72:19 196:13 172:23 174:13 202:15,17,19 91:10 98:6,8 90:24 94:5 statement 32:18 183:11,13 203:3 208:5 102:3 114:7,16 102:22 109:11 54:1 190:25 191:12 212:12 121:19 127:13 118:2125:12 53:22 127:10 194:16 200:10 speak 39:21 70:9 133:10 145:4 139:14,15 173:13 203:4 210:2,4 89:17 92:12 99:1 107:8 208:25 speckifically 20:8 spoken 138:13 states 177:10 25:18,22,23 33:6 36:17 88:12,15 29:12 33:14 205:18 spoken 138:13 53:18 33:6 96:6 Speas 2:8 5:19 50:7 51:15 spot 134:11 54:11 54:11 4:0:19 189:6 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 50:13 17:14,16 50:12,13,14,16 50:12,13,14,16 50:12,13,14,16 50:12,13,14,16 50:12,	115:21,23	192:22 194:1,5	132:11	85:21 100:21	213:4,17 215:1
148:4 150:21 199:15,24 76:10,23 77:13 5poke 41:21 56:1 105:21 164:24 172:14 200:3,6,10 77:17 79:5 50:13 72:19 196:13 172:23 174:13 202:15,17,19 91:10 98:6,8 90:24 94:5 statement 32:18 183:11,13 203:3 208:5 102:3 114:7,16 102:22 109:11 54:1 190:25 191:12 210:1,3,5 119:14,21 109:20 113:3 statements 190:25 191:12 212:12 121:19 127:13 118:2 125:12 53:22 127:10 203:4 210:2,4 89:17 92:12 173:2 207:12 141:24 205:14 states 177:10 25:18,22,23 108:7 205:15 specifically 20:8 spoken 138:13 53:18 33:6 36:17 88:12,15 29:12 33:14 193:13 53:3,4,11,12 77:3 95:16,20 5peak 2:8 5:19 52:8 59:11,18 5pringmoor 59eak 59:13,14 19:15 121:20 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 20:20,25 22:5 5tep 125:24 19:15 121:20 5:19 17:10 68:23 109:2 87:3,34:1 26:13 27:6,21 6:23 7:1,2,4 10:19 189:6 <td>117:7 119:9</td> <td>197:16,22</td> <td>specific 31:12</td> <td>140:21 159:10</td> <td>state- 205:5</td>	117:7 119:9	197:16,22	specific 31:12	140:21 159:10	state- 205:5
164:24 172:14 200:3,6,10 77:17 79:5 50:13 72:19 196:13 172:23 174:13 202:15,17,19 91:10 98:6,8 90:24 94:5 54:1 183:11,13 203:3 208:5 102:3 114:7,16 102:22 109:11 54:1 184:11 190:10 210:1,3,5 119:14,21 109:20 113:3 statements 190:25 191:12 212:12 12:19 127:13 118:2 125:12 53:22 127:10 194:16 200:10 speak 39:21 70:9 133:10 145:4 139:14,15 173:13 203:4 210:2,4 89:17 92:12 173:2 207:12 141:24 205:14 states 177:10 203:4 210:2,4 89:17 92:12 99:1 107:8 208:25 specifically 20:8 spoken 138:13 states 177:10 25:18,22,23 108:7 205:15 specifically 20:8 spoken 138:13 stay 28:9 53:1,1 33:6 36:17 88:12,15 29:12 33:14 193:13 53:3,4,11,12 96:8 109:6 Speas 2:8 5:19 52:8 59:11,18 Springmoor 20:12,13,14,16 staying 24:22 119:15 121:20 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 50:13 72:14 54:	136:15 145:2	198:8 199:5,9	33:19 57:2	spite 42:13	stated 42:21
172:23 174:13 202:15,17,19 91:10 98:6,8 90:24 94:5 statement 32:18 183:11,13 203:3 208:5 102:3 114:7,16 102:22 109:11 54:1 190:25 191:12 210:1,3,5 119:14,21 109:20 113:3 statements 190:25 191:12 212:12 121:19 127:13 118:2 125:12 53:22 127:10 194:16 200:10 speak 39:21 70:9 133:10 145:4 139:14,15 173:13 203:4 210:2,4 89:17 92:12 29:1 107:8 208:25 205:18 states 177:10 sort 23:8 24:12 99:1 107:8 208:25 specking 35:25 specking 35:25 speckifically 20:8 spoken 138:13 53:18 stay 28:9 53:1,1 64:12 71:24 88:12,15 29:12 33:14 193:13 53:3,4,11,12 53:3,4,11,12 96:8 109:6 Speas 2:8 5:19 50:7 51:15 spot 134:11 Springmoor 20:12,13,14,16 54:11 19:15 12:20 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 70:8 71:7 77:2 20:20,25 22:5 5tephanie 1:13 sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:2,13,14 26:13 27:6,21 <td< td=""><td>148:4 150:21</td><td>199:15,24</td><td>76:10,23 77:13</td><td>spoke 41:21</td><td>56:1 105:21</td></td<>	148:4 150:21	199:15,24	76:10,23 77:13	spoke 41:21	56:1 105:21
183:11,13 203:3 208:5 102:3 114:7,16 102:22 109:11 54:1 184:11 190:10 210:1,3,5 119:14,21 109:20 113:3 statements 190:25 191:12 212:12 121:19 127:13 118:2 125:12 53:22 127:10 194:16 200:10 speak 39:21 70:9 133:10 145:4 139:14,15 173:13 203:4 210:2,4 89:17 92:12 208:25 208:25 205:18 sort 23:8 24:12 99:1 107:8 208:25 speaking 35:25 speaking 35:25 specifically 20:8 spoken 138:13 53:18 33:6 36:17 speaking 35:25 speaking 35:25 specifically 20:8 spoken 138:13 53:18 77:3 95:16,20 144:20 50:7 51:15 spot 134:11 53:3,4,11,12 96:8 109:6 Speas 2:8 5:19 52:8 59:11,18 Springmoor 54:11 19:15 121:20 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 52:8 59:13,14 132:12 140:14 38:16 48:2 70:8 71:7 77:2 20:20,25 22:5 5tephanie 1:13 sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:2,13,14 26:13 27:6,21 6:23 7:1,2,4 sources 99:20 110:8,11,19 99:18 100:6	164:24 172:14	200:3,6,10	77:17 79:5	50:13 72:19	196:13
184:11 190:10 210:1,3,5 119:14,21 109:20 113:3 statements 190:25 191:12 212:12 121:19 127:13 118:2 125:12 53:22 127:10 194:16 200:10 speak 39:21 70:9 133:10 145:4 139:14,15 173:13 203:4 210:2,4 89:17 92:12 173:2 207:12 141:24 205:14 states 177:10 sort 23:8 24:12 99:1 107:8 208:25 205:18 states 177:10 25:18,22,23 108:7 205:15 specifically 20:8 spoken 138:13 53:18 33:6 36:17 speaking 35:25 23:7 26:17 171:14,15 stayed 28:12 64:12 71:24 88:12,15 29:12 33:14 193:13 53:3,4,11,12 77:3 95:16,20 144:20 50:7 51:15 spot 134:11 54:11 96:8 109:6 Speas 2:8 5:19 52:8 59:11,18 Springmoor staying 24:22 119:15 121:20 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 20:12,13,14,16 54:11 160:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 22:9 24:10 4:9 5:5 6:8,10 sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:	172:23 174:13	202:15,17,19	91:10 98:6,8	90:24 94:5	statement 32:18
190:25 191:12 212:12 121:19 127:13 118:2 125:12 53:22 127:10 194:16 200:10 speak 39:21 70:9 133:10 145:4 139:14,15 173:13 203:4 210:2,4 89:17 92:12 173:2 207:12 141:24 205:14 states 177:10 sort 23:8 24:12 99:1 107:8 208:25 205:18 states 177:10 25:18,22,23 108:7 205:15 specifically 20:8 spoken 138:13 53:18 33:6 36:17 88:12,15 29:12 33:14 193:13 53:3,4,11,12 77:3 95:16,20 144:20 50:7 51:15 spot 134:11 54:11 96:8 109:6 Speas 2:8 5:19 52:8 59:11,18 Springmoor staying 24:22 119:15 121:20 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 20:12,13,14,16 54:11 132:12 140:14 38:16 48:2 70:8 71:7 77:2 20:20,25 22:5 5cephanie 1:13 160:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 22:9 24:10 4:9 5:5 6:8,10 sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:2,13,14 26:13 27:6,21 6:23 7:1,2,4 sources 99:20 10:8,11,19	-	203:3 208:5	102:3 114:7,16		54:1
194:16 200:10 speak 39:21 70:9 133:10 145:4 139:14,15 173:13 203:4 210:2,4 89:17 92:12 173:2 207:12 141:24 205:14 states 177:10 sort 23:8 24:12 99:1 107:8 208:25 205:18 stay 28:9 53:1,1 25:18,22,23 108:7 205:15 specifically 20:8 spoken 138:13 53:18 33:6 36:17 speaking 35:25 23:7 26:17 171:14,15 stay 28:9 53:1,1 64:12 71:24 88:12,15 29:12 33:14 193:13 53:3,4,11,12 77:3 95:16,20 144:20 50:7 51:15 spot 134:11 54:11 96:8 109:6 Speas 2:8 5:19 52:8 59:11,18 Springmoor staying 24:22 119:15 121:20 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 20:12,13,14,16 5tep 125:24 132:12 140:14 38:16 48:2 70:8 71: 77:2 20:20,25 22:5 Step 12:5 160:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 22:9 24:10 4:9 5:5 6:8,10 sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:2,13,14 26:13 27:6,21 52:0 115:1,5 sounds 43:19 109:11,20 <td></td> <td>210:1,3,5</td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td>109:20 113:3</td> <td>statements</td>		210:1,3,5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	109:20 113:3	statements
203:4 210:2,4 89:17 92:12 173:2 207:12 141:24 205:14 states 177:10 sort 23:8 24:12 99:1 107:8 208:25 205:18 stay 28:9 53:1,1 25:18,22,23 108:7 205:15 specifically 20:8 spoken 138:13 53:18 33:6 36:17 speaking 35:25 23:7 26:17 171:14,15 stayed 28:12 64:12 71:24 88:12,15 29:12 33:14 193:13 53:3,4,11,12 77:3 95:16,20 144:20 50:7 51:15 spot 134:11 54:11 96:8 109:6 Speas 2:8 5:19 52:8 59:11,18 Springmoor staying 24:22 119:15 121:20 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 20:12,13,14,16 step 125:24 132:12 140:14 38:16 48:2 70:8 71:7 77:2 20:20,25 22:5 Stephanie 1:13 160:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 26:13 27:6,21 4:9 5:5 6:8,10 sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:2,13,14 26:13 27:6,21 6:23 7:1,2,4 sounds 43:19 109:11,20 99:18 100:6 5:20 115:1,5 5teps 70:16 South 3:8 111:1,2,17,				118:2 125:12	
sort 23:8 24:1299:1 107:8208:25205:18stay 28:9 53:1,125:18,22,23108:7 205:15specifically 20:8spoken 138:1353:1833:6 36:17speaking 35:2523:7 26:17171:14,15stayed 28:1264:12 71:2488:12,1529:12 33:14193:1353:3,4,11,1277:3 95:16,20144:2050:7 51:15spot 134:1154:1196:8 109:6Speas 2:8 5:1952:8 59:11,18Springmoorstaying 24:22119:15 121:205:19 17:1161:17 65:120:12,13,14,16step 125:24132:12 140:1438:16 48:270:8 71:7 77:220:20,25 22:5Stephanie 1:13160:19 189:667:11 106:2377:3 84:1322:9 24:104:9 5:5 6:8,10sought 69:2107:10 108:185:2,13,1426:13 27:6,214:9 5:5 6:8,10sounds 43:19109:11,2092:3 97:3Spruill 1:17 2:79:21 213:3,15sources 99:20110:8,11,1999:18 100:65:20 115:1,5steps 70:16South 3:8111:1,12,17,20111:10,17117:12 131:17Stewart 2:22Sparks 3:8 6:7,7113:18 114:2112:11 114:20131:17 135:19stipulations 17:79:25 34:2115:8,17,24120:1 124:18157:23 158:23stood 78:1837:11 65:24116:24 118:18127:20 128:6,8162:3 164:2Stop 183:10		_	133:10 145:4	139:14,15	
25:18,22,23 108:7 205:15 speaking 35:25 spoint 38:13 171:14,15 staying 24:22 53:3,4,11,12 54:11 <th< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	-				
33:6 36:17 speaking 35:25 23:7 26:17 171:14,15 stayed 28:12 64:12 71:24 88:12,15 29:12 33:14 193:13 53:3,4,11,12 77:3 95:16,20 144:20 50:7 51:15 spot 134:11 54:11 96:8 109:6 Speas 2:8 5:19 52:8 59:11,18 Springmoor staying 24:22 119:15 121:20 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 20:12,13,14,16 step 125:24 132:12 140:14 38:16 48:2 70:8 71:7 77:2 20:20,25 22:5 Stephanie 1:13 160:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 22:9 24:10 4:9 5:5 6:8,10 sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:2,13,14 26:13 27:6,21 6:23 7:1,2,4 sounds 43:19 109:11,20 92:3 97:3 Spruill 1:17 2:7 9:21 213:3,15 South 3:8 111:1,12,17,20 111:10,17 117:12 131:17 5tewart 2:22 Sparks 3:8 6:7,7 113:18 114:2 112:11 114:20 131:17 135:19 stood 78:18 37:11 65:24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 Stop 183:10					_
64:12 71:24 88:12,15 29:12 33:14 193:13 53:3,4,11,12 77:3 95:16,20 59eas 2:8 5:19 52:8 59:11,18 59ot 134:11 54:11 96:8 109:6 5peas 2:8 5:19 52:8 59:11,18 5pringmoor 54:11 119:15 121:20 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 20:12,13,14,16 5tep 125:24 132:12 140:14 38:16 48:2 70:8 71:7 77:2 20:20,25 22:5 5tephanie 1:13 160:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 22:9 24:10 4:9 5:5 6:8,10 sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:2,13,14 26:13 27:6,21 6:23 7:1,2,4 sounds 43:19 109:11,20 92:3 97:3 5pruill 1:17 2:7 214:4 sources 99:20 110:8,11,19 99:18 100:6 5:20 115:1,5 5tewart 2:22 Sparks 3:8 6:7,7 113:18 114:2 112:11 114:20 131:17 135:19 5tewart 2:22 37:11 65:24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 5top 183:10	1			_	
77:3 95:16,20 144:20 50:7 51:15 spot 134:11 54:11 96:8 109:6 Speas 2:8 5:19 52:8 59:11,18 Springmoor staying 24:22 119:15 121:20 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 20:12,13,14,16 step 125:24 132:12 140:14 38:16 48:2 70:8 71:7 77:2 20:20,25 22:5 Stephanie 1:13 160:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 22:9 24:10 4:9 5:5 6:8,10 sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:2,13,14 26:13 27:6,21 6:23 7:1,2,4 sounds 43:19 109:11,20 92:3 97:3 Spruill 1:17 2:7 214:4 sources 99:20 110:8,11,19 99:18 100:6 5:20 115:1,5 steps 70:16 Sparks 3:8 6:7,7 113:18 114:2 112:11 114:20 131:17 135:19 stipulations 17:7 9:25 34:2 115:8,17,24 120:1 124:18 157:23 158:23 stood 78:18 37:11 65:24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 Stop 183:10		1 0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
96:8 109:6 Speas 2:8 5:19 52:8 59:11,18 Springmoor staying 24:22 119:15 121:20 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 20:12,13,14,16 step 125:24 132:12 140:14 38:16 48:2 70:8 71:7 77:2 20:20,25 22:5 Stephanie 1:13 160:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 22:9 24:10 4:9 5:5 6:8,10 sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:2,13,14 26:13 27:6,21 6:23 7:1,2,4 sounds 43:19 109:11,20 92:3 97:3 Spruill 1:17 2:7 214:4 sources 99:20 110:8,11,19 99:18 100:6 5:20 115:1,5 Steps 70:16 Sparks 3:8 6:7,7 113:18 114:2 112:11 114:20 131:17 135:19 stipulations 17:7 9:25 34:2 115:8,17,24 120:1 124:18 157:23 158:23 stood 78:18 37:11 65:24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 Stop 183:10		·			
119:15 121:20 5:19 17:11 61:17 65:1 20:12,13,14,16 step 125:24 132:12 140:14 38:16 48:2 70:8 71:7 77:2 20:20,25 22:5 Stephanie 1:13 160:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 22:9 24:10 4:9 5:5 6:8,10 sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:2,13,14 26:13 27:6,21 6:23 7:1,2,4 sounds 43:19 109:11,20 92:3 97:3 99:18 100:6 5:20 115:1,5 11:17 2:7 sources 99:20 110:8,11,19 99:18 100:6 117:12 131:17 5:20 115:1,5 5tewart 2:22 Sparks 3:8 6:7,7 113:18 114:2 112:11 114:20 131:17 135:19 157:23 158:23 stop 183:10 37:11 65:24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 Stop 183:10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		_	_
132:12 140:14 38:16 48:2 70:8 71:7 77:2 20:20,25 22:5 Stephanie 1:13 160:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 22:9 24:10 4:9 5:5 6:8,10 sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:2,13,14 26:13 27:6,21 6:23 7:1,2,4 sounds 43:19 109:11,20 92:3 97:3 Spruill 1:17 2:7 214:4 sources 99:20 110:8,11,19 99:18 100:6 5:20 115:1,5 steps 70:16 Sparks 3:8 6:7,7 113:18 114:2 112:11 114:20 131:17 135:19 stipulations 17:7 9:25 34:2 115:8,17,24 120:1 124:18 157:23 158:23 stood 78:18 37:11 65:24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 Stop 183:10			·	, . .	
160:19 189:6 67:11 106:23 77:3 84:13 22:9 24:10 4:9 5:5 6:8,10 sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:2,13,14 26:13 27:6,21 6:23 7:1,2,4 sound 14:6 108:23 109:2 87:1,22 91:15 35:19 38:25 9:21 213:3,15 Sounds 43:19 109:11,20 92:3 97:3 Spruill 1:17 2:7 214:4 sources 99:20 110:8,11,19 99:18 100:6 5:20 115:1,5 steps 70:16 South 3:8 111:1,12,17,20 111:10,17 117:12 131:17 Stewart 2:22 Sparks 3:8 6:7,7 113:18 114:2 112:11 114:20 131:17 135:19 stipulations 17:7 9:25 34:2 115:8,17,24 120:1 124:18 157:23 158:23 stood 78:18 37:11 65:24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 Stop 183:10				1 1 1	_
sought 69:2 107:10 108:1 85:2,13,14 26:13 27:6,21 6:23 7:1,2,4 sound 14:6 108:23 109:2 87:1,22 91:15 35:19 38:25 9:21 213:3,15 Sounds 43:19 109:11,20 92:3 97:3 Spruill 1:17 2:7 214:4 sources 99:20 110:8,11,19 99:18 100:6 5:20 115:1,5 steps 70:16 South 3:8 111:1,12,17,20 111:10,17 117:12 131:17 Stewart 2:22 sparks 3:8 6:7,7 113:18 114:2 112:11 114:20 131:17 135:19 stipulations 17:7 9:25 34:2 115:8,17,24 120:1 124:18 157:23 158:23 stood 78:18 37:11 65:24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 Stop 183:10				l '	_
sound 14:6 108:23 109:2 87:1,22 91:15 35:19 38:25 9:21 213:3,15 Sounds 43:19 109:11,20 92:3 97:3 Spruill 1:17 2:7 214:4 sources 99:20 110:8,11,19 99:18 100:6 5:20 115:1,5 steps 70:16 South 3:8 111:1,12,17,20 111:10,17 117:12 131:17 Stewart 2:22 Sparks 3:8 6:7,7 113:18 114:2 112:11 114:20 131:17 135:19 stipulations 17:7 9:25 34:2 115:8,17,24 120:1 124:18 157:23 158:23 stood 78:18 37:11 65:24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 Stop 183:10					1
Sounds 43:19 sources 99:20 109:11,20 110:8,11,19 99:18 100:6 Spruill 1:17 2:7 5:20 115:1,5 111:10,17 117:12 131:17 214:4 steps 70:16 117:12 131:17 Sparks 3:8 6:7,7 9:25 34:2 37:11 65:24 115:8,17,24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 Spruill 1:17 2:7 5:20 115:1,5 117:12 131:17 Steps 70:16 Stewart 2:22 stipulations 17:7 113:18 114:2 115:8,17,24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 162:3 164:2 Stop 183:10				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
sources 99:20 110:8,11,19 99:18 100:6 5:20 115:1,5 steps 70:16 South 3:8 111:1,12,17,20 111:10,17 117:12 131:17 Stewart 2:22 Sparks 3:8 6:7,7 113:18 114:2 112:11 114:20 131:17 135:19 stipulations 17:7 9:25 34:2 115:8,17,24 120:1 124:18 157:23 158:23 stood 78:18 37:11 65:24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 Stop 183:10			·		1
South 3:8 111:1,12,17,20 111:10,17 117:12 131:17 Stewart 2:22 Sparks 3:8 6:7,7 113:18 114:2 112:11 114:20 131:17 135:19 stipulations 17:7 9:25 34:2 115:8,17,24 120:1 124:18 157:23 158:23 stood 78:18 37:11 65:24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 Stop 183:10		· ·		-	
Sparks 3:8 6:7,7 113:18 114:2 112:11 114:20 131:17 135:19 stipulations 17:7 9:25 34:2 115:8,17,24 120:1 124:18 157:23 158:23 stood 78:18 37:11 65:24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 Stop 183:10		· ·			
9:25 34:2 115:8,17,24 120:1 124:18 157:23 158:23 stood 78:18 37:11 65:24 116:24 118:18 127:20 128:6,8 162:3 164:2 Stop 183:10			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
37:11 65:24	-				_
		i i			
	37:11 65:24	116:24 118:18	127:20 128:6,8	162:3 164:2	Stop 183:10
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

	1			
stor- 81:21	submit 193:3	suggest 197:9	tag 125:2	122:12 123:16
storage 11:7,15	submitted	suggested 189:5	take 8:9 10:11	126:11 138:25
11:21 12:13,18	193:16	Suite 1:18 2:8,18	14:19 17:17	141:14 207:18
13:15,16 15:1	subpoena 4:9,12	2:23 3:4,8,17	19:6 21:1,10	telephonic 89:21
15:15,22 17:20	4:13 9:2,6,16	summarized	25:13 26:4,6,8	89:23
18:11,19,20,21	10:9,11,23,25	140:12	26:14,21 27:12	tell 6:12 7:13
18:25 19:5,13	11:6,12,25	Superior 1:1	28:14 43:18	8:22 17:19
19:19 23:11,15	13:7,18,23	5:10	47:3 49:7	20:3,7 24:25
24:16 25:3,11	15:3,16,23	supporters	50:22 51:1,4	39:6,14,17,24
28:14 29:7	16:4 17:23	97:25	68:8,13 77:11	42:15 55:13,19
30:16,20 31:1	18:23 19:21	suppose 211:5	80:1 86:12,13	57:3 93:12
31:4,8,22 32:8	24:9 27:24	supposed 23:4	109:18 112:7	97:2 110:22,25
33:24 35:17	31:2 39:3,7,8	88:10	123:5 157:6	111:25 114:21
37:3 38:11,23	39:11,12,15,19	Supreme 104:1	161:4 167:20	115:1,8 124:1
39:4 41:5	39:22,25 41:3	sure 13:3 16:14	167:23 171:9	130:5 143:15
42:11 46:25	41:7 57:11	47:5 48:18,20	180:10,16	151:17,21,25
49:1 78:22	59:17 60:9	48:24 49:14,20	181:8 191:21	152:17 160:6
107:19 111:7	64:11 68:18	51:14 74:22	208:5	181:8 192:23
111:11 122:14	69:20 75:13,19	94:9,17 101:17	taken 5:5 7:12	194:16 203:25
146:16 209:5	79:4,12,17	101:17 102:21	27:7,10 55:12	208:21 209:3
210:12,13	80:8 103:6	112:16 122:14	57:6 70:16	210:9
stored 30:11	113:5 116:1	124:4,9,13	87:14,15,23	telling 27:5
112:24	121:2,9,10,15	128:9 134:9	142:6 154:11	temp 63:8
stories 85:6	121:17 130:16	141:24 147:3	154:12 202:11	ten 93:10 97:1
straight 14:3	141:22 142:8	148:5 154:25	215:9	110:20
24:3	142:20,24	155:14 156:3	tales 140:14	ten- 8:18
Strategies 27:3	143:3,17,24	156:22 157:14	talk 7:17 73:12	ten-minute
72:3 74:20	146:3,10	178:22 190:8	97:15 109:10	124:21
142:5	151:11,14,18	surprise 143:23	121:1 152:5	tend 107:21
strategist 144:19	154:9 158:5,22	surprised 14:13	172:6,7 180:16	187:5 207:25
street 1:18 2:8	159:20 160:8	142:24	212:3	208:1
2:14 3:4,8 63:5	168:10,15,17	surprises 144:6	talked 55:7	tendency 29:20
stressed 122:2	168:21,24	surrounding	69:24 70:5,6	term 64:17
strictly 60:15	169:1 191:18	37:24	101:6 133:7	terms 14:6 43:4
strike 210:22	191:23 192:3	survey 25:14	151:11 164:10	74:24,25 103:1
stuck 54:17	198:19,22,24	126:22 157:21	209:22	105:20 119:19
student 169:21	199:3,4 211:15	158:16	talking 114:15	terse 108:19
studied 105:3	subpoenaed	survivor 196:16	116:6 123:16	test 140:6
study 96:22	9:20	swear 5:16	141:2,15 145:5	testified 6:13
149:5	subpoenas 198:1	switched 209:3	206:21	48:6 58:18
studying 103:12	198:3	sworn 6:11	taught 40:10	61:6,15 63:19
stuff 26:21 60:4	Subscribed	213:19 215:8	147:12	65:4,15 67:3
75:23 86:24	213:19		tax 128:8	68:25 70:14
87:1,2,2,3	substance 136:7	T	taxes 127:12,17	76:9 78:6,14
128:1 133:2	substantive	T 4:7 213:1,1	127:20	80:14 86:23
135:7 147:22	75:21	214:1,1 215:1	teams 133:17	87:8 89:9 91:8
154:12 158:19	substantively	215:1	telephone 94:18	92:4 105:17
159:2	97:15	T's 159:4	109:21 110:7	123:18 131:13
stumbled 62:22	suddenly 129:5	table 157:17	110:12,18	133:24 150:23
subject 117:23	sufficient 200:7	180:12	111:2 120:24	164:17 165:22
				220

166:16 168:14	96:9 106:11	114:16 119:15	43:3 47:14	44:3 50:11
168:23 169:3	109:7 132:12	119:18 121:6	49:12 52:3	52:22 53:2,3,3
171:13 193:21	147:12 155:15	122:21 124:15	74:25 90:25	58:4 59:2,13
198:20 204:24	180:6,7	125:5,9,10,10	100:24 102:20	67:23 68:3
209:17 211:7	things 10:22	125:18,18	103:19 131:13	69:1 72:17,24
testify 198:25	11:2 22:12	126:15,15	131:16,19	74:22 82:24,25
testimony 8:5	23:5 25:20,25	127:6,7 128:4	140:9 168:2	83:5,7,10,13
37:13 47:23	26:7,14,17	128:12 129:1,2	189:3 200:22	83:17,21 85:19
65:11 66:5	29:21,22 30:6	130:25 133:11	thread 96:22	85:22 86:14,17
67:2 88:21	30:10 34:14	134:18 136:1	threads 94:21	86:21 92:23
94:18 128:14	47:9 50:17	136:22 138:14	three 48:4 49:14	95:10 97:19
145:8 155:5	55:8,24 56:8	139:25 140:19	49:24 50:1,2	98:8 100:21,22
156:23 157:11	60:24 67:16,16	141:23,23,25	53:6 74:3	101:6 102:23
198:24 215:7,9	72:2 85:4 88:1	142:7 143:4,20	82:22 92:13,13	103:12 109:6
215:13	95:7 99:4	144:15 149:23	92:18 94:14	109:11,14,20
text 107:10,11	100:19 101:3,4	150:2 151:19	129:21	123:9,13
107:14,21,25	103:17 112:2,3	151:23,24	thrilled 28:6	124:24 126:13
108:3,11,14,20	114:8 118:7	152:7,10,15,15	80:17	129:9,11
108:22 109:1,9	120:6,7 121:24	152:19 153:18	thrown 90:12	132:20 134:20
133:7 209:20	125:5 127:8,12	153:21 154:15	thumb 11:20	135:14 138:20
209:21 210:14	131:11 133:12	157:2,20	12:1 16:2,8,12	139:19 140:21
210:17,24	133:13 147:9	163:10 166:25	16:24 17:21	141:3,7,20
211:3	147:11 148:17	169:14 171:6	23:20 24:7	144:20 156:5
thank 17:13	148:25 149:5	174:8 176:20	45:22,25 46:2	157:2 158:2
27:16 41:9	150:7 155:20	179:21,25	46:3,11,13,13	161:7,11 164:1
44:1,2 49:6	155:23,24	182:13 184:4	46:15,20 47:13	164:5,7,13
66:22 94:15	160:19 169:22	186:17,24	54:20 67:20	165:5,8 171:4
108:1 123:7	171:23 195:21	187:15,24	70:24 74:12	171:15 174:22
161:5 167:10	210:14	189:19 190:18	76:11 77:19	179:23 180:21
167:24 168:2	think 8:21 26:2	190:21 193:20	80:2,4,15 87:9	181:2,8 183:15
174:25 183:12	26:6 27:13	196:21 199:3	87:16 89:1	184:20 188:21
188:25 190:23	30:24 32:23	200:8 207:21	112:22 113:12	189:8 190:4,23
196:9 200:10	34:25 35:6	208:13,16,23	113:20 114:4,5	191:3 193:7
200:13	49:14,23 52:18	208:24 210:8	117:11 134:23	195:10,14
thanks 8:11	53:5,20,24	211:1	135:16 146:9	198:8 199:6,11
94:25 168:5	59:20 60:10,12	thinking 84:5	146:13 147:18	203:15 205:18
180:17 200:14	62:22 63:25	103:1,2 126:5	147:20 155:9	206:21 208:19
theirs 71:2	65:10 66:8,8,9	third 14:3 15:18	164:12 165:10	212:6,10,15
Theodore	68:4,20 70:1	67:17 131:1,3	211:17	times 29:16
161:17	74:9,24 79:16	131:5 176:3	Thursday	52:13 71:14
theoretical	79:21,22 92:11	third-party	136:21 137:1	78:3 88:13
65:23	93:22,23 94:3	131:15,21	141:16	89:17 92:12
theoretically	94:14,16,16	Thomas 2:23	till 112:14	169:10 171:8
142:11	95:5,9,10,12	4:13 8:24	132:24	173:11 202:23
thereof 215:18	96:2,15,20	168:22	time 10:9 13:6	tired 123:6
they'd 59:7	100:6 101:22	thomas.farr@	14:9 20:19,22	161:5
111:19 151:11	102:11 104:6,7	2:25	22:8 28:2,12	title 92:15
thing 23:22	105:23 109:3,3	thoroughly	33:15 34:8	titled 56:19
25:23 70:7	109:12 110:4	200:23	35:8 41:21	today 7:9,13,22
84:6 95:16	111:9,19	thought 23:6	43:10,21,25	8:5 44:2,11
	<u> </u>			ĺ
				240

76:9 86:23	66:11 67:14	74:11 76:8	47:12 61:19	49:3 119:22
87:8 95:15	115:15 120:13	77:7 78:11	82:24 84:24	untoward 155:2
110:17 136:11	transparent	79:11 82:20,22	94:17 102:21	updates 210:12
139:11 150:8	115:14	89:8 189:12	103:21 106:4	usable 104:16
168:18 181:19	treasure 30:2	Twice 73:15	121:11 126:7	USB 49:16
189:17 195:21	trial 34:24 103:6	two 13:10,11	128:14 140:1	use 26:10 29:1
206:22	198:3,24	46:10,12,14	147:24 149:14	49:2 51:5 66:5
today's 5:3	tried 71:25	49:14,24 50:1	151:3 155:4	78:23 102:19
141:5,9	148:20 204:9	50:2 57:25	156:22 159:25	105:18,20
told 50:15 87:7	204:12	58:4 70:19,20	177:21 178:10	106:1 191:13
94:7 112:21	trip 73:15,16,21	71:22 77:25,25	178:15,16	206:1,2,15,16
113:11 115:17	73:21,25 74:2	82:21 87:5	180:9 190:9	208:11 211:16
116:25 122:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		196:5 201:24	
	trips 24:22	94:14,16 96:20		useful 106:7,18
122:13 129:14	trouble 132:17	96:20 109:4,4	202:2 204:7	usually 55:4
145:6 152:16	132:18 160:13	109:5 130:24	understandable	118:1 120:21
152:23 159:18	Trudy 72:20	139:7 155:7,17	140:4	\mathbf{V}
160:10 163:5	120:2	155:20 167:25	understandably	vacation 125:4
Tom 3:8 6:1,7	true 178:14	187:16,21	152:13	vacation 125.4
9:24 48:2	215:12	199:7 207:19	understanding	
167:14,18,18	trust 85:4	207:20,21	26:16 36:24	150:6
190:1,5	160:15	208:16,16	50:21 60:25	vague 111:7
tom@fidlitlaw	trusting 195:3	type 52:21 78:22	64:3 82:9	173:1
3:10	trusts 120:17	103:19 134:3	87:12 102:14	vaguely 51:25
tone 157:18	truth 6:12,13,13	153:24	103:4 104:4	valid 204:4
160:22	7:13	typed 169:9	113:19 117:9	value 34:16
top 9:21 15:7	truthful 8:4	typically 78:21	129:6,11,13,14	42:23,24
53:6 126:2	try 7:16 55:12		131:7,19 132:3	104:22
167:5 175:16	trying 97:7	<u>U</u>	132:10 146:1	variety 99:20
topic 95:20	98:12,14 126:3	U.S 104:1	156:19,19,20	Various 119:25
149:6	137:25 140:23	Uh-huh 27:19	171:22 201:17	vendor 45:10,14
topics 195:23	142:13 145:7	44:22 142:12	understood	131:15,21,23
202:5 211:12	147:24 159:24	151:5 184:15	12:18 27:4	132:9
total 82:24 92:13	160:23 178:22	188:4 197:3	61:6,10 70:14	verified 178:13
96:20	197:4 203:21	199:8	79:8 87:9	versus 5:6
totally 173:23	204:5,7 205:23	ultimately	90:10 106:24	vexatious
touch 36:1 92:5	turn 44:12 65:7	194:10	144:20 145:15	170:21
129:23	65:17 76:3,14	un- 7:23 138:3	146:5 159:6	victimology
touched 49:4	115:9 116:14	144:17	177:17 178:7	149:3
town 37:22	134:21 188:14	unable 144:22	178:20,21	video 5:4 212:15
105:13 125:3	turned 67:17	uncle 71:23 72:7	179:2,4 193:15	Videographer
track 107:22	68:16 74:16	120:9	undertake 79:10	3:12 5:2 43:20
172:11 190:25	75:4,13 78:24	unclear 120:4,12	unfolded 25:25	43:24 86:16,20
Trae 3:12	80:7 113:4,13	120:19	unfortunate	123:8,12 161:6
training 62:9	146:5 148:23	unconditionally	58:16	161:10 180:20
63:2,3	171:24 208:13	81:15	unique 60:25	181:1 195:9,13
transcribed	211:13	underlying	uniquely 60:20	212:5,9,14
215:10	turning 43:2	36:15	unnatural 138:5	Videographers
transcription	45:16 59:14	understand 7:12	unpleasant	3:16
213:6 215:12	60:6 64:14	7:23 8:2 11:5	105:13	VIDEOTAPED
transparency	67:19 69:4	40:17 41:3	unrelated 43:9	1:12
transparency	07.17 U7.T		um ciacu TJ.)	
	ı	1	1	
				241

100:12 wanted 26:2,24 64:20 65:5,16 3:17 215:4,23 104 viewpoint 43:1 33:23 36:4 88:24 91:6 widow 119:18 108 violation 90:3 38:23 48:20 95:12 107:22 wife 81:17 131 violence 149:4 50:16 71:11,18 114:21 115:1 willing 108:7 work 196:16 73:2,3 84:15 119:12 159:5,6 109:10 198:4,9 13:4	ds 42:16 4:23 105:24 8:6 111:22 1:8 132:2 k 11:8 12:19 :4,13 26:18 :20,22 30:21 :5 51:11 :1,5,11,15 :17,21 56:13 :21 61:20
viewpoint 43:1 33:23 36:4 88:24 91:6 widow 119:18 108 violation 90:3 38:23 48:20 95:12 107:22 wife 81:17 131 violence 149:4 50:16 71:11,18 114:21 115:1 willing 108:7 work 196:16 73:2,3 84:15 119:12 159:5,6 109:10 198:4,9 13:4	8:6 111:22 1:8 132:2 k 11:8 12:19 :4,13 26:18 :20,22 30:21 :5 51:11 :1,5,11,15 :17,21 56:13 :21 61:20
viewpoint 43:1 33:23 36:4 88:24 91:6 widow 119:18 108 violation 90:3 38:23 48:20 95:12 107:22 wife 81:17 131 violence 149:4 50:16 71:11,18 114:21 115:1 willing 108:7 work 196:16 73:2,3 84:15 119:12 159:5,6 109:10 198:4,9 13:4	1:8 132:2 k 11:8 12:19 :4,13 26:18 :20,22 30:21 :5 51:11 :1,5,11,15 :17,21 56:13 :21 61:20
violation 90:3 38:23 48:20 95:12 107:22 wife 81:17 131 violence 149:4 50:16 71:11,18 114:21 115:1 willing 108:7 work 196:16 73:2,3 84:15 119:12 159:5,6 109:10 198:4,9 13:4	k 11:8 12:19 :4,13 26:18 :20,22 30:21 :5 51:11 :1,5,11,15 :17,21 56:13 :21 61:20
196:16 73:2,3 84:15 119:12 159:5,6 109:10 198:4,9 13:4	:4,13 26:18 :20,22 30:21 :5 51:11 :1,5,11,15 :17,21 56:13 :21 61:20
196:16 73:2,3 84:15 119:12 159:5,6 109:10 198:4,9 13:4	:20,22 30:21 :5 51:11 :1,5,11,15 :17,21 56:13 :21 61:20
Vincinia 24.24 97.2 05.5 160.0 171.20 109.14 100.12 26.5	:5 51:11 :1,5,11,15 :17,21 56:13 :21 61:20
Virginia 24:24 87:3 95:5 160:9 171:20 198:14 199:12 26:3	:5 51:11 :1,5,11,15 :17,21 56:13 :21 61:20
	:17,21 56:13 :21 61:20
	:17,21 56:13 :21 61:20
	:21 61:20
	:8 71:3 74:6
	:21 75:1
	:9,9 83:14
	:23 84:18
	:13 86:3
	:22 90:15
	:4 99:9,22
	0:1,6,7,21
	6:19 113:23
	3:24 122:9
	7:8,9,25
	8:1,2,12,17
	0:19 139:16
	4:23 147:9
	9:2,10,13
	4:4,12
	5:11 157:9
	8:17,18
***	0:20,22
***************************************	1:19 172:13
70.5,12 102.20 WCKCHU 130.21 174.14,17	2:25 173:4,5
WEEKS 13 1.17	3:15
103.10,20 Well being	k-related
100.0.217.2	:15 56:2
120.20 122.22 Well known 101.5,10 100.0 51.	:12,14,18
25.16	:1,13
133.10 110.1,0 Well 0.23 20.13 133.20,23	ked 62:13
140.25 141.2 20.15 44.14 150.10 155.14 WOLK	:4,6 98:18
11.10.40.16	5:1 163:3
60.000.10	king 105:16
100.10 107.5 112.13 110.11 203.1201.11 WOLK	4:12,14
110 17 110 10	5:7 125:23
193.0,12	3:17 162:2
100 05 10 1 15	2:17 163:6
142 (15(22)	4:8 203:18
150 0 172 5	4.8 203.18 ks 92:8
175 21 100 0 Way 12.10 13.12 110.21 20 1.23 110.12 Work	1:23
10.6.20.100.0	ried 159:1
106 6 107 10	ry 159:18
30.21 4 2.20 60.21 120.23 202.21 WIII	ı y 137.10
	242

1-919-424-8242

	i	1	1	1
160:10	0	215:24	20th 215:20	601 2:4
worship 98:10	014001 1:2 5:12		212 213:5	649-9998 3:18
wouldn't 79:25		2	223 3:8	650 8:21
84:20 85:15	1	2 4:11 10:20	229-0845 3:9	6th 185:5 194:24
129:4 135:9	1 4:9 9:11,15	14:15,19 44:13	23 18:10	
152:9 153:2	64:12	45:17,22 46:21	27601 2:9 3:4	7
211:2	1:04 123:11,13	76:8,12 77:1	27603 2:14 3:9	74:19 76:14,16
wow 57:22 84:22	1:50 161:7,9	77:20 78:12	27609 2:24 3:18	76:19,25
written 80:24	1:57 161:9,11	141:11	29th 176:8,25	180:24 181:5
120:18 162:14	10 4:24 71:13	2:23 180:21,23	177:3,6	181:20 193:1,2
177:23 178:1,2	192:7,8,13,16	2:36 180:23		194:15
178:21	192:19 193:15	2:37 181:2	3	716-6900 2:15
wrong 16:15	194:9	2:57 195:10,12	3 4:12 167:4,5,6	783-6400 2:9
169:24	10:24 43:21,23	2:58 195:12,14	168:8,9,10	787-9700 2:24
wrote 50:8 80:25	10:46 43:23,25	20001-3743 2:4	3:15 212:6,8	7th 192:24
	10.40 45.25,25 1000 3:17	20036-5403 2:19	3:18 212:8,10,16	194:25
X	1050 2:19	2009 71:13	212:18	
X 4:1,7 130:4,4	11 71:13 139:24	2011 78:4 79:6	300 3:4	8
130:4	11-hour 8:18	79:13 80:5	300,000 72:6	8 4:20 184:6,8
	11-year-old	2013 78:5 81:4	301 1:18 2:8	184:14,18,21
Y	140:8	2014 41:23	30th 21:19 169:6	188:14,15
yeah 10:13	11:39 86:17,19	171:16,20	169:7 207:14	856-9494 3:5
16:25 17:2,4	11:59 86:19,21	172:23,24	207:17 208:15	861-1500 2:20
17:11 19:15	11.00 2:18,23	173:7		8th 187:25 188:3
34:5 49:24	114 2:14	2017 79:7,14	4	
61:17 66:21	11th 52:10,11	80:5 204:16	4 4:13 15:25	9
67:5 75:9 86:3	73:23	205:2,8	45:16,21 46:21	9 4:9,22 77:7
110:16 119:17	12:47 123:9,11	2018 20:6,21	76:12 167:5,5	188:8,9,13
126:15 135:6	12.4 7 123.5,11 128 3:4	21:4,19 22:6	167:8 168:8,20	189:12,17,21
144:2,4 166:9	13th 10:6 14:6	22:10 52:7	181:14	9:38 1:15 5:3
166:14 167:16	165:1,6	58:7,9 73:23	4208 2:23 3:17	900 3:8
174:17 175:9	163.1,6 14 4:11	107:25 108:1	44 4:3	919 2:9,15,24
180:2 187:24	15 78:12	120:25 124:23	45 140:22	3:5,9,18
188:6 189:15		165:6 169:7		942-5000 2:5
200:8,9 202:20	15th 168:12	171:16,20	5	95 196:2
207:21 209:2	167 4:12,13	172:24 173:7	5 4:14 174:18	
210:8,11,15	16th 172:24	176:8 177:7	175:1,6,11,18	
211:11	173:7	181:15 185:5	175:23 176:6	
year 9:5 20:4	17 1:16 214:5	188:5 194:24	176:17 177:6	
41:22 208:23	174 4:14,16	207:14,17	178:4	
211:10,11	17th 5:3	208:15	50 17:16	
years 30:12	18 1:2 5:12	2019 1:16 5:4	52 159:10	
41:19,20 61:13	16:24,25 24:7	10:6 39:2	5th 181:15	
80:23 159:10	180 4:19	165:1,6 168:12		
Yep 184:22	184 4:20	190:5 191:3	6	
York 169:10	188 4:22	190.3 191.3	6 4:2,16 172:23	
171:8 173:11	18th 74:10	194:25 213:11	174:23 175:1,6	
young 139:19	1900 1:18 2:8	213:20 214:5	175:7,11 177:9	
	192 4:24	215:20 214.3	178:25 187:22	
Z	1954:4	202 2:5,20	190:5 191:3	
	19981350007	202 2.3,20	194:15	
	l	1	1	243

EXHIBIT B



Phillip J. Strach 919-789-3179 phillip.strach@ogletree.com

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

Attorneys at Law 4208 Six Forks Road, Suite 1100 Raleigh, NC 27609

Telephone: 919-787-9700 Facsimile: 919-783-9412 www.ogletree.com

May 31, 2019

Mr. Stanton Jones Via Email (<u>Stanton.jones@arnoldporter.com</u>) Arnold & Porter 601 Massachusetts Ave., NW Washington, DC 20001

RE: Common Cause, et al. v. David R. Lewis, et al.

Wake County Superior Court Case No.: 18-cvs-014001

Dear Stanton:

We write today on behalf of legislative defendants in the following cases arising out of North Carolina: *Dickson v. Rucho*, 11-cvs-16896 (N.C. Sup. Ct.) *NC NAACP v. McCrory*, (1:13-cv-00658 (M.D.N.C.) *Currie v. North Carolina*, 13-cvs-1419 (N.C. Sup. Ct.) *Harris v. Cooper*, 1:13-cv-00949 (M.D.N.C.) *Covington v. North Carolina*, 1:15-cv-00399 (M.D.N.C.) *Common Cause v. Rucho*, 1:16-cv-01026 (M.D.N.C.) the matter referenced above, and any cases consolidated or combined with the foregoing matters.

Our clients are extremely concerned and disturbed about recent revelations regarding the materials produced by Stephanie Hofeller in response to the document subpoena issued to her by Plaintiffs on February 13, 2019. These materials were not made available to us until the evening of Friday, May 3rd, after the Court in the referenced matter ordered Plaintiffs to produce the entirety of the materials to all parties as clearly required by the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure.

Now that we have been able to process our own complete index of the data taken by Ms. Hofeller, we make several observations. First, it is apparent that the index of the files you deem "sensitive personal information" is woefully incomplete. For instance, even simple searches of our complete index reveal that files containing confidential financial information were left out of the 1,001 files Plaintiffs designated "Highly Confidential/Outside Attorney's Eyes Only." As a result, and for the additional reasons discussed below, Legislative Defendants hereby designate the entirety of the materials produced by Ms. Hofeller as "Highly Confidential/Outside Attorney's Eyes Only" pursuant to the Consent Protective Order in force in the referenced action.

Next, our clients are deeply concerned that Plaintiffs and/or their counsel have been reviewing files in the Hofeller materials without first providing Legislative Defendants or the rightful owner of the materials an opportunity to conduct a privilege review. That there are many files in these materials that are protected by the attorney-client or work product privilege, or protected expert witness

materials, is beyond dispute. As just an example, the following filepath names from our index contain protected North Carolina case-related materials:

NC\ES0001A\C\NC 2015 Backup\NC Jan 2015 Expert Report\2014 GENERAL ELECTION SUMMARY.pdf

NC\ES0001A\C\NC 2015 Backup\NC Jan 2015 Expert Report\CVAP ACS 2009-2013.xlsx

NC\ES0001A\C\NC 2015 Backup\NC Jan 2015 Expert Report\North Carolina Declaration January 8 2014 1430.doc

NC\ES0001A\C\NC 2015 Backup\NC Jan 2015 Expert Report\North Carolina Declaration January 17 2014 2300.doc

 $NC\ES0018A\C\\sim SCovington - Named\ Plaintiffs - For\ Mapping.xlsx$

The file names alone clearly reveal that these are expert witness materials created by Dr. Hofeller in connection with North Carolina legal matters. These materials may not be accessed or viewed by Plaintiffs or their counsel unless and until our clients or the rightful owner of the materials have had an opportunity to determine whether these and other North Carolina-related files are covered by any applicable privilege. While Dr. Hofeller was not an attorney, he often worked with North Carolina attorneys in developing expert reports and other materials for use in litigation. In this work Dr. Hofeller communicated with attorneys and developed drafts, most or all of which would be privileged and not discoverable, much less reviewable by opposing lawyers. Not only have you apparently been reviewing likely privileged materials, Plaintiffs actually filed some of them in their April 26, 2019 filing in this case.

Moreover, the manner in which Plaintiffs came into possession of these files raise grave questions for our clients. At her deposition in the referenced case on May 17, 2019, Ms. Hofeller testified that she took the storage devices that she ultimately turned over pursuant to the subpoena while visiting her mother on October 11, 2018 at her mother's apartment at Springmoor Retirement Community (52:6-15). Ms. Hofeller asked her mother if she could take the drives because she was looking for pictures and other documents of hers that she thought might be on the drives. (26:5-10; 50:2-19). Notably, however, Ms. Hofeller testified that she was aware that a guardian had been appointed over both her mother and her mother's estate shortly after this encounter with her mother. (194:23-195:2). This casts serious doubt on her mother's ability to consent to Ms. Hofeller's taking of the devices and Ms. Hofeller's providing of those devices to counsel for Plaintiffs after her mother was placed under guardianship.

Worse still, is that Ms. Hofeller testified she assumed that there would be work files on the devices, and wasn't surprised when she found such work materials on the drive as Dr. Hofeller "always had information related to his work on the personal hard drive." (55:3-18). Yet, Ms. Hofeller had no discussions with her mother regarding if there was any business information contained on the drives as she "didn't wish to assert [herself] into the business intentionally" (51:6-18). Moreover, upon plugging the drives into her own laptop, Ms. Hofeller found information pertinent to Dr. Hofeller's work. (29:12-30:23) She testified that despite not discussing the business materials with her mother

that she "was more like, Common Cause may have an interest in these work files." (56:12-13). Further, Ms. Hofeller reviewed all of the drives prior to sending them to counsel for Plaintiffs. (46:19-24). There is no doubt, then, that Ms. Hofeller was aware that she was delivering Dr. Hofeller's confidential work files to counsel for Plaintiffs in this case.

Indeed, when Ms. Hofeller first reached out to Bob Phillips at Common Cause, it was for a referral to find an attorney for her mother during the incompetency proceedings. (31:15-32:6). She stated that she contacted Common Cause because she wanted "independent" counsel for her mother, and was concerned about potential political allegiances of lawyers she did not know in Raleigh. (37:14-38:9). She originally spoke with Bob Phillips in early November, 2018 by phone. (89:8-23). However, she also indicated that at the time she reached out, she knew that Common Cause was "representing the interest of voters that felt that this redistricting represented a violation of their constitutional rights" including maps that were drawn by Dr. Hofeller. (89:24-90:9). She also understood that she knew that Common Cause had historically been antagonistic to Dr. Hofeller's work. (91:3-7).

Ms. Hofeller was referred by Mr. Phillips to Jane Pinsky, another employee of Common Cause. Ms. Hofeller first brought up the drives in an "anecdotal" way in December, 2018 to Pinsky, indicating she had some hard drives of Dr. Hofeller's. (32:14-35:24; 100:22-101:1). Pinksy then explained to Ms. Hofeller that a current case was on appeal, but that in a new case about state legislative districts they would be "accepting new evidence." (33:20-35:15). Ms. Hofeller praised Common Cause for their "progress" in that this was "the furthest [she had] ever seen a plaintiff get with anything [her] father drew." (36:12-20). Ms. Pinksy then put Ms. Hofeller in touch with Eddie Speas and Caroline Mackie. (38:10-17).

Mr. Speas texted Ms. Hofeller shortly after her conversation with Ms. Pinksy in December 2018 and Ms. Hofeller then spoke with Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie around the holidays. (38:10-17; 108-110). At the time of these conversations, Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie were aware that there were issues regarding Mrs. Hofeller's competency. (118:19-119:3).

In those calls, Ms. Hofeller indicated that she had material that might be relevant to the case, specifically external storage devices, she wanted to provide to them. (111:3-16; 38:21-39:1). She also disclosed that these drives contained information regarding personal data for herself and her parents in addition to the work data (127: 15-128: 20). Some of this personal data included personal health information about both Tom and Kathy Hofeller as well as Stephanie Hofeller's children. (149:14-150:7).

Rather than advise Ms. Hofeller to seek the advice of an attorney for herself or her mother, Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie told her that it would be best to turn over the data in its entirety rather than piece meal. (115:8-20). Ms. Mackie and Mr. Speas also told her that "anyone" including plaintiffs or legislative defendants, could only look at the content of items that were explicitly and obviously related to this case, and as a result, she should not be concerned about a privacy issue with her or her mother. (115:24-117:8).

When asked whether Ms. Hofeller engaged in any sort of review to determine whether the files on the drives contained privileged information, she testified that she had been told that the best way to "preserve the integrity" of the data was not to pick and choose and to leave everything as it was (64:9-65:5). Specifically, "in the discussion that I had with the attorneys Caroline Mackie and Eddie Speas, there was a discussion on how it would be best recognized in court as...a good chain of custody, transparency. There would be no accusation of picking and choosing, of keeping some things secret and some things not if the media were turned over to a third party in its exact state." (67:7-18; 79:19-25).

These are just the facts our clients know from Ms. Hofeller's deposition and other evidence so far. It appears that serious questions exist as to whether Kathy Hofeller was competent to give any alleged consent to Stephanie Hofeller to take Kathy Hofeller's property, or the property of any other individuals or entities, or whether Kathy Hofeller was taken advantage of by her estranged daughter. Even if Kathy Hofeller was competent to give Stephanie Hofeller permission to take these materials, which we doubt, grave questions exist as to whether Kathy Hofeller could even give permission to her daughter to take drives containing information belonging to Dr. Hofeller's business. Serious questions also exist as to whether Plaintiffs' counsel should have advised Ms. Hofeller to seek counsel in transferring this property and whether Ms. Hofeller was misled as to what aspects of that property, if even properly in her possession, should be turned over to the Plaintiffs. Serious questions also exist as to whether Plaintiffs' counsel encouraged Ms. Hofeller to transfer this property despite knowing that it contained or likely contained privileged information. At a minimum, North Carolina counsel would be familiar with N.C. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4)(d)-(e), which protects draft reports and expert communications with counsel from discovery. Ms. Hofeller herself appears to have understood that such materials and communications existed on these drives yet counsel for Plaintiffs took no steps to ensure they did not come into possession of, much less review, such privileged materials and communications.

As our forensic vendor is continuing the process of processing the vast amount of data Ms. Hofeller took, we have not yet had an opportunity to examine all of the North Carolina-related files that may exist. Therefore, we reserve the right to identify and communicate any additional improper conduct that we may discover as we review the files. In the meantime, based on the undisputed facts known to the parties thus far, we demand that Plaintiffs and their counsel do the following immediately:

- (1) immediately cease and desist reviewing all materials produced by Ms. Hofeller, and particularly all files unrelated to North Carolina. Plaintiffs' counsel Speas and Mackie assured Ms. Hofeller that only files related to this case could be reviewed, but it is clear based on recent events that Plaintiffs have not kept their word with Ms. Hofeller;
- (2) immediately cease and desist providing any or all of these materials to third parties unrelated to this case, as you have apparently recently done in a matter pending in New York;
- (3) return all of the produced materials to the Trustee for the Kathleen H. Hofeller Irrevocable Trust to allow for a privilege review of Dr. Hofeller's documents;

- (4) identify by name all individuals you employ who have reviewed the produced materials, the date(s) on which they reviewed those materials, and which materials they reviewed with sufficient specificity that we can determine which materials are at issue;
- (5) inform us which of these wrongfully produced materials have been shared outside your firms, including but not limited to any expert witnesses in this case, and, if so, with whom and which materials with sufficient specificity to allow us to assess the scope of the intrusion into protected materials; and,
- (6) attest that all copies of the materials wrongfully produced by Ms. Hofeller are no longer in your possession and have been destroyed.

We remain willing to meet and confer on these issues, but must insist on your compliance with the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure and Rules of Professional Responsibility. Should you persist in neglecting your professional responsibilities, our clients are considering all options available to them to enforce their rights. We appreciate your attention and compliance with the steps outlined above by June 5, 2019.

Sincerely,

Phillip J. Strach

CC: All Counsel of Record

PJS:amr

38739743.1

EXHIBIT C

Arnold&Porter

R. Stanton Jones +1 202.942.5563 Direct Stanton.Jones@arnoldporter.com

June 5, 2019

VIA E-MAIL

Phillip J. Strach Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C. 4208 Six Forks Road, Suite 110 Raleigh, NC 27609 phillip.strach@ogletree.com

Re: Common Cause v. Lewis, 18 CVS 0140001 (Wake County Sup. Ct., N.C.)

Dear Mr. Strach:

On behalf of Plaintiffs in the above-captioned lawsuit, I write in response to your May 31, 2019 letter on behalf of Legislative Defendants in both this case and several other cases concerning certain electronic storage devices produced by Stephanie Hofeller to Plaintiffs in response to their February 13, 2019 subpoena to Ms. Hofeller (the "Hofeller files"). Your letter (1) purports to designate the entirety of the Hofeller files as "Highly Confidential/Outside Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to the Consent Protective Order in this case, (2) asserts that Plaintiffs' counsel have "likely" reviewed "privileged materials" of Legislative Defendants contained on the devices at issue, (3) expresses concern about the manner in which Plaintiffs received the devices from Ms. Hofeller in response to their subpoena, (4) makes several specific demands, and (5) suggests, without specificity or elaboration, that Plaintiffs' counsel have been "neglecting [their] professional responsibilities."

Your letter is not only baseless in every respect, but also troubling in its own right. We are concerned that Legislative Defendants are attempting—unilaterally and without authorization—to designate evidence produced by a *third party* in discovery pursuant to a *lawful subpoena* as Highly Confidential under the Court's Consent Protective Order, apparently in an effort to conceal their own wrongdoing. Such wrongdoing appears to include false statements made by Legislative Defendants to federal courts, the Superior Court in this case, and the people of North Carolina.

Arnold&Porter

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 2

I. Legislative Defendants Have No Authority to Unilaterally Designate the Hofeller Files as Highly Confidential Under the Consent Protective Order

Your letter purports to "designate the entirety of the materials produced by Ms. Hofeller as 'Highly Confidential/Outside Attorneys' Eyes Only' pursuant to the Consent Protective Order in" this case. But the Consent Protective Order does not authorize Legislative Defendants to designate any of the Hofeller files as Highly Confidential, let alone all of them. Paragraph 1 of the Order states: "To fall within the scope of this Agreement, any such Confidential material shall be designated as 'CONFIDENTIAL' or 'HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL/OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY,' by the Party *producing the material.*" 4/5/19 Consent Protective Order ¶ 1 (emphasis added). Paragraphs 2 and 3 confirm that only "[t]he producing Party may designate" materials as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL." *Id.* ¶¶ 2, 3 (emphasis added). Specifically, "[t]he producing Party may designate as 'CONFIDENTIAL' any materials that it produces in the litigation" subject to meeting certain confidentiality criteria, id. $\P 2$, and "[t]he producing Party may designate as 'HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL/OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY' (a) any non-public personal information, or (b) any CONFIDENTIAL material that the producing party reasonably and in good faith believes" meets certain additional criteria. *Id.* ¶ 3; see also id. ¶ 13 (stating that the Order applies equally to "information produced by a non-Party").

Thus, the Consent Protective Order does not authorize anyone other than the party or non-party "producing the material" to designate such material as either Confidential or Highly Confidential. Legislative Defendants are not "the producing Party" of the Hofeller files, but instead are a "receiving party" of those files. Ms. Hofeller produced the Hofeller files, and she did not designate any of them as Confidential or Highly Confidential. To the contrary, Ms. Hofeller has testified to her desire that her father's political and redistricting work be made available to serve as "a snapshot in time" and a "repository for . . . historical value" to provide "insight into the process -- the literal process." S. Hofeller Dep. at 42:10-43:16; 104:12-105:16.

Furthermore, Legislative Defendants' stated justification for attempting to designate the Hofeller files as Highly Confidential is pretextual. Your letter asserts that, in addition to the 1,001 files designated Highly Confidential pursuant to the Court's May 1, 2019 Order, the devices include additional files containing "confidential financial information." But your letter does not identify any such files, nor have you even attempted to establish that the number of such files is more than a small fraction of the total Hofeller files. If you are genuinely concerned about the privacy of files containing "confidential financial information," you should identify each such file, and Plaintiffs will consider joining in a motion asking the Court to designate such files as Confidential

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 3

or Highly Confidential, as appropriate. But your invocation of some small, unidentified number of files containing unspecified "confidential financial information" as a basis to designate hundreds of thousands of other files as Highly Confidential is unreasonable. The pretextual nature of your purported concern for the Hofeller family's privacy is further laid bare by the fact that you attempted to designate "the entirety" of the files as Highly Confidential just one day after several of the Hofeller files—which exposed misconduct by federal government officials—were submitted to a federal district court and the United States Supreme Court in a case of national public importance.

While Plaintiffs would consider, as stated, jointly moving the Court to designate as Confidential or Highly Confidential any specific additional files containing "confidential financial information" for which a confidentiality designation would be appropriate, Legislative Defendants' attempt to unilaterally designate "the entirety" of the Hofeller files as Highly Confidential is not authorized under the Consent Protective Order and is therefore without legal effect.

II. Legislative Defendants' Privilege Claims Are Meritless

A. Plaintiffs' Counsel Have Acted Properly and Responsibly At All Times and Have Not Reviewed Any Conceivably Privileged Materials

Your letter asserts that Plaintiffs' counsel have "apparently been reviewing likely privileged materials" of Legislative Defendants. That assertion in wrong on every level.

First, while your letter asserts that there are "many" privileged materials among the Hofeller files, your letter identifies only *five* specific documents that you say are "expert witness materials created by Dr. Hofeller in connection with North Carolina legal matters." Plaintiffs' counsel have no intention of reviewing any of those five documents. Nor have Plaintiffs' counsel reviewed—or have any intention of reviewing—any other draft expert report or draft declaration prepared in connection with litigation.

Second, your letter asserts that Plaintiffs "actually filed some" "likely privileged" materials in their April 26, 2019 Supplemental Reply Brief. You do not identify which of the files included in Plaintiffs' April 26 reply brief are supposedly "likely privileged," and for good reason. Legislative Defendants' own April 29, 2019 response to Plaintiffs' reply brief precludes Legislative Defendants from claiming privilege over the files included in the reply—or, indeed, over any draft maps or analyses of draft maps in the Hofeller files that existed before July 1, 2017. In their April 29 response, Legislative Defendants asserted that they had no "knowledge" of Dr. Hofeller's work creating draft maps and analyses of draft maps before July 1, 2017, and Legislative Defendants

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 4

specifically denied that they "authorized or were aware of any of the maps or charts Plaintiffs highlighted." Having taken these positions that they had no knowledge of and did not authorize the creation of the material by Dr. Hofeller, Legislative Defendants cannot now contend that the materials are privileged as to them. Moreover, if Legislative Defendants had authorized Dr. Hofeller to draft these maps, they should be public records under state law and responsive to Plaintiffs' discovery requests in this case.

Additionally, in the more than one month since Plaintiffs' April 26 reply, Legislative Defendants never sought a protective order as to any materials included in the reply or asked that the reply be placed under seal.

B. Legislative Defendants Have Waived Any Privilege Claim

In any event, Legislative Defendants have waived any privilege they may have held over *any* information on the Hofeller files, several times over.

1. Legislative Defendants' Failure to Object to Plaintiffs' Subpoena or Move to Quash Waived Any Privilege Claim

As you know, we sent Legislative Defendants' counsel written notice of Plaintiffs' subpoena to Ms. Hofeller on February 13, 2019, the same day we served the subpoena. The subpoena sought "[a]ny storage device in [Ms. Hofeller's] possession, custody, or control that contains" either any documents relating to Dr. Hofeller's work on the challenged state House and state Senate Plans or any information "related to" any such documents. Legislative Defendants could have filed protective objections or a motion to quash, but they did not do so. As the Court has acknowledged: "No objection to or motion to quash the subpoena was filed by any party to this action or Ms. Hofeller." 5/1/19 Order at 1; see also S. Hofeller Dep. at 39:2-20.

Legislative Defendants' failure to object to the subpoena or move to quash—even though the subpoena on its face sought materials related to Dr. Hofeller's work for Legislative Defendants—constitutes a clear waiver of any privilege. A party "waive[s] its privilege by its own inaction" when it "fail[s] to act to protect any privilege when served with copies of [a third-party] subpoena." *Am. Home Assur. Co. v. Fremont Indem. Co.*, 1993 WL 426984, at *3-4 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 18, 1993). "Where a party is aware" that a subpoenaed third party may possess the party's privileged information, "the burden falls on that party to take affirmative steps to prevent the disclosure in order [to] preserve the privilege as to itself." *Id.* at *4. "The failure to act to prevent or object to the disclosure of confidential communications when a party knows or should know that privileged documents may be disclosed by another party waives the privilege with respect to the

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 5

party failing to act." *Id.*; *see also Ravenswood Inv. Co., L.P. v. Avalon Corr. Servs., Inc.*, 2010 WL 11443364, at *2 (W.D. Okla. May 18, 2010) ("Because Defendant did not state its claim of privilege within fourteen days of service of the subpoena on [a third party], the Court concludes Defendant has waived any such claim."); *Patterson v. Chicago Ass'n for Retarded Children*, 1997 WL 323575, at *3 (N.D. Ill. June 6, 1997) ("By failing to object" to third-party subpoena, party "essentially waived her claim to privilege, and the information gleaned via the subpoena may be used."); *Scott v. Kiker*, 59 N.C. App. 458, 461, 297 S.E.2d 142, 145 (1982) ("Defendant . . . waived his privilege because he failed to object to the testimony.").

Here, "[t]he broad scope of that subpoena" to Ms. Hofeller "should reasonably have alerted" Legislative Defendants "to the possibility that [Ms. Hofeller] might produce the [allegedly] privileged documents." *Am. Home Assur.*, 1993 WL 426984, at *4. Legislative Defendants' "failure to take any steps to prevent the disclosure of [allegedly] privileged documents waived the privilege they seek to assert." *Id.*

2. Legislative Defendants' Successful Demand That Plaintiffs Transmit Complete Copies of All of the Hofeller Files to the Other Defendants Waived Any Privilege Claim

Legislative Defendants independently waived any privilege by demanding that Plaintiffs transmit complete copies of all of the Hofeller files to State Defendants and Intervenor Defendants. Following the Court's April 30 hearing, Plaintiffs transmitted complete copies of the full contents of the storage devices—without filtering out *any* of the files—to Intervenor Defendants and State Defendants, neither of which holds any privileged relationship with Legislative Defendants. Legislative Defendants successfully requested that the Court order Plaintiffs to transmit complete copies of the devices to all Defendants even though weeks earlier, on April 9, 2019, Plaintiffs sent you a searchable index of file names and file paths that made apparent the devices contain files involving Dr. Hofeller's work for Legislative Defendants in litigation and other contexts. Legislative Defendants could have requested protective measures before these files were provided to the State Defendants and Intervenor Defendants, but they did not.

Given that "the documents were revealed to third parties without objection"—at Legislative Defendants' request, no less—Legislative Defendants have waived any claim of privilege over them. *Durham Indus. Inc. v. N. River Ins. Co.*, 1980 WL 112700, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. May 8, 1980): *see also Scott v. Glickman*, 199 F.R.D. 174, 179 (E.D.N.C. 2001) (finding waiver where no "reasonable protective measures were employed in order to safeguard claims of privilege" or "to ensure confidentiality" before documents were produced); *Parkway Gallery Furniture, Inc. v. Kittinger/Penn. House Grp., Inc.*, 116

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 6

F.R.D. 46, 50 (M.D.N.C. 1987) ("the privilege may be lost even by inadvertent disclosure when a person fails to take affirmative action and institute reasonable precautions to ensure that confidentiality will be maintained").

Not only did Legislative Defendants demand that Plaintiffs disseminate the Hofeller files to the other Defendants, Legislative Defendants did so knowing that State Defendants have not been aligned with them in this litigation. *In re Martin Marietta Corp.*, 856 F.2d 619, 625 (4th Cir. 1988) (finding waiver where party disclosed documents to government actors who were "adverse during the proceedings at issue"); *Navajo Nation v. Peabody Holding Co.*, 255 F.R.D. 37, 48 (D.D.C. 2009) (finding waiver where a party placed allegedly privileged materials "in the hands of" a potentially adverse party).

3. Any Work-Product Protection Is Defeated by Plaintiffs' Substantial Need for Information and Inability to Obtain It Elsewhere

Any possible claim of work-product privilege over materials related to Dr. Hofeller's work during the *Covington* remedial phase and/or in drawing the 2017 Plans is also defeated by Plaintiffs' substantial need for the materials and the prejudice to Plaintiffs and the public interest that would ensue were they concealed.

"The work product doctrine" is "a qualified privilege for certain materials prepared by an attorney acting on behalf of his client in anticipation of litigation." *State v. Hardy*, 293 N.C. 105, 126, 235 S.E.2d 828, 841-42 (1977). It does not protect materials if a party shows "a 'substantial need' for the document and 'undue hardship' in obtaining its substantial equivalent by other means." *Evans v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 142 N.C. App. 18, 28, 541 S.E.2d 782, 789 (N.C. Ct. App. 2001) (quoting N.C. Gen. Stat. § 1A-1, Rule 26(b)(3)).

Even based on a limited review of non-privileged materials, it is clear that Plaintiffs have a substantial need for the Hofeller files related to Dr. Hofeller's work during the *Covington* remedial phase and/or in drawing the 2017 Plans, and that Plaintiffs—and the public—would suffer an extreme hardship if they were concealed. The files reveal evidence of false statements and material omissions to the federal district court in *Covington*, which will be highly relevant to the merits of Plaintiffs' claims as well as any remedial process.

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 7

a. Legislative Defendants Made False Statements to the Covington Court to Avoid Special Elections in 2017

The Hofeller files reveal that Legislative Defendants made false statements to the *Covington* district court about when the 2017 Plans were created. As a result of those false statements, the court did not order special elections in 2017 that would have jeopardized Republican super-majority control of the state House and state Senate.¹

As you know, following the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *Covington* on June 5, 2017, the *Covington* district court ordered briefing on whether to conduct special elections under remedial state House and state Senate plans in 2017 or instead wait until the 2018 elections to implement remedial plans. In a brief submitted to the *Covington* court on July 6, 2017, Legislative Defendants repeatedly stated that no work on remedial plans had yet begun, and that Legislative Defendants therefore needed a long period of time to draft new plans. For instance, Legislative Defendants told the court:

- The General Assembly had not "start[ed] the laborious process of redistricting earlier" than July 2017. *Covington*, ECF No. 161 at 28.
- It had not been "necessary to begin the process" of drawing new districts "until at, the earliest, the end of the current Supreme Court term" on June 30, 2017. *Id.* at 29.
- "The General Assembly could begin the process of compiling a record in July 2017 with a goal of enacting new plans by the end of the year." *Id.* at 28-29.
- In the "interim" between the Supreme Court's stay of the district court's judgment on January 10, 2017 and the end of the Supreme Court term on June 30, 2017, rather than engage in "drawing remedial legislative districts," "the North Carolina General Assembly did just what the Supreme Court allowed it to do enact policies and legislation that benefit the State as a whole." *Id.* at 28.

_

¹ In their April 29, 2019 filing in the instant case, Legislative Defendants asserted that certain of the Hofeller files from before October 31, 2016 may be privileged because they may have been prepared in connection with a declaration that Dr. Hofeller submitted in *Covington* on October 31, 2016. Legislative Defendants provided no support for this claim of possible privilege, but in any event, all of the Hofeller files underlying the discussion in this section post-date October 31, 2016.

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 8

- "This Court should not short-circuit that process [of redistricting] by forcing the General Assembly to draw new maps without first engaging in the legislative and public consultation that this inherently policy-driven task necessitates." *Id.* at 4.
- "Proceeding on [its proposed] timeline will allow the General Assembly to receive public input, engage in internal discussions about the design of remedial districts, prepare draft remedial plans, receive public responses to those draft remedial plans, and incorporate public feedback into the final plans." *Id.* at 2.
- "Investigating, drawing, debating, and legislatively enacting satisfactory redistricting plans in time to hold elections in November 2017 or January 2018 would not even begin to allow [for sufficient] input by the public and other members of the General Assembly. And if the process and evidence relied upon by the General Assembly in 2011, developed over five months, was insufficient, it would be impossible for the General Assembly to establish a proper record in just a few days or weeks." *Id.* at 13.

Similarly, at a July 27, 2017 hearing, Legislative Defendants' counsel stated: "[R]edistricting is a very arduous, difficult task. It takes a lot of time and attention." ECF No. 181 at 87:18-19.

Based on these statements by Legislative Defendants, the *Covington* court denied the plaintiffs' request to order special elections in 2017. The court credited Legislative Defendants' assertion that "Plaintiffs' proposed August 11, 2017, deadline will provide them with insufficient time to conduct public hearings and engage in the robust deliberations necessary to develop districting plans." *Covington v. North Carolina*, 267 F. Supp. 3d 664, 666 (M.D.N.C. 2017). While the court admonished Legislative Defendants for not having started the process sooner, the court agreed with Legislative Defendants that "there are many benefits to a time line that allows for the General Assembly (1) to receive public feedback on the criteria to be used in drawing the remedial districts and proposed remedial districting plans applying those criteria; (2) to revise the proposed plans based on that feedback; and (3) to engage in robust deliberation." *Id.* at 667. Thus, the court concluded, an expedited schedule for adopting remedial plans, as needed to hold special elections in 2017, "[did] not provide the General Assembly with adequate time to meet their commendable goal of obtaining and considering public input and engaging in robust debate and discussion." *Id.*

During the remedial phase through the fall of 2017, Legislative Defendants continued stating that no work had been done—including by Dr. Hofeller—to create new districts before July 2017:

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 9

• Representative Lewis made the following statement at a July 26, 2017 hearing of the Joint Redistricting Committees (ECF 184-7 at 11-12):

REP MICHAUX: Are there any other maps that have not yet been released? For instance, anything that has been drawn by Dr. Hofeller or anybody else that you know of that have not yet been released?

REP. LEWIS: Not that I know of, sir.

• Representative Lewis made the following statements at an August 4, 2016 hearing of the Joint Redistricting Committees (ECF 184-8 at 72-73):

REP. MICHAUX: Can you assure this body right now that no redistricting maps have yet been drawn?

REP. LEWIS: I can assure this body that none has been drawn at my direction and that I have direct knowledge of. The only map I'm aware of was submitted by an independent group and presented to this committee last week.

. . .

REP. MICHAUX: Just to be clear, I'm talking about anything that any chairman or members of the Republican Party or anybody. No map has yet been drawn that should be handed out here? I'm -- people are concerned about the fact -- they think you've already drawn the maps. I want to make sure, coming from you, that you have not yet drawn maps.

REP. LEWIS: Thank you for the question. I have not yet drawn maps nor have I directed that maps be drawn, nor am I aware of any other entity operating in conjunction with the leadership that has drawn maps.

On September 7, 2017, Legislative Defendants submitted the hearing transcripts containing these statements to the district court in connection with securing the court's approval of the 2017 Plans.

In a September 22, 2017 submission to the *Covington* court seeking approval of the 2017 Plans, Legislative Defendants further stated: "Shortly following this Court's order of July 31, 2017, the legislative leaders, Senator Ralph Hise and Representative David Lewis, met with the map drawing consultant, Dr. Hofeller. Redistricting concepts

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 10

were discussed with Dr. Hofeller as leaders made plans to comply with the Court's Order." ECF No. 192 at 6.

Likewise, in this case, Legislative Defendants have stated to the Superior Court that no draft maps existed prior to July or August 2017. For instance:

- In response to one of Plaintiffs' interrogatories asking about any "draft or copy" of "all or parts of the 2017 Plans before August 10, 2017," Legislative Defendants responded: "To the best recollection of [Legislative] Defendants, no drafts of the 2017 Plans existed prior to August 10, 2017."
- On April 26, 2019, Legislative Defendants stated in a Superior Court filing that "no legislative redistricting was occurring prior to July 2017," and that "July 1, 2017 to August 31, 2017 represented the period of time that the legislature was actually engaged in and preparing for legislative redistricting."
- At an April 30, 2019 hearing, Plaintiffs' counsel stated that July and August 2017 were the "timeframes when the redistricting actually occurred."

The Hofeller files reveal, however, that Dr. Hofeller had not only created numerous iterations of draft maps before July 2017, but that he had substantially *completed* the 2017 Plans by the end of June 2017. Specifically, the files show that Dr. Hofeller had already completed *over 97%* of the new Senate plan and *over 90%* of the new House plan by June 2017.

These facts are inconsistent with Legislative Defendants' prior statements to courts and the public that they had not "start[ed] the laborious process of redistricting" before July 2017, that "no legislative redistricting was occurring prior to July 2017," that "no drafts of the 2017 Plans existed prior to August 10, 2017," that they wanted to "first engag[e] in . . . legislative and public consultation" before "draw[ing] new maps," that they needed "[]sufficient time" in July and August 2017 "to conduct public hearings and engage in the robust deliberations necessary to develop districting plans," that they only began discussing "redistricting concepts" with Dr. Hofeller in August 2017, and so on.

The inaccuracy of the above statements, and the fact that the entire public redistricting process in the fall of 2017 appears to have been a charade, are obviously relevant to Plaintiffs' claims on the merits, as well as the procedures to be used in any remedial process should Plaintiffs prevail. Plaintiffs cannot obtain this evidence from any other source, and there would be substantial hardship to Plaintiffs and the public interest were the truth concealed.

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 11

> b. Legislative Defendants Made False Statements to the Covington Court About the 2017 Redistricting Process and the Criteria Used to Create the Remedial Plans

In its July 31, 2017 order declining to order special elections in 2017 and allowing more time for the creation and enactment of remedial plans, the *Covington* court ordered Legislative Defendants to file, within seven days of enacting new plans, the following:

- "a description of the process the Senate Redistricting Committee, House Redistricting Committee, and General Assembly followed in enacting the new plans, including the identity of all participants involved in the process";
- "any alternative district plans considered by the Senate Redistricting Committee, House Redistricting Committee, or the General Assembly"; and
- "the criteria the Senate Redistricting Committee, House Redistricting Committee, and General Assembly applied in drawing the districts in the new plans."

Covington, 267 F. Supp. 3d at 668.

The Hofeller files reveal that statements in Legislative Defendants' September 7, 2017 submission to the *Covington* court are false or misleading. In purporting to give a "Description of the 2017 Redistricting Process," Legislative Defendants suggested that the process began "[o]n June 27, 2017," when Senate President Pro Tempore Phil Berger and House Speaker Tim Moore approved a contract with Dr. Tom Hofeller as a mapdrawing consultant for Rep. David Lewis and Sen. Ralph Hise, the forthcoming chairs of the 2017 redistricting committees in the House and the Senate." ECF No. 184 at 4. In reality, Dr. Hofeller had been drawing draft remedial maps since at least *August 2016*, and the new maps were substantially complete by June 27, 2017. In describing "Alternative Districting Plans Considered," Legislative Defendants listed various alternative maps proposed by other members of the General Assembly, but did not list the numerous iterations of alternative draft maps that Dr. Hofeller had created. *Id.* at 9-10.

In the same submission, under the heading "Criteria Applied in Drawing the 2017 House and Senate Districts," Legislative Defendants stated that the criteria "used to draw new districts in the 2017 House and Senate Redistricting plans" were those adopted by the House and Senate Redistricting Committees "[o]n August 10, 2017." *Id.* at 6, 10. Of course, Dr. Hofeller had already completed drawing many of the districts by June 2017, over a month-and-a-half before August 10, 2017. Therefore, the criteria adopted by

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 12

House and Senate Redistricting Committees on August 10, 2017 definitively were not the actual criteria "used to draw" these districts.

Again, the fact that the "Adopted Criteria" of the General Assembly were not the real criteria used by Dr Hofeller to create the 2017 Plans is highly relevant to the merits of Plaintiffs' claims as well as any remedial process should Plaintiffs prevail, and there would be prejudice to Plaintiffs and the public interest if these facts were covered up.

c. Legislative Defendants Made False Statements About the Use of Racial Data in Creating the Remedial Plans

Legislative Defendants made additional false statements to the *Covington* court and the public concerning the use of racial data during the 2017 redistricting process. As you know, after the prior plans were invalidated as unconstitutional racial gerrymanders, *Covington v. North Carolina*, 316 F.R.D. 117 (M.D.N.C. 2016), Legislative Defendants adopted a formal criterion prohibiting use of racial data for the 2017 Plans: "Data identifying the race of individuals or voters *shall not be used* in the drawing of legislative districts in the 2017 House and Senate plans." ECF No. 184-37 at 2 (emphasis added).

Further, Legislative Defendants repeatedly stated to the court and the public that there was not any racial data in the map-drawing software or other databases, and that they and Dr. Hofeller accordingly did not know the racial composition of the new districts. As just a few examples, Legislative Defendants said the following:

- "[D]ata regarding the race of voters was not used in the drawing of the districts, and, in fact, was not even loaded into the computer used by the map drawer to construct the districts." ECF No. 192 at 28 (court filing) (emphasis added);
- "[W]e have not had and do not have racial data on any of these districts." ECF 184-17 (8/24/17 Senate Hr'g Tr. at 66 (statement of Sen. Hise)).
- "Race was not part of the database. It could not be calculated on the system[.]" *Id.* at 102 (statement of Sen. Hise).
- "There was no racial data reviewed in the preparation of this map." ECF 184-18 (8/25/17 Hr'g Tr. at 20 (statement of Rep. Lewis)).

The Hofeller files reveal that none of the above statements were true. Dr. Hofeller did have "data on the race of voters" "loaded into the computer" he used to "construct the districts." Dr. Hofeller's computer in fact appears to have had data

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 13

regarding the racial composition of the proposed districts for each and every iteration of his draft maps. Every Maptitude file with draft House or Senate districts from 2017—including draft maps from August 2017 *after* Legislative Defendants signed an engagement letter formally retaining Dr. Hofeller to create new maps—appears to have had racial data for the districts. Images from some of the Maptitude files even reveal that Dr. Hofeller apparently was displaying the black voting age population or "BVAP" of the new districts in some of the drafts. Dr. Hofeller also had racial data on the draft districts in Excel spreadsheets. Legislative Defendants' statements that racial data "was not even loaded into the computer used by the map drawer to construct the districts," that "[r]ace was not part of the database," and so on were not true.

The full details of all of the above false statements will be made clear at trial, but in light of their existence, any work-product protection that could conceivably apply to the files at issue is defeated by Plaintiffs' need for the materials and the inability to obtain substantially equivalent evidence elsewhere. *Hardy*, 235 S.E.2d at 841-42. Legislative Defendants' apparent attempt to cover up this evidence, including by ineffectually designating "the entirety" of the Hofeller files as Highly Confidential under the Consent Protective Order, is troubling.

Notwithstanding the above, if you believe that there are additional draft expert reports similar to the specific files identified in your letter, we are willing to meet and confer about such files. As mentioned, we have no intention of reviewing any such files and would be willing to consider an accommodation to address your concerns, notwithstanding your clear waiver of any privilege. To facilitate such a meet-and-confer process, you should identify each such file, specify the privilege that you believe applies, and provide appropriate legal and factual support for your contention that the file is privileged.

III. Plaintiffs Properly Received the Hofeller Files in Response to their Subpoena

Your letter expresses concerns about "the manner in which Plaintiffs came into possession of" the devices. But as you know, on February 13, 2019, Plaintiffs served a lawful subpoena to Ms. Hofeller, through her lawyer, seeking the entire storage devices, and Ms. Hofeller produced the devices to Plaintiffs in response to the subpoena. As you also know from Ms. Hofeller's deposition on May 17, 2019, when your co-counsel Ms. Scully questioned Ms. Hofeller about these issues for several hours, Ms. Hofeller testified that she properly obtained possession of the devices on October 11, 2018 from her parents' home in Raleigh, with her mother Kathleen Hofeller's knowledge and approval.

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 14

S. Hofeller Dep. at 20:3-26:10; 52:6-10; 81:8-82:2; 110:17-11:24. Ms. Hofeller testified that her mother did "not object to [her] taking the devices," and when asked whether her mother said "it was okay to take the devices," Ms. Hofeller testified, "Yes. She encouraged me too." *Id.* at 21:6-11; *see id.* at 26:3-10 (when Ms. Hofeller asked "Can I take these?" her mother "said absolutely"). Ms. Hofeller testified that "[her] mother gave to [her] unconditionally" "everything on those hard drives that [her] father had left in his room"—the devices were "given to [her] by [her] deceased father's wife." *Id.* at 81:8-82:2.

Ms. Hofeller further testified that she properly produced the devices to Plaintiffs in March 2019 in response to Plaintiffs' February 13, 2019 subpoena, again with her mother's knowledge and approval. *Id.* at 39:21-41:8. When asked whether her mother had given "her permission or her okay [for Ms. Hofeller] to provide the storage devices . . . to the plaintiffs' lawyers in response to the subpoena," Ms. Hofeller testified, "Yes." *Id.* at 41:2-8.

The following responds to the specific "concerns" raised in your letter:

First, your letter asserts that there is "serious doubt on [Ms. Hofeller's] mother's ability to consent to Ms. Hofeller taking of the devices and Ms. Hofeller's providing of those devices to counsel," because a temporary guardian was appointed for Kathleen Hofeller "after" she gave the devices to her daughter. That is wrong. As described, Ms. Hofeller testified that her mother gave her the devices containing the Hofeller files on October 11, 2018. S. Hofeller Dep. at 52:6-10. It was only weeks *later*, on November 6, 2018, that an interim guardian ad litem was appointed for Kathleen Hofeller in a then-ex parte proceeding, in response to a Petition for Adjudication of Incompetence that had been filed one week earlier. On February 7, 2019, the incompetency petition with respect to Kathleen Hofeller was dismissed for failure to prosecute—without any finding of incompetency—after the parties reached a settlement. See In re The Matter of Kathleen H. Hofeller, 18 SP 2634 (N.C. Super. Feb. 7, 2019). That settlement, among other things, precludes the parties from bringing future incompetency proceedings against Kathleen Hofeller. Plaintiffs issued their subpoena to Stephanie Hofeller on February 13, 2019 after the incompetency proceeding was dismissed. The incompetency proceeding thus did not begin until after the date when Ms. Hofeller obtained possession of the devices with her mother's permission, and the incompetency proceeding concluded (with no finding of incompetency) before the date when Ms. Hofeller sent the devices to Plaintiffs in response to their subpoena again with her mother's permission,

Second, Ms. Hofeller's deposition testimony contradicts your assertion that "Ms. Hofeller had no discussions with her mother regarding if there was any business

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 15

information contained on the drives." When asked whether she had "a specific conversation with [her] mother to tell her that [she] identified business records of [her] father's on" the devices, Ms. Hofeller testified: "All of those points were at some point mentioned. My mother was aware of the fact that . . . the subpoena for these hard drives was, in fact, for *work-related files only*. So not only was it clear to her that there were *work-related files*, but it was clear to her that the lawyers that would be looking at it on either side would not be looking at anything other than *my father's work-related files*." S. Hofeller Dep. at 56:22-57:18 (emphases added); *see id.* at 59:13-18 ("Q. At what point in time did you discuss with your mother the possibility of turning over your father's business records to Common Cause or to Arnold & Porter? A. The subpoena. That --that would be when we specifically discussed that.").

Third, your letter's suggestion that Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie should have "advise[d] Ms. Hofeller to seek the advice of an attorney for herself or her mother" is nonsensical. As you know, Stephanie Hofeller testified that she originally contacted Common Cause specifically to request a referral to an attorney independent of her father who could represent her mother in the incompetency proceeding. S. Hofeller Dep. at 31:7-19; 36:24-38:9. Common Cause provided such a referral, leading to Ms. Hofeller's mother retaining an attorney to represent her in the incompetency proceeding. *Id.* at 59:5-12. As to Ms. Hofeller, she is the one who proactively contacted Common Cause, raised the fact that she had the electronic storage devices, and affirmatively offered to provide the devices to Common Cause. *Id.* at 31:7-38:17. We are aware of no obligation of a lawyer to advise a non-adverse third party like Ms. Hofeller to obtain counsel in these circumstances, and your letter does not identify any such obligation. In any event, the point is moot because Plaintiffs served their subpoena on Ms. Hofeller through her attorney, Tom Sparks, who later defended her deposition in this case. Ms. Hofeller was represented in connection with Plaintiffs' subpoena.

Finally, your letter asserts that Mr. Speas and Ms. Mackie "told [Ms. Hofeller] that 'anyone,' including plaintiffs or legislative defendants, could only look at the content of items that were explicitly and obviously related to this case, and as a result, she should not be concerned about a privacy issue with her or her mother." But Plaintiffs' counsel have in fact attempted to shield sensitive personal information of the Hofeller family from disclosure, including through the designation of such materials as Highly Confidential pursuant to the Court's May 1, 2019 Order. It is Legislative Defendants who successfully insisted that personal sensitive information in the Hofeller files be shared with other parties in the case, rather than filtered out and never reviewed by anyone. Beyond that, we understand from Ms. Hofeller that she approves of Plaintiffs' review and use of the Hofeller files pertaining to Dr. Hofeller's political and redistricting work. In any event, while Ms. Hofeller testified that she and her mother "felt . . . that the

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 16

process would most likely be centered around provably pertinent files," Ms. Hofeller also testified that she "assured her [mother] that she should be aware that once you -- and, again, this is something my father taught me. Once you let go of it, you don't have control of it anymore so you can't be guaranteed what will and won't be disclosed, so it's something you should be prepared for when you are involved with discovery." S. Hofeller Dep. at 40:1-15.

IV. Legislative Defendants' Assertions Regarding Plaintiffs' Counsel's Professional Responsibilities Are Frivolous and Improper

Your letter states that you "insist on compliance with the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure and Rules of Professional Responsibility," and that Legislative Defendants "are considering all options available to them to enforce their rights" "[s]hould [Plaintiffs' counsel] persist in neglecting [their] professional responsibilities." But you do not identify a single rule of professional conduct purportedly implicated by Plaintiffs' counsel's actions. Your nonspecific references to Plaintiffs' counsel's "professional responsibilities" appear to be nothing more than an attempt to intimidate. We note that frivolous claims of professional ethics violations made to obtain an advantage in a civil matter are impermissible, and we refer you to District of Columbia Rule of Professional Conduct 8.4(g) and North Carolina Rule of Professional Responsibility 3.1. Under Rule 3.1, "a threat to file disciplinary charges is . . . improper if the disciplinary charges are frivolous."

V. Legislative Defendants' Specific Demands Are Baseless and Unreasonable

First, your letter demands that Plaintiffs "immediately cease and desist reviewing all materials produced by Ms. Hofeller and particularly all files unrelated to North Carolina." But Legislative Defendants, as leaders of the North Carolina General Assembly, have no legal interest in, and no standing to make demands regarding, files that are "unrelated to North Carolina." Moreover, while this demand is predicated on Legislative Defendants' (erroneous) understanding of Ms. Hofeller's intent in producing the devices in response to Plaintiffs' subpoena, Ms. Hofeller's attorney recently confirmed in writing—prior to the filings in the federal census case—that Ms. Hofeller consents to use of the Hofeller files in connection with matters outside North Carolina.

Second, your letter demands that we "immediately cease and desist providing any or all of these materials to third parties unrelated to this case, as [we] have apparently

² Suzanne Lever, *I'm Telling Mom! Reporting Professional Misconduct*, N.C. State Bar Journal (June 2014), https://www.ncbar.gov/for-lawyers/ethics/ethics-articles/im-telling-mom-reporting-professional-misconduct.

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 17

recently done in a matter pending in New York." Again, Legislative Defendants have no standing to make demands regarding materials unrelated to North Carolina. Anyway, your demand is contrary to hornbook law. "The general rule . . . is that information produced in discovery in a civil case may be used in other cases." *United States v.* Comstock, 2012 WL 1119949, at *1 (E.D.N.C. Apr. 3, 2012). Sharing discovery with litigants in other cases is not just permissible, but courts "have overwhelmingly and decisively *endorsed* the sharing of discovery information among different plaintiffs, in different cases, in different courts." Burlington City Bd. of Educ. v. U.S. Mineral Prod. Co., 115 F.R.D. 188, 190 (M.D.N.C. 1987) (emphasis added). Absent a protective order to the contrary (and there is no such order here with respect to the files at issue), nothing "prevent[s] [a litigant] who lawfully has obtained discovery . . . from using the discovery elsewhere." In re Accent Delight Int'l Ltd., 869 F.3d 121, 135 (2d Cir. 2017); see also Parkway Gallery Furniture, Inc. v. Kittinger/Pennsylvania House Grp., Inc., 121 F.R.D. 264, 268-69 (M.D.N.C. 1988) ("[A] party needs to present good cause for prohibiting the dissemination of non-confidential discovery information or from prohibiting the utilization of such discovery in other litigation."); Ohio Valley Envtl. Coal. v. Elk Run Coal Co., 291 F.R.D. 114, 122 (S.D. W. Va. 2013) ("[T] he potential use of the fruits of discovery in other litigation is not, alone, a basis for a protective order."); FTC v. Digital Interactive Assocs., Inc., 1996 WL 912156, at *3 (D. Colo. Nov. 18, 1996) ("[D]issemination of information to litigants in other forums is often encouraged for purposes of judicial economy."); United States v. Hooker Chemicals & Plastics Corp., 90 F.R.D. 421, 426 (W.D.N.Y. 1981) ("Use of the discovery fruits disclosed in one lawsuit in connection with other litigation, and even in collaboration among plaintiffs' attorneys, comes squarely within the purposes of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure"); Patterson v. Ford Motor Co., 85 F.R.D. 152, 153-54 (W.D. Tex. 1980) (similar).

Third, your letter demands, bizarrely, that Plaintiffs "return all of the produced materials to the Trustee for the Kathleen H. Hofeller Irrevocable Trust." You cite no legal authority, and we can think of none, for the notion that a litigant can demand that subpoenaed electronic files be returned to the "Trustee" of a financial trust of the mother of the subpoenaed individual. Even if Kathleen Hofeller rather than Stephanie Hofeller had produced the files in response to the subpoena (which she did not), Kathleen Hofeller is legally competent, and you do not explain why the materials would go to a "Trustee" rather than to her. It appears that you are making this odd request because Kathleen Hofeller herself does not want return of the materials.

Fourth, your letter asks that Plaintiffs identify all "individuals [Plaintiffs' counsel's law firms] employ" who have reviewed the "produced materials." As stated above, we can represent that no one at our law firms has any intention of reviewing any of the five specific files identified in your letter as purportedly privileged. We have no

Phillip J. Strach June 5, 2019 Page 18

obligation to provide you further information regarding names of attorneys who have worked on this matter.

Fifth, your letter also asks which of the files that you characterize as "wrongfully produced materials have been shared outside [Plaintiffs' counsel's] firms." While we have no obligation no respond, we can advise you of the following: As you know, on May 6, 2019, we provided complete copies of all of the Hofeller files to all three sets of Defendants, including Legislative Defendants represented by you, Intervenor Defendants represented by separate private counsel, and State Defendants represented by the North Carolina Attorney General's Office. We provided complete copies of all of the Hofeller files to each set of Defendants because you demanded that we do so. We have no information about whether and to what extent Defendants may have shared files with others.

Lastly, your letter demands that Plaintiffs "attest that all copies of the materials wrongfully produced by Ms. Hofeller are no longer in []our possession and have been destroyed." Legislative Defendants have offered no legitimate basis for this demand. Moreover, given that the Hofeller files reveal wrongdoing by government officials, "destoy[ing]" the files could constitute spoliation.

Sincerely,

/s/ R. Stanton Jones
R. Stanton Jones