

FISA Court Opinions Index

Opinions highlighted in orange were public before the Snowden release. This chart excludes orders from the public FISC docket that did not cover substantive issues—e.g. scheduling orders.

Document	Opinion Date	Public Date	How Published
Order , <i>In re</i> Directives Pursuant to Section 105B of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, No. 105B(g) 07-01 (FISA Ct. Apr. 27, 2016) (ordering release of FISC documents that had been redacted by government declassification review in response to Yahoo! motions)	Apr. 27, 2016	Apr. 27, 2016	FISC Website
Opinion , <i>In re</i> Certified Question of Law, No. 16-01 (FISA Ct. Rev. Apr. 14, 2016) (authorizing collection of all post-cut-through digits under a pen register order in the absence of reasonably available technology to sort content from non-content information, subject to a prohibition on the affirmative investigative use of any content information thereby acquired)	Apr. 14, 2016	Aug. 22, 2016	DNI / FISC Website
Certified Question of Law to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review, <i>In</i> [REDACTED] A U.S. Person, No. PR/TT 2016-[REDACTED] (FISA Ct. Feb. 12, 2016) (question of law regarding the collection of post-cut-through digits by pen register/trap and trace)	Feb. 12, 2016	Aug. 22, 2016	DNI / FISC Website
Memorandum Opinion , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for Orders Requiring the Production of Call Detail Records, No. [REDACTED] (FISA Ct. Dec. 31, 2015) (order requiring production of call detail records)	Dec. 31, 2015	Apr. 19, 2016	DNI

<p>Opinion and Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [Redacted], No. BR 15-99 (FISA Ct. Nov. 24, 2015) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215 as amended by the USA Freedom act and authorizing retention of certain previously collected BR metadata for litigation and technical purposes)</p>	<p>Nov. 24, 2015</p>	<p>Dec. 2, 2015</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Memorandum Opinion and Order, [REDACTED], No. [REDACTED] (FISA Ct. Nov. 6, 2015) (approving NSA Section 702 targeting and minimization procedures and discussing compliance failures)</p>	<p>Nov. 6, 2015</p>	<p>Apr. 19, 2016</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Order Appointing an Amicus Curiae, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things, No. BR 15-99 (FISA Ct. Nov. 24, 2015) (appointing Preston Burton as amicus curiae)</p>	<p>Sept. 17, 2015</p>	<p>Sept. 24, 2015</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [Redacted], No. BR 15-99 (FISA Ct. Aug. 27, 2015) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215 as amended by the USA Freedom Act)</p>	<p>Aug. 27, 2015</p>	<p>Aug. 28, 2015</p>	<p>DNI / FISC Website</p>
<p>Memorandum Opinion, <i>In re</i> [REDACTED] a U.S. Person, No. PR/TT 15-52 (FISA Ct. June 18, 2015) (declining to appoint an amicus curiae)</p>	<p>June 18, 2015</p>	<p>Apr. 19, 2016</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Opinion and Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things, No. BR 15-75 / Misc. 15-01 (FISA Ct. June 29, 2015) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215 for period prior to USA Freedom Act taking effect)</p>	<p>June 29, 2015</p>	<p>July 2, 2015</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things, No. BR 15-75 (FISA Ct. June 29, 2015) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>June 29, 2015</p>	<p>July 2, 2015</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>

<p>Memorandum Opinion, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things, No. BR 15-77, 15-78 (FISA Ct. June 17, 2015) (declining to appoint an amicus curiae and finding that the USA FREEDOM Act reinstated the Section 215 business records provision of the PATRIOT Act that had lapsed on June 1, 2015 and the Court has authority to grant business records orders)</p>	<p>June 17, 2015</p>	<p>June 19, 2015</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things, No. BR 15-24 (FISA Ct. Feb. 26, 2015) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>Feb. 26, 2015</p>	<p>Approved for public release Mar. 9, 2015; Posted Mar. 11, 2015</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 14-166 (FISA Ct. Dec. 4, 2014) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>Dec. 4, 2014</p>	<p>Declassified Dec. 24, 2014; posted Jan. 12, 2015</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 14-125 (FISA Ct. Sept. 11, 2014) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>Sept. 11, 2014</p>	<p>Declassified Oct. 17, 2014; Posted Nov. 6, 2014</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Memorandum Opinion and Order, [REDACTED] (FISA Ct. Aug. 26, 2014) (approving Section 702 certifications)</p>	<p>Aug. 26, 2014</p>	<p>Sept. 29, 2015</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Opinion and Order, <i>In re</i> Orders of this Court Interpreting Section 215 of the Patriot Act, No. Misc. 13-02 (FISA Ct. Aug. 7, 2014) (ordering declassification of a redacted version of the Feb. 19, 2013 FISC opinion in No. BR-25)</p>	<p>Aug. 7, 2014</p>	<p>Aug. 8, 2014</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Memorandum Opinion, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 14-96 (FISA Ct. June 19, 2014) (approving new minimization procedures and authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>June 19, 2014</p>	<p>June 27, 2014</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>

<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 14-96 (FISA Ct. June 19, 2014) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>June 19, 2014</p>	<p>June 27, 2014</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 14-67 (FISA Ct. Mar. 28, 2014) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>Mar. 28, 2014</p>	<p>June 27, 2014</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Opinion and Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring Production of Tangible Things, No. BR 14-01 (FISA Ct. Mar. 21, 2014) (granting the motion of the plaintiffs in <i>Jewel v. NSA</i> and <i>First Unitarian Church v. NSA</i> for leave to correct the record, and ordering the government to make a filing explaining the failure to notify FISC that the <i>Jewel</i> court had granted a preservation order for BR metadata)</p>	<p>Mar. 21, 2014</p>	<p>Apr. 15, 2014</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Opinion and Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring Production of Tangible Things, No. BR 14-01 (FISA Ct. Mar. 20, 2014) (addressing a petition filed by a redacted telecommunications company and declining to modify or vacate the Jan. 3, 2014 collection order)</p>	<p>Mar. 20, 2014</p>	<p>Apr. 28, 2014</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Opinion and Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring Production of Tangible Things, No. BR 14-01 (FISA Ct. Mar. 12, 2014) (granting motion for temporary relief from five-year data destruction rule pending resolution of preservation issues raised in <i>Jewel v. NSA</i> and <i>First Unitarian Church v. NSA</i>)</p>	<p>Mar. 12, 2014</p>	<p>Apr. 15, 2014</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Opinion and Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring Production of Tangible Things, No. BR 14-01 (FISA Ct. Mar. 7, 2014) (denying government motion to retain telephony metadata beyond five years for purposes of pending civil litigation)</p>	<p>Mar. 7, 2014</p>	<p>Apr. 15, 2014</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>

<p>Order Granting the Government’s Motion to Amend the Court’s Primary Order Dated January 3, 2014, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things, No. BR 14-01 (FISA Ct. Feb. 5, 2014) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>Feb. 5, 2014</p>	<p>Feb. 12, 2014</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 14-01 (FISA Ct. Jan. 3, 2014) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>Jan. 3, 2014</p>	<p>Apr. 15, 2014</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Memorandum Opinion and Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring Production of Tangible Things, No. BR 13-158 (FISA Ct. Dec. 18, 2013) (granting motion by the Center for National Security Studies to file an <i>amicus</i> brief on why Section 215 does not authorize bulk collection of telephony metadata records, and denying motions for a public FISC docket and declassification of relevant legal arguments)</p>	<p>Dec. 18, 2013</p>	<p>Apr. 15, 2014</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Memorandum Opinion and Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 13-158 (FISA Ct. Oct. 11, 2013) (agreeing with Judge Eagan’s July 2013 opinion that collection of bulk telephone metadata meets the Section 215 relevance standard and the Fourth Amendment is inapplicable, and authorizing collection)</p>	<p>Oct. 11, 2013</p>	<p>Apr. 15, 2014</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Opinion and Order, <i>In re</i> Orders of this Court Interpreting Section 215 of the Patriot Act, No. Misc. 13-02 (FISA Ct. Sept. 13, 2013) (ruling on ACLU motion to release FISC opinions: motion denied with respect to records that are part of ongoing FOIA litigation; government ordered to conduct declassification review of other opinions)</p>	<p>Sept. 13, 2013</p>	<p>Apr. 16, 2014</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>

<p>Amended Memorandum Opinion and Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 13-109 (FISA Ct. Aug. 29, 2013) (finding that collection of bulk telephone metadata meets the “relevance” standard under Section 215 because relevant records would be buried in the bulk data, and authorizing bulk telephone metadata collection)</p>	<p>Aug. 29, 2013</p>	<p>Sept 17, 2013</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Amended Memorandum Opinion, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 13-09 (FISA Ct. July 19, 2013) (finding that collection of bulk telephone metadata is lawful under the Fourth Amendment and Section 215)</p>	<p>July 19, 2013</p>	<p>Apr. 15, 2014</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Opinion and Order, <i>In re</i> Motion for Consent to Disclosure of Court Records or, in the Alternative, A Determination of the Effect of the Court’s Rules on Statutory Access Rights, No. 13-01 (FISA Ct. June 12, 2013) (finding that FISC rules do not prevent a District Court from ordering disclosure of a FISC opinion if it is found to be subject to FOIA)</p>	<p>June 12, 2013</p>	<p>Apr. 15, 2014</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 13-80 (FISA Ct. Apr. 25, 2013) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>Apr. 25, 2013</p>	<p>June 5, 2013</p>	<p>Snowden</p>
<p>Opinion, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 13-25 (FISA Ct. Feb. 19, 2013) (finding “that (1) the tangible things sought are relevant to an authorized investigation to protect against international terrorism, and (2) the investigation is not being conducted solely upon the basis of activities protected by the first amendment.”)</p>	<p>Feb. 19, 2013, redacted version filed Aug. 27, 2014</p>	<p>Aug. 28, 2014</p>	<p>FISC Website</p>
<p>Memorandum Opinion, [REDACTED] (FISA Ct. Sept. 2012) (finding that measures adopted by the NSA to purge data from past overcollection were sufficient to make the program legal)</p>	<p>Sept. 25, 2012</p>	<p>Aug. 21, 2013</p>	<p>DNI</p>

Memorandum Opinion, [REDACTED] (part 1 and part 2) (FISA Ct. Nov. 30, 2011) (approving amended minimization procedures adopted after the Oct. 3, 2011 Order and restarting Section 702 upstream collection program)	Nov. 30, 2011	Aug. 21, 2013	DNI
Memorandum Opinion , [REDACTED], 2011 WL 10945618 (FISA Ct. Oct. 3, 2011) (Bates opinion finding that the NSA had misled the Court on the nature of the Section 702 upstream collection program and that the current minimization procedures did not comply with FISA or the Fourth Amendment)	Oct. 3, 2011	Aug. 21, 2013	DNI
Supplemental Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 11-107 (FISA Ct. June 22, 2011) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	June 22, 2011	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 11-107 (FISA Ct. June 22, 2011) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	June 22, 2011	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Supplemental Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 11-57 (FISA Ct. April 13, 2011) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	Apr. 13, 2011	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 11-57 (FISA Ct. April 13, 2011) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	Apr. 13, 2011	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Amendment to Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 11-07 (FISA Ct. Feb. 10, 2011) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	Feb. 10, 2011	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 11-07 (FISA Ct. Jan. 20, 2011) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	Jan. 20, 2011	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release

Primary Order , [REDACTED], No. PR/TT [REDACTED] (FISA Ct.) (Bates opinion authorizing collection of bulk Internet communications metadata)	Likely 2011	Aug. 11, 2014	DNI
Supplemental Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 10-82 (FISA Ct. Nov. 23, 2010) (concluding that call detail records are subject to production under FISA notwithstanding relevant provisions of the Right to Financial Privacy Act, and authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	Nov. 23, 2010	Mar. 28, 2014	DNI in response to EFF FOIA
Order , <i>In re</i> DNI / AG Certification 2010-A, No. 702(i)-10-02 (FISA Ct. Aug. 19, 2010) (order approving DNI/AG certification; refers to a contemporaneous memorandum opinion that is not public)	Aug. 19, 2010	June 30, 2014	Snowden
Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 10-70 (FISA Ct. Oct. 29, 2010) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	Oct. 29, 2010	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 10-49 (FISA Ct. Aug. 4, 2010) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	Aug. 4, 2010	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Memorandum Opinion , [REDACTED], No. PR/TT [REDACTED] (FISA Ct.) (Bates opinion restarting internet communications metadata program)	Likely July 2010	Nov. 18, 2013	DNI
Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 10-17 (FISA Ct. May 14, 2010) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	May 14, 2010	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 10-10 (FISA Ct. Feb. 26, 2010) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	Feb. 26, 2010	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release

<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 09-19 (FISA Ct. Dec. 16, 2009) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>Dec. 16, 2009</p>	<p>July 8, 2014</p>	<p>ACLU v. FBI FOIA release</p>
<p>Supplemental Opinion and Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 09-15 (FISA Ct. Nov. 5, 2009) (restricting queries of telephone metadata and requiring further information to ensure compliance)</p>	<p>Nov. 5, 2009</p>	<p>Sept. 10, 2013</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Supplemental Order, [REDACTED], No. PR/TT [REDACTED] (FISA Ct.) (Walton order shutting down Internet communications metadata program)</p>	<p>Likely Oct. 30, 2009</p>	<p>Aug. 11, 2014</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 09-15 (FISA Ct. Oct. 30, 2009) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>Oct. 30, 2009</p>	<p>July 8, 2014</p>	<p>ACLU v. FBI FOIA release</p>
<p>Order Regarding Further Compliance Incidents, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 09-13 (FISA Ct. Sept. 25, 2009) (ordering a hearing to be briefed on the NSA's improper dissemination of telephone metadata)</p>	<p>Sept. 25, 2009</p>	<p>Sept. 10, 2013</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 09-13, (FISA Ct. Sept. 3, 2009) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215 and removing extra reporting requirements)</p>	<p>Sept. 3, 2009</p>	<p>Sept. 10, 2013</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Order, [REDACTED], No. BR 06-05 (FISA Ct. July 20, 2009) (granting motion to unseal memorandum of law to share with House & Senate Intelligence & Judiciary Committees)</p>	<p>July 20, 2009</p>	<p>Nov. 18, 2013</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 09-09 (FISA Ct. July 9, 2009) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>July 9, 2009</p>	<p>July 8, 2014</p>	<p>ACLU v. FBI FOIA release</p>

<p>Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 09-06, PR/TT [REDACTED] (FISA Ct. June 22, 2009) (ordering the NSA to report any sharing outside the agency of information from the telephone metadata program, due to noncompliance with previous orders)</p>	<p>June 22, 2009</p>	<p>Sept. 10, 2013; also Nov. 18, 2013 with different redactions</p>	<p>DNI, DNI again</p>
<p>Supplemental Order, [REDACTED], No. PR/TT [REDACTED] (FISA Ct.) (Walton opinion directing the government to provide more information on Internet communication metadata program violations)</p>	<p>Likely May 29, 2009</p>	<p>Aug. 11, 2014 (provided to Congress Aug. 31, 2009)</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 09-06 (FISA Ct. May 29, 2009) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>May 29, 2009</p>	<p>Jan. 17, 2014</p>	<p>ACLU v. FBI FOIA release</p>
<p>Primary Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 09-01 (FISA Ct. Mar. 5, 2009) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>Mar. 5, 2009</p>	<p>Jan. 17, 2014</p>	<p>ACLU v. FBI FOIA release</p>
<p>Order, <i>In re</i> Production of Tangible Things, No. BR 08-13 (FISA Ct. Mar. 2, 2009) (requiring NSA to obtain Court approval for each telephone metadata database query, due to noncompliance with previous orders)</p>	<p>Mar. 2, 2009</p>	<p>Sept. 10, 2013</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Order Regarding Preliminary Notice of Compliance Incident Dated January 15, 2009, <i>In re</i> Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 08-13 (FISA Ct. Jan. 28, 2009) (Walton order requiring a government brief on telephone metadata program compliance issues)</p>	<p>Jan. 28, 2009</p>	<p>Sept. 10, 2013</p>	<p>DNI</p>
<p>Primary Order, [REDACTED], No. PRTT [REDACTED] (FISA Ct.) (Walton opinion authorizing bulk collection of Internet communications)</p>	<p>Likely in 2008 or very early 2009</p>	<p>Aug. 11, 2014 (provided to Congress Mar. 13, 2009)</p>	<p>DNI</p>

Supplemental Opinion , <i>In re</i> Production of Tangible Things From [REDACTED], No. BR 08-13 (FISA Ct. Dec. 12, 2008) (concluding that call detail records are subject to production under FISA notwithstanding relevant provisions of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act)	Dec. 12, 2008	Sept. 10, 2013	DNI
Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 08-13 (FISA Ct. Dec. 12, 2008) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	Dec. 12, 2008	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 08-08 (FISA Ct. Aug. 19, 2008) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	Aug. 19, 2008	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Order , <i>In re</i> Proceedings Required by Section 702(i) of the FISA Amendments Act of 2008 (FISA Ct. Aug. 27, 2008) (denying ACLU's motion to participate)	Aug. 27, 2008	Aug. 27, 2008	Sent to ACLU by FISA Ct.
In re Directives Pursuant to Section 105B of Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act , 551 F.3d 1004 (FISA Ct. Rev. 2008) (finding that directives under the Protect America Act to communications service providers requiring production of customers' data were legal)	Aug. 22, 2008	Jan. 15, 2009	Published by the FISA Ct. Rev.
Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 08-07 (FISA Ct. June 26, 2008) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	June 26, 2008	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 08-04 (FISA Ct. Apr. 3, 2008) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	Apr. 3, 2008	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 08-01 (FISA Ct. [Illegible]) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	Likely Jan. 2008	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release

Memorandum Opinion , <i>In re</i> Motion for Release of Court Records, 526 F. Supp. 2d 484 (FISA Ct. 2007) (denying ACLU motion for release of Court records)	Dec. 11, 2007	Dec. 11, 2007	Sent to ACLU by FISA Ct.
Primary Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 07-016 (FISA Ct. Oct. 18, 2007) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	Oct. 18, 2007	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Order and Memorandum Opinion , <i>In re</i> [REDACTED], No. [REDACTED] (FISA Ct. Aug. 2, 2007) (clarifying at what point the NSA is deemed to have obtained knowledge of a facility for the purposes of the May 31, 2007 order)	Aug. 2, 2007	Dec. 12, 2014	NYT v. DOJ FOIA release
Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 07-14 (FISA Ct. July 25, 2007) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	July 25, 2007	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Order , <i>In re</i> [REDACTED], No. [REDACTED] (FISA Ct. May 31, 2007) (authorizing government to commence surveillance of an email or phone number believed to be associated with a target without prior judicial review)	May 31, 2007	Dec. 12, 2014	NYT v. DOJ FOIA release
Amendment to Order for Purposes of Querying the Metadata Archive [REDACTED] , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 07-10 (FISA Ct. May 31, 2007) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	May 31, 2007	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 07-10 (FISA Ct. May 3, 2007) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	May 3, 2007	Jan. 17, 2014	ACLU v. FBI FOIA release
Order , <i>In re</i> [REDACTED], No. [REDACTED] (FISA Ct. Apr. 5, 2007) (authorizing electronic surveillance for foreign intelligence)	Apr. 5, 2007	Dec. 12, 2014	NYT v. DOJ FOIA release & DNI

<p>Order and Memorandum Opinion, <i>In re</i> [REDACTED], No. [REDACTED] (FISA Ct. Apr. 3, 2007) (rejecting the definition of “facility” from the Jan. 10, 2007 foreign content order and finding that probable cause findings for selectors must be made by the Court, not the NSA)</p>	<p>Apr. 3, 2007</p>	<p>Dec. 12, 2014</p>	<p>NYT v. DOJ FOIA release & DNI</p>
<p>Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 06-12 (FISA Ct Feb. 7, 2007) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>Feb. 7, 2007</p>	<p>Jan. 17, 2014</p>	<p>ACLU v. FBI FOIA release</p>
<p>Order, <i>In re</i> Various Known and Unknown Agents of [REDACTED] Presumed United States Persons, No. [REDACTED] (FISA Ct. Jan. 10, 2007) (“domestic content order” rejecting collection of communications content of targets within the US without an individual probable cause determination by a judge; and granting surveillance orders for identified phone numbers within the US)</p>	<p>Jan. 10, 2007</p>	<p>Dec. 12, 2014</p>	<p>NYT v. DOJ FOIA release & DNI</p>
<p>Order, <i>In re</i> [Redacted], No. [REDACTED] (FISA Ct. Jan. 10, 2007) (“foreign content order” interpreting “facility” in FISA to include gateways through which all communications pass and allowing collection of content of telephone and internet communications for phone numbers and e-mail addresses believed by the NSA to be used by persons outside the US, without an individual probable cause determination by a judge)</p>	<p>Jan. 10, 2007</p>	<p>Dec. 12, 2014</p>	<p>NYT v. DOJ FOIA release & DNI</p>
<p>Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 06-12 (FISA Ct Nov. 15, 2006) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>Nov. 15, 2006</p>	<p>Jan. 17, 2014</p>	<p>ACLU v. FBI FOIA release</p>
<p>Order, <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 06-08, (FISA Ct. Aug. 18, 2006) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)</p>	<p>Aug. 18, 2006</p>	<p>Jan. 17, 2014</p>	<p>ACLU v. FBI FOIA release</p>

Order , <i>In re</i> Application of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for an Order Requiring the Production of Tangible Things from [REDACTED], No. BR 06-05 (FISA Ct. May 24, 2006) (authorizing collection of bulk telephone metadata under Section 215)	May 24, 2006	Sept. 10, 2013	DNI
Opinion and Order , [REDACTED], No. PR/TT [REDACTED] (FISA Ct.) (Kollar-Kotelly opinion authorizing bulk Internet communication metadata collection)	Likely July 14, 2004	Nov. 18, 2013	DNI
In re Sealed Case , 310 F.3d 717 (FISA Ct. Rev. 2002) (overturning FISA Ct. ruling and allowing foreign intelligence searches to be used for a primary purpose of criminal investigation)	Nov. 18, 2002		Printed in Federal Reporter
“Raw Take” order — classified No. 02-431 (allowing intelligence agencies to share unredacted personal information about Americans)	July 22, 2002	N/A, reported on Mar. 11, 2014	Described in Snowden documents
In re All Matters Submitted to Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court , 218 F. Supp. 2d 611 (FISA Ct. 2002) (reversed by <i>In re Sealed Case</i>) (requiring minimization procedures that bar prosecutors from directing foreign intelligence searches)	May 17, 2002		Printed in Federal Supplement