UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 8:18-cv-00891-PWG

v.

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS, et al.,

Defendants.

RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

Plaintiffs respectfully submit this response to Defendants' notice of supplemental authority and accompanying addendum regarding the ruling in *Center for Popular Democracy Action v. Bureau of the Census*, No. 1:19-cv-10917 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 18, 2020).

In his oral decision, Judge Hellerstein concluded that the plaintiffs in that case had established standing, including injury-in-fact (Tr. 48:7-48:15), traceability (Tr. 48:16-48:21), and redressability (Tr. 49:14). That holding is consistent with this Court's prior holding and Plaintiffs' arguments in this case that Plaintiffs have sufficiently alleged standing. *See* ECF No. 64 at 41, 46, 50; ECF No. 175 at 26–31.

Much of the balance of Judge Hellerstein's ruling focused on the plaintiffs' Administrative Procedure Act claims and preliminary injunction motion, neither of which are before this Court. Judge Hellerstein also determined that the plaintiffs before him had failed to state a claim for relief under the Enumeration Clause. Respectfully, that holding was in error because, among other things, it did not properly accept the plaintiffs' well-pleaded allegations in the complaint in that case. Accordingly, it is inconsistent with this Court's prior holding finding that Plaintiffs'

allegations stated a claim pursuant to the Enumeration Clause, *see* ECF No. 64 at 54–55. And it was of course done without the deference due to the Fourth Circuit's ruling and Chief Judge Gregory's concurrence in this case emphasizing the importance of judicial review pursuant to the Enumeration Clause. *See NAACP v. Bureau of the Census*, 945 F.3d 183, 186, 194 (4th. Cir. 2019).

Early evidence of lower self-response rates in Hard-to-Count communities, like Prince George's County, as compared to the national average, confirms the urgency of this case. For the reasons stated in their prior briefing, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court deny Defendants' motions to dismiss and for summary judgment or, in the alternative, hold Defendants' motion for summary judgment in abeyance pending discovery.

¹ As of March 25, 2020, the self-response rate for Prince George's County, MD was 25.5 percent, with some Census Tracts in the County at significantly lower rates (as low as 7.1 percent for Tract 9800; 13.7 percent for Tract 8059.08; and 15 percent for Tract 8011.06). This supports Plaintiffs' well-founded allegations about a differential undercount because these response rates are lower than the national average of 28.1 percent. *See* U.S. Census Bureau, *Response Rates*, March 25, 2020, https://2020census.gov/en/response-rates.html.

Dated: March 30, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Michael J. Wishnie

Rachel Brown, Law Student Intern Lisa Chen, Law Student Intern Daniel Ki, Law Student Intern Nikita Lalwani, Law Student Intern Wishcha (Geng) Ngarmboonanant, Law Student Intern Sommer Omar, Law Student Intern* Laura Pietrantoni, Law Student Intern Matthew Quallen, Law Student Intern* Joshua Zoffer, Law Student Intern Renée Burbank (Bar No. 20839) Michael J. Wishnie (Bar No. 20350) Peter Gruber Rule of Law Clinic Yale Law School† 127 Wall Street New Haven, CT 06511 Tel: (203) 436-4780 michael.wishnie@ylsclinics.org

Counsel for all Plaintiffs

Jeremy M. Creelan, pro hac vice Susan J. Kohlmann, pro hac vice Jacob D. Alderdice, pro hac vice Jenner & Block LLP 919 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022-3908 Tel: (212) 891-1600 jcreelan@jenner.com skohlmann@jenner.com Counsel for all Plaintiffs

Anson Asaka (Bar No. 20456)
National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, Inc.
4805 Mt. Hope Drive
Baltimore, MD 21215
Tel: (410) 580-5797
Fax: (410) 358-9350
Counsel for Plaintiffs NAACP and Prince
George's County NAACP Branch

^{*}Petitions for Law Student Appearance Forthcoming.

[†] This brief does not purport to state the views of Yale Law School, if any.