

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

STATE OF NEW YORK, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, *in his official capacity as
President of the United States*, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 20-CV-5770-JMF

NEW YORK IMMIGRATION COALITION, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, *in his official capacity as
President of the United States*, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 20-CV-5781-JMF

**BRIEF OF *AMICI CURIAE* 16 BUSINESSES AND BUSINESS ORGANIZATIONS
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS**

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166-0193
Telephone: (212) 351-4000
Facsimile: (212) 351-4035

*Attorneys for Proposed Amici Curiae
16 Businesses and Business
Organizations*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS

INTERESTS OF *AMICI CURIAE* 1

INTRODUCTION 3

ARGUMENT 5

 I. Inaccurate Census Data Will Harm Businesses That Rely On The Census For
 Marketing, Product Development, Operations, And Other Purposes 7

 A. Inaccurate Census Data Will Harm Businesses That Use Census
 Data In Deciding Where To Open New Locations 8

 B. Inaccurate Census Data Will Harm Businesses That Use Census
 Data In Product Development, Marketing, And Placement 10

 C. Inaccurate Census Data Will Impair The Accurate And Equitable
 Allocation Of Federal Support..... 12

 II. Inaccurate Census Data Will Impact Businesses More Substantially As They
 Become Increasingly Data-Driven..... 16

CONCLUSION..... 20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
Cases	
<i>Bode v. Nat’l Democratic Party</i> , 452 F.2d 1302 (D.C. Cir. 1971).....	5
<i>Dep’t of Commerce v. New York</i> , 139 S. Ct. 2551 (2019).....	3
<i>Fed’n for Am. Immigration Reform v. Klutznick</i> , 486 F. Supp. 564 (D.D.C. 1980).....	4
<i>New York v. U.S. Dep’t of Commerce</i> , 351 F. Supp. 3d 502 (S.D.N.Y.), <i>aff’d in part</i> 139 S. Ct. 2251 (2019).....	3
<i>Utah v. Evans</i> , 536 U.S. 452 (2002).....	5
<i>Wesberry v. Sanders</i> , 376 U.S. 1 (1964).....	5
<i>Wisconsin v. City of New York</i> , 517 U.S. 1 (1996).....	5, 13
Statutes	
13 U.S.C. § 9(a)	4
15 U.S.C. § 1512.....	6
26 U.S.C. § 45D(e)	12
29 U.S.C. § 3162.....	14
29 U.S.C. § 3172.....	14
29 U.S.C. § 3242(a)	14
Permanent Census Act, Pub. L. No. 27 (1902).....	6
Other Authorities	
154 Cong. Rec. H4890 (June 4, 2008)	6

AJ Agrawal, *Why Data Is Important for Companies and Why Innovation Is On the Way*, Inc. (Mar. 24, 2016), <https://bit.ly/2qY77iM>.....17

Syed Riaz Ahmed, *Applications of Data Mining in Retail Business*, Proceedings of the Int’l Conference on Info. Tech.: Coding and Computing (2004).....16

Alteryx Powers U.S. Census Data Analysis (Aug. 27, 2012), <https://bit.ly/2JPFxy8>.....17

Ass’n of Nat’l Advertisers, *ANA Members Oppose Addition of Citizenship Question for 2020 Census* (June 12, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2U7TwTH>.....11

Rhett Buttle & Katie Vlietstra Wonnemberg, *Why All Businesses Should Care About the 2020 Census*, The Hill (Mar. 4, 2020).....7

Claritas Demographic Update Methodology, Claritas (Sept. 2006), <https://bit.ly/2E8u4qM>.....17

Cmty. Dev. Fin. Insts. Fund, *New Markets Tax Credit Program*, <https://bit.ly/1H54VZx>.....12

Comm. for Econ. Dev., *The 2020 Census: The Importance of an Accurate and Robust Accounting of our Nation’s Population* (Apr. 15, 2019), <https://bit.ly/3anABtV>.....8

Consortium of Soc. Sci. Ass’ns, *COSSA Statement on the Impact of a Citizenship Question in the 2020 Decennial Census* (Mar. 27, 2018), <https://bit.ly/3fWymWa>.....4

Jenny Dinnen, *3 Ways You Can Use Census Data*, MacKenzie Corp. (Oct. 23, 2013), <https://bit.ly/2NWy5Q5>9

The Economic Impacts of the 2020 Census and Business Uses of Federal Data: Hearing Before the Joint Economic Comm., 116th Cong. 33 (2019).....8, 9, 11

EPA, *Learn About the Water Pollution Control (Section 106) Grant Program* (Jan. 19, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2EUinRj>.....15

Excluding Illegal Aliens from the Apportionment Base Following the 2020 Census: Hearing Before the H. Comm. on Oversight and Reform (July 29, 2020), <https://bit.ly/3fVGJe3>7

Paul Farhi, *For Business, Census Is a Marketing Data Motherlode*, Wash. Post (Mar. 17, 1990).....3

Howard Fienberg, *Remove the Citizenship Question from 2020 Census, Says Marketing Research & Data Analytics Industry*, Insights Ass’n (Aug. 7, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2OVzhDi>.....18

Tom Foster, *Warby Parker Grew to \$250 Million in Sales Through Disciplined Growth. Now It’s Time to Get Aggressive*, Inc. (June 2017), <https://bit.ly/2rZ2HEX>9

Harv. Bus. Sch., *Zillow: Ahead of Its Time or Falling Behind* (Nov. 18, 2016), <https://bit.ly/2VSEkr2>19

John H. Heinrichs & Jeen-Su Lim, *Integrating Web-Based Data Mining Tools with Business Models for Knowledge Management*, 35 *Decision Support Sys.* 103 (2003).....17

Marisa Hotchkiss & Jessica Phelan, *Uses of Census Bureau Data in Federal Funds Distribution: A New Design for the 21st Century* (Sept. 2017), <https://bit.ly/3fX2gmJ>13

HUD Exchange, *CDBG: Community Development Block Grant Programs*, <https://bit.ly/2VSnCb1>15

Geolytics, *Estimates/Projections*, <https://bit.ly/3iFQNTj>17

Douglas A. Kysar, *Kids & Cul-de-Sacs: Census 2000 and the Reproduction of Consumer Culture*, 87 *Cornell L. Rev.* 853 (2002)6, 7, 12, 17

Letter from Nat’l Ass’n of Realtors to Sen. Claire McCaskill (Feb. 25, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2HQ1dHF>18

Melissa Martin, *Costs of Starting a Business: Bricks & Mortar Retail Startup*, *StartupNation* (June 8, 2006), <https://bit.ly/2Cf4vRr>.....9

Scott McDonald, *A 2020 Census Flop Would Pose a Danger to U.S. Businesses*, *Forbes* (Dec. 6, 2017), <https://bit.ly/2Uv6ckz>.....18

Amy Merrick, *Population Data Will Help Marketers Pitch Their Products*, *Wall St. J.* (Feb. 14, 2001), <https://on.wsj.com/2V0hFck>9

National Research Council, *Modernizing the U.S. Census* (1995).....10, 11, 12

William P. O’Hare, *The Importance of Census Accuracy: Uses of Census Data, in Differential Undercounts in the U.S. Census 19* (2019)8

Office of the Chief Economist, Dep’t of Commerce, *The Value of the American Community Survey: Smart Government, Competitive Businesses, and Informed Citizens* (Apr. 2015), <https://bit.ly/3fLJI8H>18

Kenneth Prewitt, *The American People Census 2000: Politics and Science in Census Taking* (Russell Sage Foundation 2003), <https://wapo.st/2NWwjyp>6

Public Comment – Coalition of Philanthropic Organizations (Mar. 21, 2018),
<https://www.hfpg.org/what-we-do/new-and-noteworthy/hartford-foundation-joins-philanthropic-organizations-throughout-the-nation-in-support-of-a-fair-and-accurate-2020-census>.....13

Ready Nation, *Data for a Strong Economy*, <https://bit.ly/2PJI7a2>3

Report, Council of Econ. Advisers, *The Use of Census Data: An Analytical Review* (Apr. 1, 2000), <https://bit.ly/2Tv1PJP>5, 11

Andrew D. Reamer, *Counting for Dollars: The Role of the Decennial Census in the Geographic Distribution of Federal Funds*, Brookings Inst. (Mar. 9, 2010), <https://brook.gs/2Tz7Bdt>13

Andrew Reamer, Geo. Wash. Inst. of Pub. Policy, *Counting for Dollars: A Study of Census-guided Financial Assistance to Rural America* (Oct. 11, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2Onk0LO>18

Chris Rygielski et al., *Data Mining Techniques for Customer Relationship Management*, 24 Tech. in Soc’y 483 (2002).....16

SBA, *Market Research and Competitive Analysis*, <https://bit.ly/2wXJvww>8

SBA, *Small Business Development Center*, <https://bit.ly/1D3081I>.....14

Diane W. Schanzenbach & Michael R. Strain, *Act Now to Save the 2020 Census*, Bloomberg Opinion (Aug. 11, 2017), <https://bloom.bg/2vUz64R>11

Robert Shapiro, *The 2020 Census May Be Wildly Inaccurate—And It Matters More Than You Think*, Brookings Inst. (Aug. 31, 2017), <https://brook.gs/2gw9BQY>12

Greg Sterling, *Chipotle Customers Are Smarter Than McDonald’s And Other Insights From Smartphone Data*, Mktg. Land (June 24, 2014), <https://mklnd.com/2ESReye>19

Richard K. Thomas, *Using Demographic Analysis in Health Services Planning: A Case Study in Obstetrical Services*, in *Demographics: A Casebook for Business and Government* (RAND Corp. 1997).....10

U.S. Census Bureau, *Census Business Builder* (Dec. 13, 2018), <https://bit.ly/22wd3s0>.....8

U.S. Census Bureau, *Directors 1790 – 1810* (last revised Dec. 17, 2019), <https://bit.ly/2VKtnrs>5

U.S. Dep’t of Educ., *Improving Basic Programs Operated by Local Educational Agencies (Title I, Part A)*, (Oct. 24, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2lQzI5X>15

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Serv., *State Wildlife Grant Program – Overview* (May 15, 2019), <https://bit.ly/2CcPMXa>.....15

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Serv., *Wildlife Restoration Program – Overview* (Feb. 2, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2BgEZeA>14

Upfront Analytics, *Why Census Data Is Useful for Market Research* (May 14, 2015), <https://bit.ly/1CS6lnJ>;11

Paul R. Voss, *Targeting Wealthy Ex-Wisconsinites in Florida: A Case Study in Applied Demography*, in *Demographics: A Casebook for Business and Government* (Hallie J. Kintner et al. eds., 1997)9

Hansi Lo Wang, *Census Cuts All Counting Efforts Short by a Month*, NPR (Aug. 3, 2020), <https://n.pr/2DSGB1A>4

Jonathan Weber, *Census Data Assists Business Where It Counts: Accurate Demographics*, L.A. Times (Jan. 2, 1990), <https://lat.ms/2NYuuRF>9, 17

Constitutional Provisions

U.S. Const. art. I, § 2, cl. 3.....4

INTERESTS OF *AMICI CURIAE*

Amici submit this brief to provide important context regarding how *amici* and others in the business community rely on Census data, how the exclusion of undocumented immigrants from apportionment will make Census data less reliable, and how inaccurate Census data harms businesses and consumers.

Amici include the following companies and business organizations from a variety of sectors:

- Ben & Jerry's Homemade, Inc.
- Casper Sleep Inc.
- Cummins Inc.
- General Assembly Space, Inc.
- Knotel, Inc.
- Levi Strauss & Co.
- LivHOME, Inc.
- Lush Cosmetics LLC
- Mara Hoffman Inc.
- Minneapolis Regional Chamber of Commerce
- Postmates Inc.
- Shutterstock, Inc.
- Uber Technologies Inc.
- Univision Communications Inc.
- Workplace Options, LLC
- Zendesk Inc.

Amici's interests in this case are strong. *First, amici*, like many businesses, rely on Census data to make a variety of decisions, including where to put new brick-and-mortar locations, how to market their products, and how to predict which products will be successful in a given market. Businesses also have a broader interest in ensuring that the communities they serve receive needed federal support—in terms of education, infrastructure, and other support—in order to provide an environment ripe for new development and innovation. All of these things depend on the availability of accurate Census data. Exclusion of undocumented immigrants from being counted for purposes of apportionment compromises the accuracy of that data.

Second, amici have a broader interest in protecting their employees, customers, and business partners from the negative effects of excluding undocumented immigrants from Census counts. The exclusion of undocumented immigrants from being counted may depress Census response rates, particularly among immigrant and minority communities, resulting in inaccurate and skewed Census data. An inaccurate Census count will harm businesses and the communities in which they serve.

For the above reasons, *amici* have a substantial interest in this litigation.

INTRODUCTION

Accurate Census data is important to businesses. Companies use that data to plan new locations and future projects, and they and their communities rely on federal funding allocated based on Census data. While businesses have a number of resources at their disposal to help them understand the characteristics, preferences, and geographic distribution of their customers, the Census is a particularly important business tool. *See* Paul Farhi, *For Business, Census Is a Marketing Data Motherlode*, Wash. Post (Mar. 17, 1990), <https://wapo.st/2JOPI67>. The Census provides critical data that informs decision-making in both the private and public sectors. Businesses regularly use that data determine where to locate stores and facilities, find qualified workers, and market products and services. *See generally* Ready Nation, *Data for a Strong Economy*, <https://bit.ly/2PJI7a2>. Consequently, government action that threatens the accuracy of Census data directly harms the businesses nationwide that rely on that data.

Defendants have multiple times attempted to revise Census policy and procedure in ways that could impair the reliability and accuracy of Census data. In 2018, the Department of Commerce announced its intent to add a question to the Census asking about citizenship, despite longstanding recommendations by the Census Bureau itself that such a question would reduce response rates and impair Census data. *New York v. U.S. Dep't of Commerce*, 351 F. Supp. 3d 502, 530 (S.D.N.Y.) (Furman, J.), *aff'd in part* 139 S. Ct. 2251 (2019). Last year the Supreme Court held that that effort was based on a “contrived” and pretextual rationale. *Dep't of Commerce v. New York*, 139 S. Ct. 2551, 2575 (2019).

This year, in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic, the Department of Commerce announced that it would be extending the deadline for completion of the Census to October 31, 2020, owing to the delayed start of the Department’s outreach to individuals who did not respond to mail-in Census forms. In early August, though, the Department reversed course, imposing a

September 30 deadline for completion of the Census, potentially resulting in vast undercounting. See Hansi Lo Wang, *Census Cuts All Counting Efforts Short by a Month*, NPR (Aug. 3, 2020), <https://n.pr/2DSGB1A>.

Now, Defendants have erected another potential obstacle to a full and accurate Census. On July 21, 2020, President Trump issued a memorandum declaring that it is the policy of the United States to exclude undocumented immigrants from the apportionment base—the number of individuals used to determine allocation of congressional seats and electoral votes—despite decades if not centuries of practice doing just that. As the plaintiffs argued in the citizenship question case and as Plaintiffs in this case argue now, Defendants’ actions threaten to suppress response rates to the Census, particularly with respect to responses from naturalized citizens and immigrants. That in turn risks serious impairment of the accuracy of the Census, which will harm businesses like *amici* that depend on reliable Census data to make a variety of business decisions.

The United States Constitution requires an “actual Enumeration” of the people to allow the “Representatives” to “be apportioned among the several States which may be included within this Union, according to their respective Numbers.” U.S. Const. art. I, § 2, cl. 3. Throughout history, that enumeration—and subsequent apportionment—has included undocumented immigrants. See *Fed’n for Am. Immigration Reform v. Klutznick*, 486 F. Supp. 564, 576 (D.D.C. 1980). But the importance of accurate Census data extends well beyond its constitutional purpose of apportioning congressional representation. Census data is made public, see 13 U.S.C. § 9(a), and social scientists have called Census responses “an irreplaceable source of data for researchers,” Consortium of Soc. Sci. Ass’ns, *COSSA Statement on the Impact of a Citizenship Question in the 2020 Decennial Census* (Mar. 27, 2018), <https://bit.ly/3fWyMWa>. “Today, policy makers at all levels of government, as well as private businesses, households, researchers, and nonprofit

organizations, rely on an accurate census in myriad ways that range far beyond the single fact of how many people live in each state.” Report, Council of Econ. Advisers, *The Use of Census Data: An Analytical Review* (Apr. 1, 2000), <https://bit.ly/2Tv1PJP>. *Amici* respectfully ask this Court to protect the Census, the invaluable data it provides, and ensure that businesses around the country have access to this vital tool.

ARGUMENT

An accurate Census is a cornerstone of our democracy. Knowing that the “calculation of populations could be and often were skewed for political or financial purposes,” the Framers “chose to make an ‘actual Enumeration’ part of our constitutional structure” in order “to preclude the availability of methods that permit political manipulation.” *Utah v. Evans*, 536 U.S. 452, 500, 507, 510 (2002) (Thomas, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part); *see also Wisconsin v. City of New York*, 517 U.S. 1, 6 (1996) (“[E]ach [decennial Census] was designed with the goal of accomplishing an ‘actual Enumeration’ of the population.”). The Census was an integral part of the design of the new government at the Founding—an attempt to ensure that the House of Representatives would be based on proportional representation of the people (not just the voters or even the citizens), itself essential to the “Great Compromise” that yielded our bicameral legislature. *See Wesberry v. Sanders*, 376 U.S. 1, 12–14 (1964); *Bode v. Nat’l Democratic Party*, 452 F.2d 1302, 1307 (D.C. Cir. 1971).

Indeed, our nation’s earliest leaders recognized the importance of Census accuracy. For instance, when Thomas Jefferson supervised the nation’s first Census as Secretary of State in 1790, he expected a population count of at least 4 million people. Yet the Census ultimately revealed a nation of just 3.9 million people, much to the surprise and concern of Jefferson and President George Washington. Jefferson thought that the Census had significantly undercounted the population, perhaps by several hundred thousand residents. U.S. Census Bureau, *Directors 1790*

– *1810* (last revised Dec. 17, 2019), <https://bit.ly/2VKtnrs>. And Washington, who had expected a population count about five percent higher, was similarly chagrined, blaming the “‘inaccuracy’ on avoidance by some residents as well as on negligence by those responsible for taking the census.” Kenneth Prewitt, *The American People Census 2000: Politics and Science in Census Taking* 6 (Russell Sage Foundation 2003), <https://wapo.st/2NWwjyp>. By making these concerns about the Census public, then-Secretary Jefferson “helped alert the Nation to the importance of accuracy in the numbers used to describe the society.” 154 Cong. Rec. H4890 (June 4, 2008) (statement of Rep. Johnson).

In the 1850s, Congress expanded the Census’s traditional role and included a number of questions on the Census aimed at learning more about the characteristics of the U.S. population. See Douglas A. Kysar, *Kids & Cul-de-Sacs: Census 2000 and the Reproduction of Consumer Culture*, 87 Cornell L. Rev. 853, 862 (2002). At the turn of the twentieth century, as the Census grew more complex, Congress created the Census Bureau, which opened its doors in 1902. See Permanent Census Act, Pub. L. No. 27 (1902). By that time, the Census’s mission to “foster, promote, and develop the foreign and domestic commerce” was codified, 15 U.S.C. § 1512, and the Census Bureau’s energies “were directed toward the improvement of business statistics, . . . and toward the collection of data that might foster improvements in the national economy without the heavy hand of government ‘planning,’” Kysar, *supra*, at 862–63 (some internal quotation marks omitted).

Plaintiffs have submitted expert and lay evidence that President Trump’s declaration to exclude undocumented immigrants from the apportionment count will likely deter certain immigrant communities from responding to the Census, resulting an inaccurate enumeration. See Pls.’ Br. 42–46, ECF No. 77. This contention is supported by both expert testimony from John

Thompson, a former Director of the U.S. Census Bureau, *see generally* Thompson Decl., ECF No. 76-57, and anecdotal evidence that immigrant communities in the United States have already begun expressing reluctance to responding to the Census if, as the Administration has signaled, their presence will not count for apportionment purposes, *see* Pls.’ Br. 43–45, ECF No. 77 (citing declarations). Director Thompson testified to this same effect before Congress on July 29, 2020, setting forth his belief that “the directive to exclude undocumented persons from the Apportionment base has a high potential to reduce the likelihood of response for the hard-to-count populations including non-citizens and immigrants” and that he is “very concerned that the release of this directive will increase the fear of many in the hard-to-count community that their data . . . their information will be given to immigration enforcement. The end result will be most likely be increased undercounts of these populations.” *Excluding Illegal Aliens from the Apportionment Base Following the 2020 Census: Hearing Before the H. Comm. on Oversight and Reform* (July 29, 2020) (statement of John H. Thompson), <https://bit.ly/3fVGJe3>.

Lower response rates mean less accurate Census data, which will have negative effects on *amici* and other businesses that use Census data in a variety of ways to plan their operations and products.

I. Inaccurate Census Data Will Harm Businesses That Rely On The Census For Marketing, Product Development, Operations, And Other Purposes

Businesses have long used Census data in a variety of strategic ways to plan their operations, enhance their understanding of their customer base, and develop products that meet consumer needs. *See* Kysar, *supra*, at 854–56; *see also* Rhett Buttle & Katie Vlietstra Wonnenberg, *Why All Businesses Should Care About the 2020 Census*, The Hill (Mar. 4, 2020), <https://bit.ly/3fN2BYZ> (“Census data have been invaluable in guiding business decisions for more than 200 years.”). The Census Bureau itself recognizes the value businesses derive from the types

of data the Census provides; it even provides companies with a “Census Business Builder,” which is “a suite of services that provide selected demographic and economic data from the Census Bureau tailored to specific types of users in a simple to access and use format.” U.S. Census Bureau, *Census Business Builder* (Dec. 13, 2018), <https://bit.ly/22wd3s0>. The Bureau notes that this data can “help you start or grow a business or understand the business landscape for a region.” *Id.*; see also SBA, *Market Research and Competitive Analysis*, <https://bit.ly/2wXJvww>. Thus, “[t]he vitality of the nation’s economy and the 6 million businesses inside that economy are greatly affected by decisions made using census-derived data.” *The Economic Impacts of the 2020 Census and Business Uses of Federal Data: Hearing Before the Joint Economic Comm.* 3, 116th Cong. 33 (2019) (statement of Andrew Reamer) (“Reamer Testimony”); see also William P. O’Hare, *The Importance of Census Accuracy: Uses of Census Data, in Differential Undercounts in the U.S. Census 19* (2019); Comm. for Econ. Dev., *The 2020 Census: The Importance of an Accurate and Robust Accounting of our Nation’s Population* 3–4 (Apr. 15, 2019), <https://bit.ly/3anABtV>.

Crediting Plaintiffs’ expert testimony, the exclusion of undocumented immigrants from apportionment in the 2020 Census would result in significantly flawed data, undercounting millions of people. For businesses, undercounting hundreds of thousands or even millions of people matters, particularly in the context of low-margin industries where even slight adjustments of data could materially affect the accuracy of projected revenue and costs. If this exclusion is adopted—rendering Census data less accurate—each business that uses Census data for these purposes will be harmed.

A. Inaccurate Census Data Will Harm Businesses That Use Census Data In Deciding Where To Open New Locations

Businesses rely on Census data when they plan the placement and construction of new locations or markets. That data lets businesses maximize the effectiveness of a location and

capitalize on a particular region's needs or preferences. Building a new location is a significant undertaking, requiring major capital investments. See Melissa Martin, *Costs of Starting a Business: Bricks & Mortar Retail Startup*, StartupNation (June 8, 2006), <https://bit.ly/2Cf4vRr>. Mistakes about where to place a store, warehouse, or other facility can harm not only a business's overall outlook, but also the communities that need (or don't need) such a facility.

Many retail merchants use Census data to strategically place their stores and other facilities. See Amy Merrick, *New Population Data Will Help Marketers Pitch Their Products*, Wall St. J. (Feb. 14, 2001), <https://on.wsj.com/2V0hFck>. Some businesses rely on Census data to evaluate what makes a market desirable and where to place stores within a market. See Tom Foster, *Warby Parker Grew to \$250 Million in Sales Through Disciplined Growth. Now It's Time to Get Aggressive*, Inc. (June 2017), <https://bit.ly/2rZ2HEX>; see also Reamer Testimony at 33. Others look at the Census profile for a new area to see how it compares with an existing market and to determine whether a new location is likely to generate more, less, or the same business. See Jonathan Weber, *Census Data Assists Business Where It Counts: Accurate Demographics*, L.A. Times (Jan. 2, 1990), <https://lat.ms/2NYuuRF>.

A business might use Census data to target a small location in a state where its customers are most likely to reside and where a new location could be profitable. See Paul R. Voss, *Targeting Wealthy Ex-Wisconsiners in Florida: A Case Study in Applied Demography*, in *Demographics: A Casebook for Business and Government* 109 (Hallie J. Kintner et al. eds., 1997). The Census Bureau even provides an interactive population map that businesses can use to examine population data "at the most granular level," including "age, race, ethnicity and housing status." Jenny Dinnen, *3 Ways You Can Use Census Data*, MacKenzie Corp. (Oct. 23, 2013),

<https://bit.ly/2NWy5Q5>. Without accurate Census data on which to base location decisions, businesses would lose a tool that has become crucial to their survival and growth.

The effects of inaccurate Census data on a company's decision of where to place a new location would harm not only that business, but also its surrounding community. Accurate data helps businesses take risks on changing and developing neighborhoods. Unreliable data might mean businesses will open fewer locations in new communities, depriving businesses of new markets and communities of new stores or services.

Healthcare providers provide a good example of this effect because they use Census data to understand community needs. *See* National Research Council, *Modernizing the U.S. Census* 297 (1995). A hospital can use Census data regarding residents in the area of a new location to determine how many and what kind of doctors will likely be needed at that location. *See id.* This same analysis can be performed to determine the need for certain health services in a given area—for example, a region densely populated with young families will be more in need of obstetrical or family practice services than a region populated primarily with retirees. *See id.* at 298; *see also* Richard K. Thomas, *Using Demographic Analysis in Health Services Planning: A Case Study in Obstetrical Services*, in *Demographics: A Casebook for Business and Government* 159, 167–68 (RAND Corp. 1997) (examining the age of women in an area to determine the need for a new obstetrical facility). Inaccurate Census data thus may lead to some communities having inadequate healthcare in light of business decisions driven by this data, just as other communities may face an inefficient influx of healthcare resources beyond what is actually needed.

B. Inaccurate Census Data Will Harm Businesses That Use Census Data In Product Development, Marketing, And Placement

Inaccurate Census data will also affect the development and marketing of numerous products around the country. Businesses use Census data to inform decisions about product

development and placement. A retail business may, for instance, rely on demographic data to determine which products are going to sell best in which regions, and calibrate each store's stock accordingly. See Diane W. Schanzenbach & Michael R. Strain, *Act Now to Save the 2020 Census*, Bloomberg Opinion (Aug. 11, 2017), <https://bloom.bg/2vUz64R> (“If you walk into a Target store in suburban Florida, the items on the shelves are different from what is in a Target store in downtown Washington D.C. Target makes these decisions in large part using government data.”). As the Association of National Advertisers has explained, “[m]arketing decisions/investments are often made based on population counts,” and inaccurate data would affect the data that businesses “rely on to quantify the marketplace, and thereby undersize the business opportunity.” Ass’n of Nat’l Advertisers, *ANA Members Oppose Addition of Citizenship Question for 2020 Census 2* (June 12, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2U7TwTH> (quotation marks omitted).

Entire product lines may even be developed based on data culled from the Census. See, e.g., *The Use of Census Data: An Analytical Review*, *supra* (noting that accurate Census data helps “[m]anufacturers of baby products such as baby food, clothes, diapers, and toys, and manufacturers of maternity clothes and greeting cards . . . develop . . . their product lines”). And even independent market research can be aided by the Census—market researchers can use Census data to make accurate inferences about survey answers based on their geographic location or their other survey responses. See Upfront Analytics, *Why Census Data Is Useful for Market Research* (May 14, 2015), <https://bit.ly/1CS6lnJ>; see also Reamer Testimony at 33.

Flawed Census data can also impact customer outreach. For example, because utility companies often offer lower rates for poorer, elderly, or disabled customers, utility companies use Census data to determine which areas are most likely to need those special rates and reach out to customers in those areas to evaluate eligibility. See *Modernizing the U.S. Census*, *supra*, at 297.

Cable television companies may use Census data to target advertising for pay-per-view events to those areas whose residents are most likely to purchase the event. *See id.* at 296. And when a car manufacturer learned through customer research that its vehicles were popular with people in the nursing profession, it used data from the Census to tailor its regional advertising to that demographic. *See Kysar, supra*, at 885. Inaccurate Census data would weaken the ability of businesses to adapt their marketing and outreach strategies to a changing population, resulting in wasted dollars for businesses and unwanted advertising for customers.

C. Inaccurate Census Data Will Impair The Accurate And Equitable Allocation Of Federal Support

The federal government relies on Census data to allocate and distribute federal support and funding, and inaccurate Census data threatens to harm businesses and their communities who rely on that support. For example, under the New Market Tax Credit (“NMTC”)—a federal program designed to stimulate investment in distressed communities—a business investment may qualify for special tax treatment if it occurs in an area with certain concentrations of low- or moderate-income households. *See Cmty. Dev. Fin. Insts., New Markets Tax Credit Program*, <https://bit.ly/1H54VZx>; Robert Shapiro, *The 2020 Census May Be Wildly Inaccurate—And It Matters More Than You Think*, Brookings Inst. (Aug. 31, 2017), <https://brook.gs/2gw9BQY>.¹ Or a nonprofit organization, such as a rural health clinic, may use Census data for a special federal designation based on location and population served. *See Modernizing the U.S. Census, supra*, at 298. Businesses rely on Census data to know whether they will be entitled to federal support, and inaccurate Census data risks depriving businesses of that needed support.

¹ An NMTC-eligible investment must be located in a designated “low-income community,” defined by U.S. Census data as a Census tract with a poverty rate of at least 20 percent or with a median family income that does not exceed 80 percent of the statewide median family income. 26 U.S.C. § 45D(e).

More broadly, the federal government relies on Census data to allocate and distribute federal funding—to the tune of about \$700 billion—and inaccurate Census data can therefore affect businesses that rely directly or indirectly on those funds. *See* Public Comment – Coalition of Philanthropic Organizations (Mar. 21, 2018), <https://www.hfpg.org/what-we-do/new-and-noteworthy/hartford-foundation-joins-philanthropic-organizations-throughout-the-nation-in-support-of-a-fair-and-accurate-2020-census> (“[R]ecent decennial censuses have resulted in net undercounts of many communities, with consequences for . . . disbursing roughly \$700 billion in federal funds.”). In 2015 alone, the federal government used Census data to distribute over \$675 billion in federal funding to a variety of programs. *See* Marisa Hotchkiss & Jessica Phelan, *Uses of Census Bureau Data in Federal Funds Distribution: A New Design for the 21st Century* 3 (Sept. 2017), <https://bit.ly/3fX2gmJ>; *see also Wisconsin*, 517 U.S. at 5–6 (“Today, census data also have important consequences not delineated in the Constitution: The Federal Government considers census data in dispensing funds through federal programs to the States”). Those billions of dollars were funneled through 132 different programs, ranging from subsidies for school lunches to historic preservation. *See* Hotchkiss & Phelan, *supra*, at 16–17.

The bulk of Census-guided federal assistance goes to state governments through a handful of grant programs that aid low-income households and support highway infrastructure. *See* Andrew D. Reamer, *Counting for Dollars: The Role of the Decennial Census in the Geographic Distribution of Federal Funds* 10, Brookings Inst. (Mar. 9, 2010), <https://brook.gs/2Tz7Bdt>.² In 2008, using Census data, the federal government distributed over \$36 billion in federal funds via the Federal-Aid Highway Program, and distributed an additional \$10 billion to fund other

² In 2008, \$261.1 billion was distributed to states through Medicaid, the largest Census-guided assistance program. *See* Reamer, *supra*, at 10.

transportation needs. *See id.* at 11–12. Businesses have an interest in ensuring that the basic infrastructure of their communities—including the availability of accessible and well-kept highways—is supported by federal funding. That is especially true for businesses that depend on federally supported highways for the transportation of goods. And an inaccurate Census threatens the proper allocation of that funding.

Businesses also have an interest in the federal funding allocated to other programs. For example, through the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (“WIOA”), the federal government subsidizes the education and workforce training of youths and adults from disadvantaged backgrounds and areas. *See* 29 U.S.C. §§ 3162, 3172. The allocation of those subsidies is determined using the “most recent satisfactory data from the Bureau of the Census.” 29 U.S.C. § 3242(a). And some organizations, like *amicus* General Assembly, enroll students that rely on that federal funding, or offer programs that are funded by WIOA. The Department of Labor allocated over \$7 billion in 2008 on the basis of Census data, *see* Reamer, *supra*, at 13, some of which went to educational institutions like *amicus* General Assembly to provide free training programs to underserved and overlooked talent.

Companies may have more specific interests depending on their size or line of business. For example, many new businesses have an interest in the federal funding that goes to Small Business Development Centers, which receive federal funding to provide small companies and entrepreneurs with free consulting and training services. *See* SBA, *Small Business Development Center*, <https://bit.ly/1D3081I>. A local outdoors-equipment retail store, for example, whose business depends in part on the availability and quality of nearby outdoor activities will likely have an interest in ensuring that the surrounding community is receiving adequate support from federal programs such as the Wildlife Restoration Program, *see* U.S. Fish & Wildlife Serv., *Wildlife*

Restoration Program – Overview (Feb. 2, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2BgEZeA> (providing funding to restore, conserve, manage, and enhance wild birds and mammals and their habitat); *see also* U.S. Fish & Wildlife Serv., *State Wildlife Grant Program – Overview* (May 15, 2019), <https://bit.ly/2CcPMXa> (providing funding to develop and implement programs that benefit wildlife and their habitats), and the Water Pollution Control Grant Program, *see* EPA, *Learn About the Water Pollution Control (Section 106) Grant Program* (Jan. 19, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2EUinRj> (providing funding to states and agencies to build and sustain effective water quality programs), both of which rely on the Census to determine allocation of funding.

Established businesses in communities may also have a more general interest in ensuring that the communities they serve—and that make up their customer and employee bases—are receiving the needed federal assistance to which they are entitled. The U.S. Department of Education, for instance, relies on Census data to allocate funds to educational agencies and schools with high numbers or percentages of children from low-income families. *See* U.S. Dep’t of Educ., *Improving Basic Programs Operated by Local Educational Agencies (Title I, Part A)*, (Oct. 24, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2lQzI5X>. The Department of Education allocated over \$10 billion in special education grants to states in 2008, based in large part on Census data. *See* Reamer, *supra*, at 11. It distributed over \$7 billion in Title I grants to local educational agencies during the same time period. *Id.* Businesses in such a community, like all members of a community, benefit from strong educational programs, particularly when that business depends on an educated local workforce to staff its facilities. Federal funds are also allocated through Community Development Block Grant Programs—again on the basis of Census data—to help develop urban communities and improve living and economic conditions. *See* HUD Exchange, *CDBG: Community Development Block Grant Programs*, <https://bit.ly/2VSnCb1>. Again, businesses benefit when their communities

receive the infrastructure support they need to thrive. But an inaccurate Census risks misallocating funds to each of these programs, harming businesses and their communities.

* * *

These varied and documented uses of Census data are possible only because businesses can depend on the Census to provide accurate demographic information about customers and to determine how best to engage with their local communities. Excluding undocumented immigrants from apportionment threatens to deter responses to the Census and undermine the validity of this data, and therefore substantially reduce its value to businesses. If businesses cannot rely on the Census to provide usable, accurate data, they will be hamstrung in their ability to track and adapt to customers' changing needs and preferences. Competitive businesses must always be evolving to respond to changes in the market, and inaccuracies in the Census data will impede their ability to do so.

II. Inaccurate Census Data Will Impact Businesses More Substantially As They Become Increasingly Data-Driven

Inaccurate Census data would be especially disruptive to businesses today. Companies increasingly rely on data-driven analytics to provide products and services that meet customers' specific needs and wants. While businesses in the past adopted a more product-oriented approach—focusing on designing products they believed customers would want—businesses now operate on a more customer-oriented basis, seeking to understand customers' needs before they invest resources into design and implementation. *See* Chris Rygielski et al., *Data Mining Techniques for Customer Relationship Management*, 24 *Tech. in Soc'y* 483, 484 (2002). The value of accurate and complete data is heightened by the need for businesses to respond quickly to changing market conditions and preferences. *See* Syed Riaz Ahmed, *Applications of Data Mining in Retail Business*, *Proceedings of the Int'l Conference on Info. Tech.: Coding and*

Computing, at 2 (2004). Companies seeking to gain a competitive edge over their competitors therefore rely on their ability “to better manage the knowledge regarding the critical elements of their environment.” John H. Heinrichs & Jeen-Su Lim, *Integrating Web-Based Data Mining Tools with Business Models for Knowledge Management*, 35 *Decision Support Sys.* 103, 105 (2003). Census data has been, and will continue to be, integral to this modern focus, rendering an accurate Census imperative to business growth and innovation. See AJ Agrawal, *Why Data Is Important for Companies and Why Innovation Is On the Way*, Inc. (Mar. 24, 2016), <https://bit.ly/2qY77iM> (asserting that in an era in which “[p]eople are generating more [data] than ever before,” this data is becoming “essential for companies and it’s going to spell an era of innovation”).

The emphasis on data analytics has led to the rise of entire businesses built around processing and analyzing demographic data, and advising consumers based on that data—including that provided in the Census. Census data can be complicated (and costly) to organize and interpret, and some businesses specialize in translating that raw data into usable information. See Weber, *supra*; see also Alteryx Powers U.S. Census Data Analysis (Aug. 27, 2012), <https://bit.ly/2JPFxy8>. Some entities use Census data to forecast demographic trends and provide those projections to businesses that are looking for growth opportunities. See Claritas *Demographic Update Methodology*, Claritas (Sept. 2006), <https://bit.ly/2E8u4q>; Geolytics, *Estimates/Projections*, <https://bit.ly/3iFQNtj> (offering to provide Census analytics that generate “the most up-to-date information for planning and marketing). These companies specialize in the provision of Census-based segmentation services—an analysis that divides up the U.S. population into various segments sharing key traits and behavioral patterns that can be used to predict consumer behavior. See Kysar, *supra*, at 880–81. And these businesses rely, of course, on accurate Census data to provide those services.

Census data is also critical to understanding and making use of marketing research gathered through other means. Many businesses have commercial databases that store information about their customers and target audience. See Scott McDonald, *A 2020 Census Flop Would Pose a Danger to U.S. Businesses*, Forbes (Dec. 6, 2017), <https://bit.ly/2Uv6ckz>. But marketing research gathered from a sample population can be skewed or inaccurate, and so businesses rely on Census data to provide a benchmark to “evaluate the quality of the dataset and provide a basis for statistical adjustments.” *Id.*

Census data is also critical to business because that data is used to validate other national surveys key to most American businesses, including the American Community Survey (ACS)—formerly the decennial census long form—and the Economic Census. The ACS is particularly important to data-driven businesses. See Office of the Chief Economist, Dep’t of Commerce, *The Value of the American Community Survey: Smart Government, Competitive Businesses, and Informed Citizens* 33 (Apr. 2015), <https://bit.ly/3fLJI8H> (explaining that “businesses rely on [Census data] to make site selection decisions”). Realtors, for instance, use the ACS and the Census to “develop insights on market trends and policy issues.” Letter from Nat’l Ass’n of Realtors to Sen. Claire McCaskill (Feb. 25, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2HQ1dHF>. But the ACS itself depends on accurate Census data. See Andrew Reamer, Geo. Wash. Inst. of Pub. Policy, *Counting for Dollars: A Study of Census-guided Financial Assistance to Rural America* (Oct. 11, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2Onk0LO>. If the exclusion of undocumented immigrants in apportionment depresses response rates, “[e]very subsequent survey and study that intends to be statistically representative of the U.S. population will be built on decennial data, including the American Community Survey (ACS), the Economic Census and every other federal government survey, and any inaccuracies will be felt for at least a decade.” Howard Fienberg, *Remove the Citizenship Question from 2020*

Census, Says Marketing Research & Data Analytics Industry, Insights Ass'n (Aug. 7, 2018), <https://bit.ly/2OVzhDi> (quotation marks omitted).

Location intelligence providers repurpose Census data to provide insights to customers and to help them draw better, data-driven inferences about how to run their organizations. See Greg Sterling, *Chipotle Customers Are Smarter Than McDonald's And Other Insights From Smartphone Data*, Mktg. Land (June 24, 2014), <https://mklnd.com/2ESReye>. Real estate aggregators can use Census data to compile their listings and real estate estimates. Harv. Bus. Sch., *Zillow: Ahead of Its Time or Falling Behind* (Nov. 18, 2016), <https://bit.ly/2VSEkr2>. Businesses like these depend on advanced data processing, and among the information these businesses process is Census data, the accuracy of which is and will continue to be essential to their success.

* * *

Although it is impossible to determine how innovators and entrepreneurs will use Census data in the future, one thing is clear: businesses will keep using it in important ways so long as it is accurate. They will seek to leverage key data and determine new, profitable uses to draw from it. Ensuring the accuracy of this data is essential, and any attempt by the federal government to diminish the Census impairs the ability of businesses across the country to be effective.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, *amici* support Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and preliminary injunction.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of August, 2020.

/s/ Alexander H. Southwell
Alexander H. Southwell
Lee R. Crain
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166-0193
(212) 351-2454

Joshua M. Wesneski
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-5306
(202) 887-3650

*Attorneys for Proposed Amici Curiae 16 Business
and Business Organizations*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 14th day of August, 2020, I caused the foregoing document to be electronically transmitted to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for Filing.

/s/ Alexander H. Southwell
Attorney for Amici Curiae