# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

MARC	VEASEY,	ET AL.,	)	CASE NO: 2:13-CV-00193		
		Plaintiffs,	)	CIVIL		
	vs.		)	Corpus Christi, Texas		
RICK	PERRY, E	T AL.,	)	Thursday, September 11, 2014		
		Defendants.	) )	(8:00 a.m. to 11:59 a.m.) (1:30 p.m. to 1:47 p.m.)		

## BENCH TRIAL - DAY 8

BEFORE THE HONORABLE NELVA GONZALES RAMOS, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Appearances: See Next Page

Court Recorder: Genay Rogan

Clerk: Brandy Cortez

Court Security Officer: Adrian Perez

Transcriber: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 18668

Corpus Christi, TX 78480-8668

361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

#### APPEARANCES FOR:

Plaintiffs:

CHAD W. DUNN, ESQ.
KEMBEL SCOTT BRAZIL, ESQ.
Brazil and Dunn
4201 Cypress Creek Parkway, Suite 530
Houston, TX 77068

ARMAND DERFNER, ESQ. P.O. Box 600 Charleston, SC 29402

J. GERALD HEBERT, ESQ. Attorney at Law 191 Somervelle Street #405 Alexandria, VA 22304

NEIL G. BARON, ESQ. 914 FM 517 Rd. W, Suite 242 Dickinson, TX 77539

EMMA P. SIMSON, ESQ. Campaign Legal Center 215 E. Street NE Washington, DC 20002

Mexican American Legislative Caucus, et al.: EZRA D. ROSENBERG, ESQ. Dechert, LLP 902 Carnegie Center, Suite 500 Princeton, NJ 08540-6531

MARK A. POSNER, ESQ.

AMY L. RUDD, ESQ.

GARY BLEDSOE, ESQ.

SONIA K. GILL, ESQ.

ERANDI ZAMORA, ESQ.

Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights
1401 New York Ave. NW, Suite 400

Washington, DC 20005

DANIEL G. COVICH, ESQ. 802 N. Carancahua, Suite 2100 Corpus Christi, TX 78401

#### APPEARANCES FOR:

(CONTINUED)

Mexican American Legislative Caucus,

et al.:

MYRNA PEREZ, ESQ.

VISHAL AGRAHARKAR, ESQ.

JENNIFER CLARK, ESQ.

Brennan Center for Justice 161 Avenue of the Americas

12th Floor

New York, NY 10013

United States of America:

RICHARD DELLHEIM, ESQ.

ELIZABETH S. WESTFALL, ESQ.

DANIEL FREEMAN, ESQ. ANNA BALDWIN, ESQ. AVNER SHAPIRO, ESQ.

MEREDITH BELL-PLATTS, ESQ.

JOHN SMITH, ESQ. PAXTON WARNER, ESQ. BRADLEY HEARD, ESQ. ROBERT BERMAN, ESQ.

U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20530

BRUCE I. GEAR, ESQ. Department of Justice 1800 G Street NW Washington, DC 20006

Ortiz Plaintiffs, et al.:

JOSE GARZA, ESQ. 7414 Robin Rest Dr. San Antonio, TX 78209

ROBERT W. DOGGETT, ESQ. Texas Rio Grande Legal Aid, Inc. 4920 North IH 35 Austin, TX 78751

MARINDA VAN DALEN, ESQ. Texas RioGrande Legal Aid, Inc. 531 E. St. Francis Brownsville, TX 78520

### APPEARANCES FOR:

(CONTINUED)

Texas League of Young

RYAN HAYGOOD, ESQ.

Voters Education Fund:

NATASHA KORGAONKAR, ESQ.

DEUEL ROSS, ESQ. LEAH ADEN, ESQ.

NAACP Legal Def. and Educational Fund

40 Rector St., 5th Floor

New York, NY 10006

DANIELLE CONLEY, ESQ.

KELLY DUNBAR, ESQ.

M. HASAN ALI, ESQ.

LYNN EISENBERG, ESQ.

JONATHAN E. PAIKIN, ESQ.

RICHARD F. SHORDT, ESQ.

SONYA LEBSACK, ESQ.

TANIA C. FARANSSO, ESQ. Wilmer Cutler Pickering, et al.

1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20006

Texas Association of Hispanic County Judges

and County

Commissioners:

ROLANDO L. RIOS, ESQ.

115 E. Travis Suite 1654

San Antonio, TX 78205

PRESTON HENRICHSON, ESQ.

222 W. Cano

Edinburg, TX 78539

State of Texas:

JOHN BARRET SCOTT, ESQ. Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation

Office of the Attorney General

P.O. Box 12548 Austin, TX 78711

JOHN REED CLAY, JR., ESQ. LINDSEY E. WOLF, ESQ.

JENNIFER ROSCETTI, ESQ. G. DAVID WHITLEY, ESQ.

STEPHEN L. TATUM, JR., ESQ.

STEPHEN R. KEISTER, ESQ.

Office of the Attorney General

P.O. Box 12548

MC001

Austin, TX 78711

<u>APPEARANCES FOR: (CONTINUED)</u>

State of Texas: BEN A. DONNELL, ESQ.

Donnell Abernethy Kieschnick 555 N. Carancahua, Suite 400 Corpus Christi, TX 78401

WHITNEY DEASON, ESQ. JOHN CRAWFORD, ESQ.

Non-Party Senators

& Non-Party

Representatives:

ARTHUR D'ANDREA, ESQ.

Office of the Attorney General 209 W. 14th Street, 7th Floor

Austin, TX 78701

DAVID TALBOT, ESQ.

JAMES B. ECCLES, ESQ.
Office of the AG of Texas
300 W. 15th Street, 11th Floor

Austin, TX 78701

ALICE LONDON, ESQ.

Bishop London Brophy & Dodds 3701 Bee Cave Rd., Suite 200

Austin, TX 78746

					6			
1	INDEX							
2	DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS			
3	JOHN CRAWFORD	7/16	25					
4	VOIR DIRE	10						
5	FORREST MITCHELL	63						
6	(VIA DEPO)							
7	TOMMY WILLIAMS	85	104					
8	(VIA DEPO)							
9	CAROLYN GUIDRY	138	163					
10	(VIA DEPO)							
11	BRYAN HEBERT	178	188					
12	(VIA DEPO)							
13	KENNETH SMITH	226						
14	(VIA DEPO)							
15	MICHELLE RUDOLPH	231						
16	(VIA DEPO)							
17								
18	DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS				RECEIVED			
19	2746				25			
20	2747, 2748 under seal				137			
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

You-all have had that a long time.

- MR. SCOTT: Well, his information concerning the, the issue of not using race, and then identifying and making results saying that it's all based upon the race, I think I asked Dr. Ansolahehere specifically, "Would you agree that I have not" -- "that you have not" -- or, "You'd agree that you have over 2 million errors in race classification?" And he said, "I can't" -- "I don't know. I don't know." So it's a
- 8 clarification of, which is the purpose of rebuttal evidence.
  9 MR DUNN: This witness was presented for deposition
  10 as a 30(b)(6) witness only on the database snafu, and, in fact,
- 11 was asked by Mr. Baldwin in the deposition, "Have you compared
- 12 | the databases?" Mr. Scott objected and he was instructed not
- 13 to answer any questions about that.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to allow the cross at
- 15 | this point -- the voir dire.

#### 16 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

- 17 BY MR. DUNN:
- 18 Q Hello, Mr. Crawford, my name is Chad Dunn. We've never
- 19 met before, have we?
- 20 A No, sir.
- 21 | Q All right. Well, I'm sorry you're here in the middle of
- 22 | this, but there are a few things I'd like to establish for our
- 23 record. As I understand it, you manage a team in the
- 24 Department of Licensing over at DPS; is that true?
- 25 A It's the Department of Information Technology.

- 1 | Q I beg your pardon, no disrespect meant. It's also my
- 2 understanding that you personally are not a programmer; is that
- 3 | correct?
- 4 A That is correct.
- 5 Q And, in fact, you manager a team of programmers; is that
- 6 true?
- 7 A That is correct.
- 8 Q And you told us at your deposition that reading code and
- 9 reading programming is not something that you understand; is
- 10 | that right?
- 11 A It's not something that I do as a rule, no.
- 12 Q And, in fact, you have to rely upon others; is that true?
- 13 A That's correct.
- 14 Q Now, ultimately, if, if the Court permits it today, you
- 15 | intend to testify about a match of the DPS records to the no
- 16 match list that was provided by the Department of Justice; is
- 17 | that right?
- 18 A I don't believe that it was the no match list; I believe
- 19 | that it was the list of -- my understanding is it was a list of
- 20 voters.
- 21 Q I see. So, but, nevertheless, what you -- what your
- 22 department has done is taken the DPS race ID. and compared it
- 23 | with the race ID.s that have been used in an expert report in
- 24 | this case; is that right?
- 25 A I'm not aware that that's an expert report, but, yes, it

- 1 was a comparison of a file provided from the Department of
- 2 Justice and files that we provided from DPS.
- 3 Q Now I understand based upon what your testimony in your
- 4 deposition is, but that's not something that you did, that's
- 5 | something people who work for you did; is that true?
- 6 A That is correct.
- 7 Q It's also my understanding, based solely on the fact that
- 8 | we just received this a little more than 24 hours ago, but it's
- 9 my understanding that this analysis has been performed in,
- 10 | would you say, the last week and a half?
- 11 A No, sir. I believe it was performed on the 4th or 5th of
- 12 | September. So it would have been last -- like a week ago
- 13 today, I believe.
- 14 Q Last week. So were you present for any discussions when
- 15 | it was decided when or how this would be produced to the
- 16 Plaintiffs in this case?
- 17 A When? No, sir. I've been in discussions with the
- 18 attorneys from, from the Attorney General's Office, but not
- 19 | specifically about when it was going to be provided.
- 20 Q So I suppose you couldn't enlighten us at all in why it
- 21 | was 24 hours or so ago that we first learned about your
- 22 | analysis?
- 23 A I don't know that.
- 24 Q I do want to show you a few things. Did you help the
- 25 department -- the Office of the Attorney General prepare some

- 24 A I did not personally, no.
- 25 Q Well, I can tell you it hasn't been provided to the

- 2 A No, sir.
- 3 Q And then, in terms of the data set or the, the output, so
- 4 to speak, from this code that you -- that your office
- 5 performed, have you provided that to the Attorney General's
- 6 Office?
- 7 A One more time, please.
- 8 Q Sure. After the code is run, this code that your
- 9 department developed, after it's run it comes up with an
- 10 output; is that right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Has that output actually been provided to the Attorney
- 13 General's Office?
- 14 A Yes. It's, it's this exhibit.
- 15 Q Well, and let me -- let me be more precise. The exhibit,
- 16 as I understand it, is a summary --
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q -- of the output; is that true?
- 19 A That is correct.
- 20 Q So what I'm asking you is has the actual output been
- 21 provided to the Attorney General's Office?
- 22 A Well, this is the output. The summary is what the code
- 23 was constructed to do.
- 24 Q Well, the code ultimately went and flagged individual
- 25 entries, would you agree, in its comparison?

- 1 A No, I would not. Flag individual entries? No, sir.
- 2 O But your testimony to this Court, that when that formula
- 3 was run, when that algorithm was run, you received nothing else
- 4 from the computer system, other than what's on this table?
- $\overline{b}$  A I, personally, did not.
- 6 Q And that's because this was actually performed by somebody
- 7 | else in your office; is that right?
- 8 A That's correct.

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

24

25

- MR. DUNN: Your Honor, at this point, I'm not going to move to exclude this testimony, but I hope I've demonstrated that its late disclosure, and the fact that this witness didn't actually perform it undermines its reliability.
- 13 **THE COURT:** All right.
- MR. SCOTT: And, your Honor, a couple of points:

  one, the data that he's talking about that he -- that Mr. Dunn

  has said they didn't have is the Department of Justice

  Ansolahehere -- I'm sorry, the common interest Ansolahehere

  data output that was pursuant to an order of this Court and

  provided to the State of Texas, that is the data that he took

on one of the comparisons, from Dr. Ansolahehere.

The second is the data that was provided by the Department of Public Safety to the common interest Plaintiffs that designated the race on every one of these individuals, the race field. So all the underlying data has, in fact, been provided and is there.

- 1 THE COURT: But, but you don't just get to take that
- 2 data and have someone do an analysis on it and then spring it
- 3 on the other side. Right?
- 4 MR. SCOTT: Well, I think that if it was sprung, I
- 5 | would agree. We're simply trying to rebut what the testimony
- 6 | that was -- Dr. Ansolahehere rendered at trial, from the
- 7 standpoint of race comparison.
- 8 THE COURT: But that's something that could have
- 9 been -- with what you had and the information that the state
- 10 had, that could have been done already. It was not necessary
- 11 to wait until now.
- 12 MR. SCOTT: I think that's right. You're right.
- 13 **THE COURT:** All right. So let's move on.
- MR. SCOTT: So, Brian, will you bring that up?
- 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)
- 16 BY MR. SCOTT:
- 17 Q Mr. Crawford, in your capacity as manager of the
- 18 | information -- what's the name of the section, the division?
- 19 A Let me think for a minute, Licensing Services
- 20 Applications. Sorry.
- 21 |Q It's okay. First time you ever testified?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q How many times have you been in Federal Court?
- 24 A Only in this room, yesterday and then a couple of weeks
- 25 ago, and today.

- 1 Q So, but the underlying foundation of the information
- 2 that's here, where did the DOJ race column information come
- 3 from on, for purposes of identification, Defendants' 2746?
- 4 A The, the race information was provided in a file of
- 5 approximately 13 1/2 million records that the Attorney
- 6 General's Office sent us. And my understanding is that it came
- 7 from the Department of Justice.
- 8 Q Over here, the next column it says, "DPS race"; is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 0 Where did that come from?
- 12 A That is a listing of the -- of the races that can be
- 13 entered into the driver license database.
- 14 Q And who -- and so it's entered by someone, either the
- 15 customer service representative whom they receive it from a
- 16 customer or just a citizen trying to get an ID. card, a
- 17 driver's license, or an EIC; is that correct?
- 18 A Yes, that's correct.
- 19 O That's self-identified information; is that correct?
- 20 A Yes, sir. The customer provides that information when he
- 21 or she fills out the -- an application form.
- 22 | O The VUID count over here, what is that?
- 23 A That is -- so we -- when we match the Department of
- 24 Justice data against the DPS driver license data, we matched
- 25 approximately 12.6 million records. Of those, 309,487 were

- 1 designated Asian in the Department of Justice data set. We
- 2 compared that race information to the race information for the
- 3 matching record, and the DPS data set. So, for example, of the
- 4 | 309,000 records that had Asian as a designation, we found
- 5 216,782 that had that designation in the DPS data.
- 6 Q So a comparison was made from the material that was
- 7 provided by DOJ as, as to the race. The data that was in the
- 8 data field was provided by DOJ, which is the self-identified in
- 9 this column as Asian, and over here is how many out of that
- 10 data field matched up between those two documents; is that
- 11 | correct?
- 12 A That's correct. Out of that 309,487, 216,782 were
- 13 designated Asian.
- 14 Q So, for instance, here is a person who has been identified
- 15 as Asian. They have self-identified with the DPS public
- 16 | records as being Hispanic, and there were 1,750 of those out of
- 17 | this 309,487; is that correct?
- 18 A That is correct.
- 19 Q Okay.
- 20 MR. SCOTT: So Brian, if you'll switch up -- if
- 21 | you'll move the chart up, please? Stop for a second.
- 22 | O So, again, DOJ race Black, self-identified White, how many
- 23 | VUID would have been incorrectly -- well, not incorrectly, but
- 24 not matched?
- 25 A Well, that -- of the -- for those designated Black in the

- 1 Department of Justice file, there were 1.5 million, 1.57
- 2 million, 1,189,000 matched. Those were also designated Black,
- 3 | 345,564 were designated White.
- 4 Q Okay. So, and then let's go to the Caucasian. DOJ race
- 5 designation, White, correct?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q DPS self-identified records, public records, Black, and
- 8 how many of those were like that?
- 9 A 433,787.
- 10 Q So that means that there were misclassified as Anglos in
- 11 | the DOJ material, 433,000 people who, in fact, are African
- 12 American, correct -- or self-identified themselves as Black?
- 13 A They identified themselves as, as African American, yes,
- 14 as Black.
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 MR. SCOTT: Brian, if you'll move this -- reduce
- 17 | that, please?
- 18 Q So, over here to the right-hand side we have an additional
- 19 breakout.
- 20 MR. SCOTT: I guess, one more thing. Brian, go to
- 21 | Page 2. Bring up the Hispanic, or just enlarge that whole
- 22 area.
- 23 Q So, DOJ race designation, Hispanic, correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q What's the DPS race for Hispanic over here in this column?

- 1 A That's the H.
- 2 Q Okay. And how many of the ones out of the 2.7 million who
- 3 were identified by DOJ as Hispanic, and DPS self-identified
- 4 public records had as Hispanic, how many was the total count?
- 5 A 717,857.
- 6 Q So, go down, drop down to the bottom one. Hispanic in
- 7 DOJ's document, DPS self-identified race, White, how many were
- 8 those?
- 9 A 1,784,838.
- 10 Q American Indian, what is the designation -- so, walk
- 11 | through -- walk the Court through, if you would, the DPS self-
- 12 | identified race identifier that's in our -- the public record.
- 13 A The A designation is Asian and Pacific Islander; B is
- 14 Black; H is Hispanic; I is American Indian and Alaskan Native;
- 15 0 is other; and W is White.
- 16 Q And those are all self-identified by the customer,
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A That is correct.
- 19 Q Is there any kind of -- I mean, you-all don't attempt to
- 20 try and classify people, do you?
- 21 A We do not. We take whatever -- the customer service
- 22 representative takes whatever is entered on the form,
- 23 whatever's disclosed by the, the applicant and enters that into
- 24 the driver license database.
- 25 Q And that is the material that's contained in your records,

- 1 | the Department of Public Safety records that you're in charge
- 2 of?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 | Q Okay.
- 5 MR. SCOTT: Okay. If you'll reduce that, Brian, and
- 6 go back up to Page 1, please, sir. If you'd enlarge this
- 7 section over here.
- 8 Q Tell us what we're looking at.
- 9 A That is the summary of the, the number of records that
- 10 matched in the first column. For example, 216,782 matched on
- 11 Asian; 92,656 were different, between DOJ and DPS data.
- 12 Q With regard to the DOJ -- so, next, next door to that
- 13 | you've got DPS and DOJ same, correct?
- 14 A That's correct. That was a match.
- 15 Q So, and by "match," it means simply that they are the
- 16 same? I mean, the designation by DOJ and the report that they
- 17 produced on race classification, and the self-identified
- 18 Department of Public Safety records are the same, correct?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q Now, the next column is DPS and DOJ different. What's,
- 21 | what's contained within that column?
- 22 A That's a summary of the number of records that had a
- 23 different race designation between DPS and DOJ data.
- 24 Q And so, when we look down at -- is -- what the total down
- 25 here, what is that 3.1 -- 3,151,228 related to?

- 1 A Of the records that we match, the 12.6 million, I believe,
- 2 is the number at the bottom of the page, those are the
- 3 | number -- that's the number that were different, which the race
- 4 designation was different between the Department of Justice and
- 5 DPS data.
- 6 O The last column, it is another set of numbers that's to
- 7 | the right, it's in yellow highlighted, but why are those
- 8 numbers -- what -- explain that column.
- 9 A In -- until 2010, Hispanic was not a choice that could be
- 10 made in the DPS database. In other words, you couldn't self-
- 11 identify as Hispanic. That capability became possible in 2010.
- 12 So that is the number of people who either applied for an
- original driver license or ID. card, or who renewed their
- 14 license in a driver license office since 210 -- I'm sorry,
- 15 | since 2010.
- 16 O So --
- 17 A And --
- 18 Q So let me stop you there. Prior to 2010 -- and I think
- 19 it's November 2009 was the first time we started collecting
- 20 | that data as a state?
- 21 A The very end of 2009 was in three offices, that's when it
- 22 began.
- 23 Q And that was the first time that the designation
- 24 "Hispanic" was set out by itself; is that correct?
- 25 A That is correct.

- 1 Q Okay. With regard to that, are the numbers in the right-
- 2 | hand side, those have all been developed as a result of self-
- 3 | identification subsequent to this 2010 date; is that correct?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q Okay. And what is the total of misclassifications since
- 6 2010 and the Hispanic information has been being captured?
- 7 A The total of all of them is 1,813,217.
- 8 |Q So does that mean then that the Hispanic -- I guess I want
- 9 to make sure we can clarify something. How is it possible then
- 10 | that we have Hispanic over here in the middle column that is
- 11 different than this number over on the far hand -- right-hand
- 12 | side?
- 13 A Because since 2010 -- and let me make sure I got this
- 14 | clear -- since 2010 the option of, of entering Hispanic as a
- 15 | race in the driver license database became possible, so that
- 16 | 1,047,000 people who were designated Hispanic in the Department
- 17 of Justice data had the opportunity to enter Hispanic as a race
- 18 | in the driver license database.
- 19 Q So how often does the field turn over? So we've got how
- 20 many records, approximately, in the system?
- 21 A In the -- in the total driver license database --
- 22 | O Yes.
- 23 A -- I believe it's about 28 million.
- 24 Q But you compared this only to the data extracted from
- 25 January and -- that were provided to the common interest

- 1 | actually do programming and coding, correct?
- 2 A That is correct.
- 3 Q And you don't do that work yourself?
- 4 A That is correct, I do not.
- 5 Q And you didn't do any of the underlying work that went
- 6 into this chart that we've seen this morning yourself?
- 7 A I did not.
- 8 Q So, just in order to understand the steps that had to be
- 9 taken to make up this chart, the DPS database is a relational
- 10 database made up of a lot of interlocking tables; is that
- 11 | right?
- 12 A The database is, yes, that's correct.
- 13 | Q And so, just to get the information out of that database,
- 14 you have to write programming code to extract relevant
- 15 information, right?
- 16 A That is correct.
- 17 Q And you didn't do that?
- 18 A I did not.
- 19 Q And, in fact, because you don't code, you don't know -- if
- 20 you saw the code, could you even be sure that it was accurate
- 21 | and correctly done?
- 22 A I could not, no.
- 23 Q Okay. So that's the first task that has to be done, is
- 24 | the actual extract of the relevant records from DPS to be
- 25 compared. The second task, you said there was a match done and

- 1 | this is sort of in the conversation you were having with
- 2 Mr. Scott almost just assumed, it -- you can't just
- 3 automatically take these records from the voter registration
- 4 database and say, "Oh, it matches to this record from the
- 5 driver's license database," right? You have to develop
- 6 matching criteria, right?
- 7 A That's correct. That is correct.
- 8 | Q And you're not at all expert in developing matching
- 9 criteria, are you?
- 10 A I am not.
- 11 Q Do you even know what the matching criteria were that were
- 12 used in that?
- 13 A Yes, I -- I believe that I do.
- 14 Q And what were those?
- 15 A The first match was on driver license number and last
- 16 name. And, so, if you get a match there, on those two
- 17 elements, then that's considered a good match. For records
- 18 | that didn't match there, another criteria was, I believe,
- 19 social security number and last name. I don't have the -- all
- 20 of the criteria here, but --
- 21 Q So -- so, sitting here today, you're not actually sure
- 22 | what matching criteria were used.
- 23 A I can't repeat that to you.
- 24 MR. SCOTT: Objection. Mischaracterizes the
- 25 evidence. He's identified two (indiscernible).

And you don't have any expertise to evaluate the

- 1 appropriateness of those steps that were taken, in any event,
- 2 do you?
- 3 A Expertise? Well, I'd say that in years of I.T.
- 4 experience, I have experience in understanding what it takes to
- 5 match two records together.
- 6 Q Okay. Have you done any peer-reviewed studies of database
- 7 matching?
- 8 A I have not.
- 9 Q Do you keep up with the scholarship on the best techniques
- 10 | in database matching?
- 11 A I personally do not.
- 12 Q So -- and, then, so we've talked about there is the
- 13 extraction stage, there's the matching stage, and then there's
- 14 | the comparing the actual -- once the records have been matched,
- 15 | comparing what the race is in one dataset with what the race is
- 16 | in another dataset, correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And that also takes a separate set of code to make that
- 19 | comparison, correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And you didn't write that code.
- 22 A I did not.
- 23 Q And if you reviewed that code, you would have no basis to
- 24 know whether there were errors in it or not.
- 25 A I personally would not.

- 1 Q So, essentially, if I understand your testimony, you
- 2 | didn't do the extraction; you didn't do the match; and you
- 3 didn't do the comparison of the race; and, in fact, on some of
- 4 those steps you don't know what was done, you don't know how it
- 5 | would do it, but you're just asking the Court to trust you and
- 6 | vouch that the information that you've put up is accurate. Is
- 7 | that correct?
- 8 A I -- I trust the people who work for me to know how to do
- 9 those jobs. So, yes, when they tell me that -- that they've
- 10 done the work correctly, then -- then, yes, I believe them,
- 11 based on my experience in working with them.
- 12 Q So, your testimony today is based solely on what you were
- 13 | told by someone else.
- 14 A That is correct.
- 15 | Q Somebody who's not here in the courtroom and who, in fact,
- 16 hasn't even been identified to the plaintiffs.
- 17 A For this particular extract, yes.
- 18 Q Now, I saw that you were in court some yesterday. Were
- 19 | you here during the testimony of Dr. Hood?
- 20 A I'm sorry; which -- who was Dr. Hood?
- 21 Q Sure. Dr. Hood is an expert who was retained by the
- 22 | State. He was talking about some of the matching --
- 23 A Yes, I was here for part of his testimony.
- 24 Q Okay. And, so, did you know that -- again, Dr. Hood was
- 25 | retained by the State of Texas?

- 1 A I did not know that before, no, before being in the
- 2 | courtroom.
- 3 Q Do you know that Dr. Hood has done his own database
- 4 matching in prior cases?
- 5 A I was not aware of that, no.
- 6 Q Do you know why the State asked the Department of Public
- 7 | Safety to do this matching rather than its own expert who it
- 8 hired for this litigation and has done matching in previous
- 9 cases?
- 10 A I do not.
- 11 Q You understand that -- this work that you did here, I just
- 12 | want to get clear on the timeline of it as well. When was the
- 13 | first time that DPS conducted any comparison of the race
- 14 information from information produced by the plaintiffs to DPS
- 15 | self-identified race?
- 16 A I believe that we received the file on -- last week, on
- 17 | September 3rd, and the matching exercise began on the 4th of
- 18 September.
- 19 Q Okay. So, DPS, your testimony is, had done nothing prior
- 20 to look at any mismatches between race I.D.'s prior to
- 21 September 3rd.
- 22 A Not to my recollection, no.
- 23 Q But that involvement, of course, wasn't DPS's first
- 24 involvement in data used in this case, right?
- 25 A That's correct.

- 2 to the United States an extract of the DPS database showing
- 3 | people who have driver's licenses or personal I.D.'s, right?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And for that case, that production occurred way back in
- 6 February, right?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And the February production was supposed to be a snapshot
- 9 of certain information from the driver's license database as it
- 10 existed on January 15th, 2014, right?
- 11 A That is correct.
- 12 Q And there were serious errors in the production of that
- 13 | January 15th extract; isn't that true?
- 14 A There were errors. There were -- there was missing data,
- 15 yes.
- 16 Q In fact, there were millions of driver's license and
- 17 personal I.D. records that should have been extracted and
- 18 produced back in February that weren't, right?
- 19 A That is correct.
- 20 Q And that happened because of a programming error by DPS
- 21 staff.
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 Q Somebody at DPS wrote incorrect computer code, and it
- 24 resulted in millions of records being omitted from a very
- 25 straightforward process of extracting data from the database,

- 1 right?
- 2 | A I'm not sure I would agree that it was straightforward.
- 3 Q Okay.
- 4 A It's a very complex database.
- 5 Q Okay. So, it's a complex database, and the extraction was
- 6 done incorrectly, and millions of records were omitted.
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q And the process you've just put up here, extraction is
- 9 only the first step. You have to do several other programming
- 10 | steps after that, right?
- 11 A Well, the data that was used here had already been
- 12 extracted, so extraction was not part of this exercise.
- 13 Q Okay. Going back to January, though, so there was an
- 14 error that resulted in millions of records being omitted,
- 15 | correct?
- 16 A That's correct.
- 17 Q And at your deposition we talked about how in the normal
- 18 | course of business at DPS code that was used for the January
- 19 extract would have been subject to review by a quality
- 20 assurance team, correct?
- 21 A I'm sorry; would you repeat that, please.
- 22 | Q At your deposition in January -- at your deposition we
- 23 talked about how in the normal course of business at DPS code
- 24 like the code that was used for the January extract would have
- 25 been subject to a quality assurance team review.

- 1 A In the normal course of business, yes, that's true.
- 2 Q But for that data production in this case, there was no
- 3 quality assurance team, right?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q And that happened because you weren't given adequate time,
- 6 your staff wasn't given adequate time to do the extract, right?
- 7 A We were not given adequate time to include a formal
- 8 quality assurance activity.
- 9 Q And in your deposition you testified that you were
- 10 | concerned about having to run that extract quickly, right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And you were concerned about the accuracy, potentially,
- 13 | given the quickness, that that could have an impact.
- 14 A I was concerned about how quickly we needed to provide the
- 15 data, yes.
- 16 Q And you also testified that it would have been your usual
- 17 practice, when you have a concern about the accuracy of your
- 18 | work that you were being asked to do, that you would express
- 19 that concern to others.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And you also testified that -- excuse me. Strike that.
- 22 | Notwithstanding the concern that you couldn't follow
- 23 | the normal quality assurance process and you didn't -- you
- 24 know, you were concerned about the amount of time, you didn't
- 25 | realize that this mistake had been made until July. Is that

- 1 | correct?
- 2 A That's correct.
- 3 Q So, months passed before anybody had any idea that the
- 4 data that all the parties in this case were relying on was
- 5 | incomplete.
- 6 A That's correct.
- 7 Q So, if we could pull up the Crawford declaration; and next
- 8 page; and -- so, zoom into paragraph three.
- 9 So, this paragraph reviews what we have just been
- 10 | talking about, that for data that was produced in February, it
- 11 | took until July 22nd to realize, you know, more than six months
- 12 later, that there had been a problem with the programming,
- 13 | right?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And, so, again, just as a comparison, this process
- 16 happened last week, and nobody, outside of DPS, has had a
- 17 | chance to review the code that was used for the information you
- 18 presented to the Court today.
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q So, in your declaration you told the Court that --
- 21 If we could zoom out of that paragraph; can we go to
- 22 | the next page? Can we zoom in on paragraph five?
- 23 -- said that the misapplication of the data qualifier
- 24 resulted in the inadvertent exclusion of approximately 2.8
- 25 million records. And that 2.8 number that you provided there

I believe that Bob Brandel examined some of

- 2 required to provide information, it's whatever information is
- 3 available to provide.
- 4 Q Right. And, so, but there certainly could be a scenario
- 5 | where, until very recently, the option that a person wanted to
- 6 | select, who is Hispanic, wouldn't have been available to them,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A Hispanic was not an available choice --
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A -- in the January, 2010, that you -- example that you
- 11 | cited.
- 12 Q So, as a result of not having Hispanic as an option, there
- 13 are a number of entries -- I mean, well -- strike that. Let me
- 14 step back.
- So, your testimony is you don't know whether there
- 16 | are any errors or not as relates to Hispanic self-I.D.'s in the
- 17 DPS driver license database?
- 18 A Uh, what would you -- I don't know what your definition of
- 19 | "error" is.
- 20 Q Someone who, in fact, identifies themselves as Hispanic
- 21 | that's not identified as Hispanic in the driver's license
- 22 database.
- 23 | A If the option wasn't there, I don't see how that could be
- 24 classified as an error.
- 25 Q But it wouldn't correctly represent their self-

BY MS. BALDWIN: 18

this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 19
- 20 I do not.
- 21
- 22 earlier? And could we click on the link that says "Ted Cruz,"
- 23 and I'll represent to you that he's much more commonly known
- 24 under the U.S. Congress Senators? All the way on the other
- 25 side. Get my pointer.

- 1 MR. SPEAKER: Here?
- 2 BY MS. BALDWIN:
- 3 Q Now, can -- now, in this -- this is an excerpt from the
- 4 driver license database. Do you see under "race" where it
- 5 | identifies Rafael Edward Cruz as white?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Do you have any knowledge of whether Senator Ted Cruz is,
- 8 | in fact, Hispanic?
- 9 A I personally do not, unless -- well, just based on the
- 10 | information that's on the screen there.
- 11 Q Okay. Let's look at the next entry on this sheet, which
- 12 | is for Filemon Bartolome Vela. If we could hit the "back" and
- 13 | look under the Congressional delegation; scrolling down, under
- 14 | the B's. All the way at the bottom; can we keep -- can we --
- 15 whoo. Can we click right there?
- 16 MR. SPEAKER: No.
- 17 MS. BALDWIN: Vela, not Veasey. Vela.
- 18 MR. SPEAKER: Okay.
- 19 BY MS. BALDWIN:
- 20 Q And, Mr. Crawford, what race does the DPS driver license
- 21 database identify Mr. Vela as?
- 22 A White.
- 23 | Q And in this public profile what race is he identified as?
- 24 A Hispanic.
- 25 Q Okay. Let's hit "back" again. And if we could take a

1

2

3

4

5

Α

- 7
- Enrique Roberto Cuellar identified as by DPS?
- 10 Α Hispanic.
- 11 Hispanic. And here is a case -- the entire Congressional
- 12 delegation doesn't appear to be misidentified. If we could hit
- 13 "back."
- 14 Now, the next two entries on your sheet are Joaquin
- 15 and Julian Castro. Do you know who those men are?
- 16 Yes, I believe that they're brothers, and one -- I believe
- 17 one is a Congressman and the other is a former mayor of San
- 18 Antonio.
- Right, who is currently a cabinet member? 19
- 20 Α Yes.
- 21 And they're twins, right?
- 22 That's my understanding. Yes.
- 23 And do you see that DPS has identified one of them as
- 24 white and the other as Hispanic?
- 25 MR. SCOTT: Objection --

## EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

Representative Gallego listed as?

White.

24

- 1 | Q And here we see in this public profile that he's
- 2 | identified as Hispanic, correct?
- 3 A Hispanic. Yes.
- 4 Q And there are a few more, but just last, do you know who
- 5 Alberto Gonzalez is? The --
- 6 A It --
- 7 Q -- the former Attorney General of the United States?
- 8 A The former attorney -- yes. I -- I recognize that name.
- 9 Q Yes, sir.
- 10 A There is no identification here that says that's who that
- 11 | is, but --
- 12 Q I'll represent to you based on the data brick that that
- 13 | is, in fact, the former attorney general; and what race is he
- 14 listed as in the DPS database?
- 15 A White.
- 16 Q Okay. So, do these -- these are anecdotal examples, but I
- 17 | want to look at the demonstrative that you've actually created
- 18 for this case.
- 19 If we could bring up what I called the "new proffer";
- 20 and go to the next page. Zoom in big on that, please.
- 21 So, if I understand, every individual represented in
- 22 | the charts that appear on the left-hand side, that's you know,
- 23 representing a voter who you're saying has matched to the DPS
- 24 database. So, it -- each of those numbers represents a
- 25 registered voter who, based on the match, would have a DPS form

- 1 of I.D. Is that correct?
- 2 A That is correct.
- Q Okay. And, so, this chart doesn't, by itself, list the
- 4 | number of registered voters who are Hispanic, in total,
- 5 according to DPS race classifications, right? It just breaks
- 6 out -- in other words, it goes -- the Catalist, or what's
- 7 | referred to here as Anso race, and then the concordance with
- 8 | the DPS race, but it -- this -- the demonstrative never gives a
- 9 total for in total of all the people who matched, how many
- 10 people DPS says are Hispanic, right?
- 11 A I'm sorry; could you do that one more time, please?
- 12 Q Sure. If I wanted to figure out, based on the match, the
- 13 | total number of registered voters who DPS has identified to be
- 14 Hispanic and have a driver's license database, that number
- 15 | isn't already given in this chart.
- 16 A Well, DPS doesn't identify anyone as Hispanic. The -- the
- 17 | individuals do.
- 18 Q Sure. And I don't mean to be tripping up over that. But
- 19 | the self-identification, if I wanted to know the number of
- 20 people who've self-identified as Hispanic who are registered
- 21 voters, there's -- who you matched -- there's not one number
- 22 that represents that in this chart.
- 23 **(Pause)**
- 24 A Would you ask it one more time, please?
- 25 Q Sure.

- 1 A It's --
- 2 | O If I wanted to know how many people DPS has in its
- 3 database listed as Hispanic, there's not one number who are
- 4 registered voters; you never give a summary note total,
- 5 Hispanic registered -- Hispanic, according to DPS self-I.D.'s,
- 6 Hispanic registered voters, right? That's not a number that's
- 7 | already added up.
- B  $\mid$  A  $\mid$  It's not, because we don't know who registered voters are.
- 9 Q But based on your match, you don't provide a summary of
- 10 | the match total. Everybody on this chart is registered voters,
- 11 as you just testified.
- 12 A Okay.
- 13 Q Okay. So, if we wanted to figure out the total number of
- 14 people who matched and who are identified in the DPS database
- 15 as Hispanic, would you agree with me that we would take from
- 16 each of those boxes the number of "H" for Hispanic here, the
- 17 | number for "H" for Hispanic here, the number for "H" for
- 18 | Hispanic here, and so on, and just add those up, and that would
- 19 be all the people who are matched who have a I.D. in the DPS
- 20 database as Hispanic?
- 21 A Yes, I believe that's correct.
- 22 | Q Okay. Well, let's do that math. If I could approach with
- 23 a calculator?
- 24 (Pause)
- So, starting in this chart, let's enter 1,750 and add

- 1 | 11,814, and then add 68,737. If we could go to the next page,
- 2 | so, the number Hispanics listed here is 717,857, and add to
- 3 that 869, and add to that 2,639. And what total do you get?
- 4 A I get 803,666.
- 5 Q Me, too. So, that number seems awfully low to me.
- 6 Doesn't it to you? That out of 12 million voters who matched
- 7 to an I.D., only a little over 800,000 Hispanic registered
- 8 vote -- people who are Hispanic have a DPS I.D., if this is the
- 9 metric you're using?
- 10 A And what's your question?
- 11 | Q Doesn't it seem awfully low to think that there are only
- 12 | 800,000 Hispanic registered voters with an I.D. in the state of
- 13 Texas out of 12 million?
- 14 A I -- I don't have the -- the knowledge to -- to speculate
- 15 on -- on how many there should be.
- 16 Q So, there is a figure called "Spanish Surname Voter
- 17 Registration." Have you ever heard of that?
- 18 A I have not.
- 19 Q Okay. Just so we can define it, let's pull up PL-694 and
- 20 | go to page 16 of this document. This is a document from the
- 21 Texas Legislative Council. And just as a basis for my
- 22 | question -- I'm sorry; it's page 10. It was 16 of the PDF.
- "Spanish Surname Voter Registration, also reported in
- 24 the Secretary of State's statewide voter registration
- database, is generated using a comparison to the 2000

Census Bureau list of Spanish surnames. While most sources agree that the match between people who have Spanish surnames and those who consider themselves Hispanic is relatively good in Texas, the Census Bureau estimates a 90-percent correlation for this state. The number of reported registered voters with Spanish surname is not a precise measure of Hispanic voter registration."

And it continues.

So, basically, the idea of this is the Secretary of State keeps a list of voters who have Spanish surnames. So that we can compare how that 800,000 number of people listed as Hispanic in the DPS database compares to the Spanish surname voter registration population, I'd like to just briefly show you --

Could you bring up the C-235 redistricting report?

And I'm just going to show you this so you can see that it's the basis for the next demonstrative and where the figures are taken from. This is a document that was created --

Can you zoom in, in the top corner over there?

By the Texas Legislative Council.

You can hide that now.

And this list -- this is for all the congressional districts in the state, and it lists the total voter registration and the percentage of Spanish surname voter

- 1 registration. And, so, I'm just showing you this chart just as
- 2 | the basis for everything in the next demonstrative is coming
- 3 from.
- 4 If you could pull up the C-235 redistricting
- 5 demonstrative.
- 6 So, this lists for each of the congressional
- 7 districts the total voter registration, the same percent of
- 8 | Spanish surname voter registration, and then the raw total of
- 9 registered voters who have Spanish surnames. And when you sum
- 10 | all of those numbers, you get -- if you can hide that pull-
- 11 out -- you get this total number of 2.9 million.
- Two point nine million is an awfully lot larger than
- 13 | the 803,666 figure on your demonstrative, isn't it?
- 14 A They're two different numbers, yes.
- 15 Q Okay. So, you're not taking the self-reported race
- 16 information in DPS's database as the actual truth, are you?
- 17 | That's just what, based on the available options at any one
- 18 | time, what was entered, as far as you understand?
- 19 A That is the information that was entered into the
- 20 database, yes.
- 21 | Q And if -- it's likely that if one were to try and take
- 22 that as the truth and to try and say, oh, this represents the
- 23 number of Hispanics, it would likely significantly undercount
- 24 Hispanics based on the simple fact that there was no option to
- 25 | self-identify until very recently, right?

- 1 A I -- I don't think that I am in a position to express an
- 2 opinion on that.
- 3 Q Okay. Well, the 2.9 and 800,000 kind of speak for
- 4 themselves.
- 5 We talked a little bit about this, but I just want to
- 6 make sure we're clear that every time, prior to the option
- 7 being available, that a Hispanic person was forced to self-
- 8 | identify and not given the option of Hispanic and they chose
- 9 another race, that could be something that makes those other
- 10 categories, like White or Black, not represent the actual truth
- of how that person self-identifies as well. Wouldn't you
- 12 agree?
- 13 | A If the option wasn't there that a person wanted to choose,
- 14 | that person would have to choose one of the available options.
- 15 Q Right. And you wouldn't have any way to know, sitting
- 16 here today, which option somebody would have chosen if they
- 17 | weren't presented with Hispanic. There is no way to know what
- 18 | calculus every individual had to go through to make those
- 19 choices.
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q And, so, there is no way to know how many people actually
- 22 | identified as Hispanic and chose White.
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 Q And there is no way to know how many people actually
- 25 | identified as Hispanic and chose Black.

Yes.

- 1 | that Hispanics didn't have the option to self-report race until
- 2 very recently.
- 3 A I'm not sure that I would agree with the word
- 4 "misleading."
- 5 Q It wouldn't necessarily -- that data wouldn't necessarily
- 6 | represent those people's own correct self-identifications,
- 7 because they didn't have the option to do so.
- 8 A Yes. I agree.
- 9 Q And, so, it would have been misleading to have called this
- 10 "correct" and this second column "incorrect."
- 11 A I -- I can't say that I would agree with the statement of
- 12 | "misleading."
- 13 Q So, this column here, DPS and Anso different, who applied
- 14 | for original card or renewed their card in a field office since
- 15 | 2010, what's the purpose of separating out the 2010 data here?
- 16 A Because Hispanic was an available choice in the driver
- 17 | license database starting in 2010; so that those people who
- 18 either got an original driver license or I.D. card or who
- 19 renewed their license in a -- in a DPS office, in a driver
- 20 | license office, had the option of selecting Hispanic as race in
- 21 the race category.
- 22 | Q But it's not something that's automatically updated, is
- 23 it?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Okay. Could you pull up, please, the Germane (phonetic)

//

## 1 BY MS. BALDWIN:

- 2 Q Does this language here -- just to be clear, does this say
- 3 "renewal" or "reapply"?
- 4 A Renewal. I don't know what "reapplies for some reason"
- 5 means in that context.
- 6 Q But it doesn't say that it happens at renewal, does it?
- 7 A That does not say that. That's correct.
- 8  $| \mathsf{Q} |$  If we could go back to the Crawford demonstrative. And
- 9 go -- keep going. Can you zoom in on that chart, I think?
- 10 So, this chart shows for each racial group in here
- 11 that Anso race, I understand, is information that was taken
- 12 | from the file from the Department of Justice? This is
- 13 information from the Department of Justice file here in this
- 14 Anso race column?
- 15 A I believe that's correct, yes.
- 16 Q And the same with this race confidence, highly likely,
- 17 | likely, possibly? Is that --
- 18 A That's my understanding, yes.
- 19 Q Okay. And, then, the DPS and Anso same; what does this
- 20 column represent?
- 21 A That represents records in which the race field matched
- 22 | between the DPS and the Department of Justice data.
- 23 Q And the DPS and Anso different is where they didn't match?
- 24 A That's correct.
- 25 Q And, then, the sum is just the total of both of these?

- 1 A That's correct, yes.
- 2 Q Okay. So, if I wanted to find out the percentage that was
- 3 | correct -- let me not say correct -- the percentage that was
- 4 | the same between the DPS database and the DOJ file for, for
- 5 example, Blacks, who had this highly likely race confidence,
- 6 | would you agree that I would divide four thousand four hundred
- 7 fourteen six fifty-four over the sum?
- 8 A Yes. To -- that would produce the percentage, yes.
- 9 Q Could you do that for me?
- 10 A And which -- which number? Four fourteen --
- 11 Q The four fourteen six --
- 12 A -- six fifty-four?
- 13 Q Yes, sir; over the sum.
- 14 A That says 95.6 percent.
- 15 Q Okay. And let's do the likely. What percentage are the
- 16 | same for African Americans who are likely?
- 17 A That's 79.2 percent.
- 18 Q Okay. And Black possibly?
- 19 A I got 65.5 percent.
- 20 Q Okay. So, those are the percentages overlap between the
- 21 DOJ Catalist race estimates and the DPS reports. Do you know
- 22 | that your -- a version of this chart was initially circulated
- 23 to all parties in this case that had those percentages on the
- 24 | chart?
- 25 A I don't recall seeing that. I might have, but I don't

- 1 A I've got to start over. Just a second. Four four five
- 2 | three seven nine two divided by four six -- oh, no, I'm sorry.
- 3 | I did it wrong again. We're talking about Caucasian likely.
- 4 Q Caucasian likely; so that --
- 5 A Eighteen -- eighteen ninety-three --
- 6 Q Seven twenty-two.
- 7 A -- seven two two --
- 8 Q Over two --
- 9 A -- divided by two zero --
- 10 Q Five two.
- 11 A -- five two --
- 12 Q Six one seven.
- 13 | A -- six one seven. I did something wrong again. I'm
- 14 sorry.
- 15 0 That's okay.
- 16 A I --
- 17 Q The percentages are what the percentages are. We can all
- 18 | clearly understand that you can calculate the percentage
- 19 overlap from this.
- The outlier in terms of the percentage overlap is
- 21 | with the Hispanic numbers; wouldn't you agree? These have a
- 22 | significantly lower percentage being the same than the
- 23 | Caucasian and the Black.
- 24 A It -- it would appear to be the case, yes.
- 25 Q And that could certainly be because of the lack of the

1 would be an ineligible voter casting a ballot in an election; another would be voter impersonation; 2 another example is a voter who votes more than once 3 in an election; and then the final type of illegal 4 voting is a person who marks a ballot contrary to the 5 instructions of a voter. 6 7 "QUESTION: Okay. Now, one of the things you said is 'voter impersonation.' What is that? 9 "ANSWER: Voter impersonation is when someone uses 10 another's identity to cast a ballot in an election, 11 either to vote again or to vote in an election 12 they're not eligible to vote in. 13 "QUESTION: Now, I want to talk first before we go into that in more detail about the referral 14 15 investigation process. How does the SIU learn of 16 potential cases of election violations? 17 "ANSWER: The Office of the Attorney General receives 18 investigative referral requests from a wide variety 19 of sources. The first would be the Texas Secretary of State's Office; another source would be the local 20 21 district and county attorneys, and then also from 22 elections administrators and then from local law 23 enforcement. And then when the Attorney General's 24 Office receives these referrals, they're routed to 25 the Special Investigations Unit.

"OUESTION: So we're clear, does the Office of 1 Attorney General ever initiate investigations on its 2 own without a referral? 3 "ANSWER: By our policy, we're referral driven. 4 5 "OUESTION: Are all election violation referrals to the Office of Attorney General typically routed to 6 7 the SIU office? "ANSWER: Yes, sir. 9 "QUESTION: Does the SIU or the Office of Attorney 10 General's office have sole jurisdiction over election 11 violation cases within the State of Texas? 12 "ANSWER: No, sir. Local district and county 13 attorneys have concurrent jurisdiction on elections, 14 and also the federal government has jurisdiction in, 15 I would presume, national elections. 16 "QUESTION: Now, are those county D.A.'s or county 17 attorneys, are they required to refer to the Office 18 of Attorney General cases to you, or can they 19 prosecute those on their own? 20 "ANSWER: There's no legal requirement for the local 21 county and district attorneys to refer a case to us. 22 They have concurrent jurisdiction and they're capable 23 of investigating and prosecuting those on their own. 24 "QUESTION: In addition to your supervisory 25 responsibilities, have you personally been assigned

1 "ANSWER: Since approximately 2002, there have been 320 referrals made to the Office of the Attorney 2 3 General. "QUESTION: And of those 320 referrals, how many 4 5 different Texas counties does that represent? "ANSWER: I believe it represents 97 of the 254 6 7 counties. "QUESTION: Now, you talked about the referral 9 process and sometimes you don't proceed with it. 10 let me ask you, of the 320 referrals, how many has 11 the SIU actually investigated? 12 "ANSWER: Since 2004, we've investigated a total of 13 186 cases. 14 "QUESTION: So, of the 186 investigated cases that 15 SIU has investigated, in general terms, the types of 16 election code violations, what types of election code violations did those involve? 17 18 These could be illegal voting or other violations of the Texas Election Code or Penal Code 19 20 offenses. 21 "QUESTION: Of the 186 cases, how many of those have 22 been referred for prosecution? 23 "ANSWER: Sixty-two. 24 "QUESTION: Of the 62 cases that have been referred 25 to prosecution, how many have resulted in positive

Independent School District election down in Hidalgo
County, and this case involved two brothers and their
mother who went to the polling place. One brother -I'm sorry, if I may clarify. One brother was
actually incarcerated in the state penitentiary in
San Antonio. The other brother went to the polling
place with the incarcerated brother's voter
registration certificate and he presented himself as
if he were his brother.

"This was discovered by a poll worker inside the location, and she alerted the election judge. However, since the voter had a lawful voter registration certificate, the elections department let him proceed to vote.

"QUESTION: Now, were there -- other than the two -other than the brother who attempted to vote on
behalf of the other brother, was there another
defendant involved in that case?

"ANSWER: Yes, there was. The other defendant, Reyna Almanza, is the mother of two sons, and she was actually present with Lorenzo Antonio Almanza, and she interjected with the election judge and vouched for the identity of her son who was using the impersonation -- the impersonated voter registration certificate.

"QUESTION: As compared to mail-in voting fraud, how difficult is in-person voter impersonation to detect or investigate?

"ANSWER: I believe in-person voter impersonation is more difficult to detect than mail-in ballot, for instance, voter impersonation. And it really deals with the amount of time that the suspect spends with the voters. In mail-in ballot cases, they spend a great deal of time with the voters and use their mail-in ballots to cast the election. In voter impersonation cases, there's very little interaction with the witnesses, so frequently they're unable to identify the suspects through a conventional photo array.

"QUESTION: In addition to this case, have you -- are you aware of any other additional pending voter impersonation cases within the State of Texas?

"ANSWER: Pending criminal cases?

"QUESTION: Yeah, currently.

"ANSWER: We currently have two pending criminal cases in the state of Texas right now with the Attorney General's office that involve voter impersonation. One is the Mara Comparion case out of Bexar County and then the second case is Lorenzo Antonio Almanza, the one in Hidalgo County.

1	"ANSWER: Again, I believe there could have been a
2	deterrent effect based upon the statute but it
3	wouldn't but it would not have prevented her from
4	doing so.
5	"QUESTION: And then finally the last case that you
6	mentioned was and actually didn't mention it by
7	name but Jack Crowder, III. Would that be one of the
8	voter impersonation cases that you're identifying
9	here today for the judges?
10	"ANSWER: Yes, sir. That is Jack Carol Crowder and
11	that was the 2008 general elections in Harris County,
12	Houston, Texas.
13	"QUESTION: Now, the allegation for the Jack Carol
14	Crowder case is that he voted on behalf of his
15	deceased father; is that accurate?
16	"ANSWER: Yes, sir. He presented his deceased
17	father's voter registration certificate and cast a
18	ballot in that election.
19	"QUESTION: And how do you know he presented his
20	father's voter registration card?
21	"ANSWER: I believe he told me that and he provided
22	the voter registration certificate to us during an
23	interview.
24	"QUESTION: Of all the types of voter fraud that you
25	investigate as an investigator, which is the most

illegal voting -- voter impersonation was charged and convicted.

"QUESTION: And that's Mr. Almanza; is that correct?

"ANSWER: Yes, sir, Lorenzo Antonio Almanza, Jr.

"QUESTION: And what can you tell me about that case?

"ANSWER: I believe I testified in my trial and in the deposition that the facts and the circumstances of that case were Lorenzo Antonio Almanza presented himself at the Progreso School District election and utilized his brother's voter registration certificate. His brother at the time -- I believe

San Antonio in the state penitentiary when that election occurred and that Lorenzo used his voter registration certificate to vote a second time in

that election.

his name was Orlando Almanza -- was in custody in

During the course of the -- when he presented himself to the elections officials there, a poll watcher observed the name that was being put down on the combination form and recognized that this was not Orlando Almanza. This was his brother, Lorenzo Antonio, Jr., and brought that attention of -- brought that to the attention of the election judge. It was at that point in time, I believe, that

the mother, who had previously been convicted in our

1 -- at the time of my deposition and at the time of 2 the trial, that she interjected herself and began to argue with the elections judge and election workers, 3 vouching that that was, indeed, her son Orlando and 4 5 not Lorenzo. And the elections administrator for that school district election allowed him to vote, 6 7 which became a second vote in that election, utilizing his brother's voter registration certificate. 10 "QUESTION: Put differently, you were able to 11 investigate and prosecute Mr. Almanza for what he did 12 without an SB 14 photo identification requirement in 13 effect, correct? 14 "ANSWER: Yes, sir, because we had a witness who was 15 able to positively identify that suspect. 16 "QUESTION: Right. Was Mr. Almanza a part -- were 17 there allegations in Mr. Almanza's case that this was part of a kind of coordinated effort to affect the 18 outcome of the election at issue? 19 20 MS. WOLF: There's an objection. 21 "ANSWER: This case involved many allegations 22 involving many people. 23 "QUESTION: And, Mr. Almanza, I believe we said, pled 24 guilty to illegal voting/voter impersonation and he

was sentenced to two years in TDCJ; is that right?

And those are from counties across Texas,

- 1 MS. WOLF: And there's an objection. 2 "ANSWER: Yes. We have cases where voters have impersonated someone else and that's been done in 3 different ways. 4 "OUESTION: Within SIU, which of your investigative 5 teams is currently the most resource intensive? 6 7 "ANSWER: I would say it's the money laundering team. "QUESTION: Money laundering. And where would the 9 election team rank? 10 MS. WOLF: Objection. 11 "ANSWER: As I previously testified, it's on par with 12 the public integrity team. Each of those teams have 13 about three or four investigators. And if I could 14 even further clarify. 15 "OUESTION: Please. 16 "ANSWER: The resources depend on the actual year. 17 We receive more referrals in a year which contain a 18 primary and a general election than we do in the off 19 years where we only have, perhaps, school district 20 and municipal elections. So on even numbers of 21 years, we tend to see more cases than we do on the
  - "QUESTION: Thank you. Mr. Mitchell, do you believe that there is undetected in-person voter

25 impersonation fraud occurring in Texas?

odd number of years.

22

23

	Mitchell / by excerpt of Deposition 84
1	"ANSWER: It is my opinion, yes.
2	"QUESTION: And what is your source for that opinion?
3	"ANSWER: Having conducted criminal investigations
4	and in reviewing criminal investigations of my staff
5	over my tenure here at this office.
6	"QUESTION: So could you be more specific or it's
7	just your general instinct?
8	"ANSWER: We we have conducted investigations that
9	did not result in criminal charges where we suspected
10	voter impersonation occurred and that is both through
11	my experience as an investigator investigating cases
12	and also as a supervisor reviewing investigative
13	reports.
14	MS. WOLF: And that concludes Defendants' readings
15	from Mr. Mitchell.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. DUNN: Your Honor, we did our initial readings
18	earlier.
19	THE COURT: Okay. So nothing from the Plaintiffs on
20	that?
21	MR. ROSENBERG: Nothing further. We're relying what
22	has already been read into the record.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	(Counsel conferred)
25	//

November of 2006. So it would have been for the 80th regular session, it says.

"QUESTION: And the purpose of this legislation was to combat in-person ID -- in-person voter ID fraud, correct?

"ANSWER: I think that was the intent of the author, yes.

"QUESTION: Sure. At the time that House Bill 218 was being considered by you in committee, did you consider a student identification card to be an acceptable form of photo ID?

"ANSWER: I don't recall that I cared for this provision. It was a part of the bill but I'm not sure that I -- I don't necessarily agree with every provision of every bill. So --

"QUESTION: Fair enough. Can you tell me why you might not have agreed with this particular provision?
"ANSWER: I think, you know, it makes it a lot more difficult for the people who are working at the polls to identify -- to know whether it's a valid ID or not because we have 38 general academic institutions in this state and we have a bunch of health science centers and a lot of people that are issuing student ID cards, including all of our community colleges and so I think it becomes very difficult for someone at

United States who tried to vote but I don't think

1 that was the only thing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"QUESTION: Are you aware that the DPS in 2011 had antiquated technology in its driver's license division?

"ANSWER: I know that I spent a lot of time and effort during the 2011 session working with the department to figure out how we could modernize and streamline the issuance of driver's licenses and election identification certificates. My interest in that was primarily because I think I got -- I believe it's correct to say that we received more complaints in our office about the waiting lines at driver's license offices than any other single function of state government. So there was a problem there. "QUESTION: Okay. So were your efforts to try and modernize and streamline the process for issuing driver's license -- driver's licenses more a function of general public complaints about that process or was it more something done in conjunction with SB 14 and this whole new notion of obtaining an election identification certificate?

"ANSWER: I think it's a matter of public record that

I wanted the -- for -- just as a general policy of

making state government work for the citizens, that

this was something that needed to be done but that it

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"QUESTION: Okay. Let's talk about that. What was your plan, prior to the passage of SB 14, for budgeting for the kinds of things that would be required to streamline and modernize the issuance of driver's licenses Electronic Identification

Certificates?

"ANSWER: I think it would be a mischaracterization to say it was my plan. I think that it might be more accurate to say that I had asked the DPS to tell me,

1 what is it going to take to solve this problem and then work with them to mold that into something that 2 we could put into the budget to address this issue. 3 "QUESTION: Okay. And when you got to that end point 4 5 in the 2011 legislative session, were you satisfied that the DPS was going to get enough budgetary 6 7 dollars to do what it needed to do to, as you said, modernize and streamline the issuance of driver's 9 licenses and electronic" --10 MR. KEISTER: Which is spelling incorrect. It should 11 be "election." 12 "OUESTION: -- identification certificates? 13 "ANSWER: I think, based on what they knew at that 14 time and what I knew at that time, I believe that was 15 true. 16 "QUESTION: Do you know whether there were specific 17 dollars set aside that year for the processing of --MR. KEISTER: Once again, it says "electronic." It 18 should be "election." 19 "OUESTION: -- identification certificates? 20 "ANSWER: That would be a question that you'd be 21 22 better to ask the Department of Public Safety. 23 general, what I recall is that their message to me 24 was that they should be able to -- they would be able

to deal with the cost and I don't think there was a

1 -- I recall there wasn't a fiscal note related to the 2 cost of this, that they felt like they could handle it in the ordinary course of business and so -- that 3 it wouldn't be an overwhelming problem for them. 4 I think, in particular, since we were giving them the 5 money for these mega centers and mobile driver's 6 7 license centers and the things that we had talked to them about, you know, I think they felt like they 9 could take care of it. 10 "QUESTION: And is it fair to say that those mega 11 centers were in heavily populated areas where the 12 demand was higher? 13 "ANSWER: I think that they were put in place to 14 relieve the inadequate facilities that we had in 15 heavily populated areas. So we're probably saying 16 close to the same thing. So --17 "QUESTION: Okay. And then the other thing that you 18 mentioned was mobile units. Explain to me what 19 mobile units were -- are. 20 "ANSWER: Well, there were driver's license offices 21 that weren't open every day in some rural areas of 22 the state. And as I recall, we were encouraging them 23 to develop mobile units that they could have a 24 regular circuit that they went around to address this 25 renewal and issuance of driver's licenses and I guess

My recollection is that the department was

1 secondary items of identification in the previous bills could be used to obtain an Election 2 Identification Certificate. So I think you phrased 3 and mischaracterized what the bill did. What the 4 5 bill did was, it said, you know, we're going to have really basically one of these two forms of photo 6 7 identification and a lot of the alternative forms were incorporated into what it took to get the 9 Election Identification Certificate, not completely 10 but in general. 11 "QUESTION: Was it your opinion when you were considering SB 14 that a more restrictive bill that 12 13 only allowed voters to present photo identification 14 at the polls was a better form of voter ID 15 legislation? 16 "ANSWER: I wouldn't characterize it that way. 17 "OUESTION: How would you characterize it? "ANSWER: I think SB 14 was a better bill than the 18 19 bills that had been considered in the previous two 20 sessions because it required a more secure form of 21 identification and it would be easier for the people 22 who were working at the polls to determine if they 23 were being presented with a valid form of ID or not.

"QUESTION: Okay. What did you do prior to the

passage of SB 14 to research in-person voter fraud in

24

1 Texas?

"ANSWER: I think the primary information that we received about this was from the testimony that we received either in the State Affairs Committee or in the Committee as a Whole.

"QUESTION: What did you do prior to voting for SB 14 to satisfy yourself that there would not be a disproportionate impact on the minority voting community in Texas?

"ANSWER: Well, we took extensive testimony in the State Affairs Committee over a couple of different sessions. And while I don't have a specific recollection, I'm sure that this issue was brought up because Senator Ellis and Senator Van de Putte had both voted against the bills and were members of the committee and they would have raised those issues.

So I know it was discussed and I'm certain it was discussed in -- in committee. And that's the purpose of having a bill heard before it comes to the floor, is to get these kind of things vetted.

"QUESTION: You do recall testimony from various interest groups that African-Americans and Hispanics would have disproportionate burdens as a result of SB 14, didn't you?

"ANSWER: And that was asserted in some groups.

"QUESTION: Did you do anything to look into those assertions or satisfy yourself about whether those assertions were accurate?

"ANSWER: Yes. That was a part of the debate. That would have been when I considered it.

"QUESTION: Prior to voting on Senate Bill 14, did you look into this issue of whether African-Americans and Latino citizens in Texas disproportionately lacked access to motor vehicles?

"ANSWER: First of all, I would say that with respect to this entire document that I have before me, I have no idea whether these assertions are true. This is a viewpoint of an advocacy group. The second thing I would say is that with respect to your specific question, is that that would have been something that we considered both in committee, in the State Affairs Committee, in the Committee as a Whole and it would have been the subject of floor debate. So if you're asking me if I considered these things, I listened carefully to everybody's viewpoint. I may not always agree with it but, yes, this would have been something that we would have considered during the process.

"QUESTION: Did it concern you in voting on SB 14 that African-Americans and Latinos might

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"QUESTION: In any event, there is no exception in SB 14 for people who are indigent in Texas, correct?"
"ANSWER: I think the Election Identification
Certificate is free of charge. That is the exception.

"QUESTION: Sorry, I misspoke. There is no exception to the photo ID requirement for people who are indigent; is that right?

"ANSWER: No, the certificate is free.

"QUESTION: One of the things that the minor -- or the Democratic opposition, I should say, was pretty vocal about in the debates about Senate Bill 14 is

was the Department of Public Safety -- that I

specifically asked them what did they need to be able

24

to streamline the whole process of issuing driver's license and thereby also the EINs but to get their whole operation where we didn't have this backlog of people who were standing in line for hours to get their license or Election Identification Certificate after the law passed. So we gave them the money that they needed.

"QUESTION: Has there been any report of people faking their photo identification in order to vote?
"ANSWER: You know, I'll answer it this way. I haven't had a single complaint about Senate Bill 14 since the bill was passed. I don't know whether there have been any reports of people who were trying to vote or if they were just kept away by the knowledge that they would have to produce an ID but I am unaware of a single person in the entire state of Texas that was denied the right to vote because of Senate Bill 14. I'm not saying it doesn't exist but if it does, I am completely unaware of it.

"QUESTION: Did you believe there was a lot of support for SB 14, a lot of public support?

"ANSWER: There was a lot of bipartisan support for

it in the public, not in the legislature.

"QUESTION: And can you look at JA000844? And do you see there's a statement by you and at the very bottom

EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

	Williams / by excerpt of Deposition - Cross 105
1	"QUESTION: Do you recall that HB 218 passed out of
2	the State Affairs Committee?
3	"ANSWER: Yes, it did.
4	"QUESTION: And you voted to pass that out of
5	Committee, correct?
6	"ANSWER: I did.
7	"QUESTION: Do you recall that that vote was strictly
8	along party lines?
9	"ANSWER: It wouldn't surprise me if it was. I don't
10	recall the vote.
11	"QUESTION: At the time that you voted to pass HB 218
12	out of Committee, did you think it was a good bill?
13	"ANSWER: I did.
14	"QUESTION: There are seven different forms of
15	photographic identification that were acceptable
16	under HB 218 in this version, correct?
17	"ANSWER: Correct.
18	"QUESTION: And then if you look at Subsection (b),
19	there are 11 different forms of nonphoto ID that were
20	acceptable under this version of the legislation,
21	correct?
22	"ANSWER: I have to read it.
23	"QUESTION: Sure.
24	"ANSWER: Actually I let's see, yeah, there are
25	11, that's correct.

	Williams / by excerpt of Deposition - Cross 108
1	accurate, correct?
2	"ANSWER: I believe that it's accurate.
3	"QUESTION: And then there was a roll call performed,
4	correct?
5	"ANSWER: Yes.
6	"QUESTION: And this time Senators Whitmire and
7	Uresti voted against considering CSHB 218 outside the
8	regular course of business, correct?
9	"ANSWER: Correct. The motion failed 20 to 11.
10	"QUESTION: And so no voter ID after that no voter
11	ID legislation passed out of the Senate in the 2007
12	session, is that right?
13	"ANSWER: I don't believe so.
14	"QUESTION: And you mentioned that there were
15	actually two votes taken in the Senate on whether to
16	bring HB 218 to the Floor, is that right?
17	"ANSWER: That's correct.
18	"QUESTION: And the result of the first vote was that
19	more than two-thirds of the senators present voted to
20	suspend the regular order of business and take up HB
21	218, is that right?
22	"ANSWER: The first vote, yes.
23	"QUESTION: And Senator Carlos Uresti was not present
24	on the Senate Floor for that first vote because he
25	was ill, is that right?

	Williams / by excerpt of Deposition - Cross 109
1	"ANSWER: I believe he was excused as being ill.
2	"QUESTION: And Senator Uresti is Hispanic, correct?
3	"ANSWER: Yes, he is.
4	"QUESTION: He represents a large Hispanic population
5	in Texas, is that correct?
6	"ANSWER: Yes.
7	"QUESTION: Senator Uresti had publicly opposed HB
8	218, is that right?
9	"ANSWER: I believe he had. I don't know that he
10	expressed that to me, but I would have presumed that
11	he was against it.
12	"QUESTION: The result of the second vote on HB 218
13	was that the Senate was not able to suspend the
14	regular order of business and take up the bill, is
15	that correct?
16	"ANSWER: That's correct.
17	"QUESTION: And Senator Uresti, you mentioned in your
18	Direct testimony, voted in that second vote, is that
19	correct?
20	"ANSWER: That's right. He came to the Floor.
21	"QUESTION: And is it the case that you were
22	disappointed in how the Senate handled the two votes
23	on HB 218 in 2007?
24	"ANSWER: Yes, that was my public statement in the
25	following session, in '09.

	Williams / by excerpt of Deposition - Cross 116
1	correct?
2	"ANSWER: Yes.
3	"QUESTION: And during that hearing other senators
4	voiced disagreement with your research, is that
5	right?
6	"ANSWER: No, I think they were they didn't
7	necessarily agree with what I was saying, but I'm not
8	sure. Yeah, there were people who disagreed with me,
9	yeah.
10	"QUESTION: We have Senate Resolution 67 from the
11	81st legislative session, and you can see the date at
12	the bottom here, Senator, and this is Senate
13	Resolution 98, and it's adopted on February 5th,
14	1981. So would that be the 67th legislative session,
15	is that correct?
16	"ANSWER: You know, I don't know, it could be.
17	"QUESTION: Well, Senator, a legislative session
18	would adopt rules in January or February, is that
19	correct?
20	"ANSWER: Correct.
21	"QUESTION: And we're talking about the legislative
22	session, they occur on odd-numbers odd-numbered
23	years, is that right?
24	"ANSWER: That's correct.
25	"QUESTION: So here we have February 5th, 1981, is

	Williams / by excerpt of Deposition - Cross 119
1	"QUESTION: Senator Williams, you supported S
2	Senate Bill 362, is that correct?
3	"ANSWER: I did.
4	"QUESTION: And Senate Bill 362 provided for the
5	alternative of bringing two nonphoto IDs to the
6	polls, is that correct?
7	"ANSWER: I think what it did is that it provided
8	that if you had your voter registration card and one
9	other form of ID, that that would be sufficient.
10	"QUESTION: Senate Bill 362 was ultimately considered
11	by the Committee of the Whole, correct?
12	"ANSWER: I believe that's true.
13	"QUESTION: And the Committee of the Whole is just
14	the entire body of senators making up the Senate, is
15	that right?
16	"ANSWER: That's correct.
17	"QUESTION: And eventually the Senate, the Committee
18	of the Whole, voted to pass Senate Bill 362 out of
19	Committee, correct?
20	"ANSWER: I believe that's correct.
21	"QUESTION: And you voted to pass Senate Bill 362 out
22	of Committee, correct?
23	"ANSWER: I did.
24	"QUESTION: After Senate Bill 362 was voted out of
25	the Committee of the Whole, it was set as a special

	Williams / by excerpt of Deposition - Cross 121
1	passed on the third reading. Is that right, it
2	passed out of the Senate?
3	"ANSWER: It was passed out of the Senate and it was
4	sent to the House.
5	"QUESTION: And, again, the vote to pass Senate Bill
6	362 out of the Senate was split along party lines,
7	right?
8	"ANSWER: Yes.
9	"QUESTION: With everybody who is self-identified as
10	an ethnic minority voting against passing it out of
11	the Senate, correct?
12	"ANSWER: To the best of my knowledge, yes.
13	"QUESTION: And then, ultimately, what happened is
14	that Senate Bill 362 didn't pass the House in that
15	particular legislative session, is that right?
16	"ANSWER: That's my recollection.
17	"QUESTION: And so voter ID legislation didn't become
18	the law in the 2009 session, right?
19	"ANSWER: That's correct.
20	"QUESTION: So in 2007 and 2009, that legislation
21	that was proposed allowed voters to go to the polls
22	with two forms of secondary identification to
23	identify themselves, right?
24	"ANSWER: Had it been enacted, that would have been
25	true.

	Williams / by excerpt of Deposition - Cross 123
1	"QUESTION: Do you know how many people currently
2	hold a Texas driver's license who has not shown proof
3	of US citizenship?
4	"ANSWER: You could get that from DPS, but I don't
5	know that number.
6	"QUESTION: Does your driver's license state that you
7	are a citizen?
8	"ANSWER: No.
9	"QUESTION: And do you know if the driver's license
10	held by someone who is a legal permanent resident
11	would state that they are an LPR?
12	"ANSWER: I don't know the answer to that.
13	"QUESTION: Can a noncitizen be issued a military ID?
14	"ANSWER: I believe they can if they are a member of
15	the military.
16	"QUESTION: Would it surprise you to hear that there
17	are at least 10 different types of US military IDs?
18	"ANSWER: No.
19	"QUESTION: Do you know if poll workers in Texas are
20	trained to distinguish between different types of
21	military IDs?
22	"ANSWER: I don't know.
23	"QUESTION: What is a citizenship certificate?
24	"ANSWER: I don't know.
25	"QUESTION: Is one of the

	Williams / by excerpt of Deposition - Cross 124
1	"ANSWER: Yeah.
2	"QUESTION: acceptable types of ID in Senate Bill
3	14. Have you seen one?
4	"ANSWER: No.
5	"QUESTION: And would you know how much it costs to
6	obtain one?
7	"ANSWER: No.
8	"QUESTION: Do you know how you would obtain a
9	replacement?
10	"ANSWER: No.
11	"QUESTION: Oh, you've never seen one?
12	So a person who brings to the polling booth a
13	citizenship certificate that has a picture of him
14	when he was five years old, would that verify a
15	person's identity?
16	"ANSWER: I have no idea.
17	"QUESTION: How much does it cost to obtain a US
18	passport?
19	"ANSWER: I don't know.
20	"QUESTION: Do you know how many Texan voters hold
21	valid US passports?
22	"ANSWER: No.
23	"QUESTION: Does SB 14 require employers to provide
24	paid leave so that employees may obtain an election
25	identification certificate?

And you asked Ms. McGeehan on the public

25

- 1 12:00 to 1:30 today. Okay.
- 2 MR. SPEAKER: Thank you, your Honor.
- 3 THE CLERK: All rise.
- 4 (A recess was taken from 10:24 a.m. to 10:41 a.m.; parties
- 5 present)
- 6 **THE COURT:** All right.
- 7 MR. SCOTT: Your Honor, there are -- not the next one
- 8 but the next two out of the next three are Department of
- 9 Justice witnesses and I think the Department of Justice has
- 10 approached the State about how to perhaps handle the reading of
- 11 | that information. Some of it evidently contains some
- 12 | information that does in fact relate to law enforcement
- 13 operations that just from a practical standpoint would be
- 14 better not having it on the public record.
- 15 It's something we can hand to you but it's pretty
- 16 | clear from our understanding that you've already got more than
- 17 your fair share of reading to do --
- 18 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 19 MR. SCOTT: -- and you wanted it read and so we're
- 20 happy to do that but --
- 21 **THE COURT:** Well, how much is it? What are we
- 22 talking?
- 23 MS. WOLF: Um.
- MR. SCOTT: I think one is a 17 page exert and the
- other's a 19 page exert out of depos.

THE COURT: So is the proposal from both sides that you all just give that to me and then what do we do with the records, seal that portion? I'm not sure exactly what the request is.

MR. SHAPIRO: The United States' request would be for the Court to be given the documents so they could review them under seal and you know, I don't think it would take that long for the Court to review --

**THE COURT:** Okay.

MR. SHAPIRO: -- those documents.

THE COURT: And then for purposes of the record what are we doing for it to be in the record? We're just going to admit them sealed, what you give me and it's not going to be part of the official transcript as -- for Court reporting purposes?

MS. WOLF: Sure, your Honor. And -- I mean, the other thing we can do is we're submitting some exhibits under seal, we could mark them as exhibits if you want to just have them that way and we're put them on the drive of the sealed exhibits.

**THE COURT:** That's fine.

22 MS. WOLF: Okay.

MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you, your Honor.

MS. WOLF: Thank you, your Honor.

**THE COURT:** Okay.

1 MR. SCOTT: That takes two of the readings off the 2 list. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And that's who? 4 MS. WOLF: Sorry. 5 MR. SHAPIRO: It's Frary and Flusher. THE COURT: Who? 6 7 MR. SHAPIRO: Michelle Flusher. 8 MS. WOLF: Flusher and Debra Frary. 9 THE COURT: Okay. How do you spell Michelle's name? 10 Last name? 11 MS. WOLF: F-L-U-S-H-E-R. 12 MR. SHAPIRO: That's correct, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. SHAPIRO: Can we approach with the excerpt --15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 MR. SHAPIRO: Thank you. 17 MR. SCOTT: We're going to mark those as Exhibits 18 what for the record? 19 MS. WOLF: John, it will be 2747 and 2748. 2.0 MR. SCOTT: 2747 was the summary. 21 MR. SPEAKER: (indiscernible) 22 MR. SCOTT: Oh, okay. (indiscernible) 23 THE CLERK: 24 Yes. Your Honor (indiscernible) that's MR. SCOTT:

being placed under seal is the excerpts from Debra Frary,

25

- 1 F-R-A-R-Y. We've marked as Defense Exhibit 2747 and we would
- 2 like this to be placed into evidence and put under seal.
- 3 **THE COURT:** All right. The second?
- 4 MR. SCOTT: And the second is the deposition exerts
- 5 of Michele Slusher and it's being marked as 2748 and
- 6 (indiscernible) move for its admission.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. So those will be admitted
- 8 under seal by agreement, correct? Okay.
- 9 (The Court received Defense Exhibit 2747 and Exhibit 2748
- 10 into evidence and placed under seal)
- 11 MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, (indiscernible)
- 12 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 13 MS. WOLF: And your Honor, our next reading will be
- 14 from the deposition of Carolyn Guidry and there's one
- 15 | housekeeping matter that's also related to that. There's an
- 16 exhibit, Defendants' 456, which was one of the exhibits we
- 17 | identified for you on September 2<sup>nd</sup> which we're still trying to
- 18 | work out amongst ourselves because it's a 600 page exhibit. I
- 19 sent the pages to counsel this morning but they needed some
- 20 | time to review so prior to the closing we're get your honor
- 21 whatever final version of that exhibit is it.
- 22 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 23 MS. WOLF: But it's 456 and it's related to this
- 24 witness.
- THE COURT: Okay.

	Guidry / by excerpts of Deposition - Direct 139
1	when you ran for County Clerk?
2	"ANSWER: Yes, I did.
3	"QUESTION: And which party was that?
4	"ANSWER: Democrat party.
5	"QUESTION: Excellent. Have you had any other
6	experience working in a campaign?
7	"ANSWER: I've worked campaigns since I was about 12
8	years old. I've always done I get out to vote
9	campaigns. I have my uncle was very politically
10	active so I've been involved in campaigns since he
11	at a very, very young age. So I've always been
12	involved especially being a union official I was
13	always very, very involved so yes.
14	"QUESTION: Okay. So you've been doing this now for
15	about nine years and how many staff do you have in
16	your office?
17	"ANSWER: As a County Clerk I have 35 people in my
18	office. For the election department I have five
19	people in the election department.
20	"QUESTION: And who are the five that are in the
21	election department?
22	"ANSWER: Right now the election manager is Naomi
23	Doyle. The election programmer is Frederick Cribs
24	(Phonetic). The warehouse manager is Toy Linton. I
25	have Denise Plumber as a voting technician and then

	Guidry / by excerpts of Deposition - Direct 148
1	formal names former names as well. For instance,
2	
	for a female you may have a former name which will
3	help with your identification.
4	"QUESTION: So the election clerk takes the ID, looks
5	it up in this tablet, do they swipe the ID in a
6	machine?
7	"ANSWER: No.
8	"QUESTION: Okay. So they just type in the last name
9	or their first name?
10	"ANSWER: Right.
11	"QUESTION: And look the person up?
12	"ANSWER: Uh-huh.
13	"QUESTION: And so the election clerk has the ID.
14	Are they the ones who decide whether the ID is
15	substantially similar to the voter list?
16	"ANSWER: Right.
17	"QUESTION: And did the County give any guidance
18	beyond what the Secretary of State's Office gave out
19	about what substantially similar means?
20	"ANSWER: Yes, that's part of our training.
21	"QUESTION: And what kind of additional guidance did
22	you give?
23	"ANSWER: Well, it was part of the training that came
24	from the Secretary of State's Office about similar
25	names and it's part of the training that we have.

150

	Guidry / by excerpts of Deposition - Direct 152
1	complaints from constituents about this photo ID law?
2	"ANSWER: Have I received any complaints?
3	"QUESTION: Yes.
4	"ANSWER: No. You mean as far as going to the
5	polling locations?
6	"QUESTION: The voters, yeah.
7	"ANSWER: No.
8	"QUESTION: Okay. Are you aware that SB 14 provides
9	a disability exemption for voters with certain types
10	of disabilities?
11	"ANSWER: I'm aware of that.
12	"QUESTION: And there's a regulation from the
13	Secretary of State's Office that offers some guidance
14	on substantially similar names, is that correct?
15	"ANSWER: That's correct.
16	"QUESTION: Okay. Let me ask you, are you aware of
17	any examples in Jefferson County of a register voter
18	who has had difficulty obtaining an EIC?
19	"ANSWER: I'm not aware.
20	"QUESTION: And when they were actually set up in
21	February, did they provide you with any assistance in
22	reaching out to the residents of Jefferson County
23	regarding the availability of the mobile EIC
24	stations?
25	"ANSWER: When they set up in February, yes they did.

	Guidry / by excerpts of Deposition - Direct 155
1	frequently from the Secretary of State's Office?
2	"ANSWER: We get advisories all the time.
3	"QUESTION: Has any groups or private organizations
4	contacted you and offered to give you assistance in
5	educating voters of Jefferson County about the
6	requirements of SB 14?
7	"ANSWER: No, they've no.
8	"QUESTION: Okay. And I believe you testified that
9	there were not provisional ballots cast during the
10	2013 Constitutional Amendment Election?
11	"ANSWER: That's correct.
12	"QUESTION: Okay. Do you know of anyone being turned
13	away from the polls during the Constitutional
14	Election Constitutional Amendment Election of 2013
15	because they did not have a photo ID?
16	"ANSWER: No, I do not.
17	"QUESTION: Okay. Well, let me ask it this way then.
18	During the Constitutional Amendment Election of 2013
19	to your knowledge was there any issues raised to you
20	with respect to problems caused by the substantially
21	similar name aspect of SB 14?
22	"ANSWER: Were there any problems raised?
23	"QUESTION: Right.
24	"ANSWER: Not that I'm aware of.
25	"QUESTION: Okay. So provisional ballots are cast

	Guidry / by excerpts of Deposition - Direct 156
1	for a lot of reasons, other than the requirements of
2	SB 14, correct?
3	"ANSWER: That's correct.
4	"QUESTION: Okay. So you think with respect to the
5	March Primary of 2014, you think there were three
6	provisional ballots cast because of SB 14?
7	"ANSWER: Uh-huh.
8	"QUESTION: And do you know what the basis of each of
9	those were? Was it because the person didn't have
10	any ID or didn't have a correct ID or do you know?
11	"ANSWER: No ID.
12	"QUESTION: No ID at all?
13	"ANSWER: Well, I can tell you in a minute. Well,
14	this one says failed to present acceptable ID on the
15	first one. The second one says failed to present
16	acceptable ID. On the third one it says expired ID
17	and on the fourth one it was expired ID.
18	"QUESTION: All right. Now did you hear any
19	complaints from anyone that they were not allowed to
20	vote in the March 2012 Primary because of a similar
21	name issue?
22	"ANSWER: No, sir.
23	"QUESTION: And I guess it would have been more a
24	dissimilar name?
25	"ANSWER: Right.

	Guidry / by excerpts of Deposition - Direct 159
1	been more comfortable if he had asked if he had
2	been asked to show his photo ID?
3	"ANSWER: I guess he was trying to figure out why the
4	same rules didn't apply to him if everybody else was
5	being asked.
6	"QUESTION: And to him, it was important anyone show
7	the photo ID, correct?
8	"ANSWER: I can assume that was his reason.
9	"QUESTION: Okay. And that's the only complaint that
10	you recall from the 2014 primary?
11	"ANSWER: Yes, that's correct.
12	"QUESTION: And there was none with respect to the
13	2013 election, correct?
14	"ANSWER: No, sir.
15	"QUESTION: All right. Then the next election was
16	the runoff election?
17	"ANSWER: Yes.
18	"QUESTION: Was that in May?
19	"ANSWER: Well, it actually wasn't the next one.
20	"QUESTION: What was the next election after the
21	March, 2014 primary?
22	"ANSWER: We had the Senate District, May 5th.
23	"QUESTION: Okay.
24	"ANSWER: That was Senate District 4, we had the May
25	5th election. And then we had the runoff on May

	Guidry / by excerpts of Deposition - Direct 160
1	27th.
2	"QUESTION: That was a special election?
3	"ANSWER: Yeah.
4	"QUESTION: Who had been the previous senator, or who
5	vacated their position?
6	"ANSWER: Tommy Williams.
7	"QUESTION: All right. Were there any provisional
8	ballots cast during that particular election?
9	"ANSWER: No, there were none.
10	"QUESTION: Okay. Were there any similar names
11	afterwards filled out during that election?
12	"ANSWER: There were none.
13	"QUESTION: Did you receive any complaints that
14	anyone complained that they were not allowed to vote
15	during that election because of any of the any of
16	the photo ID requirements?
17	"ANSWER: Not that I'm aware of.
18	"QUESTION: Okay. Did anyone from your office report
19	to you they had hear complaints that someone had not
20	been allowed to vote because of the requirements of
21	SB 14 or photo ID?
22	"ANSWER: No, sir.
23	"QUESTION: Okay. So with respect to well, let's
24	just go on. The next election then would have been
25	the runoff, correct?

	Guidry / by excerpts of Deposition - Direct 162
1	"ANSWER: I don't think it's prevented people from
2	voting.
3	"QUESTION: Do you see anything in the numbers of
4	those elections that would indicate to you that there
5	has been a decrease in voting in Jefferson County
6	after the implementation of SB 14?
7	"ANSWER: No, sir.
8	"QUESTION: Okay. Whose idea was it to send out with
9	the tax property tax statement, I assume?
10	"ANSWER: Right.
11	"QUESTION: Whose idea was it to send out, with
12	property tax statements, the SB 14 information?
13	"ANSWER: It was mine.
14	"QUESTION: Why did you do that?
15	"ANSWER: Because it was the cheapest way I could
16	think to reach all the people in Jefferson County.
17	"QUESTION: Okay. And do you think that was
18	effective?
19	"ANSWER: I hope so.
20	"QUESTION: When will your office have to start
21	preparing to get ready for the election, for the 2014
22	election?
23	"ANSWER: I've already begun.
24	"QUESTION: You've already begun?
25	"ANSWER: We've already begun. We already contacted

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"ANSWER: There were not. They did contact me prior to the November election, but they contacted me like days before they wanted me to bring the unit, and I didn't think that was very beneficial because it did give us adequate time to notify the people in order to give them adequate time to be anywhere. You know, if it's going to be a benefit, I think you need to have time to at least be able to circulate maybe to the church or to the organizations, 'Hey, they're going to be here,' so you would have a good attendance. "QUESTION: So the Secretary of State's office contacted you about having a mobile EIC unit for the 14

November election, but you declined because you didn't feel you had enough time to organize it? "ANSWER: That's correct.

"QUESTION: So for the March election you said you had two mobile units before those. And how far in advance was that arranged, can you recall? Was it a few days or a few weeks before the election that you had those units?

"ANSWER: Well, I think they actually came in February. They actually came in February because what they had told me in November when I declined, they said, 'Well, if you don't want us to come now,

	Guidry / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 167
1	time to advertise the mobile units?
2	"ANSWER: Well, it was better than a couple days. It
3	was better than a couple days.
4	"QUESTION: And you mentioned two locations were
5	used. What were those two locations?
6	"ANSWER: One location was the Alice Keith Community
7	Center on Highland. That's here in Beaumont. And
8	the other one was the Port Arthur Public Library. Of
9	course, that's in Port Arthur. And the dates were
10	February 26th and February 27th.
11	MS. SIMSON: Okay. And, your Honor, we're now going
12	to turn to page 53, line 13.
13	"QUESTION: So were the mobile units ever sent to
14	special events, like fairs?
15	"ANSWER: No. That was the only time that they were
16	down here.
17	"QUESTION: Was there ever any discussion about
18	sending mobile units to senior citizen centers?
19	"ANSWER: No. This was the only time that they were
20	going to come down here. This is the only time
21	offered to us, period.
22	"QUESTION: So the only instance of voter fraud that
23	you can recall is this one potential fraud related to
24	a request for a mail-in ballot?
25	"ANSWER: Right.

	Guidry / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 168
1	"QUESTION: And have you referred this case to the
2	district attorney's office?
3	"ANSWER: I have.
4	"QUESTION: Are you aware of any instances of voter
5	fraud in Jefferson County that SB 14 could have
6	prevented?
7	"ANSWER: No.
8	"QUESTION: So the only instance of voter fraud that
9	you're aware of is a mail-in ballot?
10	"ANSWER: That's correct.
11	"QUESTION: Did the Secretary of State's office
12	contact you at all about how the implementation of
13	SB 14 went in any of the elections?
14	"ANSWER: No, they have not.
15	"QUESTION: Did the Secretary of State's office
16	collect any information from you about how the
17	implementation of SB 14 went?
18	"ANSWER: No, they have not.
19	MS. SIMSON: And we're going to turn to page 76, line
20	22. Sorry, line 9.
21	"QUESTION: The county also has the ability to issue
22	certified copies of birth certificates; is that
23	correct?
24	"ANSWER: That's correct.
25	"QUESTION: And what is the cost of getting a

	Guidry / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 175
_	
1	"ANSWER: No ID.
2	"QUESTION: No ID at all?
3	"ANSWER: Well, I can tell you in a minute. Well,
4	this one says, 'Failed to present acceptable ID,' on
5	the first one. The second one says, 'Failed to
6	present acceptable ID.' On the third one, it says,
7	'Expired ID.' And on the fourth one, it was,
8	'Expired ID.'
9	"QUESTION: Okay. So
10	"ANSWER: So I had two expired and two failed to
11	present.
12	"QUESTION: Okay.
13	"ANSWER: So it was four for the March 4th primary.
14	"QUESTION: Okay. And were any of those provisional
15	ballots cured?
16	"ANSWER: No, sir.
17	"QUESTION: Okay. So with respect to well, let's
18	just go on. The next election then would have been
19	the runoff, correct?
20	"ANSWER: The runoff, May 27th.
21	"QUESTION: All right. And with respect to the May
22	27th runoff, were provisional ballots cast?
23	"ANSWER: Yes.
24	"QUESTION: Okay. How many were cast?
25	"ANSWER: I think there were seven, but three

1 that normally would have been passed as a matter of 2 routine. To delay consideration of the bill on the major State calendar, it was certainly, again, met 3 with sort of stiff partisan opposition in the House. 4 5 But I can't recall the specific details of its 6 demise. 7 "OUESTION: Is there a term that is used to refer to when there's a lot of talking about a bill to stop consideration of other bills? 10 "ANSWER: 'Chubbing' is the word or term around the 11 Capitol that's used, yes. Again, it's -- there's no 12 filibuster allowed in the House. They have strict 13 rules on the amount of time a person may speak, but 14 if every single person uses every second of time on 15 every single bill to delay consideration of other 16 bills or other actions, 'chubbing' is the term that's 17 often used. 18 "QUESTION: And how did opponents of Senate Bill 362 19 -- how did they manage to chub it to death, so to 20 speak? 21 "ANSWER: Me memory from watching it on TV, because I 22 was not in the House, is that on other calendars, the 23 major State calendars, just one calendar bill the 24 House considers is that there were other calendars 25 for that, or days before that even, wherein the

"ANSWER: Right. Well, I should say if they're a resident, but currently out of the state, they may vote. But yes, generally, that's right.

"QUESTION: Assuming someone moved to another state with intent to remain in that other state and they were not a Texas resident, then suppose that individual came back into the state with a driver's license with their former Texas address and a picture, that would be a qualifying ID under SB 14, correct?

"ANSWER: Uh-huh.

"QUESTION: So under that circumstance, it would not prevent an ineligible voter who should not be on the voter rolls from participating in an election; isn't that right?

"ANSWER: Right. Like I said, there's no perfect system. The universe of potential crimes or ineligible votes that would be case is larger without this bill, and it is smaller with this bill, but it's not a perfect system. Of course, fraud still exists, and that's why lots of other bills have been able to be introduced regarding voter fraud. There are lots of types of fraud and efforts to stop that.

"QUESTION: And then finally, what facts were you aware of at the time that you wrote this, that SB 14

At the time of this, yes.

25

	Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Direct 184
1	"QUESTION: And that cost was \$22 at a minimum,
2	correct?
3	"ANSWER: Yes. But it's not today, as I understand
4	it.
5	"QUESTION: But at the time the bill was being
6	considered when you wrote these bullet points, the
7	cost was \$22, right?
8	"ANSWER: Again, yes, and this bullet point says,
9	'Access to free photo ID cards.' The cards are free.
10	"QUESTION: But the underlying documentation to get
11	the free card is not free, correct?
12	"ANSWER: If you already have a birth certificate,
13	it's free.
14	"QUESTION: If you don't have a birth certificate,
15	it's not free, though, right?
16	"ANSWER: It would have been \$22, and now I believe
17	it's either zero zero to \$3.
18	"QUESTION: Doesn't that card show the person's
19	identity? You can see the picture and you can see
20	the person.
21	"ANSWER: A forged student ID with a photograph would
22	be less secure, yes. In my opinion, it's more easily
23	forged than the types of documents or IDs permissible
24	under SB 14.
25	"QUESTION: But the purpose of the photo ID

- all of those documents presented during that debate.
- 2 "QUESTION: Can you identify any studies that he
- 3 reviewed to satisfy himself that it would not reduce
- 4 turnout among minority voters?"
- 5 MR. WHITLEY: And there's an objection.
- 6 "QUESTION: You may answer.
- 7 "ANSWER: I don't remember specific names of reports
- 8 or studies. I do remember generally that Senator
- 9 Wentworth's presentation included voter turnout in
- 10 states that implemented voter ID.
- 11 "QUESTION: Are you aware of any facts or basis for
- 12 including concealed handguns as an acceptable form of
- 13 ID, but not Medicare cards or student ID cards?
- 14 | "ANSWER: Well, from my own knowledge, I would -- I
- know that the concealed handgun licenses are issued
- 16 by DPS, which also issues driver's licenses and
- 17 election ID cards and personal identification cards.
- 18 I know they have personal -- identifying information
- and expiration dates on their face. Medicare cards,
- 20 I'm honestly not sure what those look like. I'm not
- sure if they include expiration dates or other
- identifying information. Student Ids, I've talked
- about before. I think even if as in the proposed
- amendment in the Senate, you say accredited public
- 25 university in Texas, that's still a very, very large

	Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 188
1	"ANSWER: I have not seen any evidence to convince me
2	of that."
3	MS. WESTFALL: Elizabeth Westfall for the United
4	States, and Sam Oliker-Friedland will play Bryan Hebert. May I
5	approach?
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	MR. SCOTT: By far the best reader.
8	THE COURT: Yeah, I agree. He takes his role
9	seriously.
10	(Laughter)
11	MS. WESTFALL: That's why he was selected. Thank you
12	for the kind words.
13	EXAMINATION OF BRYAN HEBERT
14	BY EXCERPTS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY
15	(QUESTIONS READ BY MS. WESTFALL; ANSWERS READ BY
16	MR. OLIKER-FRIEDLAND)
17	"QUESTION: During the five-year period that you
18	served as Mr. Dewhurst's deputy general counsel, you
19	were the point person for the Secretary of State's
20	office; is that right?
21	"ANSWER: Correct.
22	"QUESTION: Fair to say you're quite familiar with
23	the Texas election code?
24	"ANSWER: Correct.
25	"QUESTION: So was there a time when Mr. Dewhurst

	Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 189
1	rehired you?
2	"ANSWER: Yes.
3	"QUESTION: When was that?
4	"ANSWER: That was in October of 2012.
5	"QUESTION: What position did he hire you for?
6	"ANSWER: General counsel.
7	"QUESTION: Turning your attention back to Exhibit
8	155
9	MS. WESTFALL: Which is PL-205.
10	"QUESTION: Mr. Hebert, do you recognize this
11	document?
12	"ANSWER: It looks like an email I sent to Janice
13	McCoy for use or it says, 'For your use as
14	needed,' and it is a series of attachments relating
15	to talking points and arguments in support of Senate
16	Bill 362 as well as some overview of various election
17	laws and processes used.
18	"QUESTION: And you just described a bunch several
19	attachments to the email to Exhibit 155. Did you
20	draft all those attachments yourself?
21	"ANSWER: This looks like my memory is that, yes,
22	I drafted or at least substantially drafted these
23	documents.
24	"QUESTION: Turning your attention to the date of the
25	email, what is the date of the email?

	Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 190
1	"ANSWER: March 4th, 2009.
2	"QUESTION: Was this email sent to Ms. McCoy shortly
3	before the Committee of the Whole's consideration of
4	Senate Bill 362?
5	"ANSWER: I'd have to refer to the history again.
6	The email is from March 4th and the committee took
7	testimony on March 10th. It was passed after that.
8	So yes, I mean, it was before consideration by the
9	Senate.
10	"QUESTION: And several days before; is that correct?
11	"ANSWER: Correct.
12	"QUESTION: When did you draft the attachments to
13	Exhibit 155?
14	"ANSWER: I do not recall.
15	"QUESTION: Roughly around the same time as the
16	email?
17	"ANSWER: I can't recall. It may have been the fall
18	before session. It may have been right before. I
19	can't recall.
20	"QUESTION: Do you know why you drafted the
21	attachments?
22	"ANSWER: For her use as needed. Again, I think to
23	help with passage of the bill by providing outlines
24	of relevant law and arguments to extend to opponents
25	of the bill.

	Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 193
1	photo ID?
2	"ANSWER: I don't recall what I was drawing
3	comparison to. Different forms of bills is all I can
4	say.
5	"QUESTION: Is it fair to say that without the
6	provision allowing use of non-photo ID in Senate Bill
7	362, that it would increase the likelihood of
8	disenfranchisement for these groups?
9	"ANSWER: It's possible.
10	"QUESTION: Did you write this bullet about
11	preclearance
12	MS. WESTFALL: And turning down to number 5,
13	preclearance.
14	"QUESTION: because you believed that allowing
15	more forms of ID would decrease the bill's
16	discriminatory impact on voters?
17	"ANSWER: I believe that this bill, what it says,
18	increases the chances of preclearance because it had
19	because of the list of acceptable ID in the bill.
20	"QUESTION: Turning your attention to 00087014, the
21	last page of Exhibit 155, Process for Obtaining a
22	Texas Birth Certificate. When Senate Bill 362 was
23	under consideration, did you consider the cost of
24	obtaining photo IDs required under that law bill?
25	"ANSWER: Looking at this page, it's clear that I

	Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 195
1	copies of birth certificates.
2	"QUESTION: You've been handed Exhibit 161.
3	MS. WESTFALL: Which is PL-845.
4	"QUESTION: Do you recognize this document?
5	"ANSWER: Appears to be a copy of some version of
6	SB 14.
7	"QUESTION: Do you see the date at the bottom?
8	"ANSWER: Looks like the date January 12, 2011.
9	"QUESTION: And you were involved in developing
10	Senate Bill 14; is that right?
11	"ANSWER: I had conversations with Janice McCoy
12	regarding the bill, and I had been working on it
13	previous sessions. Yes, I think it's fair to say I
14	was aware, and I was certainly and for my office's
15	purposes in charge of analyzing and tracking the
16	bill.
17	"QUESTION: Were you involved in drafting Senate Bill
18	14?
19	"ANSWER: I don't recall. I may have. I have a
20	background of drafting bills. It's possible that I
21	may have helped with the language, sure.
22	"QUESTION: Do you recall what sources you consulted,
23	if any, to draft Senate Bill 14?
24	"ANSWER: To the extent I did draft or help with
25	drafting language, I assume I would have looked at

	Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 197
1	ID?
2	"ANSWER: If the person executed an affidavit stating
3	that they were indigent or had a religious objection
4	or were not otherwise challenged, then it looks like,
5	yes, that the provisional ballot would have been
6	counted.
7	"QUESTION: This amendment was adopted by the Senate,
8	was it not?
9	"ANSWER: I don't have the vote on that.
10	"QUESTION: Sir, does it indicate on page 138 the
11	vote?
12	"ANSWER: I'm sorry, yes.
13	"QUESTION: Was it adopted by the Senate?
14	"ANSWER: Yes.
15	"QUESTION: Was this provision included in the final
16	version of Senate Bill 14 that's signed into law?
17	"ANSWER: I do not believe it was.
18	"QUESTION: Had this been adopted into the final
19	version of the bill signed into law, would it have
20	reduced the burden on poor voters?
21	"ANSWER: I think it would would it have reduced
22	the burden on poor voters if this had been adopted?
23	It's possible.
24	"QUESTION: Are poor voters disproportionately
25	minority?

	Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 198
1	"ANSWER: I don't know that to be true, but I suspect
2	that to be true.
3	"QUESTION: Do you see that there's a floor amendment
4	Number 12 offered by Senator Davis to prohibit state
5	agencies from charging fees for the issuance of
6	acceptable forms of photo ID under Senate Bill 14 or
7	for underlying documentation
8	"ANSWER: Yes.
9	"QUESTION: to get those forms of ID?
10	"ANSWER: Yes.
11	"QUESTION: Was this amendment adopted by the Senate?
12	"ANSWER: No.
13	"QUESTION: Had this been adopted, would this have
14	reduced the burden on poor voters?
15	"ANSWER: It's possible, although, again, today, I
16	think the charge for a birth certificate is \$3 or
17	zero dollars, depending on the county.
18	"QUESTION: But at the time Senate Bill 14 was
19	adopted by the legislature, that was not the case,
20	correct?
21	"ANSWER: Correct.
22	"QUESTION: You've been handed what's been marked as
23	Exhibit 163.
24	MS. WESTFALL: Which is PL-271.
25	"QUESTION: Do you recognize this document?

ID and make sure that their ballot is counted. 1 2 "QUESTION: Do you think that having to go back to an election official a second time is more burdensome or 3 less burdensome than voting a provisional ballot once 4 5 and having election officials determine whether that's valid from the standpoint of the voters? 6 7 "ANSWER: It's all part of casting a vote. Two trips is more than one trip. But again, in terms of process of voting, there's lots of steps. In Texas, 10 for example, in primaries and caucuses and things, 11 there are multiple meetings and steps you have to 12 have to have your voice counted. So yes, if you're 13 asking me is two trips more than one, yes, it is. Is 14 it more burdensome? You know, it's part of casting a 15 vote, but I don't think it's too burdensome. 16 "QUESTION: In terms of the inconvenience of voting, 17 are you aware that to obtain an EIC, you must present 18 a document that indicates your U. S. citizenship? 19 "ANSWER: I'm not aware. I'm aware that -- my 20 understanding is that the EIC runs parallel to 21 driver's licenses. And obviously, the distinction 22 being that one is for voting only and one is for a broader set of functions. So I can't say that I know 23 24 that to be a fact.

Are you aware that Senate Bill 14

25

	Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 204
1	14 to be precleared; did it not?
2	"ANSWER: Yes.
3	"QUESTION: It wanted to enforce Senate Bill 14; did
4	it not?
5	"ANSWER: Yes.
6	"QUESTION: Do you see that in this email you made
7	the suggestion that the legislature might consider
8	adding a longer list of acceptable photo IDs?
9	"ANSWER: Yes. It says to increase the chances, you
10	might consider adding a list of additional IDs.
11	"QUESTION: And you proposed using language in
12	Georgia's law which includes ID issued by the federal
13	government, state government, or local government
14	within the state?
15	"ANSWER: That's correct.
16	"QUESTION: And you also suggested at a minimum, you
17	might include language from Senate Bill 362
18	concerning valid ID issued by an agency or
19	institution of the federal government or agency or
20	institution of political subdivision of the state.
21	Do you see that suggestion?
22	"ANSWER: Yes.
23	"QUESTION: Why did you suggest adding these forms of
24	ID to the bill?
25	"ANSWER: Again, I think we know that Georgia's law

	Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 211
1	"QUESTION: Did you circulate this summary to anybody
2	else besides the recipients of the first page of
3	Exhibit 176?
4	"ANSWER: I don't recall whether I did or not.
5	"QUESTION: Was this summary reviewed and approved by
6	anyone in your office before it went out?
7	"ANSWER: Probably not, but I can't be sure.
8	"QUESTION: Did Lieutenant Governor Dewhurst see this
9	summary?
10	"ANSWER: I can't recall. It's possible.
11	"QUESTION: Do you see it was dated January 27th,
12	2011?
13	"ANSWER: The email is dated January 27th, 2011, yes.
14	"QUESTION: Was this email drafted after the Senate
15	had adopted Senate Bill 14?
16	"ANSWER: It appears that yes, it was.
17	"QUESTION: Do you see
18	MS. WESTFALL: Turning back to the bill summary.
19	"QUESTION: the first sentence characterizes SB 14
20	as the strictest photo ID bill in the country?
21	"ANSWER: Yes, arguably the strictest photo ID bill.
22	"QUESTION: What was your assessment based on?
23	"ANSWER: The comparison of Texas requirements to
24	states that had other photo ID requirements.
25	"QUESTION: What made it what particular facets of

	Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 213
1	Senate Bill 14?
2	"ANSWER: I am generally familiar.
3	"QUESTION: Did the Lieutenant Governor take any
4	actions to respond to that decision?
5	"ANSWER: I can't remember any specific action.
6	"QUESTION: Did he propose any changes to Senate Bill
7	14?
8	"ANSWER: I can't recall.
9	"QUESTION: Did he or any senator urge that
10	amendments to Senate Bill 14 be made to address any
11	of the concerns raised by the court?
12	"ANSWER: I can't recall specific suggestions.
13	"QUESTION: Are you aware of any in-person voter
14	impersonation that occurred in the State of Texas
15	during the 2012 presidential election?
16	"ANSWER: I am not personally aware of any.
17	"QUESTION: Are you aware of any in-person voter
18	impersonation that occurred in Texas during any
19	election between August, 2012, and June, 2013?
20	"ANSWER: I cannot recall being aware of any.
21	"QUESTION: Were you involved in drafting the EIC
22	provision that was adopted during the conference?
23	"ANSWER: I believe I was.
24	"QUESTION: How did you develop that language?
25	"ANSWER: I believe my intent was to have it parallel

	Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross 216
1	burden only those minorities. So possibly, just to
2	answer, I guess.
3	"QUESTION: Are minority voters in Texas more likely
4	to be poor than Anglos, to your knowledge?
5	"ANSWER: I would assume, I mean, I think
6	historically poor populations have generally had
7	higher representation among minorities, that's fair.
8	And that's probably true in Texas and every other
9	state."
10	MS. WESTFALL: Thank you. No other questions.
11	THE COURT: All right. Let's recess for lunch, and
12	if you'll return at 1:30.
13	MR. SPEAKER: You said 1:30?
14	THE COURT: One-thirty.
15	MR. SPEAKER: Thank you.
16	(A recess was taken from 11:59 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.; parties
17	present)
18	THE COURT: You can have a seat.
19	MR. SCOTT: Your Honor, a couple of housekeeping
20	things Ms. Wolf for the State will address from our
21	perspective.
22	MS. WOLF: Your Honor, in terms of the exhibits, I
23	think when we started we only moved in or sought to move in
24	the first batch, and since both parties have been filing
25	supplemental lists.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

MS. WOLF: So the plan is to keep, I guess, the final submission of the list open until Thursday, because we need to coordinate with the other side and make sure that everybody has got the right list and all the agreements that have been worked out are reflected in what goes for the final version of the Court.

But at this time, subject to that, Defendants would seek to move in, I believe it's exhibits -- whatever is remaining until 2750, which is the last one we're going to mark, subject to the agreement that we've made with the Plaintiffs in terms of reserving objections.

MR. ROSENBERG: And that's right, your Honor. And we, on the Plaintiffs' side, will also be moving in at this time all of our supplemental exhibit lists. I don't have the numbers with us --

**THE COURT:** Okay.

18 MR. ROSENBERG: -- again, subject to the same 19 agreement.

**THE COURT:** But those are by agreement, right?

MR. ROSENBERG: Right.

MS. WOLF: Yes.

THE COURT: So those are admitted. But there was a couple when we started on the 2nd, before we began the trial, we discussed some exhibits, right, that needed --

MS. WOLF: Yes. 1 2 THE COURT: -- to be addressed by the Court still, and you mentioned one earlier. 3 4 MS. WOLF: Yes. 5 THE COURT: But I thought there were a couple other 6 ones --7 MS. WOLF: There --8 THE COURT: -- too. 9 MS. WOLF: There are two others -- and I don't know 10 if Mr. Hebert wants to address them -- there were two others 11 that they were -- oh, Emma, if you want to address them. 12 MS. SIMSON: I think we can withdraw them. 13 MS. WOLF: Withdraw them? 14 THE COURT: Well, and we don't --15 MS. WOLF: Okay. 16 THE COURT: -- have to do that right now. I just 17 know there's still something left --18 MS. WOLF: No, and I --19 THE COURT: -- hanging --20 MS. WOLF: -- appreciate it. You guys --21 MS. SIMSON: Yeah. 22 MS. WOLF: So they're going to withdraw those two 23 exhibits. 24 THE COURT: Okay.

So we'll reflect that in what we submit --

THE COURT: So the only thing --1 2 MS. WOLF: -- on Thursday. 3 **THE COURT:** -- that's left is the one with Guidry? 4 MS. WOLF: Yes, ma'am. 5 THE COURT: That's all that's still --6 MS. WOLF: For exhibits, yes. 7 THE COURT: For that. Okay. 8 MS. WOLF: And, and --9 MR. SCOTT: Your Honor, I think just from 10 clarification, I know that there's some exhibits I think in the 11 common interest group that have some personal identification 12 information on it. I think there's a social security card and 13 some other things, and my understanding is they're going to 14 replace those with redacted versions. I just want to make sure 15 the record is clear. 16 That's one of the --17 THE COURT: Okay. MR. SCOTT: -- housekeeping things that will take 18 19 place. 20 THE COURT: And then the other matter, also, 21 Mr. Dunn, I believe, you and Mr. Scott were going to discuss 22 the -- what's going to be presented -- the offer of proof, I 23 guess --24 MR. DUNN: Yes, Judge, and --25 THE COURT: -- right?

```
1
              MR. DUNN: -- they've provided that to me, and I've
 2
    looked at it. Obviously, we preserve our objections, but it's
    a legitimate offer of proof.
 3
                          Okay.
 4
              THE COURT:
                                 So --
 5
              MR. SCOTT:
                           It is made to the Court -- or accepted to
 6
    be placed in under seal as part of the agreement with
 7
    Ms. London on behalf of the Democratic senators.
 8
              THE COURT:
                         And you're giving that to Brandy, or she
 9
    already has it?
              MR. SCOTT: I believe she --
10
11
              THE COURT:
                           Okay.
12
              MR. SCOTT:
                          -- already has that.
13
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. Okay.
14
              MR. SCOTT:
                          Yes, your Honor.
15
              THE COURT:
                           So we're good on that.
16
              MS. WOLF:
                         And then one additional matter, your
17
    Honor, the deposition designations that haven't been read into
18
    the record.
19
              My understanding is we're going to -- there's been
20
    some discussion on things that need to be eliminated from those
```

My understanding is we're going to -- there's been some discussion on things that need to be eliminated from those with the Plaintiffs, so that's also going to come in. We'll get the final package on Thursday of everything that's -- which eliminates what we've agreed to take out.

And I believe there are two depositions that were

21

22

23

24

25

very recently that we may be doing some counter-designations

- 1 for.
- 2 **THE COURT:** Okay. Wait.
- 3 MS. WOLF: Sure.
- 4 **THE COURT:** What are you talking about?
- 5 MS. WOLF: Okay.
- 6 THE COURT: Because what I've been considering is
- 7 | what you all have been reading. You all gave me two under
- 8 | seal. So what else? You all are going to give me some more to
- 9 | just read?
- 10 MS. WOLF: Well, I think --
- 11 MR. ROSENBERG: Well, I can talk on the Plaintiffs'
- 12 side.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 14 MR. ROSENBERG: To the extent that deposition
- 15 designations were not read and we still --
- 16 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 17 MR. ROSENBERG: -- feel it's important, either they
- 18 | will be specifically referenced in the findings of fact or
- 19 perhaps addressed in closing.
- 20 We -- on Saturday by agreement, we have -- we have
- 21 until Saturday to put in our counters and objections to the
- 22 | Section 5 designations that were made last week -- that sort of
- 23 thing.
- 24 But, again, we --
- 25 **THE COURT:** Okay.

```
1
              MR. ROSENBERG: -- will not just say, "Your Honor,
 2
    read this." It will --
              THE COURT: No, you will not --
 3
 4
              MR. ROSENBERG: You will be specifically --
              THE COURT: -- say that. You will not say that.
 5
              MR. ROSENBERG: And our goal, quite frankly, your
 6
 7
    Honor, is by Thursday to make sure there's no loose ends
 8
    between --
 9
              THE COURT: Uh-huh.
              MR. ROSENBERG: -- the Defendants and the Plaintiffs
10
11
    for your Honor. That --
12
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
              MR. ROSENBERG: We have -- the exhibits are the
13
14
    exhibits. The designations are the designations.
15
              THE COURT: Okay.
16
              MR. SHAPIRO: And, your Honor, I'm --
              MS. WOLF: Great.
17
              MR. SHAPIRO: -- just looking for loose ends -- just
18
19
    as promised before lunch, these are the excerpts from the
20
    depositions of Debra Frary and Michelle Flusher --
21
              THE COURT: Okay.
22
              MR. SHAPIRO: -- which we now have marked as
    Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1160. We would like to --
23
24
              THE COURT: Okay. You can give it to Brandy.
25
              MR. SHAPIRO:
                            -- move --
```

- 1 MR. ROSENBERG: And just one more thing before --
- 2 MS. WOLF: Go ahead.
- MR. ROSENBERG: In that regard, I think both the -
  both Plaintiffs and the Defendants will be -- I guess now are

  -- we will be moving into evidence the -- all the deposition

  designations, again, subject to the prior agreement.
- 7 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 8 MS. WOLF: And Defendants as well, your Honor, will 9 be seeking to move the deposition designations in, subject to 10 the prior agreement.
- MS. SIMSON: Your Honor, one quick note. Yesterday,
  we talked about some of the expert reports getting amended in
  light of information about the 183,000 people --
- 14 THE COURT: Uh-huh.
- MS. SIMSON: -- that had been added. We just wanted
  to note from the Veasey-LULAC point of -- perspective,

  Dr. Herron's report will not be updated, because he presented

THE COURT:

data both with those numbers and without.

Okay.

18

- MS. SIMSON: So the sections of his report that are no longer going to be relied upon are Pages 50 to Pages 55,

  Line 3. That's where he had included the additional 183,000, but the remainder of his report relies on the data that is now going to be correct.
- 25 And then the other thing is in the main summary of

1 findings, he has summary of findings related to the 183,000, 2 and those are Page 8, Line 16 --3 THE COURT: But when you all amend your findings, are 4 you just going to supplement what you've already given the 5 Court, or are you providing something entirely -- a new document that stands by itself? 6 7 MR. ROSENBERG: We're going to be doing a new document that stands by itself. 8 9 MR. SCOTT: But we will --10 THE COURT: With designations --11 MR. SCOTT: -- also be providing a redline version, 12 as we understood the Court's rule. So to the extent we had 13 proposed findings of facts and conclusions of law --14 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 15 -- the changes from that document -- it's MR. SCOTT: 16 our understanding the Court wants us to provide a redline 17 document on those, or you don't? 18 THE COURT: I --19 MR. ROSENBERG: He has different --20 THE COURT: -- don't need that, because are you all 21 -- I'm assuming you all are going to be citing -- we talked 22 about this yesterday -- to the trial testimony at this point 23 and the --24 MR. ROSENBERG: Yes.

-- evidence in the trial, right?

1 MR. SCOTT: So don't? I don't think so. 2 THE COURT: 3 MR. SCOTT: Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: 4 Okay. 5 THE COURT: Let me think about that one; but, no, I don't think so. 6 7 MR. SPEAKER: Did you tell her what exhibit number 8 that was? 9 MS. SIMSON: And that's exhibit --THE COURT: 10 And --11 MS. SIMSON: Plaintiffs' Exhibit --THE COURT: And did Brandy tell you all maybe we 12 13 should start at 8:30 on the 22nd? 14 MR. ROSENBERG: 8:30. Okay. Thank you, your Honor. 15 MS. WOLF: Your Honor, one additional housekeeping 16 matter. Mr. Scott tells me we can unseal Dr. Milyo and 17 Dr. Hood's reports. 18 MR. SCOTT: With the permission of the Court. 19 MS. WOLF: With the permission of the Court. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So we'll unseal those. 21 Now -- and I guess we'll address this when the 22 Defendants finish. A lot of experts were offered, and I 23 believe the agreement had been, you know, qualification-wise, 24 we were kind of waiting, and then I've never actually ruled on

25

those.

1 veterans, living veterans who are 50 percent or 2 greater disabled, and then we conducted a number of matching algorithms once we -- through this process. 3 There were a series of matches that we ran. 4 5 "QUESTION: It says here in Paragraph 3, 'VBA was able to load what looks to be less than the original 6 7 -- was able to load a number that is less than the original number of records that it received; is that 9 correct? 10 "ANSWER: Yes. "QUESTION: It then states that, 'The 8,763 voter 11 12 records that the VBA was not able to load and analyze 13 as part of the database comparison process contained 14 embedded commas in an address or in details." 15 that correct? 16 "ANSWER: Yes. 17 "QUESTION: So from that statement, can you describe 18 for me why the VBA was not able to load and analyze 19 those 8,763 voter records? 20 "ANSWER: Certainly. So the database input file contained a number of fields. We told our database 21 22 that say there -- I can't remember the exact number. 23 Say there were 50. We had to tell the database that 24 there would be 50 fields, and that these fields would 25 be separated by commas, meaning the database would

1	look would be looking for 59 commas separating
2	those 60 fields. Every time the database reads a
3	comma it moves to a different field. If there are
4	commas in the middle of the field, the database does
5	not know not to use them. In other words, it loaded
6	the first 59 segments of data separated by commas,
7	and there was still data left over at the end. The
8	database does not know what to do with it, so it
9	rejected them.
10	"QUESTION: And that's the 8,763 voter records
11	referred to there?
12	"ANSWER: Correct.
13	"QUESTION: Those were rejected by the database?
14	"ANSWER: Correct.
15	"QUESTION: Were those records, the rejected records,
16	were they ever properly inputted or analyzed?
17	"ANSWER: We did nothing further with those records.
18	I think we provided them back to DOJ.
19	"QUESTION: And did DOJ ever resubmit the file
20	formatted correctly or differently so that those
21	8,763 voter records would not be rejected by your
22	database?
23	"ANSWER: No.
24	"QUESTION: Okay. So those 8,763 voter records that
25	were on file originally that were on the file

	Smith / by excerpts of Deposition 230
1	originally given to you were ultimately never
2	analyzed in this matching process; is that correct?
3	"ANSWER: Correct.
4	"QUESTION: Do you have any idea what was contained
5	in those 8,763 voter records?
6	"ANSWER: No.
7	"QUESTION: I'm sorry. You may have already
8	mentioned this. I believe you said you brought it to
9	DOJ's attention that those records were rejected,
10	correct?
11	"ANSWER: Yes.
12	"QUESTION: And how did you let them know that?
13	"ANSWER: I think we sent them an e-mail.
14	"QUESTION: Do you remember who you sent it to?
15	"ANSWER: Not offhand, not based on my recollection.
16	"QUESTION: Did you send that e-mail?
17	"ANSWER: I can't remember if it was me or one of my
18	technical staff.
19	"QUESTION: And did the DOJ ever respond to that
20	e-mail?
21	"ANSWER: I can't recall, actually."
22	MR. TATUM: (Indiscernible).
23	THE COURT: Okay. Anything from the Plaintiff on
24	this witness? Plaintiffs?
25	MS. BALDWIN: We have a binder just of our federal

	Rudolph / by excerpts of Deposition 231
1	agency designations, but we're not going to be reading from
2	them.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. TATUM: And I'll be reading from the deposition
5	of Michelle Rudolph.
6	EXAMINATION OF MICHELLE RUDOLPH
7	BY EXCERPTS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY
8	(QUESTIONS READ BY MR. TATUM; ANSWERS READ BY COUNSEL)
9	"QUESTION: Michelle, would you please state and
10	spell your full name, please?
11	"ANSWER: Michelle Saunders Rudolph, M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E,
12	S-A-U-N-D-E-R-S, R-U-D-O-L-P-H.
13	"QUESTION: Michelle, are you currently employed?
14	"ANSWER: Yes.
15	"QUESTION: Where are you employed?
16	"ANSWER: In Seaside, California.
17	"QUESTION: And who is your employer?
18	"ANSWER: The Department of Defense.
19	"QUESTION: What is your official title at the
20	Department of Defense?
21	"ANSWER: I'm the acting Director for Data Analysis
22	and Programs Division at the DMDC.
23	"QUESTION: Could you tell me what your as
24	director of the Data Analysis Programs Division, can
25	you tell me what your official duties and

running it, and then oversaw the job happening.

	Rudolph / by excerpts of Deposition 233
1	"QUESTION: Okay. But you didn't you didn't
2	actually conduct the match yourself?
3	"ANSWER: No.
4	"QUESTION: That was done by Mr. Zach Simpson,
5	correct?
6	"ANSWER: Yes.
7	"QUESTION: So you all went so you all went over
8	the matching protocol provided by the Department of
9	Justice, which was included in your declaration,
10	correct?
11	"ANSWER: Yes.
12	"QUESTION: And then Mr. Zach Simpson ran the match.
13	Did you supervise or oversee his execution of the
14	match in this case?
15	"ANSWER: Yes."
16	MR. TATUM: Okay. And we can end it right there.
17	Thank you very much, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right. Anything from the Plaintiffs
19	on that?
20	MS. BALDWIN: No, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MR. TATUM: And, your Honor, one final submission.
23	This is depo cuts for some of the Veasey-LULAC Plaintiffs.
24	Counsel for those Plaintiffs submitted to you a packet of
25	certain cuts related to standing

- 1 THE COURT: Uh-huh.
- 2 MR. TATUM: -- and we'd just like to respond in kind
- 3 | with other depo cuts.
- 4 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 5 MR. TATUM: We're prepared to read them if you like,
- 6 but I have them here --
- 7 **THE COURT:** No.
- 8 MR. TATUM: -- also that --
- 9 THE COURT: I'm going to miss you all when you all
- 10 | are gone. All right.
- 11 MR. SCOTT: I have some bad news. Subject to the
- 12 agreements we've already gone into, your Honor, the Defense
- 13 rests.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. Anything further from the
- 15 | Plaintiffs?
- 16 MR. ROSENBERG: Nothing further. I think there's one
- 17 | issue, your Honor --
- 18 MR. CLAY: The -- over lunch, we filed a motion to
- 19 take judicial notice of the Solis and Saldivar indictments that
- 20 | were testified about in the case. And I think Mr. Rosenberg
- 21 had some objections he wanted to put on the record.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay. And I haven't -- it was just
- 23 | handed to me when I took the bench, so I --
- MR. ROSENBERG: Yeah, and I --
- MR. CLAY: That's --

So I

1 MR. ROSENBERG: I haven't opened it, but I'm assuming 2 it's the politiqueras --3 MR. CLAY: Yes --4 MR. ROSENBERG: -- indictments. And our position is, 5 your Honor, your Honor can take notice of the fact that those indictments were issued. 6 7 However, we object on the basis of included hearsay -- that that -- so long as it's not there for the truth of the 8 9 matter asserted. 10 We also have an objection on relevance, because it's post-enactment activity, not pre-enactment of SB 14. 11 12 But, again, we'll -- we think those should be treated 13 the same way the rest of the evidence that objections have been 14 made, but your Honor can look at it for whatever weight it's 15 worth. 16 THE COURT: Okay. I'll review it. 17 MR. CLAY: Thank you. 18 MR. ROSENBERG: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. Do we need to address the 20 experts', then, qualifications? And I can --21 MR. SCOTT: I think it was the understanding, though, 22 that they were going to be done at the conclusion of the 23 direct --24 MR. SPEAKER: Of the testimony.

-- of the testimony of the experts.

- 1 think to the extent anyone had an opportunity to assert it, if
- 2 | they weren't asserted, they're in.
- 3 MR. ROSENBERG: I think that's true.
- 4 THE COURT: So no expert I need to address, then,
- 5 | correct?
- 6 MR. SPEAKER: That's correct.
- 7 MR. SPEAKER: No, your Honor.
- 8 MS. SPEAKER: No, your Honor.
- 9 **THE COURT:** So the Court --
- 10 MR. SPEAKER: Correct.
- 11 MR. SPEAKER: Correct.
- 12 MR. SPEAKER: Correct.
- 13 MR. SPEAKER: Yes, your Honor.
- 14 **THE COURT:** I'm sorry?
- 15 MR. SPEAKER: Correct.
- 16 THE COURT: Correct. So then the Court accepts the
- 17 experts -- finds they're qualified to testify about the matters
- 18 they testified to.
- 19 You all are going to continue working on the
- 20 exhibits.
- 21 We will reconvene, then, on September 22nd at 8:30
- 22 for closing arguments.
- 23 And you all have already given me the schedule on the
- 24 | supplementation regarding the experts, except for Herron -- I
- 25 guess will no longer be a part of that.

1 Findings of fact, conclusions of law to be done by 2 Thursday at midnight --3 MR. ROSENBERG: Yep. 4 THE COURT: -- a week from today? 5 MR. ROSENBERG: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And was there anything else we need to 6 7 address? 8 MR. ROSENBERG: I think that's it. And we actually 9 have an hour left of our time, which we won't use. Wow. You all cut it close. I would like 10 THE COURT: 11 to keep your tech guys. They were all really amazing, both of 12 So I could just sit here, "Can you pull up, you know, 13 whatever exhibit for me while I sit here and work through the 14 case?" 15 But I thank you all very much. You all behaved very 16 well and I appreciate --17 MS. SPEAKER: Thank you. 18 THE COURT: -- the way you've handled the case, the 19 way you've tried the case, your courtesy and respect to each 20 other and to the Court. And I know you all have done -- you 21 all have worked really hard on this case, both sides. 22 MR. DERFNER: And I know I speak for everybody, your 23 We say it's been a pleasure to try this case before Honor. 24 you --

Thank you.

THE COURT:

1	MR. DERFNER: and your staff here.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	MR. DERFNER: And I think I speak for everybody
4	there.
5	MR. SPEAKER: Thank you.
6	MS. SPEAKER: Thank you.
7	MR. SPEAKER: Thank you, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	MS. SPEAKER: Thank you.
10	MR. SPEAKER: Thank you very much.
11	THE COURT: So you're excused.
12	MS. SPEAKER: Thank you, your Honor.
13	MR. SPEAKER: Thank you, Judge.
14	(This proceeding was adjourned at 1:47 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Join Hudson

September 12, 2014

Signed

Dated

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER