IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

)
))
)) CIVIL ACTION) NO. 1:16-CV-1026-WO-JEP) THREE-JUDGE COURT))
))))
) CIVIL ACTION No. 1:16-CV-1164-wo-jep) Three Judge Court)

LEGISLATIVE DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STAY AUGUST 27, 2018 ORDER

Yesterday, the Court entered an Order declining to enjoin the use of the 2016 Congressional Redistricting Plan ("2016 Plan") in the November 6, 2018 general election. (D.E. 150).¹ In the same Order, the Court ordered all Plaintiffs and State Defendants to file a response to Legislative Defendants' Motion to Stay (D.E. 146) the Court's August 27, 2018 Order (D.E. 142) prohibiting the use 2016 Plan after the November 6, 2018 general election. In giving this directive, the Court asked the Plaintiffs and State Defendants to "focus on whether Legislative Defendants will suffer irreparable harm from our order to not allow the use of the unconstitutional 2016 Plan beyond the November 6, 2018, general election." (D.E. 150, p. 3).

Before the Court entered its Order yesterday, the Common Cause Plaintiffs filed a response to Legislative Defendant's Motion to Stay stating their belief that permitting the Supreme Court an opportunity to "speedily" review this Court's judgment "before a remedy is implemented" would be the "most prudent course." (D.E. 149, p. 2). Although Legislative Defendants disagree with the Common Cause Plaintiffs' assertion that this Court's judgment should be affirmed, they agree that allowing the Supreme Court an opportunity to review this Court's decision before any remedy is implemented is indeed the "most prudent course." Earlier today, the League of Women Voters Plaintiffs filed a response to the Court's Order yesterday requesting that the Court "consider commencing remedial plan action in May of 2019" or, alternatively, enter a stay on two conditions proposed by the Common Cause Plaintiffs. (D.E. 151, pp. 2-3).

¹ All docket entries cited correspond with the docket entries in Case No. 1:16-cv-1026.

Legislative Defendants strongly disagree with the contentions by Plaintiffs that they seek to delay the ultimate resolution of this matter. Legislative Defendants seek only to ensure that the Supreme Court can review this case before any remedial proceedings begin. Accordingly, Legislative Defendants do not oppose Plaintiffs' proposal to condition entry of a stay pending the completion of Supreme Court review of the August 27, 2018 Order on the following: (1) that Legislative Defendants will file their Jurisdictional Statement with the Supreme Court by October 1, and (2) that Legislative Defendants will seek no requests for extension of time while their appeal is pending before the Supreme Court.

Accordingly, Legislative Defendants request that this Court stay its Order of August 27, 2018 pending Supreme Court review.

Respectfully submitted this 5th day of September, 2018.

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

/s/ Phillip J. Strach Phillip J. Strach N.C. State Bar No. 29456 Michael D. McKnight N.C. State Bar No. 36932 phil.strach@ogletreedeakins.com michael.mcknight@ogletreedeakins.com 4208 Six Forks Road, Suite 1100 Raleigh, North Carolina 27609 Telephone: (919) 787-9700 Facsimile: (919) 783-9412 Counsel for Legislative Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH WORD LIMITATION

I hereby certify that the total word count for the body of the foregoing reply including headings and footnotes is 417 words.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Phillip J. Strach, hereby certify that I have this day electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will provide electronic notification of the same to the following:

Edwin M. Speas, Jr. Carolina P. Mackie Poyner Spruill LLP P.O. Box 1801 (27602-1801) 301 Fayetteville St., Suite 1900 Raleigh, NC 27601 espeas@poynerspruill.com cmackie@poymerspruill.com *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

Emmet J. Bondurant Jason J. Carter Benjamin W. Thorpe Bondurant, Mixson & Elmore, LLP 1201 W. Peachtree Street, NW, Suite 3900 Atlanta, Georgia 30309 bondurant@bmelaw.com carter@bmelaw.com bthorpe@bmelaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs Gregory L. Diskant Susan Millenky Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 gldiskant@pbwt.com smillenky@pbwt.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Alexander McC. Peters Senior Deputy Attorney General apeters@ncdoj.gov N.C. Department of Justice P.O. Box 629 Raleigh, NC 27602 *Attorneys for State Defendants* Allison Riggs SOUTHERN COALITION FOR SOCIAL JUSTICE 1415 W. HWY. 54, STE. 101 DURHAM, NC 27707 Email: emily@southerncoalition.org Email: anita@southerncoalition.org Attorneys for League of Women Voters of North Carolina Plaintiffs

Annabelle E. Harless Ruth M. Greenwood CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER 73 W. MONROE ST., STE. 322 CHICAGO, IL 60603 312-561-5508 Fax: 202-736-2222 Email: aharless@campaignlegalcenter.org Attorneys for League of Women Voters of North Carolina Plaintiffs Danielle M. Lang CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER 1411 K STREET NW SUITE 1400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005 202-736-2200 Fax: 202-736-2222 Email: <u>dlang@campaignlegalcenter.org</u> Attorneys for League of Women Voters of North Carolina Plaintiffs

Nicholas O. Stephanopoulos UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LAW SCHOOL 1111 E 60TH STREET CHICAGO, IL 60637 773-702-4226 Email: <u>nsteph@uchicago.edu\</u> Attorneys for League of Women Voters of North Carolina Plaintiffs

This the 5th day of September, 2018.

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

/s/ Phillip J. Strach

35404701.1