

September 17, 2019

**United States District Court
for the Northern District of Florida
Tallahassee Division**

Kelvin Jones,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Consolidated Case No. 4:19-cv-300

Ron DeSantis, etc., et al.,

Defendants.

Supplemental Expert Report of Daniel A. Smith, Ph.D.
Professor and Chair
Department of Political Science
University of Florida
234 Anderson Hall
Gainesville, FL 32611-7325

On Behalf of Plaintiffs Jeff Gruver, Emory Marquis “Marq” Mitchell, Betty Riddle, Kristopher Wrench, Keith Ivey, Karen Leicht, Raquel Wright, Steven Phalen, Clifford Tyson, Jermaine Miller, Florida State Conference of the NAACP, Orange County Branch of the NAACP, and League of Women Voters of Florida



Daniel A. Smith, Ph.D.

I. Background and Qualifications

1. This supplemental declaration updates the original report I submitted in this case on August 2, 2019, in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction. My background and qualifications, in addition to my curriculum vitae and rate of pay, have been disclosed to Defendants by counsel for plaintiffs and are attached as Exhibit A.

2. Most notably, this supplemental declaration now includes data from 58 out of Florida's 67 counties—up from 48 in the original report—that can be used to gauge the impact of SB7066 on the eligibility to register and vote for persons of voting age living in Florida who have a past felony convictions.

3. In formulating my opinions in this supplemental report, I utilized the same methods in my original report, which draw on standard sources in political science analyses, including, but not limited to: publicly available data and reports produced by the Florida Department of Corrections ("FDC"), data from the state's county clerks of court and the association of the Florida Court of Clerks & Comptrollers ("FCCC"), reports from the Florida Department of Law Enforcement ("FDLE"), and information from various state and local agencies, national public interest groups, and scholarly studies.

II. Summary of Updated Findings

4. As I emphasized in my original report, to my knowledge the State of Florida does not maintain a publicly available unified, up-to-date, centralized

database or repository that reports the outstanding LFOs of persons with a felony conviction, or that allows people to determine their eligibility to register and vote under the conditions established by SB7066. As such, it is practically impossible to know definitively how many persons in Florida with a felony conviction are eligible to register to vote in Florida under SB7066.

5. As in my original report, my estimates of the number of persons in Florida with felony convictions (excluding a significant number of out-of-state and federal felony convictions) who are likely permitted under SB7066 to register to vote are limited to the number of persons in Florida who have fulfilled the terms of their felony conviction (other than murder or sexual offense), including completion of incarceration and release from parole, probation, or community control/supervision; and have settled their legal financial obligations (“LFOs”), that is fines, fees, costs, and/or restitution assessed as part of a felony conviction, including, when possible, any civil liens stemming from those LFOs.¹ My estimates are limited to the 58 counties for which I have obtained data to determine any outstanding LFOs a person who has otherwise met the conditions of a felony conviction might still owe. As in my original report, I provide estimates of the

¹ SB7066 conditions restoration of voting rights on the satisfaction of LFOs imposed “in the four corners of the sentencing document.” Fla. Stat. § 98.075(2)(a). It is beyond the scope of this report to determine whether or how this limitation is applied, and the data that I have received from the CCIS does not differentiate among outstanding LFOs, and may not include restitution and civil liens.

racial breakdown (by whether someone identifies as black or white) of those persons with felony convictions (other than murder or sexual offense) who have been released from FDC or county custody and/or supervision but still owe outstanding LFOs, as well as those who have a balance of \$0.00 outstanding LFOs.

6. Overall, my preliminary estimates from the 58 counties indicates that four-in-five (80.5%) of the 542,207 persons convicted of a qualifying felony in Florida for whom I have data who have completed all terms of their sentence (including parole, probation, or community control/supervision), are likely *not* qualified to register to vote and vote under SB7066 due to outstanding felony-related LFOs. Table 1 summarizes—separately for FDC and county supervision, and combined—the number and percent who owe/don’t owe outstanding LFOs according to county clerks’ LFO records.

Table 1:
Estimates of LFO Balance Due of Eligible Persons with Felony Convictions,
FDC and County Data (and Combined), across 58 Florida Counties

	FDC		County		FDC + County	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Owe \$0.00 LFOs	22,213	13.6	83,728	22.1	105,941	19.5
Owe >\$0.00 LFOs	141,225	86.4	295,041	77.9	436,266	80.5
Total Eligible	163,438	100.0	378,769	100.0	542,207	100.0

7. Consistent with the findings in my original report, but now extended to 58 counties for which the clerks of court have provided LFOs data, 22,213 of the 163,438 (13.6%) individuals who have been released from FDC custody or supervision, and 83,728 of the 378,769 (22.1%) individuals with a felony

conviction who were not in FDC custody or supervision and have been released from county custody or supervision, have a balance of \$0.00 outstanding LFOs. Taken together, then, an estimated 105,941 of 542,207 (19.5%) of the individuals for whom I have data have completed payment of their LFOs in the 58 counties that I have been able to analyze. The remaining 436,266 individuals who I have identified across the 58 counties, or 80.5% of individuals for whom I have data, have outstanding LFOs and are thus likely disenfranchised as a result of SB7066.

8. In addition, I have identified 15,330 individuals who have been released from FDC custody or supervision to an address in 15 counties that have an upcoming local election in November 2019; of these individuals, only 12.6% have completed payment of their LFOs.

9. As noted in my previous report, racial disparities continue to exist across persons with outstanding LFOs. Table 2 summarizes my preliminary estimates for the 58 counties broken down by race. The rate of black individuals with a felony conviction (FDC and county, and combined) who are otherwise qualified to register to vote is far lower than the comparable rate of white individuals who are otherwise qualified to register to vote due to outstanding felony-related LFOs. As Table 2 shows, among white people with a felony conviction who have been released from custody or supervision, 15.7% from FDC, 25.0% from county, and 22.3% overall (combined FDC and county) have a balance of LFOs of \$0.00. In contrast, among black people with a felony conviction who

have been released from custody or supervision, only 10.6% from FDC, 16.7% from county, and 14.6% overall (combined FDC and county) have a balance of LFOs of \$0.00.

Table 2:
Estimates of Balance Due of Eligible Persons with Felony Convictions, FDC and County Data (and Combined), across 58 Florida Counties, by Race

White	FDC		County		FDC + County	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Owe \$0.00 LFOs	15,651	15.7%	59,548	25.0%	75,199	22.3%
Owe >\$0.00 LFOs	83,795	84.3%	178,692	75.0%	262,487	77.7%
Total	99,446	100.0%	238,240	100.0%	337,686	100.0%
Black	FDC		County		FDC + County	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Owe \$0.00 LFOs	6,468	10.6%	21,134	16.7%	27,602	14.6%
Owe >\$0.00 LFOs	56,617	89.8%	105,543	83.3%	162,160	85.5%
Total	63,085	100.0%	126,677	100.0%	189,762	100.0%

III. Updated Data

10. As I indicated in my original report, given data limitations, it is exceedingly difficult, if not practically impossible, to know definitively how many persons in Florida with a felony conviction who have been released from incarceration and supervision are eligible to register to vote in the state, according to the requirements of SB7066. This lack of certainty arises because Florida does not maintain a centralized database or repository of LFO information, and certainly not one that is available to the public. At best, then, given the conditions set forth

in SB7066, it is possible to create only rough estimates of how many persons with a felony conviction in a Florida state court might be eligible to vote, and how many still have outstanding LFOs documented by the state and/or county clerks.

11. That said, as of the date of this updated report, I am able to provide preliminary estimates of the rate of LFOs owed by individuals with felony convictions released from county custody or supervision in all but 9 of Florida's 67 counties.² Again, my analysis is conservative.³ As in my original report, I use data obtained from the county clerks to determine the amount of LFOs owed by individuals released from county custody or supervision, as well to link the amount of LFOs owed by individuals in the 58 counties to individuals in the FDC's Offender Based Information System ("OBIS") inmate release data. For eight counties, the analysis relies on county clerk of court Case Management System ("CMS") data obtained directly from the county clerks; for the other 50 counties,

² The nine counties for which at the time of this report I do not have county clerk of the courts data are: Hillsborough, Bay, Broward, Clay, Escambia, Hernando, Miami-Dade, Osceola, and Pinellas. Footnote 26 in my original report mistakenly listed Lake County as one of the 48 counties included in the analysis, with Lee County mistakenly omitted from the list. Both counties are included in this updated report.

³ My estimates of the LFOs owed by individuals with felony convictions released from county custody or supervision in Florida are conservative, as persons identified as having a balance of \$0.00 outstanding LFOs could have outstanding obligations from federal, out-of-state, or any of the nine counties for which I have yet to obtain data. Furthermore, county clerks do not [always] track restitution payments or civil liens, and there is no way for me to determine if individuals with a \$0.00 LFOs balance meet other voter eligibility requirements (such as mental competence and U.S. citizenship).

data were provided by the FCCC from its Comprehensive Case Information System (“CCIS”) on behalf of participating counties. I continue to try to collect and process data from all 67 county clerks of court.

IV. Data and Analysis

12. As in the original report, I rely on data from the FDC’s OBIS database of those persons with a felony conviction who have been released from the FDC’s supervision from 1997 through July 2019. However, the FDC’s OBIS database available for public download does not provide data on those released from state probation who may not have served a custodial sentence. More importantly, it does not include any information about any individual’s outstanding LFOs. As such, my empirical analysis is constrained by the fact that I have data on LFOs for those with felony convictions from 58 of the 67 county clerks of court who have provided data from their CMS databases directly or through the FCCC’s CCIS database. The empirical analysis of persons with felony convictions who have been released from custody and supervision but owe outstanding LFOs tied to a felony conviction proceeds in four sections.

A. Updated Estimates of the Number of Persons with Felony Convictions in the 58 County Clerks of Court Databases *not* in the FDC’s OBIS Database, who are Otherwise Eligible to Register to Vote under SB7066, with \$0 LFOs, by Race


13. Figure 1 provides a visualization across the 58 Florida counties for which I have available data of the fraction of black persons and the fraction of

white persons with felony convictions not in the FDC's OBIS database in each county who owe an \$0.00 (zero) LFOs for a felony conviction, and who are otherwise eligible to register vote—that is, they have fulfilled the terms of a felony conviction (other than murder or sexual offense), and have met all the conditions of their parole, probation, or community control/supervision. Because I have not received data from all 67 clerks of court, I am unable to rule out whether these individuals might have a felony conviction in one of the nine counties for which I do not have data, and might still owe LFOs in that other county, particularly if they are not included in the FDC's OBIS database. In this sense, my findings are conservative.

14. All the circle markers in Figure 1 (which are scaled proportionately to the number of matched black and white individuals in each county) represents a Florida county. The diagonal black line is fixed at a 45° angle. The X-axis (horizontal), labeled “Fraction zero balance, Black individuals with a felony conviction,” is the percentage (from 0 to 50) of black individuals in a county with a felony conviction who have met all the terms of their felony sentence in the county, and who owe \$0 LFOs in the county, so are eligible to register to vote under SB7066. The Y-axis (vertical), labeled “Fraction zero balance, White individuals with a felony conviction,” is the fraction (from 0 to 50) of white individuals in a county with a felony conviction who have met all the terms of their

felony conviction in the county, and who owe \$0 LFOs in the county, and are thus eligible to register to vote under SB7066.

Figure 1: Fraction of Black and White Individuals in the 58 Counties with Estimates of \$0 LFOs Owed who are *not* in the FDC's OBIS Database, by County



15. The diagonal 45° line allows us to easily visualize how white persons with qualifying felony convictions who have met the terms of their sentence are

more likely to have no outstanding LFOs compared to comparable black persons in the 48 counties. With two exceptions (Lafayette and Liberty counties), every circle marker lies above the 45° line. That is, in 56 of the 58 counties, black individuals are more likely than white individuals to owe some amount of LFOs after having met all the terms of their sentence.

B. Estimates of LFOs Owed by Individuals with Felony Convictions in the 58 Counties *not* in the FDC's OBIS Database, who are Otherwise Eligible to Register to Vote under SB7066, by Race

16. For the 58 counties, it is possible to provide estimates of the outstanding amount of LFOs owed by black and white individuals with eligible felony convictions. The following estimates are based on data received directly from the county clerks of court or on their behalf as provided by the FCCC. The following table includes data for individuals with felony convictions dating as far back to 1997, although most of the data from the counties dates back only to the early 2000s. The summary information about LFOs owed by black and white individuals with eligible felony convictions as recorded by the clerks of courts (either directly or via the FCCC) rely on data for people that: (1) are found in each county's CMS database; (2) are not found in the FDC's OBIS database; (3) were not convicted of murder or a sexual offense as defined by SB7066; and (4) have met the terms of their felony sentence as of July 2019. Table 3 includes all persons across the 58 counties who do not owe any LFOs related to a felony conviction in the county, according to each county clerk of court, as well as the number of

persons in graduated categories of dollar amounts of LFOs for those who otherwise are eligible to register under SB7066. In addition, I provide estimates of the racial breakdown of those who fit these conditions over this timeframe.

17. Overall, across the 58 counties, I calculate that there are an estimated 378,769 persons with felony convictions who are *not* included in the FDC's OBIS inmate release database, were not convicted of murder or a sex crime under SB7066, had a release date prior to June 30, 2019, and have met all the terms of the felony sentence. Of these individuals, only 22%—83,278—have paid off their LFOs related to a felony offense.

Table 3:
Estimates of Balance Due of Eligible Persons with Felony Convictions,
***not* in FDC's OBIS Database, across 58 Florida Counties, by Race**

	Balance due, All		Balance due, Black		Balance due, White	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
\$0	83,728	22.1	21,134	16.7	59,548	25.0
Up to \$100	20,059	5.3	5,193	4.1	14,260	6.0
Up to \$250	13,553	3.6	4,259	3.4	8,903	3.7
Up to \$500	38,578	10.2	13,725	10.8	23,742	10.0
Up to \$1,000	80,699	21.3	29,081	23.0	49,062	20.6
Up to \$5,000	123,867	32.7	47,129	37.2	72,479	30.4
Up to \$10,000	11,038	2.9	4,088	3.2	5,993	2.5
> \$10,000	7,247	1.9	2,068	1.6	4,253	1.8
Total	378,769	100.0	126,677	100.0	238,240	100.0

18. As Table 3 reveals, of those who otherwise have met all the terms of their felony sentence in the 58 counties, only 16.7% of black individuals, compared

to 25.0% of white individuals, are estimated to be eligible under SB7066 to exercise their voting rights because they have paid off all LFOs assessed as part of their felony convictions. Black individuals are also more likely than white individuals to owe between \$500 and \$10,000 in LFOs in the 58 counties.

19. In my opinion, it is clear from Table 3 that across the 58 counties for which data from the county clerks of court are available, black individuals who have otherwise met all the terms of their felony conviction are significantly less likely to be able to gain or re-gain voting rights under SB7066, as compared to similar white individuals, because of outstanding LFOs tied to their felony conviction.

C. Estimates of the Number of Individuals with Felony Convictions in the FDC's OBIS Database and the 58 Counties, who are Otherwise Eligible to Register to Vote under SB7066, with \$0 LFOs, by Race

20. By linking an individual in the FDC's OBIS inmate release database to that same individual in the 58 county clerks of court, it is possible to provide additional estimates of the number of persons who were convicted of a felony (other than those convicted of murder or a sexual offense) in each of the 58 counties, who have completed all the terms of their felony sentence under the authority of the FDC, but who are not eligible to register to vote under SB7066 because they owe LFOs tied to a felony conviction.


21. Drawing on inmate release data from the FDC's July 2019 OBIS database, merged with LFOs data drawn from the 58 Florida counties for which I

have data, Figure 2 provides a visualization of the fraction of black and white individuals in each county who are in the FDC's OBIS inmate release database and who owe \$0.00 (zero) LFOs for a felony conviction in that county. Assuming these individuals have completed their supervision and paid off all LFOs for any other felony convictions in another county that did not reach the FDC, and for any federal or out-of-state felony conviction, these individuals are eligible to vote under SB7066. In addition to the standard caveats, not all the individuals included in the FDC's OBIS inmate release database are represented in this figure, as the publicly available OBIS database from the FDC does not provide information about LFOs, making one reliant on the county clerks of courts for this financial information.

22. Each circle in Figure 2 represents a Florida county. The diagonal black line is fixed at a 45° angle. The X-axis (horizontal), labeled "Fraction zero balance, Black individuals with a felony conviction," is the fraction (from 0 to 50) of black individuals in a county with a felony conviction who have met all the terms of their felony conviction according to the FDC's OBIS database, and who owe \$0 LFOs in the county, according to data provided by the 58 clerks of court. The Y-axis (vertical), labeled "Fraction zero balance, White individuals with a felony conviction," is the fraction (from 0 to 50) of white individuals in a county with a felony conviction but who have met all the terms of their felony conviction

in the county, and who owe \$0 LFOs in the county, and are thus eligible to register to vote.

Figure 2: Fraction of Black and White Individuals in the 58 Counties with Estimates of \$0 LFOs Owed who are *in* the FDC's OBIS Database, by County



23. The diagonal 45° line allows us to easily visualize how white persons with qualifying felony convictions according to the FDC's OBIS inmate release

database who have met the terms of their sentence, are more likely to have no outstanding LFOs compared to comparable black persons who have met the terms of their sentence across the 58 counties for which I have obtained data from the county clerks of court that can be linked to the FDC's OBIS records. Nearly every circle (which are scaled proportionately to the number of matched black and white individuals in each county) lies above the 45° line. That is, with the exception of four small, rural counties with largely white populations (Calhoun, DeSoto, Liberty, and Madison counties), black individuals released from FDC supervision are more likely than white individuals to owe LFOs.

D. Estimates of LFOs Owed by Individuals with Felony Convictions in the 58 Counties and in the FDC's OBIS Database, who are Otherwise Eligible to Register to Vote under SB7066, by Race

24. By linking individuals in the FDC's OBIS inmate release database to an individual's LFO status as indicated by data provided by the 58 county clerks of court, it is possible to provide additional estimates of the number of persons who were convicted of a felony (other than those convicted of murder or a sexual offense) in each of the counties, who have been released from custody and supervision, and who have a zero (\$0.00) balance for LFOs tied to a felony conviction.

25. It is also possible to provide estimates of the number of persons across the 58 counties who are prohibited under SB7066 from registering to vote, even though they have been released from custody and supervision, because they have

outstanding LFOs tied to a felony conviction. Furthermore, it is possible to provide estimates of the racial breakdown of black and white individuals across the 58 counties who have a felony conviction, who have been released from FDC custody—that is, they completed all the terms of their sentences, including parole, probation, or community control/supervision—but who may or may not have outstanding LFOs tied to a felony conviction.

26. Overall, across the 58 counties, I calculated there are an estimated 336,108 individuals in the FDC's OBIS inmate released database who are potentially eligible to gain voting rights according to SB7066. These individuals were adjudicated guilty, were under the control of the FDC, were not convicted of murder or a sex crime, and starting in 1997 had been released from supervision. By linking these individuals to the databases provided by 58 clerks of court, it is possible to approximate how many have outstanding LFOs tied to a felony conviction. Table 4 provides the count and percentage, overall and by race (black and white), of the 163,438 individuals matched from the FDC's OBIS inmate released database to data provided by the 58 county clerks of court, broken down by each range of estimated minimum outstanding LFOs, and by the race of the individual (black and white).⁴ Overall, I estimate that 13.6% of these individuals—some 105,941 individuals—owe \$0 in LFOs tied to their felony conviction.

⁴ As in my original report, matching records across the FDC's OBIS and the 58 clerks of court data is based on an exact match between first name, last name,

Table 4:
Estimates of Balance Due of Eligible Persons with Felony Convictions,
in the FDC's OBIS Database, across 58 Florida Counties, by Race

	Balance due, All		Balance due, Black		Balance due, White	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
\$0	22,213	13.6	6,468	10.3	15,651	15.7
Up to \$100	6,754	4.1	2,234	3.5	4,492	4.5
Up to \$250	4,537	2.8	1,673	2.7	2,852	2.9
Up to \$500	12,838	7.9	4,988	7.9	7,790	7.8
Up to \$1,000	30,752	18.8	12,602	20.0	17,999	18.1
Up to \$5,000	65,927	40.3	26,988	42.8	38,583	38.8
Up to \$10,000	9,301	5.7	4,085	6.5	5,157	5.2
> \$10,000	11,116	6.8	4,047	6.4	6,922	7.0
Total	163,438	100.	63,085	100.0	99,446	100.0

27. As Table 4 makes clear, of those individuals in the databases of the 58 county clerks of court who were under the control and supervision of the FDC and who have been released because they have met all the terms of their felony conviction, only 10.3% of blacks, compared to 15.7% of white individuals, may be eligible to register and vote under SB7066 because they have paid off their LFOs. Put differently, roughly nine in 10 black individuals who have been released from

name suffix, date of birth, race code, and sex code. Records with missing first names are not part of the match, so such a person with a felony conviction who is released from the FDC but who committed a crime in two or more counties, and thus may have LFOs owed in two or more counties, is treated as two separate individuals. Because of data reliability concerns, individuals with county sentence imposed dates prior to 1960 are excluded from the analysis, as are individuals in the FDC's OBIS release database released prior to October 1, 1997. Roughly 13.5% of matched individuals in the FDC's OBIS inmate release database with the county LFOs data were in more than one of the 58 counties and had positive LFOs in more than one county.

FDC custody and supervision still owe LFOs as part of a felony conviction, whereas roughly six in seven for comparable white individuals still owe LFOs. Black individuals are also more likely than white individuals to owe between \$250 and \$10,000 in LFOs in the 58 counties.

28. In my opinion, it is clear from Table 4 that black individuals in these 58 counties who have been released from the custody and supervision of the FDC are significantly less likely to be able to re-gain their voting rights, as compared to comparable white individuals with felony convictions, as a result of outstanding LFOs tied to a felony conviction.

V. Conclusion

29. Despite the absence of data on out-of-state and federal convictions of persons with felony convictions living in Florida, and the general unavailability or inaccessibility of correctional data from various State of Florida agencies or clerks of court that are needed to establish more definitively which persons with Florida felony convictions who reside in Florida might be eligible to vote, there is little doubt that the financial requirements of SB7066 will severely limit the ability of otherwise eligible Floridians with a past felony conviction to be able to register or vote. This is because there is a large share of individuals who still have outstanding LFOs originally assessed as part of their felony conviction. Due to outstanding LFOs, my preliminary analysis estimates that fewer than one-in-five—

just 105,941 of the 542,207 individuals⁵ with a felony conviction other than murder or a sexual offense who have been released from county or FDC custody and supervision in 58 counties—are likely to be qualified to register to vote under SB7066. The rate is significantly less for black individuals with a felony conviction in nearly all counties for which I have obtained data.

30. In sum, my findings should be taken as preliminary and conservative estimates, due to limited available data. I do not have accurate or comprehensive data on federal or out-of-state felony convictions, and even within the Florida criminal justice systems, I do not have systematic data on individuals convicted of a felony who were never referred to the FDC, e.g., those who served time in a county jail or county supervision. Furthermore, because I am still missing data from nine counties, I am unable to cross-reference whether an individual with an LFO balance of \$0.00 in one county has outstanding debt from a felony conviction in all other counties. The available data from the FDC and the county clerks of court only go back as far as the 1990s. Short of calling each county clerk of court to identify, on an individual basis, any LFOs owed by perhaps as many as half a million people, which still may not yield results, I have not discovered a database that allows me to determine whether LFOs have been converted into a civil lien, or

⁵ This includes 22,213 of the 163,438 individuals who were in FDC custody or supervision, and 87,728 of the 378,769 individuals with a felony conviction who were not in the FDC custody or supervision who now have an LFO balance of \$0.00 in the 58 analyzed counties' databases.

to track restitution obligations not recorded or updated by the clerks of court. I do not have data to confirm that those I have identified meet other voter eligibility requirements (such as mental competence and U.S. citizenship). I would like to reserve the right to continue to supplement my declarations in light of additional facts, data, and testimony.

31. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 16th day of September, 2019, at Alachua County, Florida.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. A. Smith', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Daniel A. Smith, Ph.D.

8 August 2019

DANIEL A. SMITH
Curriculum Vitae

Mailing Address

Department of Political Science
234 Anderson Hall
PO Box 117325
University of Florida
Gainesville, FL 32611-7325

Contact

Office: 303 Anderson Hall
Phone: 352.273.2346
Fax: 352.392.8127
Email: electionsmith@gmail.com dasmith@ufl.edu
Homepage: <http://people.clas.ufl.edu/dasmith/www.electionsmith.com>

EDUCATION

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Ph.D., Political Science, 1994
M.A., Political Science, 1989

The Pennsylvania State University

B.A., Political Science (Foreign Affairs) & B.A., History (*cum laude*), 1988
University Scholars Program (University Honors)
Phi Beta Kappa, Phi Alpha Theta
Macro Economics Program, *Westminster College, Oxford University*, Summer, 1987

ACADEMIC EMPLOYMENT

University of Florida, Gainesville

Professor, Department of Political Science, 2010-
Chair, 2017-
Graduate Coordinator, 2014-2016
Associate Chair, 2013-2014
Director, Graduate Program in Political Campaigning, 2007-2011
Affiliate Professor, Center for African Studies, 2010-
Affiliate Professor, The Bob Graham Center for Public Service, 2013-
Internship Coordinator, Department of Political Science, 2005-
Associate Professor (with tenure), Department of Political Science, 2003-2009

University of Denver

Associate Professor (with tenure), Department of Political Science, 2000-2003
Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, 1994-2000
Director, *University of Denver/University of Ghana* Study Abroad Program, 1995-2002

University of Ghana

Senior Fulbright Scholar, Department of Political Science, 2000-01

West Virginia University

Visiting Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, 1993-1994

Beloit College

Visiting Lecturer, *Warner Mills Teaching Fellow*, Department of Government, 1992-1993

University of Wisconsin-Madison

Teaching Assistant, Department of Political Science, 1988; 1990-1991
Research Assistant, Center on Wisconsin Strategy, 1989-1991
Project Assistant, Department of Political Science, 1989-1990

RESEARCH FELLOWSHIPS

University of Florida Term Professor, 2016-2018
Research Associate, *Ghana Center for Democratic Development (CDD-Ghana)*, Accra, Ghana, Fall 2011
University of Florida Research Foundation (UFRF) Professor, 2010-2012
Visiting Scholar, Bill Lane Center for the Study of the North American West, Stanford University, Spring 2007
Senior Research Scholar, Ballot Initiative Strategy Center, Washington, D.C., Spring 2006
Senior Fulbright Scholar (Ghana), United States Department of State, 2000-01
Research Associate, *Ghana Center for Democratic Development (CDD-Ghana)*, Accra, Ghana, 2000-01

AREAS OF SPECIALIZATION

American State Politics

Direct Democracy (Ballot Initiatives and Referendums)
Voting and Elections (Political Campaigns, Campaign Finance, Voting Rights, Redistricting)

American Institutions (Political Parties and Interest Groups)

Politics of Ghana (Voting and Elections)

COURSES TAUGHT

Intro to American Politics (Undergrad)
 Interest Group Politics (Undergrad)
 Direct Democracy (Grad & Undergrad)
 Politics of Reform (Grad)

State and Local Government (Grad & Undergrad)
 Political Parties (Grad & Undergrad)
 Politics of Campaign Finance (Grad & Undergrad)
 Problems of Markets and Governments (Undergrad)

SCHOLARLY PUBLICATIONS**BOOKS**

- 3) Todd Donovan, Daniel A. Smith, Tracy Osborne, and Christopher Z. Mooney. 2015. *State and Local Politics: Institutions and Reform*. 4th edition. Boston (MA): Cengage Learning Wadsworth.
- 2) Daniel A. Smith and Caroline J. Tolbert. 2004. *Educated by Initiative: The Effects of Direct Democracy on Citizens and Political Organizations in the American States*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- 1) Daniel A. Smith. 1998. *Tax Crusaders and the Politics of Direct Democracy*. NY: Routledge.

JOURNAL ARTICLES (students highlighted in bold)

- 58) David Cottrell, Michael C. Herron, and Daniel A. Smith. Forthcoming. "Voting Lines, Equal Treatment, and Early Voting Check-in Times in Florida," *State Politics and Policy Quarterly*.
- 57) Seth C. McKee, Daniel A. Smith, and M.V. (Trey) Hood. 2018. "The Comeback Kid: Donald Trump on Election Day in 2016." *PS: Political Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096518001622>.
- 56) Hannah Walker, Michael C. Herron, and Daniel A. Smith. 2018. "North Carolina voter turnout and early voting hours in the 2016 General Election." *Political Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-018-9473-5>.
- 55) Daniel Biggers and Daniel A. Smith. 2018. "Does Threatening their Franchise Make Registered Voters More Likely to Participate? Evidence from an Aborted Voter Purge." *British Journal of Political Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123418000157>
- 54) **Brian Amos**, **Diana Forster**, and Daniel A. Smith. 2018. "Who Signs? Ballot Petition Signatures as Political Participation," *American Review of Politics* 36(2): 19-37.
- 53) David Cottrell, Michael C. Herron, Javier M. Rodriguez, and Daniel A. Smith. 2018. "Mortality, Incarceration, and African-American Disenfranchisement in the Contemporary United States," *American Politics Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1532673X18754555>.
- 52) Daniel A. Smith, Seth C. McKee, and M.V. (Trey) Hood, III. 2018. "Election Daze: Voting Modes and Voter Preferences in the 2016 Presidential Election," *Florida Political Chronicle* 25(2): 123-141.
- 51) **Enrijeta Shino** and Daniel A. Smith. 2018. "Timing the Habit: Voter Registration and Turnout in the American States." *Electoral Studies* 51: 72-82.
- 50) William D. Hicks, Carl E. Klarner, Seth C. McKee, and Daniel A. Smith. 2018. "Revisiting Majority-Minority Districts and Black Representation," *Political Research Quarterly* 71(2): 408-23.
- 49) **Brian Amos**, Daniel A. Smith, and **Casey Ste. Claire**. 2017. "Reprecincting and Voting Behavior," *Political Behavior* 39(1): 133-156.
- 48) William D. Hicks, Seth C. McKee, and Daniel A. Smith. 2016. "A Bipartisan Election Reform? Explaining Support for Online Voter Registration in the American States," *American Politics Research* 44(6): 1008-1036.
- 47) Michael C. Herron and Daniel A. Smith. 2016. "Race, Shelby County, and the Voter Information Verification Act in North Carolina," *Florida State University Law Review* 43: 465-506.
- 46) William D. Hicks, Seth C. McKee, and Daniel A. Smith. 2016. "The Determinants of State Legislator Support for Restrictive Voter ID Laws," *State Politics & Policy Quarterly* 16(4): 411-431.
- 45) Michael C. Herron and Daniel A. Smith. 2016. "Precinct Resources and Voter Wait Times," *Electoral Studies* 42: 249-63.
- 44) **Thessalia Merivaki** and Daniel A. Smith. 2016. "Casting and Verifying Provisional Ballots in Florida," *Social Science Quarterly* 97(3): 729-47.
- 43) Michael C. Herron and Daniel A. Smith. 2015. "Precinct Closing Times in Florida during the 2012 General Election," *Election Law Journal* 14: 220-38.
- 42) William D. Hicks, Seth C. McKee, **Mitchell Sellers**, and Daniel A. Smith. 2015. "A Principle or a Strategy? Voter Identification Laws and Partisan Competition in the American States," *Political Research Quarterly* 68: 18-33.
- 41) Michael C. Herron and Daniel A. Smith. 2014. "Race, Party, and the Consequences of Restricting Early Voting in Florida in the 2012 General Election," *Political Research Quarterly* 67: 646-65.
- 40) Josh Brodbeck, **Matthew T. Harrigan**, and Daniel A. Smith. 2013. "Citizen and lobbyist access to Members of Congress: Who gets and who gives?" *Interest Groups and Advocacy* 2: 323-42.
- 39) Michael C. Herron and Daniel A. Smith. 2013. "House Bill 1355 and Voter Registration in Florida," *State Politics and Policy Quarterly*, 13: 279-305.
- 38) Michael C. Herron and Daniel A. Smith. 2012. "Souls to the Polls: Early Voting in Florida in the Shadow of House Bill 1355," *Election Law Journal* 11: 331-47.
 [Winner of the 2013 APSA State Politics and Policy Section's *Best Paper Award*]
- 37) Janine Parry, Daniel A. Smith, and Shayne Henry. 2011. "The Impact of Petition Signing on Voter Turnout," *Political Behavior* 34: 117-36.

- 36) **Stephanie Slade** and Daniel A. Smith. 2011. "Obama to Blame? African American Surge Voters and the Ban on Same-Sex Marriage in Florida," *The Forum* 9(2), Article 6: <http://www.bepress.com/forum/vol9/iss2/art6>.
- 35) Daniel A. Smith. 2011. "Generating Scholarship from Public Service: Media Outreach, Nonprofit Foundation Service, and Legal Expert Consulting," *PS: Political Science & Politics* 44: 255-9.
- 34) **Josh Huder, Jordan Ragusa**, and Daniel A. Smith. 2011. "The Initiative to Shirke? The Effects of Ballot Measures on Congressional Voting Behavior," *American Politics Research* 39 (3): 582-610.
- 33) Daniel A. Smith, Caroline J. Tolbert, and Amanda Keller. 2010. "Electoral and Structural Losers and Support for a National Referendum in the U.S." *Electoral Studies* 29: 509-520.
- 32) Daniel A. Smith and Caroline J. Tolbert. 2010. "Direct Democracy, Public Opinion, and Candidate Choice," *Public Opinion Quarterly* 74: 85-108.
- 31) Caroline Tolbert, Daniel A. Smith, and John Green. 2009. "Mass Support for Redistricting Reform: District and Statewide Representational Winners and Losers." *Political Research Quarterly* 62: 92-109.
- 30) Todd Donovan, Caroline J. Tolbert, and Daniel A. Smith. 2009. "Political Engagement, Mobilization, and Direct Democracy," *Public Opinion Quarterly* 73: 98-118.
- 29) Daniel A. Smith. 2009. "An Americanist in Africa," *PS: Political Science & Politics* 42 (4): 827-33.
- 28) Beatrix Allah-Mensa, Kevin S. Fridy, Daniel A. Smith, and Ukoha Ukiwo. "2009 APSA Workshop on African Elections and Democracy," *PS: Political Science & Politics*. 42 (4): 827-33. [Editors of a Special Symposium on the 2009 APSA Workshop in Ghana].
- 27) Todd Donovan, Caroline Tolbert, and Daniel A. Smith. 2008. "Priming Presidential Votes by Direct Democracy," *Journal of Politics* 70: 1217-31.
- 26) Daniel A. Smith and Dustin Fridkin. 2008. "Delegating Direct Democracy: Interparty Legislative Competition and the Adoption of the Initiative in the American States," *American Political Science Review* 102: 333-50.
- 25) Eric Heberlig, Bruce Larson, Daniel A. Smith, and **Kristen Soltis**. 2008. "Look Who's Coming to Dinner: Direct versus Brokered Member Campaign Contributions to the NRCC." *American Politics Research* 36 433-450.
- 24) Daniel A. Smith and Caroline J. Tolbert. 2007. "The Instrumental and Educative Effects of Ballot Measures: Research on Direct Democracy in the American States." *State Politics and Policy Quarterly* 4: 417-446.
- 23) Daniel A. Smith. 2007. "Representation and the Spatial Bias of Direct Democracy," *University of Colorado Law Review* 78 (4): 1395-1434.
- 22) Daniel A. Smith and Caroline J. Tolbert, and Daniel Bowen. 2007. "The Educative Effects of Direct Democracy: A Research Primer for Legal Scholars," *University of Colorado Law Review* 78 (4): 1371-94.
- 21) Daniel A. Smith, **Matthew DeSantis**, and **Jason Kassel**. 2006. "Same-Sex Marriage Ballot Measures and the 2004 Presidential Election," *State and Local Government Review* 38 (2): 78-91.
- 20) Caroline J. Tolbert and Daniel A. Smith. 2006. "Representation and Direct Democracy in the United States." *Representation: The Journal of Representative Democracy* 42 (1): 25-44.
- 19) Elizabeth Garrett and Daniel A. Smith. 2005. "Veiled Political Actors and Campaign Disclosure Laws in Direct Democracy." *Election Law Journal* 4 (4) 295-328.
- 18) Caroline J. Tolbert and Daniel A. Smith. 2005. "The Educative Effects of Ballot Initiatives on Voter Turnout." *American Politics Research* 33 (2): 283-309.
- 17) Daniel A. Smith. 2004. "Peeling Away the Populist Rhetoric: Toward a Taxonomy of Anti-Tax Ballot Initiatives." *Journal of Public Budgeting and Finance* 24 (4): 88-110.
- 16) Daniel A. Smith. 2003. "Overturning Term Limits: The Legislature's Own Private Idaho?" *PS: Political Science & Politics* 36 (2): 215-220.
- 15) Caroline J. Tolbert, Ramona McNeal, and Daniel A. Smith. 2003. "Enhancing Civic Engagement: The Effect of Direct Democracy on Political Participation and Knowledge." *State Politics and Policy Quarterly* 3 (1): 23-41.
- 14) Daniel A. Smith. 2003. "Distorted by Outside Money: National Parties and the Race for Colorado's Seventh Congressional District," *PS: Political Science & Politics* 36 (3) PSONline E-Symposium <<http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?aid=164256>>.
- 13) Daniel A. Smith and **Joseph Lubinski**. 2002. "Direct Democracy during the Progressive Era: A Crack in the Populist Veneer?" *Journal of Policy History* 14 (4): 349-83.
- 12) Jonathan Temin and Daniel A. Smith. 2002. "Media Matters: Evaluating the Role of the Media in Ghana's 2000 Elections." *African Affairs* 101: 585-605.
- 11) Daniel A. Smith. 2002. "Consolidating Democracy? The Structural Underpinnings of Ghana's 2000 Elections." *Journal of Modern African Studies* 40 (4): 1-30.
- 10) Daniel A. Smith. 2002. "Ghana's 2000 Elections: Consolidating Multi-Party Democracy." *Electoral Studies* 21 (3): 519-26.
- 9) Daniel A. Smith and Caroline Tolbert. 2001. "The Initiative to Party: Partisanship and Ballot Initiatives in California." *Party Politics* 7 (6): 781-99.
- 8) Caroline Tolbert, John Grummel, and Daniel A. Smith. 2001. "The Effect of Ballot Initiatives on Voter Turnout in the American States." *American Politics Research* 29 (6): 625-48.
- 7) Daniel A. Smith. 2001. "Homeward Bound? Micro-Level Legislative Responsiveness to Ballot Initiatives." *State Politics and Policy Quarterly* 1 (1): 50-61.
- 6) Daniel A. Smith and **Robert J. Herrington**. 2000. "The Process of Direct Democracy: Colorado's 1996 Parental Rights Amendment." *Social Science Journal* 37 (2): 179-94.

- 5) Daniel A. Smith. 1999. "Reevaluating the Causes of Proposition 13." *Social Science History* 23 (2): 173-210.
- 4) Daniel A. Smith and **Nathaniel Golich**. 1998. "Some Unintended Consequences of TABOR." *Comparative State Politics* 19 (6): 33-40.
- 3) Daniel A. Smith, Kevin M. Leyden, and Stephen A. Borrelli. 1998. "Predicting the Outcomes of Presidential Commissions: Evidence from the Johnson and Nixon Years," *Presidential Studies Quarterly* 28 (2): 269-85.
- 2) Daniel A. Smith. 1996. "Populist Entrepreneur: Douglas Bruce and the Tax and Government Limitation Moment in Colorado, 1986-1992," *Great Plains Research* 6 (2): 269-94.
- 1) Daniel A. Smith. 1993. "Removing the Pluralist Blinders: Labor-Management Councils and Industrial Policy in the American States," *Economic Development Quarterly* 7 (4): 373-89.

JOURNAL ARTICLES UNDER REVIEW

- 1) **Thessalia Merivaki** and Daniel A. Smith. Revised & Resubmitted. "A Failsafe for Voters? Cast and Rejected Provisional Ballots in North Carolina," *Political Research Quarterly*.
- 2) Daniel A. Smith, Enrijeta Shino, Anna Baringer, Thomas J. Eichermuller, William Zelin. Submitted. "Election Administration and Public Records Responsiveness," *American Politics Review*.
- 3) Michael Martinez, Michael P. McDonald, Enrijeta Shino, and Daniel A. Smith. Revised and Resubmitted. "Verifying Voter Registration Records," *American Politics Review*.

BOOK CHAPTERS (students (current and former) highlighted in **bold**)

- 28) **Thessalia Merivaki** and Daniel A. Smith. Forthcoming. "Challenges in Voter Registration," in *The Future of Election Administration*, Mitchell Brown, Kathleen Hale, and Bridgett A. King, eds. New York: Palgrave/Macmillan.
- 27) Seth C. McKee and Daniel A. Smith. 2019. "Trump Territory," in *Florida and the 2016 Election of Donald J. Trump*, Matthew Corrigan and Michael Binder, eds. Gainesville: University of Florida Press (49-75).
- 26) Daniel A. Smith, **Brian Amos**, Daniel Maxwell, and **Tyler Richards**. 2019. "Rigged? Assessing Election Administration in Florida's 2016 General Election," in *Florida and the 2016 Election of Donald J. Trump*, Matthew Corrigan and Michael Binder, eds. Gainesville: University of Florida Press (pp. 154-74).
- 25) Daniel A. Smith, **Dillon Boatner**, **Caitlin Ostroff**, **Pedro Otálora**, and **Laura Uribe**, "Early Bird Special: Convenience Voting in Florida's 2016 General Election," in *Florida and the 2016 Election of Donald J. Trump*, Matthew Corrigan and Michael Binder, eds. Gainesville: University of Florida Press (pp.134-53).
- 24) Michael C. Herron, Daniel A. Smith, **Wendy Serra**, and Joseph Bafumi. 2017. "Wait Times and Voter Confidence: A Study of the 2014 General Election in Miami-Dade County," in *The American Election 2014: Contexts and Consequences*, Tauna Sisco, Christopher Galdieri, and Jennifer Lucas, eds. Akron, OH: University of Akron Press (pp. 107-122).
- 23) **Joseph T. Eagleton** and Daniel A. Smith. 2015. "Drawing the Line: Public Support for Amendments 5 and 6," in *Jigsaw Puzzle Politics in the Sunshine State*, Seth C. McKee, ed. Gainesville, FL: University Press of Florida (pp. 109-25).
- 22) **Diana Forster** and Daniel A. Smith. 2014. "A Climate for Change? Environmental Ballot Measures," in *U.S. Climate Change Policy and Civic Society*, Yael Wolinsky-Nahmias, ed. Washington, DC: C.Q. Press.
- 21) Daniel A. Smith. 2014. "Direct Democracy," in *The Encyclopedia of Political Thought*, Michael T. Gibbons, ed. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- 20) **William Hicks** and Daniel A. Smith. 2013. "State Campaigns and Elections," in *The Oxford Handbook of State and Local Government*, Donald Haider-Markel, ed. New York: Oxford University Press.
- 19) Daniel A. Smith. 2012. "Direct Democracy: Regulating the 'Will of the People,'" in Matthew J. Streb, ed., *Law and Election Politics: The Rules of the Game*, 2nd ed. New York: Routledge.
- 18) Daniel A. Smith. 2011. "Direct Democracy in Colorado: A Historical Perspective," in Courtenay Daum, Robert Duffy, and John Straayer, eds., *State of Change: Colorado Politics in the Twenty-first Century*. Boulder: University of Colorado Press.
- 17) Daniel A. Smith. 2010. "Direct Democracy and Candidate Elections," in Stephen C. Craig and David Hill, *The Electoral Challenge: Theory Meets Practice*, 2nd edition. Washington, DC: CQ Press.
- 16) Daniel A. Smith. 2010. "Financing Ballot Measures in the U.S.," in Karin Gilland-Lutz and Simon Hug, eds., *Financing Referendum Campaigns*. New York: Palgrave.
- 15) Daniel A. Smith. 2008. "Direct Democracy and Campaigns," in Shaun Bowler and Amihai Glazer, eds., *Direct Democracy's Impact on American Political Institutions*. New York: Palgrave.
- 14) Todd Donovan and Daniel A. Smith. 2008. "Identifying and Preventing Signature Fraud on Ballot Measure Petitions," in Michael Alvarez, Thad E. Hall, and Susan D. Hyde, eds., *Election Fraud: Detecting and Deterring Electoral Manipulation*. Washington, DC: Brookings.
- 13) Daniel A. Smith. 2008. "Direct Democracy and Election and Ethics Laws," in Bruce Cain, Todd Donovan, and Caroline Tolbert, eds., *Democracy in the States: Experiments in Elections Reform*. Washington, DC: Brookings.
- 12) Daniel A. Smith. 2007. "Ballot Initiatives," in Gary Anderson and Kathryn Herr, eds., *Encyclopedia of Activism and Social Justice*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- 11) Raymond J. La Raja, **Susan E. Orr**, and Daniel A. Smith. 2006. "Surviving BCRA: State Party Finance in 2004," in John Green and Daniel Coffey, eds., *The State of the Parties* (5th edition). Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.

- 10) Daniel A. Smith. 2006. "Initiatives and Referendums: The Effects of Direct Democracy on Candidate Elections," in Steven Craig, ed., *The Electoral Challenge: Theory Meets Practice*. Washington, D.C.: CQ Press.
- 9) Daniel A. Smith (with **Sure Log**). 2005. "Orange Crush: Mobilization of Bias, Ballot Initiatives, and the Politics of Professional Sports Stadia," in David McCuan and Stephen Stambough, eds., *Initiative-Centered Politics*. Durham, NC: Carolina Academic Press.
- 8) Daniel A. Smith. 2005. "The Initiative to Party: The Role of Parties in State Ballot Initiatives," in David McCuan and Stephen Stambough, eds., *Initiative-Centered Politics*. Durham, NC: Carolina Academic Press.
- 7) Daniel A. Smith. 2004. "Strings Attached: Outside Money in Colorado's Seventh Congressional District," in David Magleby and Quin Monson, eds., *The Last Hurrah?* Washington, D.C.: Brookings.
- 6) Daniel A. Smith. 2002. "Direct Democracy and Its Critics," in Peter Woolley and Albert Papa, eds., *American Politics: Core Argument/Current Controversy*. 2nd ed. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- 5) Daniel A. Smith. 2001. "Campaign Financing of Ballot Initiatives in the American States," in Larry Sabato, Bruce Larson, and Howard Ernst, eds., *Dangerous Democracy? The Battle Over Ballot Initiatives in America*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- 4) Daniel A. Smith. 2001. "Special Interests and Direct Democracy: An Historical Glance," in M. Dane Waters, ed., *The Battle Over Citizen Lawmaking*. Durham, NC: Carolina Academic Press.
- 3) Daniel A. Smith and Jonathan Temin. 2001. "The Media and Ghana's 2000 Elections," in Joseph Ayee, ed., *Deepening Democracy in Ghana: Politics of the 2000 Elections*, Volume 1 (Thematic Studies). Accra: Freedom Publications.
- 2) Daniel A. Smith. 2001. "The Politics of Upper East and the 2000 Ghanaian Elections," in Joseph Ayee, ed., *Deepening Democracy in Ghana: Politics of the 2000 Elections*, Volume 2 (Constituency Studies). Accra: Freedom Publications.
- 1) Daniel A. Smith. 1998. "Unmasking the Tax Crusaders," in Bruce Stinebrickner, ed., *Annual Editions: State & Local Government*. 9th ed. Guilford, CT: Dushkin/McGraw-Hill, 83-85 [Reprinted].

RESEARCH GRANTS, CONTRACTS, HONORS, AND AWARDS

- 36) Gill Foundation Grant, "LGBT Issues in Florida," Spring 2018 (Co-PI, Michael Martinez).
- 35) University of Florida Term Professorship, 2017-2019.
- 34) University Scholars Program Grant (advising Pedro Ortálora), University of Florida, "Political Participation of Native-Born and Naturalized Citizens in Miami-Dade." Summer/Fall 2017.
- 33) Ruben Askew Scholar Award (advising Wendy Serra), Bob Graham Center, University of Florida, Summer 2016.
- 32) Emerging Scholars Program (advising Anthony Rychkov), University of Florida, "The Timing of Voter Registration and Turnout," Spring/Summer 2016.
- 31) University Scholars Program Grant (advising Casey Ste. Claire), University of Florida, College of Liberal Arts & Sciences, "Reprecincting and Voter Turnout," Fall 2015/Spring 2016.
- 30) University Scholars Program Grant (with Frances Chapman), University of Florida, College of Liberal Arts & Sciences, "Truing or Suppressing the Vote? Private Voter Challenges in Florida," Fall 2013/Spring 2014.
- 29) Best Paper Award presented in 2012 by the APSA Organized Section on State Politics and Policy: "Souls to the Polls: Early Voting in Florida in the Shadow of House Bill 1355," 2013 (with Michael Herron).
- 28) University Scholars Program Grant (with Bryce Freeman), University of Florida, College of Liberal Arts & Sciences, "Impact of Voter Suppression on Political Participation," Spring 2013.
- 27) The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, "Popular Support and Conditions for the Passage of Ballot Measures," June 2013.
- 26) Advancement Project, "Congestion at the Polls: A Study of Florida Precincts in the 2012 General Election," June 2013 (with Michael Herron).
- 25) Co-Principal Investigator, "Trans-Saharan Professionals Program," United States Department of State, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, S-ECAPPE-10-GR-231 (DT), September 2010-August 2012.
- 24) University of Florida Research Foundation (UFRF) Professor, 2010-2012 (annual salary supplement and research funding).
- 23) Co-Principal Investigator, *American Political Science Association* Workshop on Elections and Democracy, University of Ghana at Legon, Ghana, Summer 2009, funded by Mellon Foundation.
- 22) Best Paper Award presented in 2006 by the APSA Organized Section on State Politics and Policy: "Do State-Level Ballot Measures Affect Presidential Elections?" (with Caroline Tolbert and Todd Donovan).
- 21) Research Grant, "Did Gay Marriage Re-Elect George W. Bush?" University of Florida, College of Liberal Arts & Sciences, Summer 2005.
- 20) University Scholars Program Grant (with Kirsten Soltis), University of Florida, College of Liberal Arts & Sciences, "Money and the Member: An Analysis of Fundraising in Congressional Politics in the Post-Campaign Finance Reform Era," Fall 2005.
- 19) Research Grant, "Mobilization Effects of Ballot Measures in Colorado, Florida, Ohio, and Nevada," Ballot Initiative Strategy Center, Fall 2004.
- 18) Research Grant, "Mobilization Effects of Gay Marriage Ban in Ohio," Ballot Initiative Strategy Center, Fall 2004.
- 17) Research and Travel Grant, *Pew Charitable Trusts*, "Veiled Political Actors," Daniel Lowenstein, Kim Alexander, Robert Stern, Tracy Western, and Joseph Doherty, Principle Investigators, Fall 2003.
- 16) Travel Grant, *College of Liberal Arts and Sciences*, University of Florida, "Initiative and Referendum Campaigns," Fall 2003.

- 15) Research Grant, *Pew Charitable Trusts*, “Outside Money: Colorado’s 7th Congressional District,” David Magleby, Principal Investigator, Fall 2002.
- 14) Faculty Research Fund, “Ballot Initiatives during the Progressive Era,” *University of Denver*, Fall 2002.
- 13) Research Grant, *American Political Science Association*, “Ballot Initiatives during the Progressive Era: Evidence from California, 1912-1920,” Summer 2002.
- 12) Research Grant, *Colorado Endowment for the Humanities*, “The ‘Golden Era’ of Direct Democracy? Colorado’s Election of 1912,” (R017-0300-010) (with Joseph Lubinski), Spring 2000.
- 11) Partners in Scholarship: 2000 Winter Quarter Project Proposal, “The ‘Golden Era’ of Direct Democracy? Evidence from the Colorado Election of 1912,” *University of Denver*, with Joseph Lubinski).
- 10) Rosenberry Fund, “Direct Democracy in Colorado,” *University of Denver*, Spring 1999.
- 9) Best Paper, Charles Redd Politics of the American West, “Howard Jarvis, Populist Entrepreneur: Reevaluating Causes of Proposition 13,” *Western Political Science Association*, Los Angeles, March 20, 1998.
- 8) Faculty Research Fund, “Ballot Warriors: Citizen Initiatives in the 1990s,” *University of Denver*, Fall 1997.
- 7) Partners in Scholarship: 1997 Winter Quarter Project Proposal, “The Process of Direct Democracy: Parental Rights Amendment,” *University of Denver*, with Robert Herrington, Winter 1997.
- 6) Faculty Research Fund, “~~Faux~~ Populism: Populist Entrepreneurs and Populist Moments,” *University of Denver*, Fall 1996.
- 5) International Small Grants, “Election Monitor: Ghana Presidential and Parliamentary 1996 Elections,” Office of Internationalization, *University of Denver*, Fall 1996.
- 4) Faculty Research Fund, “Populist Prophets and the Mass Appeal of Direct Democracy,” Program Support Services, *University of Denver*, Spring 1995.
- 3) Research Grant, Institute for Public Affairs, *West Virginia University*, Summer 1994.
- 2) Senate Research Travel Grant, Faculty Development Fund, *West Virginia University*, Fall 1994.
- 1) Research Travel Grant, Robert LaFollette Institute of Public Affairs, *University of Wisconsin-Madison*, Fall 1992.

TECHNICAL REPORTS & OTHER SCHOLARLY PUBLICATIONS

- 27) Daniel A. Smith, “Audit of Assignment of Registered Voters to New, Court-Ordered House of Delegates Districts,” Virginia Secretary of State (with Michael P. McDonald), Spring 2019.
- 26) Daniel A. Smith, “Vote-by-Mail Ballots Cast in Florida,” A Report Commissioned by *ACLU Florida*, August 2018.
- 25) Michael C. Herron and Daniel A. Smith, “Congestion at the Polls: A Study of Florida Precincts in the 2012 General Election,” A Report Commissioned by Advancement Project, Washington, DC, June 24, 2013. Available: <http://www.advancementproject.org/news/entry/voters-of-color-faced-longest-wait-times-in-florida>.
- 24) Michael C. Herron and Daniel A. Smith, “Florida’s 2012 General Election under HB 1355: Early Voting, Provisional Ballots, and Absentee Ballots,” League of Women Voters Florida, January 2013.
- 23) Daniel A. Smith, “The Re-demarcation and Reapportionment of Parliamentary Constituencies in Ghana,” *Ghana Center for Democratic Development (CDD-GHANA)*, Vol. 10 (2): October, 2011. Available: <http://www.cddghana.org/documents/Vol.%2010,%20No.%202.pdf>
- 22) Daniel A. Smith. 2010. “Educative Effects of Direct Democracy: Evidence from the US States,” Memorandum requested by the British House of Lords, Constitution Committee, January 4. Available: <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200910/ldselect/ldconst/99/99we14.htm>.
- 21) Daniel A. Smith. 2006. “Money Talks: Ballot Initiative Spending in 2004.” *Ballot Initiative Strategy Center*, June. Available: <http://ballot.org>.
- 20) Daniel A. Smith. 2006. “Ballot Initiatives, Tax Issues,” in Larry Sabato and Howard Ernst, eds., *Encyclopedia of American Political Parties and Elections*. New York: Facts on File.
- 19) Daniel A. Smith. 2004. “Direct Democracy,” in David Wishart, ed., *Encyclopedia of the Great Plains*. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
- 18) Daniel A. Smith and Caroline J. Tolbert. 2003. “Educated by Initiative,” *Campaigns and Elections*, August, p. 31.
- 17) Elizabeth Garrett, and Daniel A. Smith. 2003. “Veiled Political Actors: The Real Threat to Campaign Disclosure Statutes” (July 22). USC Law and Public Policy Research Paper No. 03-13 <http://ssrn.com/abstract=424603>.
- 16) Daniel A. Smith. 2003. “Ballot Initiatives and the (Sub)Urban/Rural Divide in Colorado,” in Daphne T. Greenwood, ed., *Colorado’s Future: Meeting the Needs of a Changing State*. Colorado Springs: Center for Colorado Policy Studies.
- 15) Daniel A. Smith. 2003. “The Colorado 7th Congressional District,” in David B. Magleby and Quin Monson, eds., *The Last Hurrah?* Provo, UT: Center for the Study of Elections and Democracy.
- 14) Stan Elofson, Daniel A. Smith, Jennifer Berg, and Joseph Lubinski. 2002. “A Listing of Statewide Initiated and Referred Ballot Proposals in Colorado, 1912-2001.” Issue Brief No. 02-02. (March 5) *Colorado Legislative Council*, Colorado General Assembly, Denver. [Revised Edition].
- 13) Daniel A. Smith. 2001. “Howard Jarvis’ Legacy? An Assessment of Antitax Initiatives in the American States.” *State Tax Notes* 22: 10 (December): 753-764.
- 12) Daniel A. Smith. 2001. “The Structural Underpinnings of Ghana’s December 2000 Elections.” Critical Perspectives, No. 6. *Ghana Center for Democratic Development (CDD-Ghana)*, Accra, Ghana.
- 11) Daniel A. Smith, Jonathan Temin, and Kwaku Nuamah. 2001. “Media Coverage of the 2000 Election: A Report on the Media Coverage of Election 2000 (May 2000-January 2001).” Research Paper, No. 8. *Ghana Center for Democratic Development (CDD-Ghana)*, Accra, Ghana.

- 10) Daniel A. Smith. 2000. "Election 2000: Debating the Issues?" Briefing Paper, Volume 2, Number 4, *Ghana Center for Democratic Development (CDD-Ghana)*, Accra, Ghana.
- 9) Daniel A. Smith. 2000. "Growth and Transportation Ballot Measures in Colorado," in Floyd Ciruli, ed., *Moving Visions: Next Steps Toward Growing Smart*. Denver: Gates Family Foundation.
- 8) Stan Eloffson, Daniel A. Smith, Jennifer Berg, and Joseph Lubinski. 2000. "A Listing of Statewide Initiated and Referred Ballot Proposals in Colorado, 1912-2000." Issue Brief No. 8. (December) *Colorado Legislative Council*, Colorado General Assembly, Denver. [updated 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008]
- 7) Daniel A. Smith. 2000. "Progressives and the Initiative Process: A Call to Arms." *Ballot Initiative Strategy Center (BISC)*.
- 6) Daniel A. Smith and Joseph Lubinski. 2000. "Sponsoring 'Counter-Majoritarian' Bills in Colorado." *Ag Journal*. (September): 12-13.
- 5) Daniel A. Smith. 1998. "Unmasking the Tax Crusaders." *State Government News*. 41:2 (March): 18-21.
- 4) Daniel A. Smith. 1997. "Howard Jarvis, Populist Entrepreneur," Working Paper, 97-8, Institute of Governmental Studies, *University of California - Berkeley*.
- 3) Daniel A. Smith. 1995. "The West Virginia Labor-Management Advisory Council," *The West Virginia Public Affairs Reporter*. 12:4 (Winter): 1-11.
- 2) Daniel A. Smith. 1992. "A Tale of Five Cities," *The La Follette Policy Report*. 5 (Fall): 18-21.
- 1) Daniel A. Smith. 1991. "Emerging Skill Needs in the Wisconsin Non-Automotive Engines Industry," Commissioned by the Wisconsin Board of Vocational, Technical, and Adult Education, Working Paper, *Center on Wisconsin Strategy*, University of Wisconsin-Madison.

OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES: BOARDS/EXPERT WITNESS/POLITICAL CONSULTANT/INVITED TESTIMONY/MISCELLANEOUS

President, ElectionSmith www.electionsmith.com (S-Corp) 2006-
 Board Member, Ballot Initiative Strategy Center (BISC) www.ballot.org 1999-
 Board Member, Common Cause Florida <https://www.commoncause.org/florida/> 2014-
 Board President, 300 Club <https://300clubswimandtennis.com/> 2018-

Domestic Consulting

Expert, *Gruver, et al. v. Barton, et al.* Case 1:19-cv-00121-MW-GRJ (US District Court for the Northern District of Florida). [Retained by plaintiffs to analyze records on the impact of SB7066 on Florida residents with felony convictions and outstanding LFOs], 2019-
 Consultant, Andrew Goodman Foundation [Analysis of on-campus early voting in Florida], 2019.
 Consultant, ACLU-Florida [Data analysis of Ex-Felons in Florida], 2019-.
 Expert (written declaration), *DNC Services Corporation et al. v. Lee et al.* Case 4:18-cv-00524-MW-CAS (US District Court for the Northern District of Florida) [Provided written report for plaintiffs on Vote by Mail ballots in Florida], 2019-.
 Expert (written declaration), *MOVE Texas Civic Fund, et. al. v. Whitley, et. al.* Case 3:19-cv-00041 (US District Court for the Southern District of Texas) [Provided written reports for plaintiffs on number of naturalized citizens in Texas], 2019.
 Expert, *Fair Fight Action v. Crittenden*, Case No. 1:18-cv-05391 (US District Court for the Northern District of Georgia) [Retained by plaintiffs to analyze data related to Georgia's election laws], 2018-.
 Expert, *The Democratic Party of Georgia v. Crittenden*, Case No. 1:18-cv-05443 (US District Court for the Northern District of Georgia) [Retained by plaintiffs to analyze data related to the 2018 gubernatorial election], 2018.
 Consultant, ACLU-Florida [Provided analysis of Vote by Mail ballots in Florida], 2018.
 Expert, *Judicial Watch, Inc., Election Integrity Project California, Inc., et al. v. Dean C. Logan, et al.* Case No. 2:17-cv-08948-R-SK (US District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division). [Retained by defendants (California Department of Justice) to analyze data concerning inactive voters], 2018.
 Expert (written declaration), *Rivera v. Detzner*, Case 1:18-cv-61474 (US District Court for the Northern District of Florida) [Provided written report for plaintiffs on Puerto Rican population and registered voters in Florida], 2018.
 Expert, *Thompson et al. v. Merrill*, Case No. 2: 16-cv-783 (US District Court for the Middle District of Alabama) [Retained by plaintiffs to analyze data related to the discriminatory impact of Alabama's felony disenfranchisement scheme over time], 2018-.
 Expert (written affidavit), *League of Women Voters of Florida, Inc., et al. v. Detzner*, Case No. 4:18-cv-00251-MW-CAS (US District Court for the Northern District of Florida) [Provided written report for plaintiffs (LWV) to extend early voting in Florida], 2018.
 Expert (written affidavit), *Ohio A. Philip Randolph Institute, et al. v. Secretary of State, Jon Husted*, Case 2:16-cv-00303 (US District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Eastern Division) [Provided written report and deposed for plaintiffs (APRI, ACLU OH, Demos) to reinstate registered voters removed by Ohio's "Supplemental Process"], 2017. [Decision, *Husted v. APRI*, by SCOTUS, July 11, 2018].
 Expert (written affidavits), *ACRU v. Snipes*, Case 4:16-cv-61474 (US District Court for the Southern District of Florida, Ft. Lauderdale Division) [Provided written expert reports and deposed for intervenors (SEIU, Project Vote, Demos) to defend NVRA compliance by Broward Supervisor of Elections, 2017; testified at trial].

- Consultant, ACLU, Georgia [Provided analysis of CVAP, VAP, and registered voters in Irwin County, Georgia], 2017.
- Consultant, ACLU, Georgia [Provided analysis of proposed redistricting changes to the Georgia House of Representatives by the Georgia state legislature], 2017.
- Expert (written affidavit), *Florida Democratic Party v. Scott*, Case 4:16-cv-00626 (US District Court for the Northern District of Florida) [Provided written expert report for plaintiff-intervenors (Mi Familia Vota Education Fund) to extend voter registration deadline in Florida due to Hurricane Matthew], 2016.
- Consultant, ACLU, Georgia [Provided analysis of registration deadline in Georgia due to Hurricane Matthew], 2016.
- Expert (written affidavit), *Florida Democratic Party v. Detzner*, Case 4:16-cv-00607 (US District Court for the Northern District of Florida) [Provided written empirical analysis for plaintiff on vote-by-mail ballots cast in Florida], 2016.
- Advisor, “Mad As Hell: Howard Jarvis and the Birth of the Tax Revolt,” Documentary Film by Jason Cohn, Bread and Butter Films [Academic Advisor on Jarvis and antecedents of Prop. 13], 2011-16.
- Advisor, “Rigged,” Documentary Film by Natasha del Torro, Fusion TV (Naked Truth), 2016. [Winner of the Robert F. Kennedy Journalism Award for Best Documentary]. Available: <http://tv.fusion.net/story/352548/naked-truth-rigged-elections-documentary/>.
- Advisor, “Voting: Last Week Tonight with John Oliver,” HBO, February 14, 2016. Available: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rHFOwIMCdto>.
- Expert (written affidavit), *Frank v. Walker*, Case 16-3003, 16-3052 (US Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit) [Provided written empirical analysis for plaintiffs (ACLU) on voter ID and turnout], 2015.
- Expert (consultant), *Greater Birmingham Ministries v. Alabama*, Case 2:15-cv-02193-LSC (US District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, Southern Division) [Provided oral empirical analysis for plaintiffs (NAACP LDEF) on use of absentee ballots], 2015.
- Consultant, America Votes [Provided demographic shift of registered voters analysis for state of Florida], 2015.
- Expert (written affidavits), *NAACP, et al. v. Husted, et al.*, 2:14 cv-00404 (US District Court for the Southern District of Ohio) [Provided written empirical analysis and deposed for plaintiffs (ACLU) on early in-person absentee voting in Ohio], 2014.
- Expert (written affidavit), *John Sullivan, et al. v. Marni Lin Saviki, et al.*, 2013-CA-003122 (20th Judicial Circuit (Lee County, FL) [Provided written empirical analysis and deposed on early, absentee, and Election Day vote totals in the November 5, 2013 Cape Coral mayoral election], 2014.
- Expert (written affidavit), *Gateway Retail Center, LLC v. City of Jacksonville, Florida*, 3:13-cv1040-J-TJC-JRK (US District Court for the Middle District of Florida) [Provided empirical analysis for Gateway Retail Center’s attorneys of African American voting during early voting in Duval County in the 2012 General Election], 2013.
- Expert (written affidavits), *Arcia, et al. v. Detzner*, 1:12-cv-22282-WJZ (US District Court for the Southern District of Florida) [Provided empirical analysis for Arcia’s attorneys of the Florida Department of State’s various lists of “potential non-citizens”], 2012. [*Arcia, et al. v. Florida Secretary of State* (Defendant-Appellee) and *Garcia, et al.* (Intervenor Defendants), 12-15738 (Appealed in 11th Circuit, from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida), 2014.
- Elections Analyst, WUFT (TV and Radio), Election Night Coverage, November 6, 2012.
- Advisor, “Voters in America: Who Counts?” CNN Documentary Investigation, October 14, 2012. <http://cnnpressroom.blogs.cnn.com/2012/10/19/voters-in-america-who-counts-joejohnscnn-investigates-voter-suppression-voter-fraud/>
- Expert (written affidavit), *Brown v. Detzner* 3:12-cv-00852 (US District Court for the Middle District of Florida) [Provided empirical analysis for Brown’s attorneys of minority early voting in Duval County during the 2008 and 2010 general elections and the 2011 Jacksonville mayoral race], 2012.
- Expert (written affidavits), *Romo v. Scott*, No. 2012-CA-000412 (Fla. Cir. Ct., Leon County). [Provided empirical analyses and deposed for Coalition’s attorneys of new Congressional redistricting maps submitted and adopted by the Florida legislature as well as alternative maps submitted by the The League of Women Voters of Florida, the National Council of La Raza, and Common Cause Florida], 2012-14.
- Pro Bono* Consultant (written work product), *League of Women Voters of FL v. Browning*, N.D. Fla. (4:11-cv-00628). [Provided empirical analysis for LWV’s attorneys (Brennan Center, New York University), assessing the impact of Florida’s “third party organization” voter registration requirements], 2012.
- Pro Bono* Consultant (written work product), Hillsborough Hispanic Coalition, Tampa, Florida, 2012. [Provided empirical analysis of the likely racial/ethnic impact of the redistricting maps adopted by the Hillsborough County Commission, and provided alternative maps to be submitted by the Hillsborough Hispanic Coalition, in anticipation of federal litigation], 2012.
- Invited Testimony*, U.S. Senate, Judiciary Committee, Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights and Human Rights, “New State Voting Laws II: Protecting the Right to Vote in the Sunshine State,” January 2012.
- Expert (written affidavit), *Worley v. Detzner*, U.S. District Court, N.D. Fla. (4:10-cv-00423-RH-WCS). [Provided expert opinion to Florida Secretary of State to help defend Election code provisions concerning the reporting, registration, and disclosure requirements applicable to political committees (ballot issues)], 2010.
- Expert (written affidavit), *Citizens Against Slots v. PPE Casino*, 999 A.2nd 181 (2010) 415 Md. 117. [Provided empirical analysis of the validity rates of the signatures submitted by Citizens Against Slots for a county popular referendum], 2010.

- Expert (written affidavit), *The Independence Institute, et. al. v. Bernie Buescher* 1:2010-cv-00609. (US 10th Circuit) [Provided empirical analysis for the Office of the Colorado Attorney General to defend Secretary of State's enforcement of public disclosure laws for ballot issue committees], 2009-2010.
- Lead Author, "Direct Democracy Scholars" Amicus Brief, *Doe v. Reed*, 132 S. Ct. 449. [Provided empirical evidence that public disclosure of signatures on ballot measures serves sufficiently important governmental interests in order to prevent fraudulent signature gathering activities, to limit the deceptive solicitation of signatures, and to provide information to voters about ballot measures], 2010.
- Expert (written affidavit), *Dallman, et al. v. William Ritter and Rich L. Gonzales and Daniel Ritchie, et al* 09SA224 (Colorado Supreme Court) [Provided empirical analysis for Ritter, Gonzales, and Ritchie of analysis of campaign financing of ballot measures], 2009-10.
- Expert (written affidavit), *Sampson v. Buescher*, 08-1389, 08-1415 (US 10th Circuit) [Provided empirical analysis refuting claims of barriers to participation in ballot issue campaigns for Office of the Colorado Attorney General, defending Secretary of State's enforcement of disclosure laws], 2007-10.
- Consultant, *Trust the Voters*, Tallahassee, 2006.
- Consultant, *The Washington State Patrol Troopers Association* [Conducted empirical analysis for State Patrol Troopers of the validity of signatures collected on ballot issue campaign], 2006.
- Expert (written affidavit), *The City of Winter Springs, FL v. Seminole County*, City of Winter Springs, 2004.
- Expert (written affidavit), *California Pro-Life Council, Inc. v. Karen Getman, et al* 328 F.3d 1088, 1101 (US 9th Cir) [Provided empirical analysis for the Office of the California Attorney General on veiled political actors in California ballot measure campaigns], 2004-05.
- Expert (written affidavit), *Colorado Right to Life Committee, Inc. v. Donetta Davidson* 395 F.Supp.2d 1001 (US 10th Circuit) [Provided empirical analysis of broadcasted television and direct mail ads in Colorado between 1999-2003 for the Office of the Colorado Attorney General], 2004-05.
- Invited Testimony, Ballot Initiative Reform, Florida Legislature, 2002; 2003-05.
- Invited Testimony Witness, Ballot Initiative Reform, Colorado Legislature, 1999-2000.
- Consultant (*pro bono*), *Ad Hoc Committee to Defend Heath Care*, Denver, CO, 1998-2000.

International Consulting

- Consultant, National Democratic Institute (NDI), Ghana, 2013.
- Invited Written Testimony, *British House of Lords*, Constitution Committee (Direct Democracy), 2010.
- Consultant, *Institute of International Education (IIE)*, New York, 2002-04.
- Consultant, *Coalition of Domestic Elections Observers (CODEO)*, Accra, Ghana, 2000-01.
- Consultant, *International Foundation for Election Systems (IFES)*, Washington, DC, 1999-2001.
- Consultant, *International Student Exchange Program (ISEP)*, Washington, DC, 1995-97.

BOOK REVIEWS & REVIEW ESSAYS

- 9) Daniel A. Smith. 2008. Review of Dorothy Holland, Donald M. Nonini, Catherine Lutz, Lesley Bartlett, Marla Frederick-McGlathery, Thaddeus C. Guldbradsen, and Enrique G. Murillo, Jr., Local Democracy Under Siege: Activism, Public Interests, and Private Politics, *Perspectives on Politics* 6: 386-86.
- 8) Daniel A. Smith. 2006. Review of Stephen Nicholson, Voting the Agenda: Candidates, Elections, and Ballot Propositions, *Political Science Quarterly* 120: 695-697.
- 7) Daniel A. Smith. 2005. Review of John Matsusaka, For the Many or the Few? The Initiative, Public Policy, and American Democracy, *Perspectives on Politics* 3: 646-47.
- 6) Daniel A. Smith. 2000. Review of Shaun Bowler and Todd Donovan, Demanding Choices: Opinion, Voting, and Direct Democracy, *Social Science Quarterly* 81: 1104-1106.
- 5) Daniel A. Smith. 1999. Review of Shaun Bowler, Todd Donovan, Caroline Tolbert, eds., Citizens as Legislators, *American Political Science Review* 93: 446-447.
- 4) Daniel A. Smith. 1998. Review of David Ryden, Representation in Crisis, *Politics and Policy* 26: 514-515.
- 3) Daniel A. Smith. 1998. Review of Grant Reeher and Joseph Cammarano, eds., Education for Citizenship, *H-Pol, H-Net*. (February).
- 2) Daniel A. Smith. 1997. Review Essay of William S. K. Reno, Corruption and State Politics in Sierra Leone, and Sahr John Kpundeh, Politics and Corruption in Africa, *Africa Today* 44: 362-365.
- 1) Daniel A. Smith. 1996. Review of Stephen Lowe, The Kid on the Sandlot: Congress and Professional Sports, 1910-1992, *Sport History Review* 27: 90-92.

TEACHING GRANTS, HONORS, AND AWARDS

- Anderson Scholar Award, College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, University of Florida, 2011; 2015; 2016; 2017
- Political Science Board of Advisors, "Outstanding Professor Award," *University of Florida*, Spring 2008.
- Center for Teaching and Learning Technology Grant, "Introduction to American Politics: Web-Based Interactive Learning," *University of Denver*, Spring, 1997.
- Faculty Appreciation Award, Learning Effectiveness Program, *University of Denver*, April 1997.
- Curriculum Diversity Grant, "A Theater History: The Racial and Class Politics of US Drama from Colonization Forward," *University of Denver*, Winter, 1997.

CORE Development Grant, "Drama of Politics/Politics of Drama," *University of Denver*, Summer, 1996.
 International Small Grants, "Summer Student Study Abroad Program: University of Ghana at Legon," Office of Internationalization, *University of Denver*, Spring, 1995.
 International Small Grants, "Ghana Study Abroad Program," Office of Internationalization, *University of Denver*, Spring, 1995.

NEWSPAPER OP-EDS, INVITED BLOG POSTS & LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Invited Blog Post, "Who Votes Provisionally and Why? A Look at North Carolina's 2016 General Election," MIT Election Science Data Lab, May 2, 2018. <https://medium.com/mit-election-lab/who-votes-provisionally-and-why-4dd413c02fa9> (with Lia Merivaki).
 Op-Ed, "[Do we have a right not to vote? The Supreme Court suggests we don't](#)," *NY Daily News*, June 12, 2018 (with Michael C. Herron).
 Op-Ed, "[If more states start using Ohio's system, how many voters will be purged?](#)" *The Washington Post* (Monkey Cage), June 17, 2018 (with Michael C. Herron).
 Op-Ed, "2-to-1 Registration Advantage for Democrats Among 440K New Hispanic Voters In Florida," *Huffington Post*, October 7, 2016. http://www.huffingtonpost.com/latino-decisions/2-to-1-registration-advan_b_12385884.html.
 Op-Ed, "The Battle Over 'One Person, One Vote,' Has Just Begun," *The American Prospect*, April 18, 2016. (with Carl Klarner) Available: <http://prospect.org/article/one-person-one-vote-battle-just-starting>
 Invited Blog Post, "[Party competition is the primary driver of the recent increase in restrictive voter ID laws in the American states](#)," London School of Economics, U.S. Politics and Policy, November 12, 2014. <http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/usappblog/2014/11/12/party-competition-is-the-primary-driver-of-the-recent-increase-in-restrictive-voter-id-laws-in-the-american-states/>
 Op-Ed, "Rejected Ballots in Florida," *Florida Voices*, November 4, 2012 (with Michael Herron).
 Op-Ed, "High ballot rejection rates should worry Florida voters," *Tampa Bay Times*, October 28, 2012 (with Michael Herron).
 Op-Ed, "Voters need to push back against corporate cash," *St. Petersburg Times*, July 13, 2010.
 Op-Ed, "A chance for Floridians to redraw rigged districts," *St. Petersburg Times*, November 25, 2009.
 Op-Ed, "Lawmakers don't trust voters with the constitution," *Gainesville Sun*, October 21, 2006.
 Op-Ed, "Jeb Bush's secret-squirrel hunt? Rocky, that's just a bunch of Bullwinkle," *Orlando Sentinel*, February 23, 2006.
 Op-Ed, "Colorado: Independent of Whom?" Ballot Initiative Strategy Center, *Ballot Blog*, August 29, 2005.
 Op-Ed, "Stop Political Fund-Raising Arm," *Gainesville Sun*, April 25, 2004 (with Nicole M. James).
 Op-Ed, "Committees Hold the Secret to Campaign Financing," *St. Petersburg Times*, April 10, 2004 (with Nicole M. James).
 Letter, "Reform Ballot Initiative and Preserve the People's Power," *Miami Herald*, February 29, 2004.
 Op-Ed, "No: The Rich Have Taken Over," *Denver Post*, December 1, 2002.
 Op-Ed, "The Millionaire's Club: Why Leave Ballot Initiatives to the Rich?" *Denver Post*, August 18, 2002 .
 Op-Ed, "The Political Consequence of 'Praying for Peace,'" *The Crusading Guide* [Accra, Ghana], 12-18 October, 2000.
 Letter, "Book's [*Democracy Derailed* by David Broder] premise is problematic," *Denver Post*, May 28, 2000.
 Letter, "Initiative process ignores rural voices," *Denver Rocky Mountain News*, March 15, 2000.
 Op-Ed, "Progressives need to show initiative on ballot signatures," *Denver Post*, January 13, 2000.
 Op-Ed, "Colorado should put campaign finance data on the Internet," *Denver Post*, November 4, 1998 (with Richard Braunstein).
 Letter, "Follow the Money," *Washington Post*, October 12, 1998.
 Op-Ed, "Voters behind rule," *Denver Post*, June 21, 1998.
 Op-Ed, "Founders crafted safeguards against popular excesses," *Denver Post*, May 21, 1995.

CONFERENCE PAPER PRESENTATIONS

"Mobilizing the Youth Vote? Early Voting on College Campuses in Florida," 19th State Politics and Policy Conference at the University of Maryland, May 30-June 1, 2019 (with Enrijeta Shino).
 "Did Ballot-Design Outs an Incumbent Senator? A Study of the 2018 Midterm Election in Florida," Midwest Political Science Association Annual Meeting, April 4-7, 2019, Chicago (with Michael Herron and Michael Martinez).
 "Election Administration and Public Records Responsiveness," Midwest Political Science Association Annual Meeting, April 4-7, 2019, Chicago (with Enrijeta Shino, Anna Baringer, Justin Eichermuller, and William Zelin).
 "Estimating the Differential Effects of Purging Inactive Registered Voters," American Political Science Association, Boston MA, August 28-September 1, 2018 (with Michael C. Herron).
 "Estimating the Differential Effects of Purging Inactive Registered Voters," Election Sciences, Reform, and Administration, University of Wisconsin-Madison, July 26-27, 2018 (with Michael C. Herron).
 "Exact-Match Voter List Verification and Turnout," 18th State Politics and Policy Conference at Penn State, June 7-9, 2018 (with Michael P. McDonald, Pedro Otálora, and Enrijeta Shino).
 "Estimating the Differential Effects of Purging Inactive Registered Voters," at the 18th State Politics and Policy Conference at Penn State, June 7-9, 2018 (with Michael C. Herron).

- “Who are Provisional Voters? Evidence from North Carolina,” Midwest Political Science Association Annual Meeting, April 5-8, 2018, Chicago (with Lia Merivaki).
- “A History and Analysis of Black Representation in Southern State Legislatures,” Symposium on Southern Politics, The Citadel, Charleston, South Carolina, March 1-2, 2018 (with Charles S. Bullock III, William D. Hicks, M. V. (Trey) Hood III, Seth C. McKee, and Adam Myers).
- “Who are Provisional Voters? Evidence from North Carolina,” Southern Political Science Association Annual Meeting, January 4-7, 2018, New Orleans (with Lia Merivaki).
- “Naturalizing the Party: Party Registration and Voter Turnout of Foreign-Born Citizens,” State of the Party: 2016 & Beyond, November 10, 2017, Ray C. Bliss Institute of Applied Politics, University of Akron, Ohio (with Lidia Kurganova).
- “The Erosion of Liberal Democracy: Dissensus and Ideology in America,” American Political Science Association, San Francisco, August 31-September 3, 2017 (with William D. Hicks and Seth C. McKee).
- “Early Voting Availability and Turnout in Florida and North Carolina,” American Political Science Association, San Francisco, August 31-September 3, 2017 (with David Cottrell and Michael C. Herron).
- “Determinants of County Level Voter Turnout, 1970-2016,” American Political Science Association, San Francisco, August 31-September 3, 2017 (with Carl Klarner, Brian Amos, and Michael P. McDonald).
- “Waiting to Vote: Using EViD Data to Assess the Electoral Consequences of Long Voting Lines,” Midwest Political Science Association annual meetings, April 6-9, 2017, Chicago (with David Cottrell and Michael C. Herron).
- “Timing the Habit: Voter Registration and Turnout in the American States,” American Political Science Association, Philadelphia, September 1-4, 2016 (with Enrijeta Shino).
- “Revisiting Majority-Minority Districts and Black Representation,” American Political Science Association, Philadelphia, September 1-4, 2016 (with Seth C. McKee, William D. Hicks; Carl E. Klarner).
- “Defending Democracy: How Political Scientists Are Engaging in the Fight over Voting Rights (and Why You and Your Dept. Should too),” APSA Roundtable with Theda Skocpol, Presented by the Scholars Strategy Network, American Political Science Association, Philadelphia, September 1-4, 2016.
- “Timing the Habit: Voter Registration and Turnout in the American States,” State Politics and Policy Conference, University of Texas at Dallas, May 19-21, 2016 (with Enrijeta Shino).
- “Revisiting Majority-Minority Districts and Descriptive Representation,” State Politics and Policy Conference, University of Texas at Dallas, May 19-21, 2016 (with Seth C. McKee, William D. Hicks; Carl E. Klarner).
- “Purging Participation? Eligibility Challenges, Psychological Reactance, and the Decision to Vote,” Midwest Political Science Association annual meetings, April 7-10, 2016, Chicago (with Daniel Biggers and Bryce Freeman).
- “Missing Black Men and Representation in American Political Institutions,” Midwest Political Science Association annual meetings, April 7-10, 2016, Chicago (with David Cottrell, Michael Herron, and Javier Rodriguez).
- “Early Voting Effects on Pre-Election Poll Estimates,” Southern Political Science Association, January 7-10, 2016, San Juan, Puerto Rico (with Michael P. McDonald, Michael D. Martinez, and Chris McCarty).
- “Your Ballot’s in the Mail: The Effects of Unsolicited Absentee Ballots,” American Political Science Association, San Francisco, September 1-4, 2015 (with Michael Martinez).
- “A Reassessment of the Turnout Effects in of Election Reforms in the United States,” American Political Science Association, San Francisco, September 1-4, 2015 (with Michael P. McDonald and Enrijeta Shino).
- “Reprecincting and Voting Behavior,” American Political Science Association, San Francisco, September 1-4, 2015 (with Brian Amos and Casey Ste. Claire).
- “Looks Can Be Deceiving: Explaining Support for Online Voter Registration in the American States,” State Politics and Policy Conference, California State University, Sacramento, May 28-30, 2015 (with William Hicks and Seth McKee).
- “Public Opinion on Statewide Ballot Measures,” State Politics and Policy Conference, California State University, Sacramento, May 28-30, 2015 (with Diana Forster).
- “Early Voting Effects on Pre-Election Poll Estimates,” American Association for Public Opinion Research Annual Conference, May 14-17, 2015, Hollywood, Florida (with Michael P. McDonald, Michael D. Martinez, and Chris McCarty).
- “Dumbing Down the Electorate? Assessing the Political Knowledge of Early Voters,” Midwest Political Science Association annual meetings, April 15-19, 2015, Chicago (with Enrijeta Shino).
- “Race, Shelby County, and the Voter Information Verification Act in North Carolina,” American Political Science Association, Washington, DC, August 27-31, 2014 (with Michael C. Herron).
- “Who Signs? Ballot Petition Signatures as Political Participation,” American Political Science Association, Washington, DC, August 27-31, 2014 (with Diana Forster and Brian Amos).
- “Race, Shelby County, and the Voter Information Verification Act in North Carolina,” State Politics and Policy Conference, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, May 15-17, 2014 (with Michael C. Herron).
- “The Effects of Spatial Proximity on Voting,” State Politics and Policy Conference, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, May 15-17, 2014 (with Kenton Ngo).
- “Race, Shelby County, and the Voter Information Verification Act in North Carolina,” Midwest Political Science Association Conference, Chicago, April 3-6, 2014 (with Michael C. Herron).

- “Beyond Regulatory Interpretation: The Demand and Supply of Provisional Ballots in Florida,” Symposium on Regulation in the U.S. States, DeVoe Center, Florida State University, Tallahassee, February 21, 2014 (with Lia Merivaki).
- “Evolution of an Issue: Voter ID Laws in the American States,” American Political Science Association Conference, Chicago, August 28-September 2, 2013 (with Seth McKee, William Hicks, and Mitch Sellers).
- “Closing the Door on Democracy”: Early Voting and Participation in Florida,” American Political Science Association Conference, Chicago, August 28-September 2, 2013 (with Michael Herron).
- “Evolution of an Issue: Voter ID Laws in the American States,” State Politics and Policy Quarterly 13th annual conference, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, May 23-25, 2013 (with Seth McKee, William Hicks, and Mitch Sellers).
- “Early Voting in Florida in the Aftermath of House Bill 1355,” State Politics and Policy Quarterly 13th annual conference, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, May 23-25, 2013 (with Michael Herron).
- “Racial Disparities in Provisional Ballot Rejection Rates,” Midwest Political Science Association Conference, Chicago, April 11-14, 2013 (with Michael Herron).
- “Who Registers? The Differential Impact of Florida’s House Bill 1355 on Voter Registration,” American Political Science Association Conference, New Orleans, August 30-September 2, 2012 (with Michael Herron).
- “The Effect of Polling Locations Upon Vote Choice: A Natural Experiment,” Southern Political Science Association Conference, Orlando, January 3-5, 2013 (with Charles Dahan).
- “Casting and Verifying Provisional Ballots in Florida,” Southern Political Science Association Conference, Orlando, January 3-5, 2013 (with Lia Merivaki).
- “Who Registers? The Differential Impact of Florida’s House Bill 1355 on Voter Registration,” American Political Science Association Conference, New Orleans, August 30-September 2, 2012 (with Michael Herron).
- “The Participatory Impact of Truncating Early Voting in Florida,” State Politics and Policy Quarterly 12th annual conference, Rice University, Houston, TX, February 16 – February 18, 2012 (with Michael Herron).
- “Engaging Potential Voters? The Collection of Valid Signatures on Ballot Petitions,” State Politics and Policy Quarterly 11th annual conference, Dartmouth University, June 4-6, 2011 (with Diana Forster).
- “Pledging Democracy: Congressional Support for a National Advisory Initiative and Referendum,” Southern Political Science Association, January 5-8, 2011, New Orleans (presented by Matthew Harrigan).
- “We Know What You Did Last Summer: The Impact of Petition Signing on Voter Turnout,” State Politics and Policy Quarterly 10th annual conference, University of Illinois, Springfield, June 5-6, 2010 (with Janine Parry and Shayne Henry).
- “Reassessing Direct Democracy and Civic Engagement: A Panel Study of the 2008 Election,” State Politics and Policy Quarterly 10th annual conference, University of Illinois, Springfield, June 5-6, 2010 (with Caroline J. Tolbert and Amanda Frost).
- “Generating Scholarship from Public Service: Media Work, Nonprofit Foundation Service, and Legal Expert Consulting,” State Politics and Policy Quarterly 10th annual conference, University of Illinois, Springfield, June 5-6, 2010.
- “Obama to Blame: Minority Surge Voters and the Ban on Same-Sex Marriage in Florida,” American Political Science Association Conference, Toronto, September 2-5, 2009 (with Stephanie Slade).
- “State Context and Support for a National Referendum in the U.S.” State Politics and Policy Quarterly 9th annual conference, UNC Chapel Hill/Duke University, May 22-23, 2009 (with Caroline J. Tolbert and Amanda Frost).
- “Direct Democracy, Opinion Formation, and Candidate Choice,” American Political Science Association Conference, Boston, August 2008 (with Caroline J. Tolbert).
- “The Legislative Regulation of the Initiative,” State Politics and Policy Quarterly 8th annual conference, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, May 30-31, 2008.
- “The Initiative to Shirk? The Effects of Ballot Measures on Congressional Voting Behavior,” State Politics and Policy Quarterly 8th annual conference, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, May 30-31, 2008 (with Josh Huder and Jordan Ragusa).
- “Participatory-Based Trust? Political Trust and Direct Democracy,” American Political Science Association Conference, Chicago, August 2007 (with Caroline J. Tolbert and Daniel Bowen).
- “Giving Power to the People: The Adoption of Direct Democracy in the American States,” Western Political Science Association Conference, Las Vegas, NV, March 7-9, 2007 (with Dustin Fridkin).
- “Mass Support for Redistricting Reform: District and Statewide Representational Winners and Losers,” State Politics and Policy Quarterly 7th annual conference, Austin, TX, February 22-24, 2007 (with Caroline J. Tolbert and John C. Green).
- “Mass Support for Redistricting Reform: Partisanship and Representational Winners and Losers,” American Political Science Association Conference, Philadelphia, August 2006 (with Caroline J. Tolbert and John C. Green).
- “Gaming the System: The Effect of BCRA on State Party Finance Activities.” *The State of the Parties: 2004 & Beyond*. Ray C. Bliss Institute for Applied Politics, Akron, OH, October 2005 (with Susan Orr).
- “Do State-Level Ballot Measures Affect Presidential Elections?” *American Political Science Association Conference*, Washington, D.C., September 1-4, 2005 (with Caroline Tolbert and Todd Donovan).

- “Did Gay Marriage Elect George W. Bush?” *Fifth Annual Conference on State Politics and Policy*, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, May 13-14, 2005 (with Todd Donovan, Caroline Tolbert, and Janine Parry).
- “Was Rove Right? Evangelicals and the Impact of Gay Marriage in the 2004 Election.” *Fifth Annual Conference on State Politics and Policy*, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, May 13-14, 2005 (with Matt DeSantis and Jason Kassel).
- “Partisanship, Direct Democracy, and Candidate Choice,” *Midwest Political Science Association Conference*, Chicago, IL, April 7-10, 2005 (with Caroline Tolbert and Todd Donovan).
- “Did Gay Marriage Elect the President? Mobilizing Effects of Ballot Measures in the 2004 Election,” *Western Political Science Association Conference*, Oakland, CA, March 17-19, 2005 (with Todd Donovan and Caroline Tolbert).
- “Initiatives and Referendums: The Effects of Direct Democracy on Candidate Elections,” Conference on *What We Know and Don't Know about Campaigns and Elections*, Graduate Program in Political Campaigning, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, February 24-5, 2005.
- “Was Rove Right? The Partisan Wedge and Turnout Effects of Issue 1, Ohio’s 2004 Ballot Initiative to Ban Gay Marriage,” *University of California Center for the Study of Democracy/USC-Caltech Center for the Study of Law and Politics/ Initiative and Referendum Institute Conference*, Newport Beach, CA, January 14-15, 2005.
- “The Educative Effects of Direct Democracy on Voter Turnout,” *American Political Science Association Conference*, Chicago, IL, September 1-5, 2004 (with Caroline Tolbert).
- “Turning On and Turning Out: Assessing the Indirect Effects of Ballot Measures on Voter Participation,” *Fourth Annual Conference on State Politics and Policy*, Kent State University, Kent, OH, April 30-May 2, 2004 (with Todd Donovan).
- “Veiled Political Actors: The Real Threat to Campaign Finance Disclosure Statutes?” *Midwest Political Science Association Conference*, Chicago, April 14-18, 2004 (with Elizabeth Garrett).
- “Elephants, Umbrellas, and Quarrelling Cocks: Disaggregating Party Identification in Ghana’s Fourth Republic,” *Western Political Science Association Conference*, Portland, OR, March 11-13, 2004 (with Kevin Fridy).
- “Gaming the System: State Party Finance Activities in Colorado and Florida,” Southern Political Science Association Conference, New Orleans, January 7-10, 2004.
- “The Educative Effects of Direct Democracy: Ballot Campaigns and Civic Engagement in the American States,” Società Italiana di Studi Elettorali (SISE) VIIIth International Conference on Electoral Campaigns (Initiative and Referendum), Venice, Italy, December 18-20, 2003.
- “In the Wake of Prop. 13,” *American Political Science Association Conference*, Philadelphia, PA, August 27-31, 2003.
- “Soft Money and Issue Advocacy in the 2002 Colorado 7th Congressional District Election,” *Western Political Science Association Conference*, Denver, CO, March 26-30, 2003.
- “Educated by Initiative: Direct Democracy and Civic Engagement in the American States,” *Third Annual Conference on State Politics and Policy*, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, March 14-15, 2003 (with Caroline Tolbert).
- “Ballot Initiatives and the (Sub)Urban/Rural Divide in Colorado,” *Colorado’s Future: How Can We Meet the Needs of a Changing State?* University of Colorado at Colorado Springs, September 27, 2002.
- “Representation and the Spatial Dimension of Direct Democracy,” *American Political Science Association Conference*, Boston, MA, August 29-September 1, 2002.
- “Representation and the Spatial Bias of Direct Democracy,” *Second Annual Conference on State Politics and Policy*, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI, May 24-25, 2002.
- “Minority Rights and the Spatial Bias of Direct Democracy,” *Southwestern Political Science Association Conference*, New Orleans, LA, March 27-30, 2002.
- “Representation and the Urban Bias of Direct Democracy,” *Western Political Science Association Conference*, Long Beach, CA, March 21-24 2002.
- “Ghost Busters: The Structural Underpinnings and Politics of Ghana’s 2000 Elections,” *African Studies Association Conference*, Houston, TX, November 15-18, 2001.
- “The Effect of Ballot Initiatives on Voter Turnout,” *American Political Science Association Conference*, Washington, DC, August 31-September 3, 2000 (with Caroline Tolbert and John Grummel).
- “Campaign Finance of Ballot Initiatives,” *National Direct Democracy Conference*, University of Virginia’s Center for Governmental Studies, Charlottesville, VA, June 8-9, 2000.
- “Meet the Authors Roundtable: Recent Books on Direct Democracy in the States,” *Midwest Political Science Association Conference*, Chicago, April 27-30, 2000.
- “Counter-Majoritarian Bills and Legislative Response of State Ballot Initiatives,” *Western Political Science Association Conference*, San Jose, March 24-26, 2000.
- “The Gun Behind the Door Fires Blanks,” *Pacific Northwest Political Science Association Conference*, Eugene, OR, October 14-16, 1999.
- “Orange Crush: Mobilization of Bias, Ballot Initiatives, and the Politics of Professional Sports Stadia,” *American Political Science Association Conference*, Atlanta, September 2-5, 1999 (with Sure Log).
- “Direct Democracy in Colorado: Limited Information, Tough Choices,” A Century of Citizen Lawmaking: Initiative and Referendum in America, *Initiative and Referendum Institute*, Washington, D.C., May 6-8, 1999.
- “The Initiative to Party: The Role of Political Parties in State Ballot Measures,” *Western Political Science Association Conference*, Seattle, March 25-28, 1999.

- “Direct Democracy in the Late 20th Century: The Legacy(ies) of Prop. 13,” Roundtable, *American Political Science Association Conference*, Boston, September 3-6, 1998.
- “The Legacy of Howard Jarvis and Proposition 13? Tax Limitation Initiatives in 1996,” *Western Political Science Association Conference*, Los Angeles, March 19-21, 1998.
- “Special Interests and the Initiative Process in Colorado: The Case of the Parental Rights Amendment” (with Robert Herrington), Poster Session, *American Political Science Association Conference*, Washington, D.C., August 28-31, 1997.
- “Howard Jarvis, Populist Entrepreneur: Reevaluating Causes of Proposition 13,” *Western Political Science Association Conference*, Tucson, March 13-15, 1997.
- “Guided Immersion: A Non-Traditional Study Abroad Program at the University of Ghana at Legon,” *Midwest Political Science Association Conference*, Chicago, April 10-12, 1997.
- “Exploring the Political Dimension of Privatization: A Tale of Two Cities” (with Kevin Leyden), *Midwest Political Science Association Conference*, Chicago, April 18-20, 1996.
- “Populist Entrepreneur: Douglas Bruce and the Tax Limitation Movement in Colorado,” *20th Annual Interdisciplinary Symposium of the Politics and Culture of the Great Plains*, Lincoln, April 11-13, 1996.
- “Faux Populism: Douglas Bruce and the Anti-Tax Moment in Colorado, 1986-1992,” *Western Political Science Association Conference*, San Francisco, March 14-16, 1996.
- “Insular Democracy: Advisory Councils and Task Forces in the American States,” *Western Political Science Association Conference*, Portland, March 1995.
- “Supporting Labor-Management Initiatives at the State Level: The Case of the West Virginia Labor-Management Advisory Council,” *Southern Industrial Relations and Human Resource Conference*, Morgantown, WV, October 1994.
- “State Autonomy, Capacity, and Coherence: Labor-Management Councils in the American States,” *Western Political Science Association Conference*, Albuquerque, March 1994.
- “Removing the Pluralist Blinders: Labor-Management Councils and Industrial Policy in the American States,” *American Political Science Association Conference*, Chicago, September 1992.
- “You Can’t Live with Them...The Emerging Role of Organized Labor in Industrial Policy in the American States,” *Midwest Political Science Association Conference*, Chicago, April 1992.
- “It Can Happen Here: Apprenticeship, Workplace-based Learning, and the Affirmative Role of Unions” (with Eric Parker), *Southwestern Political Science Association Conference*, Austin, TX, March 1992.
- “The Affirmative Role of U.S. Unions in Restructuring” (with Eric Parker), *American Sociological Association Conference*, Indianapolis, IN, August 1991.
- “Economic Development Strategy and the Problem of Skills: The Case of Wisconsin’s Advanced Metalworking Sector” (with Eric Parker), *American Society for Public Administration Conference*, Cleveland, OH, October 1990.

INVITED TALKS AND OTHER PROFESSIONAL PRESENTATIONS

- Invited Talk, “The 2018 Mid-Term Elections,” Graham Center, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, November 13, 2018.
- Invited Talk, “Is a Blue Wave Coming? The 2018 General Election,” FedCon, National Association of Retired Federal Employees Association, Jacksonville, Florida, August 28, 2018.
- Invited Talk, “Voting Rights Litigation,” ACLU of Florida, 2018 Lawyers Conference, Delray Beach, Florida, September 7, 2018.
- Invited Panelist, “The Black Vote: Is it being taken for Granted?” *Collaboratively Woke and The Virginia Leadership Institute*, Downtown Alachua Public Library, Gainesville, Florida, June 23, 2018.
- Invited Talk, “Public Records Requests and Analyzing Elections in Florida,” The Bob Graham Center for Public Service, University of Florida, Gainesville, Civic Scholar Lecture, February 14, 2018.
- Invited Talk, “Voting in Florida,” Voter Suppression Forum, The Bob Graham Center for Public Service, University of Florida, Gainesville, November 13, 2017.
- Invited Talk, “Journalist-Scholar Big Data Partnerships,” Investigative Reporters and Editors, The National Institute for Computer-Assisted Reporting, Annual Conference, Jacksonville, FL, March 2, 2016.
- Invited Talk, “Florida’s Constitutional Revision Commission and Game Theory,” Future of Florida Summit, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, February 18, 2016.
- Invited Talk, “Explaining Trump’s Win in Florida: 10 Election Myths and Realities,” Graham Center, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, November 14, 2016.
- Invited Response, Michael Kang (Emory School of Law) “Law and Politics of Judging Election Cases,” University of Florida School of Law, Gainesville, Florida, November 4, 2016.
- Invited Talk, “Patterns of Political Participation in Florida,” Women, Race, and the U.S. Presidency, The Center for The Study of Race and Race Relations & The Center for Gender, Sexualities, and Women’s Studies Research, University of Florida, Gainesville, October 13, 2016.
- Invited Talk, “The Structural Pathologies of the American Electoral System,” US Fulbright Association (UF International Center), Gainesville, September 27, 2016.
- Invited Talk, “Registered Voters and Turnout in Alachua County,” Gainesville Area Chamber of Commerce’s Leadership Gainesville 43 Government and Policy Day, September 8, 2016.

- Invited Talk, "The Politics of Voter Suppression in Florida," Santa Fe College, American Democracy Project, February 9, 2016.
- Invited Talk, "The Contributions and Conundrums of Technology: EAVS Data Reporting Consistency," at The Evolution of Election Administration since the VRA, Auburn University, September 15, 2015 (with Lia Merivaki).
- Invited Talk, "2014 Election Wrap-Up," Graham Center, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, November 6, 2014.
- Roundtable Participant, "I Am A Millennial: The Importance of the Youth and Minority Vote," Graham Center, University of Florida, October 23, 2014.
- Invited Talk, "Voting Rights in North Carolina," Emory University, Atlanta, April 8, 2014.
- Keynote Speaker, "Anticipating 2014: The State of Voting Rights in Florida," Gainesville Labor Council, Gainesville, Florida, December 9, 2013.
- Invited Talk, "Design Fail: The Attack on Voting Rights in Florida," University of Florida Retired Faculty, Harn Museum, University of Florida, February 22, 2013.
- Keynote Speaker, "The Attack on Voting Rights in Florida," Gainesville Labor Council, Gainesville, Florida, December 10, 2012.
- "Moved by the Spirit? Atmospherics and Ballot Measure Vote Choice," Initiatives and Referendums in the Elections of 2012, University of Southern California, November 16, 2012 (with Charles Dahan).
- Invited Talk, "Design #Fail: Voting Rights in Florida," Graham Center's Election Wrap Up: Decision 2012, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, November 13, 2012.
- Invited Talk, "Consolidating Representation in Ghana? Parliamentary Malapportionment and Rejected Ballots," *Stability Amidst Chaos: Reflections on Two Decades of Ghanaian Democracy*, Program of African Studies, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois, October 12, 2012.
- Keynote Speaker, "Curtailling Voting Rights in Florida," *Civic Dialogues and the 2012 Election in the United States*, College of Central Florida, Ocala, Florida, October 22, 2012.
- Keynote Speaker, "The Return of Jim Crow? Voting Rights Under Florida's House Bill 1355," League of Women Voters, Annual Fall Luncheon, Gainesville, Florida, September 11, 2012.
- Invited Talk, "Litigating Voting Rights in Florida," 8th Judicial Circuit Florida Bar Association, Continuing Legal Education, Gainesville, Florida, September 21, 2012.
- Invited Presentation, "The Impact of HB 1355 on Florida's Hispanics," Gator Academic Outreach Symposium, co-hosted by Hispanic Alumni Association and Miami-Dade College, Miami, FL, May 11, 2012.
- Invited Talk, "Voting and Elections in the United States," US Embassy, Accra, Ghana, live satellite talk to US Embassy, Ivory Coast, October 3, 2011.
- Invited Public Lecture, "Ghana's National Electoral Commission and the 2012 Elections: The Malapportionment of Parliamentary Constituencies, Rejected Ballots, and Questions of Representation," Department of Political Science International Lecture Series, University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana, November 17, 2011. [Q&A followed by several media interviews, including RadioUniverse, Ghana Television Broadcasting and TV3].
- Invited Public Lecture, "Assessing the Credibility of Public Opinion Polls," Ghana Center for Democratic Development (CDD-Ghana), Accra, Ghana, November 23, 2011. [Taped broadcast by TV3 and several FM stations].
- Invited Talk, "Obama to Blame?" Penn State University, February 26, 2010.
- Invited Talk, "Shirking the Initiative?" Rutgers University, November 6-7, 2008.
- Invited Talk, "Granting Power to the People: The Adoption of Direct Democracy in the American States," Bose Series Lecturer, University of Iowa, Iowa City, November 7-10, 2007.
- Invited Talk, "Instrumental Effects of the Initiative in the American States," The Voice of the Crowd—Colorado's Initiative, Byron R. White Center for the Study of American Constitutional Law, University of Colorado, Boulder, Old Supreme Court Chambers, Colorado State Capitol, Denver, January 26, 2007.
- Invited Paper/Presentation, "Initiating Reform: The Effects of Ballot Measures on State Election and Ethics Policy," 2008 and Beyond: The Future of Election and Ethics Reform in the States, Ohio State Capital Building, Kent State University, January 16, 2007.
- Invited Paper/Presentation, "Financing Ballot Measures in the American States," Financing Referendum Campaigns Conference, University of Zurich, Switzerland, October 27-29, 2006.
- Invited Talk, "Pressure at the Polls/Ballot Initiatives," Capitol Beat Conference, Columbus, OH, August, 2006.
- Invited Talk, "Turnout and Priming Effects of Ballot Initiatives," Ballot Initiative Strategy Center Spring Briefing, National Education Association, Washington, DC, May 11, 2006.
- Invited Talk, "The People as Legislators: The Influence of Direct Democracy," Moritz College of Law, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, March 3, 2006.
- Invited Public Debate, "Initiative Reform in Florida," *Orlando Regional Chamber of Commerce*, Orlando, FL, February 23, 2006.
- Invited Talk, "Direct Democracy: The Battle over Citizen Lawmaking," *Minnesota Council of Nonprofits, Public Policy Day 2006: Nonprofits as a Force for Change*, Minneapolis, MN, January 26, 2006.
- Keynote Speaker, "Taking the Initiative in Florida," *National Conference of Editorial Writers Regional Conference*, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL, October 16, 2005.
- Panelist, "The Educative Effects of Direct Democracy," *Direct Democracy: Historical Roots and Political Realities*, The Bill Lane Center for the Study of the North American West, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, April 14-15, 2005.

Panelist, "The Initiative and Referendum Process," *The 2004 Election: What Does it Mean for Campaigns and Governance?* University of Southern California Law School, Los Angeles, CA, October 8, 2004.

Invited Talk, "Florida's Initiative Process," Oak Hammock, Gainesville, FL, October 21, 2004.

Invited Talk, "Educated by Initiative," Oak Hammock, Gainesville, FL, October 6, 2004.

Invited Talk, "Are Initiatives Good or Bad for Business," *National Chamber of Commerce Federation*, Boca Raton, FL, February 22, 2004.

Panelist, "Roundtable on Florida Politics," *UF-FSU Colloquium*, Gainesville, FL, November 10, 2003.

Panelist, "Initiatives and Referenda: Implications for Public Administration and Governance," *National Academy of Public Administration*, Washington, DC, October 22, 2003.

Panelist, "Initiatives and Referenda: Direct Democracy or Government for Sale?" *New York Bar Association*, New York City, May 8, 2003.

Keynote Speaker, "Direct Democracy in Colorado: The (Sub)Urban-Rural Divide," *Colorado Water Congress Annual Meeting*, Denver, November 8, 2002.

Invited Talk, "Prospects for a Universal Health Care Ballot Initiative in Florida," Alachua County Labor Party, Gainesville, FL, January 25, 2002.

Invited Talk, "The 2000 Ghana Elections: Lessons for the Future," The Center for African Studies, *University of Florida*, Gainesville, August 28, 2001.

Panelist, "Graduate Studies in Canada and U.S.," *University of Ghana at Legon*, Accra, Ghana, March 14, 2001.

Invited Talk, "Media Coverage of the 2000 [Ghanaian] Elections," *Ghana Center for Democratic Development (CDD-Ghana)*, Accra, Ghana, March 2, 2001.

Invited Talk, "Ghana's 2000 Elections: The 'Politics of Absence,'" *Ghana Center for Democratic Development (CDD-Ghana)*, Accra, Ghana, February, 20, 2001.

Panelist, "Special Forum on U.S. Presidential Elections 2000," *University of Ghana at Legon*, Accra, Ghana, November 21, 2000.

Invited Talk, "The Role of The Media in US Elections," *Public Affairs Section, United States Embassy*, Accra, Ghana, October 31, 2000.

Facilitator, "Three's A Crowd? The Fate of Third Parties in America," *Humanities Institute Salon*, Denver, May 4, 11, & 18, 2000.

Chair and Discussant, "Factors Affecting the Success of Initiatives," *Western Political Science Association Conference*, San Jose, March 24-26, 2000.

Invited Talk, "The Progressive Myth: Direct Democracy in Colorado, 1912," *Willamette University*, February 3, 2000.

Invited Talk, "The Initiative to Party: The Partisan - Ballot Initiative Nexus," *Willamette University*, February 3, 2000.

Invited Talk, "Taking the Initiative into the 21st Century," *Colorado Water Congress Annual Meeting*, Broomfield, January 27, 2000.

Invited Talk, "Foundations of the American Political System," *Zhejiang University*, Zhejiang, China, October 13, 1999.

Invited Talk, "Trade, Taiwan, Tiananmen, and Theft: Partisanship in US-China Relations," *Fudan University*, Shanghai, China, October 11, 1999.

Invited Talk, "Republicans, Democrats, and US-China Relations," *The People's University*, Beijing, China, October 9, 1999.

Invited Talk, "US-China Relations and the 2000 Presidential Election," *China Institute of Contemporary International Relations*, Beijing, China, October 7, 1999.

Invited Talk, "Taking the Initiative: The Role of Money in Ballot Initiatives in the US," *Aspen Community & Institute Committee*, Aspen, August 10, 1999.

Facilitator, "Taking the Initiative: The Politics of Direct Democracy in Colorado," *Humanities Institute Salon*, May 20, May 27, & June 3, 1999.

Invited Talk, "The State of Direct Democracy in Colorado," American Center Series, *University of Colorado at Boulder*, April 9, 1999.

Participant, "TABOR: Today & Tomorrow," Graduate School of Public Affairs, *University of Colorado at Denver*, January 20-21, 1999.

Keynote Speaker, *Colorado Water Congress Annual Meeting*, "The Initiative Process: What You Need to Know," November 10, 1998.

Invited Talk, "The Political Economy of the Bronco's New Stadium Proposal," George Washington High School, *Reach Out DU*, October 15, 1998.

Invited Talk, "The Political Economy of the Bronco's New Stadium Proposal," Cherry Creek High School, *Reach Out DU*, October 15, 1998.

Invited Talk, "Tax Crusaders and the Politics of Direct Democracy," Tattered Cover Bookstore, Denver, August 20, 1998.

Academic Session Leader, "The Politics of Building a New Broncos Stadium," West High School VIP Program, *University of Denver*, April 17, 1998.

Participant, "Proposition 13 and its Progeny: Is California Suffering from an Excess of Democracy?" Institute of Governmental Studies, *University of California, Berkeley*, April 1-2, 1998.

Moderator, "Politics 101," Student Forum, *University of Denver*, March 3, 1998.

Panelist, "Ways to use Technology in Teaching," Dean's Luncheon on Teaching and Learning, *University of Denver*, February 20, 1998.

Panelist, "The End of Empire in Ghana, 1957," *The End of Empire: 50 Years of British Withdrawal*, Center for Teaching International Relations, *University of Denver*, February 7, 1998.

Moderator, "1996 Candidate Forum," DU Programs Board, *University of Denver*, October 28, 1996.

Invited Talk, "Election 1996," KARIS Community, Denver, October 24, 1996.

Invited Talk, "*Faux* Populism: Douglas Bruce, Populist Entrepreneur, and the Anti-Tax Moment in Colorado," Humanities Institute, *University of Denver*, October 17, 1996.

Panelist, "The Federal Budget Battle," Sponsored by Omicron Delta Epsilon and Pi Sigma Alpha, *University of Denver*, October 2, 1995.

Invited Talk, "US Energy Policy," Highlands Ranch High School, *Reach Out DU*, November 10, 1995.

Panelist, "Study Abroad," Second Annual University Conference: Internationalization at the University of Denver, *University of Denver*, April, 1994.

Chair and Panelist, "African Studies," Second Annual University Conference: Internationalization at the University of Denver, *University of Denver*, April, 1994.

Panelist, "Public Policy and Work Force Participation: Making the School-to-Work Transition," Public Policy and Work Force Participation Seminar, *University of Pittsburgh*, September 15, 1993.

Rapporteur, "City\$Money Conference," The La Follette Institute for Public Affairs, *University of Wisconsin-Madison*, February 4-6, 1992.

EDITORIAL/ADVISORY BOARDS

Editorial Board, *State Politics and Policy*, 1999-2007; 2014-2016

Editorial Board, *Election Law Journal*, 2012-2016.

Review Board, *American Political Science Association (APSA) Small Research Grant Program*, 2004-05.

Review Board, *Fulbright/ American Political Science Association (APSA) Congressional Fellowship Program*, 2002-2005.

Academic Advisory Board, *Annual Editions, State & Local Government* (Brown & Benchmark), 1995-.

Sub-Field Editor, *State Politics, FirstResearch*, 1999-2001.

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS

American Political Science Association, 1990-
 State Politics and Policy Section, 2000-
 President, 2013-2015
 Executive Council, 2010-2012
 Political Organizations and Parties Section, 2000-
 Midwest Political Science Association, 1990-
 Southern Political Science Association, 2001-
 Western Political Science Association, 1994-
 Local Co-Host, Annual Meeting (Denver), 2003
 Chair, Committee on Membership, Attendance, and Registration, 1998-2000
 Section Chair, State Politics and Policy, 1999 Annual Conference (Seattle)
 Member, Charles Redd Politics of the American West Award Committee, 1999
 Chair, Best Dissertation Award Committee, 1999-2001
 Florida Political Science Association (1994-)
 Section Chair, State Politics, 2004 Annual Conference (Gainesville)

PROFESSIONAL APPOINTMENTS

Research Associate, *Ghana Center for Democratic Development (CDD-Ghana)*, Accra, Ghana, 2011.

Research Scholar, *Bill Lane Center for the Study of the American West*, Stanford University, 2007.

Senior Research Scholar, *Ballot Initiative Strategy Center Foundation (BISCF)*, Nonprofit 501 (c)(3), Washington, DC, (www.ballot.org), 2006.

Board of Directors, *Ballot Initiative Strategy Center Foundation (BISCF)*, Nonprofit 501 (c)(3), Washington, DC, 2000-.

Board of Scholars, *Initiative & Referendum Institute*, USC Law School, University of Southern California, 2004-.

Senior Research Fellow, *Initiative & Referendum Institute*, Washington, DC, 1998-2003.

Research Associate, *Ghana Center for Democratic Development (CDD-Ghana)*, Accra, Ghana, 2000-01.

President & Co-Founder, *Citizens Institute for Voter Information in Colorado (CIVIC)*, Denver, CO, 1998-2001.

UNIVERSITY SERVICE

University of Florida

College/University

Appointed Member, Latin American Studies Search Committee (Latino Studies), 2014-15

Appointed Member, Political Science/African Studies Search Committee, 2013-14

Appointed Member, 20th Century American History Search Committee (History), 2008-09

Appointed Member, Latino Studies Search Committee (LAS), 2006-07

Departmental Representative, United Faculty of Florida, 2003-

Alternate Senator, United Faculty of Florida, 2005-

State Delegate, Florida Education Association, 2006-
 Elected Member, College of Arts and Sciences, Nominating Committee, 2004-06
 Appointed Member, University of Florida Fulbright Committee, 2003-07

Department

Chair, 2017-
 Graduate Coordinator, 2014-2016
 Associate Chair, 2013-2014
 Appointed Member, Informatics Search Committee (Departmental Representative), 2013-14
 Appointed Member, Promotion (Full) Review Committee (Service), Leonardo Villalon, 2011
 Appointed Member, Promotion (Full) Review Committee (Research), Badredine Arfi, 2010
 Elected Member, Chair's Advisory Committee, 2004-05; 2006-07 (Chair); 2007-08 (Chair); 2010-11; 2012-13
 Elected Member, Chair Search Committee, 2004; 2009
 Appointed Member, Tenure Review Committee (Research), Daniel O'Neill, 2008
 Appointed Faculty Mentor, State Senator Mike Haridopolos, 2008-09
 Appointed Member, Strategic Planning Committee, 2008-09
 Appointed Director, Graduate Program in Political Campaigning, 2007-11
 Appointed Member, Committee to establish Undergraduate Certificate in Political Campaigning, 2007
 Elected Member, Market Equity Committee, 2006-07 (Chair); 2007-08; 2008-09 (Chair)
 Appointed Internship Coordinator, 2005-
 Elected Member, Merit Committee, 2004-05; 2005-06; 2006-07 (Chair)
 Appointed Faculty Mentor, Marcus Hendershot, 2006-
 Appointed Faculty Mentor, Helena Rodriques, 2005-06
 Appointed Member, Ad-Hoc Graduate Teaching Committee, 2005-06
 Appointed Member (Chair), Latino Politics Search Committee, 2004-05
 Appointed Member, Tenure and Promotion Committee (Samuel Barkin), 2004.
 Appointed Member, Mid-Career and Mentoring Task Force, 2004-05
 Appointed Member, Speakers Committee (Chair), 2003-05.
 Appointed Member, Tenure and Promotion Committee (Richard Conley), 2003.
 Appointed Member, Political Science Best Undergraduate Paper Award Committee, 2003-04

University of Denver

Social Science Promotion and Tenure Committee, 1999-2000
 Joint Ph.D. Program in Religious and Theological Studies, (with *Ilf School of Theology*), 1999-2002
 AH/SOCS Grade Appeals Committee, 1999-2001
Phi Beta Kappa Selection Committee, *Gamma* of Colorado, 1998-2002
 Partners in Scholarship (PINS) Committee, 1997-2000
 AH/SOCS Elected Faculty Committee, 1996-98
 Post-Tenure Review Committee, 1996-98
 SOAR (Summer Orientation), 1997-2000
 Faculty Senate Representative, 1995-1996
 Study Abroad Faculty Advisory Committee, 1995-2000
 Study Abroad Travel Scholarships Committee, 1995-2000
 Faculty Member, Culture and Critical Studies Program, 1995-2000
 Faculty Mentor, 1995-2000
 Reach-Out DU, 1995-2000
 Advisor, Department of Political Science Honors Program, 1995-1996

MEDIA INTERVIEWS

Quoted more than 1,000 times by the media (newspaper, radio, television) on various political issues, including the *New York Times*, *Wall Street Journal*, *Washington Post*, *USA Today*, *Bloomberg*, *The Economist*, *Newsweek*, *Time*, *CNN*, *CBS News*, *Fox News*, *National Public Radio*, *Tampa Bay Times*, *Miami Herald*, *Florida Times-Union*, *San Francisco Chronicle*, *Los Angeles Times*, *Chicago Tribune*, *Boston Globe*, etc.

FDOC report

Date and time of this document: 04 September, 2019 (11:18:41 America/New_York)

Summary of released and free individuals

In the July 2019 FDOC database there are a total of 356,114 released and free individuals. These individuals are listed in the release table and are not listed in the offender or active inmate tables. Of the released and free individuals, there are 0 duplicated DCNumbers.

Of the released and free individuals, there are a total of 1 with missing offenses. For these individuals, it is not possible to determine if they have committed MSC offenses.

From this point onward, the report restricts attention to individuals with release dates of 1997 or later. These individuals, broken down by race and MSC crime status, are described in the following table. For the purposes of this report, a felon has committed an MSC offence if he or she has “murder” or “homicide” in his or her adjudication charge description; the field for this is *adjudicationcharge_descr*. Note that attempted murder and attempted homicide are excluded based on the word “attempt” in the field *qualifier_descr*. In addition, an individual committed an MSC offense if he or she has the word “RAPE” or “SEX” in *adjudicationcharge_descr*. Lastly, an individual committed an MSC offense if he or she has “MAT SUPP OF TERR” or “BECOME WILLFUL TERR” in *adjudicationcharge_descr*.

Race and MSC status, full release from FDOC starting in 1997

	White	Black	Other	Total
Committed MSC	10,784	6,485	887	18,156
Did not commit MSC	178,997	143,693	13,418	336,108
Total	189,781	150,178	14,305	354,264

Results broken down by county

The following tables present results broken down by county and race. The felons described are matched from county files, have not committed an MSC crime, and are released and free. Note that some counties have matched felons who are neither black nor white.

County: Alachua

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	462	8.4
Up to \$100	112	2.0
Up to \$250	119	2.2
Up to \$500	777	14.1
Up to \$1,000	1,291	23.4
Up to \$5,000	2,403	43.5
Up to \$10,000	191	3.5
More than \$10,000	172	3.1
Total	5,527	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	162	5.1
Up to \$100	47	1.5
Up to \$250	55	1.7
Up to \$500	406	12.8
Up to \$1,000	686	21.6
Up to \$5,000	1,573	49.5
Up to \$10,0000	139	4.4
More than \$10,000	107	3.4
Total	3,175	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
\$0	300	12.8
Up to \$100	65	2.8
Up to \$250	64	2.7
Up to \$500	371	15.8
Up to \$1,000	605	25.7
Up to \$5,000	830	35.3
Up to \$10,0000	52	2.2
More than \$10,000	65	2.8
Total	2,352	100.0

County: Baker

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
\$0	119	15.9
Up to \$100	14	1.9
Up to \$250	22	2.9
Up to \$500	112	15.0
Up to \$1,000	162	21.6
Up to \$5,000	282	37.7
Up to \$10,0000	10	1.3
More than \$10,000	28	3.7
Total	749	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	26	10.88
Up to \$100	5	2.09

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
Up to \$250	4	1.67
Up to \$500	31	12.97
Up to \$1,000	67	28.03
Up to \$5,000	101	42.26
Up to \$10,0000	2	0.84
More than \$10,000	3	1.26
Total	239	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
\$0	91	18.0
Up to \$100	9	1.8
Up to \$250	18	3.6
Up to \$500	80	15.8
Up to \$1,000	95	18.8
Up to \$5,000	180	35.6
Up to \$10,0000	8	1.6
More than \$10,000	25	4.9
Total	506	100.0

County: Bradford

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
\$0	251	27.83
Up to \$100	36	3.99
Up to \$250	28	3.10
Up to \$500	122	13.53
Up to \$1,000	199	22.06
Up to \$5,000	241	26.72
Up to \$10,0000	18	2.00
More than \$10,000	7	0.78
Total	902	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	79	25.3
Up to \$100	9	2.9
Up to \$250	10	3.2
Up to \$500	46	14.7

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$1,000	71	22.8
Up to \$5,000	87	27.9
Up to \$10,0000	5	1.6
More than \$10,000	5	1.6
Total	312	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	169	28.99
Up to \$100	26	4.46
Up to \$250	18	3.09
Up to \$500	76	13.04
Up to \$1,000	127	21.78
Up to \$5,000	153	26.24
Up to \$10,0000	12	2.06
More than \$10,000	2	0.34
Total	583	100.00

County: Brevard

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	2,213	23.3
Up to \$100	272	2.9
Up to \$250	340	3.6
Up to \$500	612	6.4
Up to \$1,000	1,394	14.7
Up to \$5,000	3,696	38.8
Up to \$10,0000	664	7.0
More than \$10,000	323	3.4
Total	9,514	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	517	16.1
Up to \$100	83	2.6
Up to \$250	99	3.1
Up to \$500	204	6.4
Up to \$1,000	524	16.3
Up to \$5,000	1,445	45.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$10,0000	239	7.4
More than \$10,000	100	3.1
Total	3,211	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	1,696	26.9
Up to \$100	189	3.0
Up to \$250	241	3.8
Up to \$500	408	6.5
Up to \$1,000	870	13.8
Up to \$5,000	2,249	35.7
Up to \$10,0000	425	6.7
More than \$10,000	222	3.5
Total	6,300	100.0

County: Calhoun

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	54	9.69
Up to \$100	1	0.18
Up to \$250	13	2.33
Up to \$500	19	3.41
Up to \$1,000	92	16.52
Up to \$5,000	328	58.89
Up to \$10,0000	38	6.82
More than \$10,000	12	2.15
Total	557	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	18	10.91
Up to \$100	1	0.61
Up to \$250	4	2.42
Up to \$500	10	6.06
Up to \$1,000	37	22.42
Up to \$5,000	82	49.70
Up to \$10,0000	12	7.27
More than \$10,000	1	0.61

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
Total	165	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	36	9.2
Up to \$100	0	0.0
Up to \$250	9	2.3
Up to \$500	9	2.3
Up to \$1,000	55	14.1
Up to \$5,000	245	62.7
Up to \$10,0000	26	6.6
More than \$10,000	11	2.8
Total	391	100.0

County: Charlotte

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	282	8.51
Up to \$100	307	9.26
Up to \$250	273	8.24
Up to \$500	639	19.28
Up to \$1,000	857	25.85
Up to \$5,000	911	27.48
Up to \$10,0000	18	0.54
More than \$10,000	28	0.84
Total	3,315	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	44	6.56
Up to \$100	70	10.43
Up to \$250	65	9.69
Up to \$500	145	21.61
Up to \$1,000	183	27.27
Up to \$5,000	154	22.95
Up to \$10,0000	1	0.15
More than \$10,000	9	1.34
Total	671	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	238	9.00
Up to \$100	237	8.96
Up to \$250	208	7.87
Up to \$500	494	18.68
Up to \$1,000	674	25.49
Up to \$5,000	757	28.63
Up to \$10,0000	17	0.64
More than \$10,000	19	0.72
Total	2,644	100.00

County: Citrus

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	486	16.6
Up to \$100	42	1.4
Up to \$250	55	1.9
Up to \$500	117	4.0
Up to \$1,000	161	5.5
Up to \$5,000	523	17.9
Up to \$10,0000	158	5.4
More than \$10,000	1,381	47.2
Total	2,923	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	43	11.8
Up to \$100	6	1.7
Up to \$250	13	3.6
Up to \$500	23	6.3
Up to \$1,000	30	8.3
Up to \$5,000	87	24.0
Up to \$10,0000	22	6.1
More than \$10,000	139	38.3
Total	363	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	443	17.3
Up to \$100	36	1.4

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
Up to \$250	42	1.6
Up to \$500	94	3.7
Up to \$1,000	131	5.1
Up to \$5,000	436	17.1
Up to \$10,0000	136	5.3
More than \$10,000	1,237	48.4
Total	2,555	100.0

County: Collier

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
\$0	546	13.8
Up to \$100	138	3.5
Up to \$250	101	2.5
Up to \$500	431	10.9
Up to \$1,000	696	17.6
Up to \$5,000	1,610	40.6
Up to \$10,0000	123	3.1
More than \$10,000	320	8.1
Total	3,965	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	86	8.9
Up to \$100	33	3.4
Up to \$250	20	2.1
Up to \$500	114	11.8
Up to \$1,000	188	19.4
Up to \$5,000	452	46.6
Up to \$10,0000	14	1.4
More than \$10,000	63	6.5
Total	970	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
\$0	458	15.3
Up to \$100	105	3.5
Up to \$250	81	2.7
Up to \$500	317	10.6

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$1,000	506	16.9
Up to \$5,000	1,158	38.7
Up to \$10,0000	109	3.6
More than \$10,000	256	8.6
Total	2,990	100.0

County: Columbia

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	197	7.5
Up to \$100	49	1.9
Up to \$250	47	1.8
Up to \$500	167	6.3
Up to \$1,000	526	20.0
Up to \$5,000	1,422	54.0
Up to \$10,0000	115	4.4
More than \$10,000	108	4.1
Total	2,631	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	55	5.8
Up to \$100	21	2.2
Up to \$250	15	1.6
Up to \$500	59	6.3
Up to \$1,000	201	21.3
Up to \$5,000	505	53.6
Up to \$10,0000	43	4.6
More than \$10,000	44	4.7
Total	943	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	141	8.4
Up to \$100	28	1.7
Up to \$250	32	1.9
Up to \$500	106	6.3
Up to \$1,000	321	19.2
Up to \$5,000	910	54.4

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
Up to \$10,0000	72	4.3
More than \$10,000	64	3.8
Total	1,674	100.0

County: Desoto

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
\$0	33	7.7
Up to \$100	10	2.3
Up to \$250	9	2.1
Up to \$500	11	2.6
Up to \$1,000	57	13.2
Up to \$5,000	274	63.6
Up to \$10,0000	18	4.2
More than \$10,000	19	4.4
Total	431	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	10	7.9
Up to \$100	3	2.4
Up to \$250	3	2.4
Up to \$500	4	3.2
Up to \$1,000	12	9.5
Up to \$5,000	78	61.9
Up to \$10,0000	7	5.6
More than \$10,000	9	7.1
Total	126	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
\$0	22	7.4
Up to \$100	6	2.0
Up to \$250	6	2.0
Up to \$500	7	2.4
Up to \$1,000	44	14.9
Up to \$5,000	192	64.9
Up to \$10,0000	10	3.4
More than \$10,000	9	3.0

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
Total	296	100.0

County: Dixie

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
\$0	36	9.8
Up to \$100	12	3.3
Up to \$250	17	4.6
Up to \$500	22	6.0
Up to \$1,000	62	16.8
Up to \$5,000	193	52.4
Up to \$10,0000	14	3.8
More than \$10,000	12	3.3
Total	368	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	2	3.4
Up to \$100	3	5.2
Up to \$250	0	0.0
Up to \$500	5	8.6
Up to \$1,000	5	8.6
Up to \$5,000	32	55.2
Up to \$10,0000	6	10.3
More than \$10,000	5	8.6
Total	58	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
\$0	34	11.0
Up to \$100	9	2.9
Up to \$250	17	5.5
Up to \$500	17	5.5
Up to \$1,000	56	18.1
Up to \$5,000	161	52.1
Up to \$10,0000	8	2.6
More than \$10,000	7	2.3
Total	309	100.0

County: Duval

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	442	8.35
Up to \$100	145	2.74
Up to \$250	79	1.49
Up to \$500	255	4.82
Up to \$1,000	2,401	45.34
Up to \$5,000	1,656	31.27
Up to \$10,0000	35	0.66
More than \$10,000	282	5.33
Total	5,295	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	207	6.55
Up to \$100	79	2.50
Up to \$250	51	1.61
Up to \$500	147	4.65
Up to \$1,000	1,466	46.39
Up to \$5,000	1,037	32.82
Up to \$10,0000	13	0.41
More than \$10,000	160	5.06
Total	3,160	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	235	11.0
Up to \$100	66	3.1
Up to \$250	28	1.3
Up to \$500	108	5.1
Up to \$1,000	935	43.8
Up to \$5,000	619	29.0
Up to \$10,0000	22	1.0
More than \$10,000	122	5.7
Total	2,135	100.0

County: Flagler

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	56	9.54
Up to \$100	44	7.50

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$250	14	2.39
Up to \$500	47	8.01
Up to \$1,000	239	40.72
Up to \$5,000	176	29.98
Up to \$10,0000	8	1.36
More than \$10,000	3	0.51
Total	587	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	19	9.41
Up to \$100	15	7.43
Up to \$250	8	3.96
Up to \$500	17	8.42
Up to \$1,000	80	39.60
Up to \$5,000	58	28.71
Up to \$10,0000	3	1.49
More than \$10,000	2	0.99
Total	202	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	37	9.84
Up to \$100	29	7.71
Up to \$250	6	1.60
Up to \$500	28	7.45
Up to \$1,000	152	40.43
Up to \$5,000	118	31.38
Up to \$10,0000	5	1.33
More than \$10,000	1	0.27
Total	376	100.00

County: Franklin

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	48	10.76
Up to \$100	6	1.35
Up to \$250	8	1.79
Up to \$500	45	10.09

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$1,000	92	20.63
Up to \$5,000	228	51.12
Up to \$10,0000	18	4.04
More than \$10,000	1	0.22
Total	446	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	7	5.2
Up to \$100	3	2.2
Up to \$250	3	2.2
Up to \$500	12	9.0
Up to \$1,000	39	29.1
Up to \$5,000	64	47.8
Up to \$10,0000	6	4.5
More than \$10,000	0	0.0
Total	134	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	41	13.23
Up to \$100	3	0.97
Up to \$250	5	1.61
Up to \$500	33	10.65
Up to \$1,000	53	17.10
Up to \$5,000	162	52.26
Up to \$10,0000	12	3.87
More than \$10,000	1	0.32
Total	310	100.00

County: Gadsden

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	115	9.99
Up to \$100	178	15.46
Up to \$250	179	15.55
Up to \$500	280	24.33
Up to \$1,000	229	19.90
Up to \$5,000	167	14.51

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$10,0000	0	0.00
More than \$10,000	3	0.26
Total	1,151	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	83	8.57
Up to \$100	144	14.86
Up to \$250	148	15.27
Up to \$500	238	24.56
Up to \$1,000	201	20.74
Up to \$5,000	153	15.79
Up to \$10,0000	0	0.00
More than \$10,000	2	0.21
Total	969	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	32	17.58
Up to \$100	34	18.68
Up to \$250	31	17.03
Up to \$500	42	23.08
Up to \$1,000	28	15.38
Up to \$5,000	14	7.69
Up to \$10,0000	0	0.00
More than \$10,000	1	0.55
Total	182	100.00

County: Gilchrist

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	43	10.8
Up to \$100	13	3.3
Up to \$250	8	2.0
Up to \$500	60	15.1
Up to \$1,000	73	18.4
Up to \$5,000	43	10.8
Up to \$10,0000	11	2.8
More than \$10,000	146	36.8

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
Total	397	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	5	6.2
Up to \$100	0	0.0
Up to \$250	1	1.2
Up to \$500	15	18.8
Up to \$1,000	22	27.5
Up to \$5,000	8	10.0
Up to \$10,0000	4	5.0
More than \$10,000	25	31.2
Total	80	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	38	12.1
Up to \$100	13	4.2
Up to \$250	7	2.2
Up to \$500	45	14.4
Up to \$1,000	50	16.0
Up to \$5,000	34	10.9
Up to \$10,0000	7	2.2
More than \$10,000	119	38.0
Total	313	100.0

County: Glades

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	31	16.23
Up to \$100	22	11.52
Up to \$250	22	11.52
Up to \$500	32	16.75
Up to \$1,000	39	20.42
Up to \$5,000	37	19.37
Up to \$10,0000	1	0.52
More than \$10,000	7	3.66
Total	191	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	6	10
Up to \$100	6	10
Up to \$250	7	12
Up to \$500	13	22
Up to \$1,000	15	26
Up to \$5,000	11	19
Up to \$10,0000	0	0
More than \$10,000	0	0
Total	58	100

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	24	18.90
Up to \$100	16	12.60
Up to \$250	15	11.81
Up to \$500	16	12.60
Up to \$1,000	24	18.90
Up to \$5,000	25	19.69
Up to \$10,0000	1	0.79
More than \$10,000	6	4.72
Total	127	100.00

County: Gulf

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	69	15.30
Up to \$100	1	0.22
Up to \$250	13	2.88
Up to \$500	18	3.99
Up to \$1,000	62	13.75
Up to \$5,000	265	58.76
Up to \$10,0000	22	4.88
More than \$10,000	1	0.22
Total	451	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	17	12.14
Up to \$100	0	0.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$250	7	5.00
Up to \$500	7	5.00
Up to \$1,000	21	15.00
Up to \$5,000	80	57.14
Up to \$10,0000	7	5.00
More than \$10,000	1	0.71
Total	140	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	52	16.83
Up to \$100	1	0.32
Up to \$250	6	1.94
Up to \$500	11	3.56
Up to \$1,000	41	13.27
Up to \$5,000	183	59.22
Up to \$10,0000	15	4.85
More than \$10,000	0	0.00
Total	309	100.00

County: Hamilton

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	7	2.0
Up to \$100	19	5.5
Up to \$250	16	4.6
Up to \$500	43	12.4
Up to \$1,000	55	15.8
Up to \$5,000	170	48.9
Up to \$10,0000	22	6.3
More than \$10,000	16	4.6
Total	348	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	4	1.9
Up to \$100	7	3.4
Up to \$250	9	4.4
Up to \$500	22	10.7

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$1,000	33	16.0
Up to \$5,000	104	50.5
Up to \$10,0000	15	7.3
More than \$10,000	12	5.8
Total	206	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	3	2.1
Up to \$100	12	8.6
Up to \$250	7	5.0
Up to \$500	21	15.0
Up to \$1,000	22	15.7
Up to \$5,000	64	45.7
Up to \$10,0000	7	5.0
More than \$10,000	4	2.9
Total	140	100.0

County: Hardee

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	50	9.86
Up to \$100	14	2.76
Up to \$250	2	0.39
Up to \$500	20	3.94
Up to \$1,000	86	16.96
Up to \$5,000	283	55.82
Up to \$10,0000	26	5.13
More than \$10,000	26	5.13
Total	507	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	3	2.4
Up to \$100	5	4.0
Up to \$250	0	0.0
Up to \$500	7	5.6
Up to \$1,000	30	24.0
Up to \$5,000	68	54.4

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$10,0000	10	8.0
More than \$10,000	2	1.6
Total	125	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	47	12.81
Up to \$100	9	2.45
Up to \$250	2	0.54
Up to \$500	13	3.54
Up to \$1,000	54	14.71
Up to \$5,000	205	55.86
Up to \$10,0000	13	3.54
More than \$10,000	24	6.54
Total	367	100.00

County: Hendry

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	56	8.6
Up to \$100	32	4.9
Up to \$250	23	3.5
Up to \$500	107	16.5
Up to \$1,000	173	26.6
Up to \$5,000	234	36.0
Up to \$10,0000	9	1.4
More than \$10,000	16	2.5
Total	650	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	21	8.27
Up to \$100	10	3.94
Up to \$250	8	3.15
Up to \$500	42	16.54
Up to \$1,000	66	25.98
Up to \$5,000	103	40.55
Up to \$10,0000	2	0.79
More than \$10,000	2	0.79

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
Total	254	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	34	9.5
Up to \$100	18	5.0
Up to \$250	12	3.3
Up to \$500	61	17.0
Up to \$1,000	93	25.9
Up to \$5,000	124	34.5
Up to \$10,0000	6	1.7
More than \$10,000	11	3.1
Total	359	100.0

County: Highlands

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	139	9.21
Up to \$100	18	1.19
Up to \$250	13	0.86
Up to \$500	23	1.52
Up to \$1,000	116	7.69
Up to \$5,000	1,016	67.33
Up to \$10,0000	137	9.08
More than \$10,000	47	3.11
Total	1,509	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	29	5.53
Up to \$100	6	1.15
Up to \$250	3	0.57
Up to \$500	10	1.91
Up to \$1,000	34	6.49
Up to \$5,000	393	75.00
Up to \$10,0000	46	8.78
More than \$10,000	3	0.57
Total	524	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	110	11.51
Up to \$100	12	1.26
Up to \$250	9	0.94
Up to \$500	11	1.15
Up to \$1,000	79	8.26
Up to \$5,000	602	62.97
Up to \$10,0000	91	9.52
More than \$10,000	42	4.39
Total	956	100.00

County: Holmes

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	72	8.6
Up to \$100	5	0.6
Up to \$250	5	0.6
Up to \$500	15	1.8
Up to \$1,000	68	8.2
Up to \$5,000	540	64.7
Up to \$10,0000	77	9.2
More than \$10,000	52	6.2
Total	834	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	3	3.8
Up to \$100	0	0.0
Up to \$250	1	1.3
Up to \$500	2	2.5
Up to \$1,000	8	10.1
Up to \$5,000	56	70.9
Up to \$10,0000	6	7.6
More than \$10,000	3	3.8
Total	79	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	69	9.19
Up to \$100	5	0.67

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$250	4	0.53
Up to \$500	13	1.73
Up to \$1,000	59	7.86
Up to \$5,000	481	64.05
Up to \$10,0000	71	9.45
More than \$10,000	49	6.52
Total	751	100.00

County: Indianriver

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	87	3.4
Up to \$100	86	3.4
Up to \$250	64	2.5
Up to \$500	352	13.9
Up to \$1,000	521	20.6
Up to \$5,000	1,000	39.6
Up to \$10,0000	57	2.3
More than \$10,000	361	14.3
Total	2,528	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	16	1.7
Up to \$100	23	2.5
Up to \$250	32	3.5
Up to \$500	142	15.4
Up to \$1,000	180	19.5
Up to \$5,000	380	41.3
Up to \$10,0000	27	2.9
More than \$10,000	121	13.1
Total	921	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	71	4.4
Up to \$100	63	3.9
Up to \$250	31	1.9
Up to \$500	208	13.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$1,000	339	21.2
Up to \$5,000	620	38.7
Up to \$10,0000	30	1.9
More than \$10,000	240	15.0
Total	1,602	100.0

County: Jackson

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	107	6.84
Up to \$100	15	0.96
Up to \$250	15	0.96
Up to \$500	79	5.05
Up to \$1,000	377	24.09
Up to \$5,000	941	60.13
Up to \$10,0000	14	0.89
More than \$10,000	17	1.09
Total	1,565	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	32	4.85
Up to \$100	5	0.76
Up to \$250	6	0.91
Up to \$500	26	3.94
Up to \$1,000	168	25.45
Up to \$5,000	413	62.58
Up to \$10,0000	6	0.91
More than \$10,000	4	0.61
Total	660	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	75	8.35
Up to \$100	10	1.11
Up to \$250	9	1.00
Up to \$500	53	5.90
Up to \$1,000	207	23.05
Up to \$5,000	523	58.24

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$10,000	8	0.89
More than \$10,000	13	1.45
Total	898	100.00

County: Jefferson

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	92	22.72
Up to \$100	30	7.41
Up to \$250	18	4.44
Up to \$500	105	25.93
Up to \$1,000	103	25.43
Up to \$5,000	53	13.09
Up to \$10,000	0	0.00
More than \$10,000	4	0.99
Total	405	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	49	17.1
Up to \$100	24	8.4
Up to \$250	13	4.5
Up to \$500	84	29.3
Up to \$1,000	72	25.1
Up to \$5,000	41	14.3
Up to \$10,000	0	0.0
More than \$10,000	4	1.4
Total	287	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	43	36.4
Up to \$100	6	5.1
Up to \$250	5	4.2
Up to \$500	21	17.8
Up to \$1,000	31	26.3
Up to \$5,000	12	10.2
Up to \$10,000	0	0.0
More than \$10,000	0	0.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
Total	118	100.0

County: Lafayette

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	17	12.7
Up to \$100	9	6.7
Up to \$250	6	4.5
Up to \$500	15	11.2
Up to \$1,000	24	17.9
Up to \$5,000	59	44.0
Up to \$10,0000	4	3.0
More than \$10,000 0	0	0.0
Total	134	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	3	10.3
Up to \$100	2	6.9
Up to \$250	2	6.9
Up to \$500	2	6.9
Up to \$1,000	9	31.0
Up to \$5,000	10	34.5
Up to \$10,0000	1	3.4
More than \$10,000 0	0	0.0
Total	29	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	14	13.5
Up to \$100	7	6.7
Up to \$250	4	3.8
Up to \$500	13	12.5
Up to \$1,000	14	13.5
Up to \$5,000	49	47.1
Up to \$10,0000	3	2.9
More than \$10,000 0	0	0.0
Total	104	100.0

County: Lake

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	1,811	27.66
Up to \$100	134	2.05
Up to \$250	53	0.81
Up to \$500	31	0.47
Up to \$1,000	80	1.22
Up to \$5,000	920	14.05
Up to \$10,0000	915	13.97
More than \$10,000	2,604	39.77
Total	6,548	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	580	24.90
Up to \$100	39	1.67
Up to \$250	19	0.82
Up to \$500	12	0.52
Up to \$1,000	32	1.37
Up to \$5,000	328	14.08
Up to \$10,0000	349	14.98
More than \$10,000	970	41.65
Total	2,329	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	1,209	29.79
Up to \$100	90	2.22
Up to \$250	34	0.84
Up to \$500	19	0.47
Up to \$1,000	47	1.16
Up to \$5,000	557	13.73
Up to \$10,0000	538	13.26
More than \$10,000	1,564	38.54
Total	4,058	100.00

County: Lee

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	630	10.1
Up to \$100	706	11.4

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
Up to \$250	448	7.2
Up to \$500	656	10.6
Up to \$1,000	1,159	18.7
Up to \$5,000	2,276	36.7
Up to \$10,0000	139	2.2
More than \$10,000	194	3.1
Total	6,208	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	133	6.5
Up to \$100	205	10.0
Up to \$250	150	7.3
Up to \$500	203	9.9
Up to \$1,000	402	19.7
Up to \$5,000	844	41.4
Up to \$10,0000	51	2.5
More than \$10,000	53	2.6
Total	2,041	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
\$0	497	11.9
Up to \$100	501	12.0
Up to \$250	298	7.2
Up to \$500	453	10.9
Up to \$1,000	757	18.2
Up to \$5,000	1,432	34.4
Up to \$10,0000	88	2.1
More than \$10,000	141	3.4
Total	4,167	100.0

County: Leon

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
\$0	1,498	19.37
Up to \$100	58	0.75
Up to \$250	84	1.09
Up to \$500	169	2.18

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$1,000	481	6.22
Up to \$5,000	2,701	34.92
Up to \$10,0000	1,392	18.00
More than \$10,000	1,352	17.48
Total	7,735	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	917	17.30
Up to \$100	35	0.66
Up to \$250	49	0.92
Up to \$500	112	2.11
Up to \$1,000	327	6.17
Up to \$5,000	1,874	35.35
Up to \$10,0000	1,022	19.28
More than \$10,000	966	18.22
Total	5,302	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	581	23.88
Up to \$100	23	0.95
Up to \$250	35	1.44
Up to \$500	57	2.34
Up to \$1,000	154	6.33
Up to \$5,000	827	33.99
Up to \$10,0000	370	15.21
More than \$10,000	386	15.87
Total	2,433	100.00

County: Levy

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	57	12.0
Up to \$100	13	2.7
Up to \$250	28	5.9
Up to \$500	163	34.3
Up to \$1,000	126	26.5
Up to \$5,000	46	9.7

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$10,0000	8	1.7
More than \$10,000	34	7.2
Total	475	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	7	5.51
Up to \$100	1	0.79
Up to \$250	5	3.94
Up to \$500	57	44.88
Up to \$1,000	32	25.20
Up to \$5,000	12	9.45
Up to \$10,0000	2	1.57
More than \$10,000	11	8.66
Total	127	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	50	14.4
Up to \$100	12	3.5
Up to \$250	23	6.6
Up to \$500	105	30.3
Up to \$1,000	94	27.1
Up to \$5,000	34	9.8
Up to \$10,0000	6	1.7
More than \$10,000	23	6.6
Total	347	100.0

County: Liberty

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	50	19.69
Up to \$100	11	4.33
Up to \$250	8	3.15
Up to \$500	24	9.45
Up to \$1,000	70	27.56
Up to \$5,000	86	33.86
Up to \$10,0000	3	1.18
More than \$10,000	2	0.79

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
Total	254	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	13	20.0
Up to \$100	2	3.1
Up to \$250	3	4.6
Up to \$500	8	12.3
Up to \$1,000	22	33.8
Up to \$5,000	17	26.2
Up to \$10,0000	0	0.0
More than \$10,000	0	0.0
Total	65	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	36	19.5
Up to \$100	9	4.9
Up to \$250	5	2.7
Up to \$500	14	7.6
Up to \$1,000	47	25.4
Up to \$5,000	69	37.3
Up to \$10,0000	3	1.6
More than \$10,000	2	1.1
Total	185	100.0

County: Madison

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	35	7.1
Up to \$100	23	4.7
Up to \$250	17	3.4
Up to \$500	47	9.5
Up to \$1,000	71	14.4
Up to \$5,000	270	54.7
Up to \$10,0000	25	5.1
More than \$10,000	6	1.2
Total	494	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	23	7.1
Up to \$100	16	4.9
Up to \$250	12	3.7
Up to \$500	32	9.9
Up to \$1,000	43	13.3
Up to \$5,000	174	53.7
Up to \$10,0000	20	6.2
More than \$10,000	4	1.2
Total	324	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	12	7.1
Up to \$100	7	4.1
Up to \$250	5	2.9
Up to \$500	15	8.8
Up to \$1,000	28	16.5
Up to \$5,000	96	56.5
Up to \$10,0000	5	2.9
More than \$10,000	2	1.2
Total	170	100.0

County: Manatee

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	381	8.7
Up to \$100	117	2.7
Up to \$250	89	2.0
Up to \$500	422	9.6
Up to \$1,000	1,012	23.0
Up to \$5,000	1,981	45.0
Up to \$10,0000	210	4.8
More than \$10,000	187	4.3
Total	4,399	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	97	6.0
Up to \$100	24	1.5

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
Up to \$250	25	1.5
Up to \$500	161	9.9
Up to \$1,000	389	23.9
Up to \$5,000	816	50.1
Up to \$10,0000	55	3.4
More than \$10,000	61	3.7
Total	1,628	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
\$0	282	10.4
Up to \$100	88	3.2
Up to \$250	64	2.4
Up to \$500	257	9.4
Up to \$1,000	605	22.2
Up to \$5,000	1,152	42.3
Up to \$10,0000	153	5.6
More than \$10,000	121	4.4
Total	2,722	100.0

County: Marion

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
\$0	1,350	17.0
Up to \$100	336	4.2
Up to \$250	188	2.4
Up to \$500	333	4.2
Up to \$1,000	1,148	14.5
Up to \$5,000	3,090	38.9
Up to \$10,0000	763	9.6
More than \$10,000	730	9.2
Total	7,938	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	295	11.0
Up to \$100	108	4.0
Up to \$250	63	2.3
Up to \$500	104	3.9

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$1,000	381	14.2
Up to \$5,000	1,092	40.7
Up to \$10,0000	304	11.3
More than \$10,000	337	12.6
Total	2,684	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	1,055	20.1
Up to \$100	228	4.3
Up to \$250	125	2.4
Up to \$500	229	4.4
Up to \$1,000	766	14.6
Up to \$5,000	1,990	38.0
Up to \$10,0000	459	8.8
More than \$10,000	391	7.5
Total	5,243	100.0

County: Martin

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	458	14.3
Up to \$100	68	2.1
Up to \$250	79	2.5
Up to \$500	204	6.4
Up to \$1,000	629	19.6
Up to \$5,000	1,471	45.9
Up to \$10,0000	156	4.9
More than \$10,000	140	4.4
Total	3,205	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	74	6.9
Up to \$100	18	1.7
Up to \$250	26	2.4
Up to \$500	66	6.1
Up to \$1,000	244	22.7
Up to \$5,000	554	51.4

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
Up to \$10,0000	50	4.6
More than \$10,000	45	4.2
Total	1,077	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
\$0	384	18.2
Up to \$100	50	2.4
Up to \$250	53	2.5
Up to \$500	137	6.5
Up to \$1,000	380	18.0
Up to \$5,000	904	42.9
Up to \$10,0000	106	5.0
More than \$10,000	92	4.4
Total	2,106	100.0

County: Monroe

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
\$0	104	5.53
Up to \$100	307	16.33
Up to \$250	100	5.32
Up to \$500	229	12.18
Up to \$1,000	519	27.61
Up to \$5,000	580	30.85
Up to \$10,0000	11	0.59
More than \$10,000	30	1.60
Total	1,880	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	14	3.0
Up to \$100	65	13.9
Up to \$250	28	6.0
Up to \$500	55	11.8
Up to \$1,000	133	28.4
Up to \$5,000	162	34.6
Up to \$10,0000	6	1.3
More than \$10,000	5	1.1

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
Total	468	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	90	6.37
Up to \$100	242	17.14
Up to \$250	72	5.10
Up to \$500	174	12.32
Up to \$1,000	386	27.34
Up to \$5,000	418	29.60
Up to \$10,0000	5	0.35
More than \$10,000	25	1.77
Total	1,412	100.00

County: Nassau

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	162	11.9
Up to \$100	31	2.3
Up to \$250	25	1.8
Up to \$500	261	19.2
Up to \$1,000	376	27.6
Up to \$5,000	456	33.5
Up to \$10,0000	24	1.8
More than \$10,000	26	1.9
Total	1,361	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	33	7.95
Up to \$100	10	2.41
Up to \$250	9	2.17
Up to \$500	98	23.61
Up to \$1,000	120	28.92
Up to \$5,000	139	33.49
Up to \$10,0000	2	0.48
More than \$10,000	4	0.96
Total	415	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	129	13.6
Up to \$100	21	2.2
Up to \$250	16	1.7
Up to \$500	163	17.2
Up to \$1,000	256	27.1
Up to \$5,000	317	33.5
Up to \$10,0000	22	2.3
More than \$10,000	22	2.3
Total	946	100.0

County: Okaloosa

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	241	12.258
Up to \$100	497	25.280
Up to \$250	149	7.579
Up to \$500	145	7.375
Up to \$1,000	514	26.144
Up to \$5,000	411	20.905
Up to \$10,0000	8	0.407
More than \$10,000	1	0.051
Total	1,966	100.000

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	46	7.42
Up to \$100	139	22.42
Up to \$250	53	8.55
Up to \$500	68	10.97
Up to \$1,000	158	25.48
Up to \$5,000	154	24.84
Up to \$10,0000	2	0.32
More than \$10,000	0	0.00
Total	620	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	195	14.487
Up to \$100	358	26.597

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$250	96	7.132
Up to \$500	77	5.721
Up to \$1,000	356	26.449
Up to \$5,000	257	19.094
Up to \$10,0000	6	0.446
More than \$10,000	1	0.074
Total	1,346	100.000

County: Okeechobee

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	54	5.4
Up to \$100	21	2.1
Up to \$250	12	1.2
Up to \$500	35	3.5
Up to \$1,000	141	14.0
Up to \$5,000	648	64.2
Up to \$10,0000	69	6.8
More than \$10,000	29	2.9
Total	1,009	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	8	3.65
Up to \$100	4	1.83
Up to \$250	1	0.46
Up to \$500	9	4.11
Up to \$1,000	46	21.00
Up to \$5,000	133	60.73
Up to \$10,0000	14	6.39
More than \$10,000	4	1.83
Total	219	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	46	6.1
Up to \$100	15	2.0
Up to \$250	11	1.5
Up to \$500	25	3.3

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$1,000	91	12.2
Up to \$5,000	487	65.1
Up to \$10,0000	52	7.0
More than \$10,000	21	2.8
Total	748	100.0

County: Orange

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	1,430	13.6
Up to \$100	186	1.8
Up to \$250	161	1.5
Up to \$500	443	4.2
Up to \$1,000	2,561	24.4
Up to \$5,000	4,820	45.9
Up to \$10,0000	613	5.8
More than \$10,000	283	2.7
Total	10,497	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	583	9.7
Up to \$100	86	1.4
Up to \$250	92	1.5
Up to \$500	231	3.9
Up to \$1,000	1,466	24.5
Up to \$5,000	3,013	50.3
Up to \$10,0000	407	6.8
More than \$10,000	112	1.9
Total	5,990	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	847	18.8
Up to \$100	100	2.2
Up to \$250	69	1.5
Up to \$500	212	4.7
Up to \$1,000	1,095	24.3
Up to \$5,000	1,807	40.1

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$10,0000	206	4.6
More than \$10,000	171	3.8
Total	4,507	100.0

County: Palmbeach

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	1,421	11.6
Up to \$100	161	1.3
Up to \$250	163	1.3
Up to \$500	1,155	9.4
Up to \$1,000	3,380	27.6
Up to \$5,000	5,146	42.1
Up to \$10,0000	436	3.6
More than \$10,000	375	3.1
Total	12,237	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	486	7.72
Up to \$100	54	0.86
Up to \$250	74	1.18
Up to \$500	564	8.96
Up to \$1,000	1,665	26.46
Up to \$5,000	3,016	47.93
Up to \$10,0000	269	4.28
More than \$10,000	164	2.61
Total	6,292	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	935	15.7
Up to \$100	107	1.8
Up to \$250	89	1.5
Up to \$500	591	9.9
Up to \$1,000	1,715	28.8
Up to \$5,000	2,130	35.8
Up to \$10,0000	167	2.8
More than \$10,000	211	3.5

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
Total	5,945	100.0

County: Pasco

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
\$0	138	1.9
Up to \$100	236	3.3
Up to \$250	178	2.5
Up to \$500	391	5.5
Up to \$1,000	1,332	18.8
Up to \$5,000	4,139	58.4
Up to \$10,0000	491	6.9
More than \$10,000	187	2.6
Total	7,092	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	15	1.7
Up to \$100	17	1.9
Up to \$250	23	2.6
Up to \$500	41	4.6
Up to \$1,000	209	23.7
Up to \$5,000	504	57.1
Up to \$10,0000	47	5.3
More than \$10,000	27	3.1
Total	883	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
\$0	120	2.0
Up to \$100	217	3.6
Up to \$250	153	2.5
Up to \$500	340	5.6
Up to \$1,000	1,097	18.0
Up to \$5,000	3,585	58.7
Up to \$10,0000	441	7.2
More than \$10,000	151	2.5
Total	6,104	100.0

County: Polk

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	1,072	10.6
Up to \$100	842	8.4
Up to \$250	226	2.2
Up to \$500	368	3.7
Up to \$1,000	1,011	10.0
Up to \$5,000	5,519	54.8
Up to \$10,0000	707	7.0
More than \$10,000	322	3.2
Total	10,067	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	315	8.8
Up to \$100	309	8.7
Up to \$250	68	1.9
Up to \$500	128	3.6
Up to \$1,000	368	10.3
Up to \$5,000	2,033	57.0
Up to \$10,0000	261	7.3
More than \$10,000	86	2.4
Total	3,568	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	747	11.6
Up to \$100	525	8.2
Up to \$250	157	2.4
Up to \$500	239	3.7
Up to \$1,000	641	10.0
Up to \$5,000	3,450	53.6
Up to \$10,0000	439	6.8
More than \$10,000	236	3.7
Total	6,434	100.0

County: Putnam

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	129	6.5
Up to \$100	50	2.5

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
Up to \$250	60	3.0
Up to \$500	278	13.9
Up to \$1,000	522	26.2
Up to \$5,000	853	42.8
Up to \$10,0000	33	1.7
More than \$10,000	70	3.5
Total	1,995	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	35	4.4
Up to \$100	22	2.8
Up to \$250	29	3.6
Up to \$500	119	14.9
Up to \$1,000	192	24.1
Up to \$5,000	356	44.6
Up to \$10,0000	16	2.0
More than \$10,000	29	3.6
Total	798	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
\$0	94	7.9
Up to \$100	28	2.4
Up to \$250	30	2.5
Up to \$500	157	13.3
Up to \$1,000	326	27.5
Up to \$5,000	493	41.6
Up to \$10,0000	17	1.4
More than \$10,000	39	3.3
Total	1,184	100.0

County: Santarosa

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
\$0	586	22.8
Up to \$100	28	1.1
Up to \$250	40	1.6
Up to \$500	76	3.0

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
Up to \$1,000	580	22.6
Up to \$5,000	1,036	40.3
Up to \$10,0000	126	4.9
More than \$10,000	100	3.9
Total	2,572	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	55	12.9
Up to \$100	8	1.9
Up to \$250	5	1.2
Up to \$500	15	3.5
Up to \$1,000	129	30.1
Up to \$5,000	187	43.7
Up to \$10,0000	17	4.0
More than \$10,000	12	2.8
Total	428	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
\$0	530	24.89
Up to \$100	20	0.94
Up to \$250	35	1.64
Up to \$500	59	2.77
Up to \$1,000	444	20.85
Up to \$5,000	845	39.69
Up to \$10,0000	109	5.12
More than \$10,000	87	4.09
Total	2,129	100.00

County: Sarasota

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
\$0	597	10.6
Up to \$100	218	3.9
Up to \$250	195	3.5
Up to \$500	985	17.5
Up to \$1,000	1,278	22.7
Up to \$5,000	1,936	34.4

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
Up to \$10,0000	176	3.1
More than \$10,000	242	4.3
Total	5,627	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	100	5.7
Up to \$100	40	2.3
Up to \$250	46	2.6
Up to \$500	293	16.6
Up to \$1,000	435	24.7
Up to \$5,000	733	41.6
Up to \$10,0000	64	3.6
More than \$10,000	52	2.9
Total	1,763	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
\$0	488	12.9
Up to \$100	178	4.7
Up to \$250	149	3.9
Up to \$500	677	17.9
Up to \$1,000	821	21.7
Up to \$5,000	1,184	31.3
Up to \$10,0000	111	2.9
More than \$10,000	173	4.6
Total	3,781	100.0

County: Seminole

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
\$0	540	16.636
Up to \$100	620	19.100
Up to \$250	416	12.816
Up to \$500	891	27.449
Up to \$1,000	542	16.697
Up to \$5,000	230	7.086
Up to \$10,0000	1	0.031
More than \$10,000	6	0.185

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
Total	3,246	100.000

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	169	11.67
Up to \$100	280	19.34
Up to \$250	200	13.81
Up to \$500	428	29.56
Up to \$1,000	258	17.82
Up to \$5,000	110	7.60
Up to \$10,0000	0	0.00
More than \$10,000	3	0.21
Total	1,448	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	371	20.669
Up to \$100	340	18.942
Up to \$250	216	12.033
Up to \$500	460	25.627
Up to \$1,000	284	15.822
Up to \$5,000	120	6.685
Up to \$10,0000	1	0.056
More than \$10,000	3	0.167
Total	1,795	100.000

County: Stjohns

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	1,316	37.5
Up to \$100	79	2.2
Up to \$250	93	2.6
Up to \$500	365	10.4
Up to \$1,000	444	12.6
Up to \$5,000	962	27.4
Up to \$10,0000	156	4.4
More than \$10,000	99	2.8
Total	3,514	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	395	33.5
Up to \$100	26	2.2
Up to \$250	36	3.1
Up to \$500	142	12.0
Up to \$1,000	157	13.3
Up to \$5,000	342	29.0
Up to \$10,0000	45	3.8
More than \$10,000	36	3.1
Total	1,179	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	920	39.7
Up to \$100	53	2.3
Up to \$250	57	2.5
Up to \$500	221	9.5
Up to \$1,000	283	12.2
Up to \$5,000	611	26.4
Up to \$10,0000	111	4.8
More than \$10,000	61	2.6
Total	2,317	100.0

County: Stlucie

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	862	24.02
Up to \$100	28	0.78
Up to \$250	32	0.89
Up to \$500	59	1.64
Up to \$1,000	248	6.91
Up to \$5,000	1,652	46.03
Up to \$10,0000	395	11.01
More than \$10,000	313	8.72
Total	3,589	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	261	16.1
Up to \$100	13	0.8

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
Up to \$250	13	0.8
Up to \$500	22	1.4
Up to \$1,000	124	7.6
Up to \$5,000	888	54.7
Up to \$10,0000	193	11.9
More than \$10,000	108	6.7
Total	1,622	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count Percentage	
\$0	572	30.47
Up to \$100	15	0.80
Up to \$250	17	0.91
Up to \$500	37	1.97
Up to \$1,000	118	6.29
Up to \$5,000	729	38.84
Up to \$10,0000	196	10.44
More than \$10,000	193	10.28
Total	1,877	100.00

County: Sumter

Balances due, all

	Count Percentage	
\$0	117	7.82
Up to \$100	18	1.20
Up to \$250	11	0.74
Up to \$500	32	2.14
Up to \$1,000	148	9.89
Up to \$5,000	912	60.96
Up to \$10,0000	185	12.37
More than \$10,000	73	4.88
Total	1,496	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count Percentage	
\$0	39	7.47
Up to \$100	7	1.34
Up to \$250	3	0.57
Up to \$500	8	1.53

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$1,000	48	9.20
Up to \$5,000	286	54.79
Up to \$10,0000	94	18.01
More than \$10,000	37	7.09
Total	522	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	78	8.14
Up to \$100	11	1.15
Up to \$250	8	0.84
Up to \$500	24	2.51
Up to \$1,000	96	10.02
Up to \$5,000	614	64.09
Up to \$10,0000	91	9.50
More than \$10,000	36	3.76
Total	958	100.00

County: Suwannee

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	36	2.7
Up to \$100	97	7.2
Up to \$250	33	2.5
Up to \$500	69	5.1
Up to \$1,000	172	12.8
Up to \$5,000	794	59.0
Up to \$10,0000	90	6.7
More than \$10,000	55	4.1
Total	1,346	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	1	0.22
Up to \$100	30	6.45
Up to \$250	8	1.72
Up to \$500	24	5.16
Up to \$1,000	53	11.40
Up to \$5,000	281	60.43

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$10,0000	43	9.25
More than \$10,000	25	5.38
Total	465	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	35	4.0
Up to \$100	67	7.7
Up to \$250	24	2.8
Up to \$500	45	5.2
Up to \$1,000	118	13.6
Up to \$5,000	508	58.4
Up to \$10,0000	45	5.2
More than \$10,000	28	3.2
Total	870	100.0

County: Taylor

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	70	9.5
Up to \$100	8	1.1
Up to \$250	16	2.2
Up to \$500	14	1.9
Up to \$1,000	55	7.5
Up to \$5,000	466	63.3
Up to \$10,0000	83	11.3
More than \$10,000	24	3.3
Total	736	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	21	7.7
Up to \$100	3	1.1
Up to \$250	4	1.5
Up to \$500	6	2.2
Up to \$1,000	25	9.1
Up to \$5,000	169	61.7
Up to \$10,0000	36	13.1
More than \$10,000	10	3.6

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
Total	274	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	49	10.7
Up to \$100	5	1.1
Up to \$250	12	2.6
Up to \$500	8	1.7
Up to \$1,000	30	6.5
Up to \$5,000	295	64.1
Up to \$10,0000	47	10.2
More than \$10,000	14	3.0
Total	460	100.0

County: Union

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	91	28.0
Up to \$100	20	6.2
Up to \$250	13	4.0
Up to \$500	29	8.9
Up to \$1,000	56	17.2
Up to \$5,000	81	24.9
Up to \$10,0000	5	1.5
More than \$10,000	30	9.2
Total	325	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	26	23.01
Up to \$100	8	7.08
Up to \$250	4	3.54
Up to \$500	11	9.73
Up to \$1,000	18	15.93
Up to \$5,000	30	26.55
Up to \$10,0000	1	0.88
More than \$10,000	15	13.27
Total	113	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	64	30.9
Up to \$100	12	5.8
Up to \$250	9	4.3
Up to \$500	18	8.7
Up to \$1,000	36	17.4
Up to \$5,000	49	23.7
Up to \$10,0000	4	1.9
More than \$10,000	15	7.2
Total	207	100.0

County: Volusia

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	314	6.6
Up to \$100	165	3.5
Up to \$250	67	1.4
Up to \$500	340	7.2
Up to \$1,000	1,643	34.8
Up to \$5,000	2,034	43.0
Up to \$10,0000	82	1.7
More than \$10,000	82	1.7
Total	4,727	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	85	4.9
Up to \$100	48	2.8
Up to \$250	27	1.6
Up to \$500	115	6.7
Up to \$1,000	614	35.6
Up to \$5,000	777	45.1
Up to \$10,0000	26	1.5
More than \$10,000	31	1.8
Total	1,723	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	229	7.6
Up to \$100	117	3.9

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$250	40	1.3
Up to \$500	225	7.5
Up to \$1,000	1,029	34.3
Up to \$5,000	1,257	41.8
Up to \$10,0000	56	1.9
More than \$10,000	51	1.7
Total	3,004	100.0

County: Wakulla

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	222	33.74
Up to \$100	3	0.46
Up to \$250	8	1.22
Up to \$500	27	4.10
Up to \$1,000	75	11.40
Up to \$5,000	262	39.82
Up to \$10,0000	52	7.90
More than \$10,000	9	1.37
Total	658	100.00

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	47	25.27
Up to \$100	1	0.54
Up to \$250	3	1.61
Up to \$500	8	4.30
Up to \$1,000	21	11.29
Up to \$5,000	85	45.70
Up to \$10,0000	18	9.68
More than \$10,000	3	1.61
Total	186	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	175	37.15
Up to \$100	2	0.42
Up to \$250	5	1.06
Up to \$500	19	4.03

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$1,000	54	11.46
Up to \$5,000	177	37.58
Up to \$10,0000	33	7.01
More than \$10,000	6	1.27
Total	471	100.00

County: Walton

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	247	17.7
Up to \$100	32	2.3
Up to \$250	20	1.4
Up to \$500	43	3.1
Up to \$1,000	169	12.1
Up to \$5,000	755	54.2
Up to \$10,0000	45	3.2
More than \$10,000	82	5.9
Total	1,393	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	32	14.04
Up to \$100	6	2.63
Up to \$250	2	0.88
Up to \$500	6	2.63
Up to \$1,000	28	12.28
Up to \$5,000	145	63.60
Up to \$10,0000	7	3.07
More than \$10,000	2	0.88
Total	228	100.00

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	212	18.4
Up to \$100	26	2.3
Up to \$250	18	1.6
Up to \$500	37	3.2
Up to \$1,000	140	12.1
Up to \$5,000	605	52.4

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
Up to \$10,0000	38	3.3
More than \$10,000	78	6.8
Total	1,154	100.0

County: Washington

Balances due, all

	Count	Percentage
\$0	84	8.7
Up to \$100	11	1.1
Up to \$250	16	1.7
Up to \$500	29	3.0
Up to \$1,000	55	5.7
Up to \$5,000	643	66.4
Up to \$10,0000	94	9.7
More than \$10,000	37	3.8
Total	969	100.0

Balances due, black

	Count	Percentage
\$0	17	7.0
Up to \$100	0	0.0
Up to \$250	6	2.5
Up to \$500	9	3.7
Up to \$1,000	15	6.2
Up to \$5,000	159	65.4
Up to \$10,0000	28	11.5
More than \$10,000	9	3.7
Total	243	100.0

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
\$0	66	9.2
Up to \$100	11	1.5
Up to \$250	10	1.4
Up to \$500	20	2.8
Up to \$1,000	40	5.6
Up to \$5,000	478	66.5
Up to \$10,0000	66	9.2
More than \$10,000	28	3.9

Balances due, white

	Count	Percentage
Total	719	100.0

Summary of matched records across all counties

As noted above, matching records is based on an exact match between first name, last name, name suffix, birth date, race, and gender. A records with missing first names are not part of the match. Individuals who, based on their county records, have sentence imposed dates prior to 1960 are also excluded. The following tables describe results across the counties considered in this report. Note: a felon who committed a crime in two counties, and has balances due in two counties, is treated here as two separate individuals.

Balances due, all counties, all felons

	Count	Percentage
\$0	22,213	13.6
Up to \$100	6,754	4.1
Up to \$250	4,537	2.8
Up to \$500	12,838	7.9
Up to \$1,000	30,752	18.8
Up to \$5,000	65,927	40.3
Up to \$10,0000	9,301	5.7
More than \$10,000	11,116	6.8
Total	163,438	100.0

Balances due, all counties, black felons

	Count	Percentage
\$0	6,468	10.3
Up to \$100	2,234	3.5
Up to \$250	1,673	2.7
Up to \$500	4,988	7.9
Up to \$1,000	12,602	20.0
Up to \$5,000	26,988	42.8
Up to \$10,0000	4,085	6.5
More than \$10,000	4,047	6.4
Total	63,085	100.0

Balances due, all counties, white felons

	Count	Percentage
\$0	15,651	15.7
Up to \$100	4,492	4.5
Up to \$250	2,852	2.9
Up to \$500	7,790	7.8
Up to \$1,000	17,999	18.1
Up to \$5,000	38,583	38.8
Up to \$10,0000	5,157	5.2
More than \$10,000	6,922	7.0

Balances due, all counties, white felons

	Count	Percentage
Total	99,446	100.0

The extent to which matched felons owe money in multiple counties


Some matched felons have committed crimes and have balances due in multiple counties. The following table describes the free and released felons who matched from at least one county to the FDOC database and have a positive balance in each county.

Times matched felons touch multiple counties

Number of counties	Count	Percentage
1	105,660	86.503037
2	14,314	11.718763
3	1,864	1.526043
4	253	0.207129
5	45	0.036841
6	5	0.004093
7	2	0.001637
8	2	0.001637
9	1	0.000819
Total	122,146	100.000000

Black and white rates of zero balance among matched individuals

The following plot shows the distribution, by county and race, of the fraction of matched individuals who owe zero balance.



Possibly eligible individuals in the November 2019 election

The following table lists by county the number of individuals in Florida who might be able to vote in the November 2019 Florida elections.

Matched felons, possibly able to vote in November 2019 election

County	Zero balance	Count
Orange	FALSE	4,668
Polk	FALSE	3,966
Marion	FALSE	2,560
Lake	FALSE	692
Orange	TRUE	656
Polk	TRUE	448
Marion	TRUE	421
Charlotte	FALSE	332
Brevard	FALSE	314
Highlands	FALSE	207
Sarasota	FALSE	167
Lake	TRUE	156
Jefferson	FALSE	119
Seminole	FALSE	119
Brevard	TRUE	94
Franklin	FALSE	87
Monroe	FALSE	73
Lee	FALSE	70
Jefferson	TRUE	29
Charlotte	TRUE	26
Sarasota	TRUE	25
Highlands	TRUE	21
Volusia	FALSE	21
Seminole	TRUE	18
Lee	TRUE	14
Manatee	FALSE	11
Franklin	TRUE	8
Manatee	TRUE	4
Monroe	TRUE	2
Volusia	TRUE	2

Individual County Reports

Date and time of this document: 05 September, 2019 (16:33:53 America/New_York)

Note on individuals described

This document describes individuals in 58 Florida counties, who satisfy the following requirements:

- Were not incarcerated in Florida state prison, to the extent that this can be determined.
- Were adjudicated guilty and did not have that adjudication withheld (assuming that a value of “W” in the data field *c_action*, when it exists, means adjudication withheld).
- Did not commit murder or a sex crime, based on the word “murder” or “sex” in an appropriate data field. Any felon with multiple felonies in a single county, with possibly different offense dates, is excluded from this report if any of the felon’s felonies is a murder or a sex crime.
- Have a release date prior to or on June 30, 2019 with release date calculated as sentence effective date plus time in jail plus time probation plus time community control, to the extent that a county data file contains information on these details. Release dates for “Time Served” sentences as calculated as sentence imposition dates.
- Have a zero or a positive balance due to a county office (individuals with negative balances are discarded).
- Have a least one valid sentence effective date, even if the person has a positive court balance (it is not possible to estimate release dates when no sentence effective dates are provided).
- Have a least one valid sentence length variable for a non-monetary sentence (it is not possible to estimate release dates when no sentence length information is available) or have at least one monetary sentence imposed on a valid sentence effectiveness date.
- Have a sentence imposed date year of 1960 or thereafter.

Individuals who do not satisfy the above requirements are not part of the analysis that follows.

Data issue: sentence lengths

The FCCC counties in this report describe sentence lengths in a field named *sent_conf_len*. This field should be six digits long (years, months, days). However, this is not always the case. In some counties (e.g., Gadsden) there are spaces in the *sent_conf_len* field. To deal with this, all spaces are removed from *sent_conf_len*. Then, any values of *sent_conf_len* that are fewer than six digits long are prepended with zeroes. Lastly, all data rows that have letters in *sent_conf_len* are dropped from the analysis.

Data issue: calculating balance due

There are 8 counties that have unique formats. For these counties, balance due per felon is determined based on the idiosyncrasies of the county’s data format.

In addition, there are 50 counties whose data formats accord with the Floride Clerk of the Courts. These FCCC counties have data files with identical formats. However, it appears that the individual FCCC counties employed varying rules for how they used their data files. This variance complicates determining balance due per felon.

For the FCCC counties, the approach used here is as follows. For any crime incident, corresponding to a row or set of rows associated with a single ucn number, the incident’s balance due amount is the minimum value across all of the rows’ values of the difference between

tot_amt_due and *tot_amt_pd* (total amount due minus total amount paid). This is conservative since it uses minimum values of total amount due minus total amount paid.

Data issue: Lake County

Like some of the FCCC counties, the Lake County data contain multiple rows per crime incident. This, for any such incident, corresponding to a row or set of rows associated with a single OBTS number, the incident's balance due amount is the minimum value across all of the rows' values of *Total_Case_Fines_Fees_Balance_Due*. This is conservative since it uses minimum values of total amount due. For a Lake County felon, balance due is summed over OBTS numbers.

Data issue: Brevard County

In the Brevard data, when a given crime has a value of "DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS" in *jail_sentencing_agency*, the crime is assumed to be one that led to a felon being incarcerated in a state facility. However, the field *probation_sentencing_agency* is ignored here. In some cases, this variable has a value of, "DOC STATE PROBATION." However, to maintain consistency with other counties in the report, the variable *probation_sentencing_agency* is not used to determine whether a given crime is associated with state-level incarceration or probation.

Data issue: types of probation

For the purposes of this report, all types of probation (regular probation, drug offender probation, and administrative probation) are assumed to be equivalent.

Data issue: individuals with felony convictions yet no post-conviction prison and no post-conviction probation

There are Florida felons in the counties analyzed in this report who were adjudicated guilty of a felony but served neither post-conviction prison time nor probation. Two such classes of felons are those who were sentenced to time served and those who served no time at all but were assessed monetary penalties. Individuals with felony convictions yet no post-conviction prison and no post-conviction probation are considered released as of the date of sentence imposition.

County: Alachua

Racial breakdown

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	4,816	43.00
Black	6,355	56.74
Other	30	0.27
Total	11,201	100.00

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,946	17.4
Up to \$100	478	4.3
Up to \$250	305	2.7

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Up to \$500	1,742	15.6
Up to \$1,000	2,432	21.7
Up to \$5,000	3,805	34.0
Up to \$10,000	323	2.9
More than \$10,000	170	1.5
Total	11,201	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,205	25.0
Up to \$100	284	5.9
Up to \$250	155	3.2
Up to \$500	759	15.8
Up to \$1,000	1,107	23.0
Up to \$5,000	1,188	24.7
Up to \$10,000	67	1.4
More than \$10,000	51	1.1
Total	4,816	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	732	11.5
Up to \$100	192	3.0
Up to \$250	148	2.3
Up to \$500	977	15.4
Up to \$1,000	1,318	20.7
Up to \$5,000	2,614	41.1
Up to \$10,000	256	4.0
More than \$10,000	118	1.9
Total	6,355	100.0

County: Baker**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	1,098	74.0
Black	366	24.7
Other	20	1.3

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
Total	1,484	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	401	27.02
Up to \$100	55	3.71
Up to \$250	67	4.51
Up to \$500	222	14.96
Up to \$1,000	335	22.57
Up to \$5,000	370	24.93
Up to \$10,000	20	1.35
More than \$10,000	14	0.94
Total	1,484	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	325	29.6
Up to \$100	42	3.8
Up to \$250	46	4.2
Up to \$500	171	15.6
Up to \$1,000	224	20.4
Up to \$5,000	262	23.9
Up to \$10,000	15	1.4
More than \$10,000	13	1.2
Total	1,098	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	69	18.9
Up to \$100	13	3.6
Up to \$250	20	5.5
Up to \$500	48	13.1
Up to \$1,000	104	28.4
Up to \$5,000	107	29.2
Up to \$10,000	5	1.4
More than \$10,000	0	0.0
Total	366	100.0

County: Bradford**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	1,245	67.6
Black	565	30.7
Other	33	1.8
Total	1,843	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	607	32.94
Up to \$100	90	4.88
Up to \$250	78	4.23
Up to \$500	229	12.43
Up to \$1,000	416	22.57
Up to \$5,000	392	21.27
Up to \$10,000	23	1.25
More than \$10,000	8	0.43
Total	1,843	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	442	35.50
Up to \$100	62	4.98
Up to \$250	52	4.18
Up to \$500	139	11.16
Up to \$1,000	272	21.85
Up to \$5,000	255	20.48
Up to \$10,000	17	1.37
More than \$10,000	6	0.48
Total	1,245	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	156	27.61
Up to \$100	24	4.25
Up to \$250	22	3.89
Up to \$500	88	15.58
Up to \$1,000	134	23.72

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
Up to \$5,000	133	23.54
Up to \$10,000	6	1.06
More than \$10,000	2	0.35
Total	565	100.00

County: Brevard**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	9,796	65.6
Black	4,973	33.3
Other	173	1.2
Total	14,942	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	4,457	29.83
Up to \$100	524	3.51
Up to \$250	684	4.58
Up to \$500	1,594	10.67
Up to \$1,000	3,332	22.30
Up to \$5,000	4,052	27.12
Up to \$10,000	256	1.71
More than \$10,000	43	0.29
Total	14,942	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	3,195	32.62
Up to \$100	304	3.10
Up to \$250	419	4.28
Up to \$500	940	9.60
Up to \$1,000	2,045	20.88
Up to \$5,000	2,670	27.26
Up to \$10,000	193	1.97
More than \$10,000	30	0.31
Total	9,796	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,185	23.83
Up to \$100	212	4.26
Up to \$250	254	5.11
Up to \$500	639	12.85
Up to \$1,000	1,262	25.38
Up to \$5,000	1,347	27.09
Up to \$10,000	61	1.23
More than \$10,000	13	0.26
Total	4,973	100.00

County: Calhoun**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	572	74.7
Black	176	23.0
Other	18	2.3
Total	766	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	251	32.77
Up to \$100	28	3.66
Up to \$250	43	5.61
Up to \$500	38	4.96
Up to \$1,000	97	12.66
Up to \$5,000	286	37.34
Up to \$10,000	21	2.74
More than \$10,000	2	0.26
Total	766	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	199	34.79
Up to \$100	21	3.67
Up to \$250	31	5.42
Up to \$500	28	4.90

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
Up to \$1,000	66	11.54
Up to \$5,000	212	37.06
Up to \$10,000	13	2.27
More than \$10,000	2	0.35
Total	572	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	46	26.1
Up to \$100	5	2.8
Up to \$250	11	6.2
Up to \$500	9	5.1
Up to \$1,000	27	15.3
Up to \$5,000	70	39.8
Up to \$10,000	8	4.5
More than \$10,000	0	0.0
Total	176	100.0

County: Charlotte**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	6,449	84.90
Black	1,112	14.64
Other	35	0.46
Total	7,596	100.00

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,665	21.92
Up to \$100	753	9.91
Up to \$250	878	11.56
Up to \$500	1,465	19.29
Up to \$1,000	1,527	20.10
Up to \$5,000	1,275	16.79
Up to \$10,000	21	0.28
More than \$10,000	12	0.16

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Total	7,596	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,462	22.67
Up to \$100	639	9.91
Up to \$250	724	11.23
Up to \$500	1,215	18.84
Up to \$1,000	1,290	20.00
Up to \$5,000	1,092	16.93
Up to \$10,000	18	0.28
More than \$10,000	9	0.14
Total	6,449	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	192	17.27
Up to \$100	113	10.16
Up to \$250	149	13.40
Up to \$500	241	21.67
Up to \$1,000	229	20.59
Up to \$5,000	182	16.37
Up to \$10,000	3	0.27
More than \$10,000	3	0.27
Total	1,112	100.00

County: Citrus**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	3,036	86.7
Black	401	11.5
Other	64	1.8
Total	3,501	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	660	18.9

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Up to \$100	103	2.9
Up to \$250	93	2.7
Up to \$500	203	5.8
Up to \$1,000	364	10.4
Up to \$5,000	681	19.5
Up to \$10,000	171	4.9
More than \$10,000	1,226	35.0
Total	3,501	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	585	19.3
Up to \$100	87	2.9
Up to \$250	77	2.5
Up to \$500	142	4.7
Up to \$1,000	296	9.7
Up to \$5,000	568	18.7
Up to \$10,000	155	5.1
More than \$10,000	1,126	37.1
Total	3,036	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	60	15.0
Up to \$100	15	3.7
Up to \$250	13	3.2
Up to \$500	56	14.0
Up to \$1,000	59	14.7
Up to \$5,000	100	24.9
Up to \$10,000	11	2.7
More than \$10,000	87	21.7
Total	401	100.0

County: Collier**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	11,656	81.4

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
Black	2,336	16.3
Other	331	2.3
Total	14,323	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	4,376	30.55
Up to \$100	1,066	7.44
Up to \$250	664	4.64
Up to \$500	2,254	15.74
Up to \$1,000	3,017	21.06
Up to \$5,000	2,874	20.07
Up to \$10,000	40	0.28
More than \$10,000	32	0.22
Total	14,323	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	3,703	31.77
Up to \$100	878	7.53
Up to \$250	550	4.72
Up to \$500	1,757	15.07
Up to \$1,000	2,408	20.66
Up to \$5,000	2,303	19.76
Up to \$10,000	33	0.28
More than \$10,000	24	0.21
Total	11,656	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	555	23.76
Up to \$100	166	7.11
Up to \$250	107	4.58
Up to \$500	481	20.59
Up to \$1,000	511	21.88
Up to \$5,000	503	21.53
Up to \$10,000	7	0.30
More than \$10,000	6	0.26

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
Total	2,336	100.00

County: Columbia

Racial breakdown

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	3,271	64.8
Black	1,634	32.4
Other	144	2.9
Total	5,049	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,101	21.8
Up to \$100	200	4.0
Up to \$250	167	3.3
Up to \$500	375	7.4
Up to \$1,000	859	17.0
Up to \$5,000	2,089	41.4
Up to \$10,000	165	3.3
More than \$10,000	93	1.8
Total	5,049	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	793	24.2
Up to \$100	130	4.0
Up to \$250	108	3.3
Up to \$500	250	7.6
Up to \$1,000	539	16.5
Up to \$5,000	1,302	39.8
Up to \$10,000	97	3.0
More than \$10,000	52	1.6
Total	3,271	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	271	16.6

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
Up to \$100	63	3.9
Up to \$250	52	3.2
Up to \$500	113	6.9
Up to \$1,000	299	18.3
Up to \$5,000	734	44.9
Up to \$10,000	65	4.0
More than \$10,000	37	2.3
Total	1,634	100.0

County: Desoto**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	539	26.1
Black	191	9.3
Other	1,334	64.6
Total	2,064	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	482	23.4
Up to \$100	60	2.9
Up to \$250	47	2.3
Up to \$500	150	7.3
Up to \$1,000	374	18.1
Up to \$5,000	882	42.7
Up to \$10,000	47	2.3
More than \$10,000	22	1.1
Total	2,064	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	119	22.08
Up to \$100	11	2.04
Up to \$250	7	1.30
Up to \$500	22	4.08
Up to \$1,000	65	12.06

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
Up to \$5,000	294	54.55
Up to \$10,000	17	3.15
More than \$10,000	4	0.74
Total	539	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	32	16.8
Up to \$100	3	1.6
Up to \$250	3	1.6
Up to \$500	8	4.2
Up to \$1,000	26	13.6
Up to \$5,000	106	55.5
Up to \$10,000	9	4.7
More than \$10,000	4	2.1
Total	191	100.0

County: Dixie**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	343	81.5
Black	72	17.1
Other	6	1.4
Total	421	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	58	13.78
Up to \$100	18	4.28
Up to \$250	27	6.41
Up to \$500	37	8.79
Up to \$1,000	64	15.20
Up to \$5,000	197	46.79
Up to \$10,000	16	3.80
More than \$10,000	4	0.95
Total	421	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	55	16.03
Up to \$100	15	4.37
Up to \$250	22	6.41
Up to \$500	27	7.87
Up to \$1,000	51	14.87
Up to \$5,000	161	46.94
Up to \$10,000	9	2.62
More than \$10,000	3	0.87
Total	343	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	3	4.2
Up to \$100	3	4.2
Up to \$250	5	6.9
Up to \$500	9	12.5
Up to \$1,000	13	18.1
Up to \$5,000	31	43.1
Up to \$10,000	7	9.7
More than \$10,000	1	1.4
Total	72	100.0

County: Duval**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	4,218	45.6
Black	4,930	53.3
Other	105	1.1
Total	9,253	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,178	12.73
Up to \$100	179	1.93
Up to \$250	106	1.15
Up to \$500	456	4.93

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Up to \$1,000	4,685	50.63
Up to \$5,000	2,468	26.67
Up to \$10,000	38	0.41
More than \$10,000	143	1.55
Total	9,253	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	674	15.98
Up to \$100	89	2.11
Up to \$250	66	1.56
Up to \$500	202	4.79
Up to \$1,000	2,061	48.86
Up to \$5,000	1,068	25.32
Up to \$10,000	18	0.43
More than \$10,000	40	0.95
Total	4,218	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	481	9.76
Up to \$100	89	1.81
Up to \$250	40	0.81
Up to \$500	248	5.03
Up to \$1,000	2,564	52.01
Up to \$5,000	1,387	28.13
Up to \$10,000	19	0.39
More than \$10,000	102	2.07
Total	4,930	100.00

County: Flagler**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	716	68.0
Black	277	26.3
Other	60	5.7
Total	1,053	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	178	16.904
Up to \$100	62	5.888
Up to \$250	27	2.564
Up to \$500	100	9.497
Up to \$1,000	391	37.132
Up to \$5,000	286	27.160
Up to \$10,000	8	0.760
More than \$10,000	1	0.095
Total	1,053	100.000

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	137	19.1
Up to \$100	49	6.8
Up to \$250	18	2.5
Up to \$500	60	8.4
Up to \$1,000	259	36.2
Up to \$5,000	188	26.3
Up to \$10,000	5	0.7
More than \$10,000	0	0.0
Total	716	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	28	10.11
Up to \$100	12	4.33
Up to \$250	8	2.89
Up to \$500	33	11.91
Up to \$1,000	108	38.99
Up to \$5,000	86	31.05
Up to \$10,000	2	0.72
More than \$10,000	0	0.00
Total	277	100.00

County: Franklin
Racial breakdown

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	653	78.6
Black	159	19.1
Other	19	2.3
Total	831	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	227	27.32
Up to \$100	35	4.21
Up to \$250	27	3.25
Up to \$500	84	10.11
Up to \$1,000	137	16.49
Up to \$5,000	302	36.34
Up to \$10,000	18	2.17
More than \$10,000	1	0.12
Total	831	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	188	28.8
Up to \$100	29	4.4
Up to \$250	20	3.1
Up to \$500	62	9.5
Up to \$1,000	99	15.2
Up to \$5,000	241	36.9
Up to \$10,000	14	2.1
More than \$10,000	0	0.0
Total	653	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	29	18.24
Up to \$100	6	3.77
Up to \$250	6	3.77
Up to \$500	20	12.58
Up to \$1,000	34	21.38
Up to \$5,000	59	37.11
Up to \$10,000	4	2.52

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
More than \$10,000	1	0.63
Total	159	100.00

County: Gadsden

Racial breakdown

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	286	19
Black	1,211	78
Other	46	3
Total	1,543	100

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	444	28.78
Up to \$100	230	14.91
Up to \$250	204	13.22
Up to \$500	241	15.62
Up to \$1,000	206	13.35
Up to \$5,000	216	14.00
Up to \$10,000	0	0.00
More than \$10,000	2	0.13
Total	1,543	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	121	42
Up to \$100	47	16
Up to \$250	34	12
Up to \$500	38	13
Up to \$1,000	23	8
Up to \$5,000	23	8
Up to \$10,000	0	0
More than \$10,000	0	0
Total	286	100

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	305	25.19
Up to \$100	177	14.62
Up to \$250	160	13.21
Up to \$500	200	16.52
Up to \$1,000	176	14.53
Up to \$5,000	191	15.77
Up to \$10,000	0	0.00
More than \$10,000	2	0.17
Total	1,211	100.00

County: Gilchrist**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	591	83.8
Black	99	14.0
Other	15	2.1
Total	705	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	176	25.0
Up to \$100	40	5.7
Up to \$250	30	4.3
Up to \$500	101	14.3
Up to \$1,000	102	14.5
Up to \$5,000	121	17.2
Up to \$10,000	56	7.9
More than \$10,000	79	11.2
Total	705	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	160	27.1
Up to \$100	39	6.6
Up to \$250	27	4.6
Up to \$500	77	13.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
Up to \$1,000	74	12.5
Up to \$5,000	99	16.8
Up to \$10,000	47	8.0
More than \$10,000	68	11.5
Total	591	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	14	14.1
Up to \$100	0	0.0
Up to \$250	1	1.0
Up to \$500	23	23.2
Up to \$1,000	25	25.3
Up to \$5,000	19	19.2
Up to \$10,000	7	7.1
More than \$10,000	10	10.1
Total	99	100.0

County: Glades**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	323	58
Black	123	22
Other	115	20
Total	561	100

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	175	31.19
Up to \$100	50	8.91
Up to \$250	54	9.63
Up to \$500	93	16.58
Up to \$1,000	112	19.96
Up to \$5,000	67	11.94
Up to \$10,000	5	0.89
More than \$10,000	5	0.89

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Total	561	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	96	29.7
Up to \$100	27	8.4
Up to \$250	33	10.2
Up to \$500	54	16.7
Up to \$1,000	66	20.4
Up to \$5,000	37	11.5
Up to \$10,000	5	1.5
More than \$10,000	5	1.5
Total	323	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	30	24.4
Up to \$100	13	10.6
Up to \$250	10	8.1
Up to \$500	20	16.3
Up to \$1,000	30	24.4
Up to \$5,000	20	16.3
Up to \$10,000	0	0.0
More than \$10,000	0	0.0
Total	123	100.0

County: Gulf**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	405	76.3
Black	114	21.5
Other	12	2.3
Total	531	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	210	39.55

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Up to \$100	6	1.13
Up to \$250	9	1.69
Up to \$500	29	5.46
Up to \$1,000	54	10.17
Up to \$5,000	203	38.23
Up to \$10,000	19	3.58
More than \$10,000	1	0.19
Total	531	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	178	44.0
Up to \$100	5	1.2
Up to \$250	5	1.2
Up to \$500	23	5.7
Up to \$1,000	38	9.4
Up to \$5,000	143	35.3
Up to \$10,000	13	3.2
More than \$10,000	0	0.0
Total	405	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	29	25.44
Up to \$100	1	0.88
Up to \$250	4	3.51
Up to \$500	6	5.26
Up to \$1,000	14	12.28
Up to \$5,000	53	46.49
Up to \$10,000	6	5.26
More than \$10,000	1	0.88
Total	114	100.00

County: Hamilton**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	440	44.1

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
Black	521	52.2
Other	37	3.7
Total	998	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	53	5.3
Up to \$100	124	12.4
Up to \$250	74	7.4
Up to \$500	92	9.2
Up to \$1,000	189	18.9
Up to \$5,000	403	40.4
Up to \$10,000	41	4.1
More than \$10,000	22	2.2
Total	998	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	26	5.9
Up to \$100	77	17.5
Up to \$250	45	10.2
Up to \$500	39	8.9
Up to \$1,000	78	17.7
Up to \$5,000	162	36.8
Up to \$10,000	5	1.1
More than \$10,000	8	1.8
Total	440	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	20	3.8
Up to \$100	44	8.4
Up to \$250	27	5.2
Up to \$500	51	9.8
Up to \$1,000	103	19.8
Up to \$5,000	226	43.4
Up to \$10,000	36	6.9
More than \$10,000	14	2.7

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
Total	521	100.0

County: Hardee

Racial breakdown

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	1,062	72
Black	244	16
Other	174	12
Total	1,480	100

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	321	21.7
Up to \$100	65	4.4
Up to \$250	21	1.4
Up to \$500	66	4.5
Up to \$1,000	309	20.9
Up to \$5,000	621	42.0
Up to \$10,000	60	4.1
More than \$10,000	17	1.1
Total	1,480	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	248	23.4
Up to \$100	47	4.4
Up to \$250	13	1.2
Up to \$500	58	5.5
Up to \$1,000	204	19.2
Up to \$5,000	438	41.2
Up to \$10,000	41	3.9
More than \$10,000	13	1.2
Total	1,062	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	36	14.8

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
Up to \$100	13	5.3
Up to \$250	4	1.6
Up to \$500	3	1.2
Up to \$1,000	59	24.2
Up to \$5,000	114	46.7
Up to \$10,000	12	4.9
More than \$10,000	3	1.2
Total	244	100.0

County: Hendry**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	1,283	46
Black	773	27
Other	755	27
Total	2,811	100

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	827	29.42
Up to \$100	198	7.04
Up to \$250	150	5.34
Up to \$500	393	13.98
Up to \$1,000	597	21.24
Up to \$5,000	613	21.81
Up to \$10,000	20	0.71
More than \$10,000	13	0.46
Total	2,811	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	408	31.80
Up to \$100	99	7.72
Up to \$250	61	4.75
Up to \$500	183	14.26
Up to \$1,000	236	18.39

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
Up to \$5,000	274	21.36
Up to \$10,000	10	0.78
More than \$10,000	12	0.94
Total	1,283	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	165	21.35
Up to \$100	42	5.43
Up to \$250	52	6.73
Up to \$500	120	15.52
Up to \$1,000	182	23.54
Up to \$5,000	205	26.52
Up to \$10,000	7	0.91
More than \$10,000	0	0.00
Total	773	100.00

County: Highlands**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	2,404	67.5
Black	867	24.4
Other	288	8.1
Total	3,559	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	949	26.7
Up to \$100	151	4.2
Up to \$250	62	1.7
Up to \$500	86	2.4
Up to \$1,000	317	8.9
Up to \$5,000	1,729	48.6
Up to \$10,000	206	5.8
More than \$10,000	59	1.7
Total	3,559	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	709	29.5
Up to \$100	109	4.5
Up to \$250	41	1.7
Up to \$500	59	2.5
Up to \$1,000	216	9.0
Up to \$5,000	1,106	46.0
Up to \$10,000	127	5.3
More than \$10,000	37	1.5
Total	2,404	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	150	17.3
Up to \$100	24	2.8
Up to \$250	12	1.4
Up to \$500	17	2.0
Up to \$1,000	70	8.1
Up to \$5,000	502	57.9
Up to \$10,000	73	8.4
More than \$10,000	19	2.2
Total	867	100.0

County: Holmes**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	1,393	89.4
Black	142	9.1
Other	23	1.5
Total	1,558	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	389	25.0
Up to \$100	26	1.7
Up to \$250	56	3.6
Up to \$500	76	4.9

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Up to \$1,000	161	10.3
Up to \$5,000	713	45.8
Up to \$10,000	94	6.0
More than \$10,000	43	2.8
Total	1,558	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	358	25.7
Up to \$100	25	1.8
Up to \$250	53	3.8
Up to \$500	67	4.8
Up to \$1,000	139	10.0
Up to \$5,000	621	44.6
Up to \$10,000	90	6.5
More than \$10,000	40	2.9
Total	1,393	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	25	17.6
Up to \$100	1	0.7
Up to \$250	2	1.4
Up to \$500	8	5.6
Up to \$1,000	17	12.0
Up to \$5,000	82	57.7
Up to \$10,000	4	2.8
More than \$10,000	3	2.1
Total	142	100.0

County: Indianriver**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	4,177	69.7
Black	1,686	28.1
Other	128	2.1
Total	5,991	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	505	8.4
Up to \$100	399	6.7
Up to \$250	267	4.5
Up to \$500	1,058	17.7
Up to \$1,000	1,138	19.0
Up to \$5,000	1,888	31.5
Up to \$10,000	202	3.4
More than \$10,000	534	8.9
Total	5,991	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	422	10.1
Up to \$100	325	7.8
Up to \$250	174	4.2
Up to \$500	722	17.3
Up to \$1,000	752	18.0
Up to \$5,000	1,270	30.4
Up to \$10,000	146	3.5
More than \$10,000	366	8.8
Total	4,177	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	64	3.8
Up to \$100	65	3.9
Up to \$250	78	4.6
Up to \$500	283	16.8
Up to \$1,000	367	21.8
Up to \$5,000	607	36.0
Up to \$10,000	56	3.3
More than \$10,000	166	9.8
Total	1,686	100.0

County: Jackson**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	1,243	60.2
Black	771	37.3
Other	52	2.5
Total	2,066	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	571	27.64
Up to \$100	41	1.98
Up to \$250	30	1.45
Up to \$500	219	10.60
Up to \$1,000	365	17.67
Up to \$5,000	804	38.92
Up to \$10,000	30	1.45
More than \$10,000	6	0.29
Total	2,066	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	388	31.21
Up to \$100	27	2.17
Up to \$250	18	1.45
Up to \$500	143	11.50
Up to \$1,000	197	15.85
Up to \$5,000	454	36.52
Up to \$10,000	12	0.97
More than \$10,000	4	0.32
Total	1,243	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	170	22.05
Up to \$100	12	1.56
Up to \$250	12	1.56
Up to \$500	75	9.73
Up to \$1,000	153	19.84
Up to \$5,000	329	42.67
Up to \$10,000	18	2.33

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
More than \$10,000	2	0.26
Total	771	100.00

County: Jefferson

Racial breakdown

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	410	35.2
Black	734	63.0
Other	22	1.9
Total	1,166	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	468	40.137
Up to \$100	99	8.491
Up to \$250	66	5.660
Up to \$500	209	17.925
Up to \$1,000	214	18.353
Up to \$5,000	106	9.091
Up to \$10,000	3	0.257
More than \$10,000	1	0.086
Total	1,166	100.000

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	200	48.78
Up to \$100	36	8.78
Up to \$250	19	4.63
Up to \$500	57	13.90
Up to \$1,000	65	15.85
Up to \$5,000	29	7.07
Up to \$10,000	3	0.73
More than \$10,000	1	0.24
Total	410	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	261	35.6
Up to \$100	62	8.4
Up to \$250	47	6.4
Up to \$500	145	19.8
Up to \$1,000	145	19.8
Up to \$5,000	74	10.1
Up to \$10,000	0	0.0
More than \$10,000	0	0.0
Total	734	100.0

County: Lafayette**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	196	75.4
Black	52	20.0
Other	12	4.6
Total	260	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	53	20.38
Up to \$100	18	6.92
Up to \$250	13	5.00
Up to \$500	44	16.92
Up to \$1,000	27	10.38
Up to \$5,000	99	38.08
Up to \$10,000	4	1.54
More than \$10,000	2	0.77
Total	260	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	39	19.9
Up to \$100	14	7.1
Up to \$250	10	5.1
Up to \$500	36	18.4

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
Up to \$1,000	16	8.2
Up to \$5,000	77	39.3
Up to \$10,000	2	1.0
More than \$10,000	2	1.0
Total	196	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	11	21.2
Up to \$100	3	5.8
Up to \$250	3	5.8
Up to \$500	5	9.6
Up to \$1,000	10	19.2
Up to \$5,000	18	34.6
Up to \$10,000	2	3.8
More than \$10,000	0	0.0
Total	52	100.0

County: Lake**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	11,935	55
Black	5,794	27
Other	4,004	18
Total	21,733	100

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	7,120	32.8
Up to \$100	537	2.5
Up to \$250	225	1.0
Up to \$500	676	3.1
Up to \$1,000	2,037	9.4
Up to \$5,000	7,817	36.0
Up to \$10,000	1,633	7.5
More than \$10,000	1,688	7.8

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Total	21,733	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	4,733	39.7
Up to \$100	365	3.1
Up to \$250	138	1.2
Up to \$500	400	3.4
Up to \$1,000	1,081	9.1
Up to \$5,000	4,018	33.7
Up to \$10,000	556	4.7
More than \$10,000	644	5.4
Total	11,935	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,976	34.10
Up to \$100	135	2.33
Up to \$250	51	0.88
Up to \$500	196	3.38
Up to \$1,000	595	10.27
Up to \$5,000	2,265	39.09
Up to \$10,000	301	5.20
More than \$10,000	275	4.75
Total	5,794	100.00

County: Lee**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	12,101	72.41
Black	4,445	26.60
Other	166	0.99
Total	16,712	100.00

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	3,370	20.17

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Up to \$100	2,004	11.99
Up to \$250	1,258	7.53
Up to \$500	1,608	9.62
Up to \$1,000	3,004	17.98
Up to \$5,000	5,068	30.33
Up to \$10,000	261	1.56
More than \$10,000	139	0.83
Total	16,712	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	2,795	23.10
Up to \$100	1,519	12.55
Up to \$250	946	7.82
Up to \$500	1,164	9.62
Up to \$1,000	2,105	17.40
Up to \$5,000	3,316	27.40
Up to \$10,000	167	1.38
More than \$10,000	89	0.74
Total	12,101	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	530	11.9
Up to \$100	471	10.6
Up to \$250	299	6.7
Up to \$500	428	9.6
Up to \$1,000	871	19.6
Up to \$5,000	1,706	38.4
Up to \$10,000	93	2.1
More than \$10,000	47	1.1
Total	4,445	100.0

County: Leon**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	5,801	33.81

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
Black	11,317	65.96
Other	40	0.23
Total	17,158	100.00

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	5,975	34.82
Up to \$100	382	2.23
Up to \$250	505	2.94
Up to \$500	1,479	8.62
Up to \$1,000	2,532	14.76
Up to \$5,000	5,896	34.36
Up to \$10,000	331	1.93
More than \$10,000	58	0.34
Total	17,158	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	2,345	40.42
Up to \$100	143	2.47
Up to \$250	178	3.07
Up to \$500	498	8.58
Up to \$1,000	807	13.91
Up to \$5,000	1,728	29.79
Up to \$10,000	83	1.43
More than \$10,000	19	0.33
Total	5,801	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	3,614	31.93
Up to \$100	238	2.10
Up to \$250	327	2.89
Up to \$500	974	8.61
Up to \$1,000	1,719	15.19
Up to \$5,000	4,159	36.75
Up to \$10,000	248	2.19
More than \$10,000	38	0.34

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
Total	11,317	100.00

County: Levy

Racial breakdown

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	684	42
Black	231	14
Other	725	44
Total	1,640	100

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	484	29.5
Up to \$100	82	5.0
Up to \$250	87	5.3
Up to \$500	399	24.3
Up to \$1,000	302	18.4
Up to \$5,000	130	7.9
Up to \$10,000	73	4.5
More than \$10,000	83	5.1
Total	1,640	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	220	32.2
Up to \$100	37	5.4
Up to \$250	41	6.0
Up to \$500	191	27.9
Up to \$1,000	125	18.3
Up to \$5,000	43	6.3
Up to \$10,000	14	2.0
More than \$10,000	13	1.9
Total	684	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	41	17.7

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
Up to \$100	13	5.6
Up to \$250	14	6.1
Up to \$500	70	30.3
Up to \$1,000	48	20.8
Up to \$5,000	32	13.9
Up to \$10,000	7	3.0
More than \$10,000	6	2.6
Total	231	100.0

County: Liberty**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	316	76
Black	66	16
Other	33	8
Total	415	100

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	129	31.08
Up to \$100	20	4.82
Up to \$250	25	6.02
Up to \$500	44	10.60
Up to \$1,000	84	20.24
Up to \$5,000	109	26.27
Up to \$10,000	2	0.48
More than \$10,000	2	0.48
Total	415	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	97	30.70
Up to \$100	13	4.11
Up to \$250	21	6.65
Up to \$500	31	9.81
Up to \$1,000	59	18.67

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
Up to \$5,000	91	28.80
Up to \$10,000	2	0.63
More than \$10,000	2	0.63
Total	316	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	21	31.8
Up to \$100	4	6.1
Up to \$250	1	1.5
Up to \$500	10	15.2
Up to \$1,000	18	27.3
Up to \$5,000	12	18.2
Up to \$10,000	0	0.0
More than \$10,000	0	0.0
Total	66	100.0

County: Madison**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	395	36.8
Black	661	61.5
Other	18	1.7
Total	1,074	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	116	10.80
Up to \$100	84	7.82
Up to \$250	50	4.66
Up to \$500	145	13.50
Up to \$1,000	152	14.15
Up to \$5,000	468	43.58
Up to \$10,000	52	4.84
More than \$10,000	7	0.65
Total	1,074	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	63	15.95
Up to \$100	29	7.34
Up to \$250	23	5.82
Up to \$500	60	15.19
Up to \$1,000	61	15.44
Up to \$5,000	151	38.23
Up to \$10,000	6	1.52
More than \$10,000	2	0.51
Total	395	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	50	7.56
Up to \$100	54	8.17
Up to \$250	24	3.63
Up to \$500	84	12.71
Up to \$1,000	87	13.16
Up to \$5,000	311	47.05
Up to \$10,000	46	6.96
More than \$10,000	5	0.76
Total	661	100.00

County: Manatee**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	10,059	67.5
Black	4,458	29.9
Other	387	2.6
Total	14,904	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	2,284	15.3
Up to \$100	768	5.2
Up to \$250	478	3.2
Up to \$500	1,821	12.2

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Up to \$1,000	3,706	24.9
Up to \$5,000	5,216	35.0
Up to \$10,000	427	2.9
More than \$10,000	204	1.4
Total	14,904	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,744	17.3
Up to \$100	605	6.0
Up to \$250	330	3.3
Up to \$500	1,166	11.6
Up to \$1,000	2,459	24.4
Up to \$5,000	3,332	33.1
Up to \$10,000	296	2.9
More than \$10,000	127	1.3
Total	10,059	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	461	10.3
Up to \$100	136	3.1
Up to \$250	129	2.9
Up to \$500	594	13.3
Up to \$1,000	1,130	25.3
Up to \$5,000	1,806	40.5
Up to \$10,000	127	2.8
More than \$10,000	75	1.7
Total	4,458	100.0

County: Marion**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	9,504	68.94
Black	4,150	30.11
Other	131	0.95
Total	13,785	100.00

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	3,243	23.5
Up to \$100	592	4.3
Up to \$250	332	2.4
Up to \$500	551	4.0
Up to \$1,000	2,193	15.9
Up to \$5,000	5,065	36.7
Up to \$10,000	1,040	7.5
More than \$10,000	769	5.6
Total	13,785	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	2,559	26.9
Up to \$100	418	4.4
Up to \$250	247	2.6
Up to \$500	383	4.0
Up to \$1,000	1,559	16.4
Up to \$5,000	3,318	34.9
Up to \$10,000	641	6.7
More than \$10,000	379	4.0
Total	9,504	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	649	15.6
Up to \$100	172	4.1
Up to \$250	83	2.0
Up to \$500	163	3.9
Up to \$1,000	617	14.9
Up to \$5,000	1,688	40.7
Up to \$10,000	393	9.5
More than \$10,000	385	9.3
Total	4,150	100.0

County: Martin**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	4,758	72.7
Black	1,474	22.5
Other	313	4.8
Total	6,545	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,760	26.9
Up to \$100	247	3.8
Up to \$250	194	3.0
Up to \$500	502	7.7
Up to \$1,000	1,293	19.8
Up to \$5,000	2,293	35.0
Up to \$10,000	170	2.6
More than \$10,000	86	1.3
Total	6,545	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,498	31.5
Up to \$100	196	4.1
Up to \$250	145	3.0
Up to \$500	344	7.2
Up to \$1,000	886	18.6
Up to \$5,000	1,520	31.9
Up to \$10,000	113	2.4
More than \$10,000	56	1.2
Total	4,758	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	187	12.7
Up to \$100	39	2.6
Up to \$250	41	2.8
Up to \$500	125	8.5
Up to \$1,000	329	22.3
Up to \$5,000	672	45.6
Up to \$10,000	55	3.7

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
More than \$10,000	26	1.8
Total	1,474	100.0

County: Monroe

Racial breakdown

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	3,237	77.9
Black	783	18.8
Other	134	3.2
Total	4,154	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	395	9.51
Up to \$100	867	20.87
Up to \$250	261	6.28
Up to \$500	526	12.66
Up to \$1,000	1,175	28.29
Up to \$5,000	878	21.14
Up to \$10,000	28	0.67
More than \$10,000	24	0.58
Total	4,154	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	346	10.69
Up to \$100	688	21.25
Up to \$250	210	6.49
Up to \$500	391	12.08
Up to \$1,000	926	28.61
Up to \$5,000	649	20.05
Up to \$10,000	13	0.40
More than \$10,000	14	0.43
Total	3,237	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	39	5.0
Up to \$100	134	17.1
Up to \$250	48	6.1
Up to \$500	119	15.2
Up to \$1,000	209	26.7
Up to \$5,000	211	26.9
Up to \$10,000	15	1.9
More than \$10,000	8	1.0
Total	783	100.0

County: Nassau**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	2,110	76.84
Black	619	22.54
Other	17	0.62
Total	2,746	100.00

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	629	22.91
Up to \$100	37	1.35
Up to \$250	48	1.75
Up to \$500	384	13.98
Up to \$1,000	919	33.47
Up to \$5,000	674	24.54
Up to \$10,000	35	1.27
More than \$10,000	20	0.73
Total	2,746	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	528	25.02
Up to \$100	30	1.42
Up to \$250	39	1.85
Up to \$500	281	13.32

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
Up to \$1,000	691	32.75
Up to \$5,000	495	23.46
Up to \$10,000	29	1.37
More than \$10,000	17	0.81
Total	2,110	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	94	15.19
Up to \$100	7	1.13
Up to \$250	9	1.45
Up to \$500	102	16.48
Up to \$1,000	223	36.03
Up to \$5,000	175	28.27
Up to \$10,000	6	0.97
More than \$10,000	3	0.48
Total	619	100.00

County: Okaloosa**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	2,375	71.0
Black	919	27.5
Other	51	1.5
Total	3,345	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	655	19.58
Up to \$100	675	20.18
Up to \$250	285	8.52
Up to \$500	268	8.01
Up to \$1,000	880	26.31
Up to \$5,000	561	16.77
Up to \$10,000	14	0.42
More than \$10,000	7	0.21

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Total	3,345	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	517	21.768
Up to \$100	505	21.263
Up to \$250	186	7.832
Up to \$500	179	7.537
Up to \$1,000	612	25.768
Up to \$5,000	364	15.326
Up to \$10,000	10	0.421
More than \$10,000	2	0.084
Total	2,375	100.000

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	116	12.62
Up to \$100	163	17.74
Up to \$250	94	10.23
Up to \$500	87	9.47
Up to \$1,000	259	28.18
Up to \$5,000	191	20.78
Up to \$10,000	4	0.44
More than \$10,000	5	0.54
Total	919	100.00

County: Okeechobee**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	1,414	73
Black	262	14
Other	256	13
Total	1,932	100

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	344	17.8

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Up to \$100	57	3.0
Up to \$250	41	2.1
Up to \$500	77	4.0
Up to \$1,000	329	17.0
Up to \$5,000	984	50.9
Up to \$10,000	78	4.0
More than \$10,000	22	1.1
Total	1,932	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	263	18.6
Up to \$100	48	3.4
Up to \$250	29	2.1
Up to \$500	61	4.3
Up to \$1,000	224	15.8
Up to \$5,000	713	50.4
Up to \$10,000	59	4.2
More than \$10,000	17	1.2
Total	1,414	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	27	10.31
Up to \$100	1	0.38
Up to \$250	5	1.91
Up to \$500	7	2.67
Up to \$1,000	55	20.99
Up to \$5,000	153	58.40
Up to \$10,000	12	4.58
More than \$10,000	2	0.76
Total	262	100.00

County: Orange**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	19,659	52.39

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
Black	17,636	47.00
Other	230	0.61
Total	37,525	100.00

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	7,956	21.20
Up to \$100	1,030	2.74
Up to \$250	636	1.69
Up to \$500	2,173	5.79
Up to \$1,000	10,243	27.30
Up to \$5,000	14,009	37.33
Up to \$10,000	1,165	3.10
More than \$10,000	313	0.83
Total	37,525	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	5,204	26.47
Up to \$100	633	3.22
Up to \$250	341	1.73
Up to \$500	1,118	5.69
Up to \$1,000	5,354	27.23
Up to \$5,000	6,389	32.50
Up to \$10,000	445	2.26
More than \$10,000	175	0.89
Total	19,659	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	2,655	15.05
Up to \$100	385	2.18
Up to \$250	290	1.64
Up to \$500	1,036	5.87
Up to \$1,000	4,844	27.47
Up to \$5,000	7,574	42.95
Up to \$10,000	716	4.06
More than \$10,000	136	0.77

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
Total	17,636	100.00

County: Palmbeach

Racial breakdown

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	19,130	54.03
Black	16,196	45.74
Other	81	0.23
Total	35,407	100.00

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	5,558	15.7
Up to \$100	658	1.9
Up to \$250	943	2.7
Up to \$500	5,548	15.7
Up to \$1,000	10,314	29.1
Up to \$5,000	11,395	32.2
Up to \$10,000	744	2.1
More than \$10,000	247	0.7
Total	35,407	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	3,974	20.77
Up to \$100	470	2.46
Up to \$250	516	2.70
Up to \$500	3,069	16.04
Up to \$1,000	5,590	29.22
Up to \$5,000	5,133	26.83
Up to \$10,000	286	1.50
More than \$10,000	92	0.48
Total	19,130	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,557	9.61

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
Up to \$100	188	1.16
Up to \$250	423	2.61
Up to \$500	2,468	15.24
Up to \$1,000	4,697	29.00
Up to \$5,000	6,250	38.59
Up to \$10,000	458	2.83
More than \$10,000	155	0.96
Total	16,196	100.00

County: Pasco**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	12,180	86.9
Black	1,386	9.9
Other	456	3.3
Total	14,022	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	799	5.70
Up to \$100	925	6.60
Up to \$250	506	3.61
Up to \$500	934	6.66
Up to \$1,000	3,319	23.67
Up to \$5,000	6,786	48.40
Up to \$10,000	632	4.51
More than \$10,000	121	0.86
Total	14,022	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	699	5.7
Up to \$100	845	6.9
Up to \$250	434	3.6
Up to \$500	803	6.6
Up to \$1,000	2,800	23.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
Up to \$5,000	5,942	48.8
Up to \$10,000	560	4.6
More than \$10,000	97	0.8
Total	12,180	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	74	5.3
Up to \$100	52	3.8
Up to \$250	53	3.8
Up to \$500	93	6.7
Up to \$1,000	378	27.3
Up to \$5,000	660	47.6
Up to \$10,000	56	4.0
More than \$10,000	20	1.4
Total	1,386	100.0

County: Polk**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	11,029	67.3
Black	4,939	30.2
Other	413	2.5
Total	16,381	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	2,663	16.3
Up to \$100	2,081	12.7
Up to \$250	435	2.7
Up to \$500	512	3.1
Up to \$1,000	1,807	11.0
Up to \$5,000	7,616	46.5
Up to \$10,000	1,003	6.1
More than \$10,000	264	1.6
Total	16,381	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,999	18.1
Up to \$100	1,499	13.6
Up to \$250	307	2.8
Up to \$500	355	3.2
Up to \$1,000	1,204	10.9
Up to \$5,000	4,839	43.9
Up to \$10,000	633	5.7
More than \$10,000	193	1.7
Total	11,029	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	600	12.1
Up to \$100	509	10.3
Up to \$250	124	2.5
Up to \$500	151	3.1
Up to \$1,000	562	11.4
Up to \$5,000	2,560	51.8
Up to \$10,000	362	7.3
More than \$10,000	71	1.4
Total	4,939	100.0

County: Putnam**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	2,449	61.7
Black	1,406	35.4
Other	115	2.9
Total	3,970	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	620	15.6
Up to \$100	165	4.2
Up to \$250	135	3.4
Up to \$500	527	13.3

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Up to \$1,000	1,009	25.4
Up to \$5,000	1,378	34.7
Up to \$10,000	68	1.7
More than \$10,000	68	1.7
Total	3,970	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	467	19.1
Up to \$100	108	4.4
Up to \$250	82	3.3
Up to \$500	290	11.8
Up to \$1,000	638	26.1
Up to \$5,000	797	32.5
Up to \$10,000	32	1.3
More than \$10,000	35	1.4
Total	2,449	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	135	9.6
Up to \$100	52	3.7
Up to \$250	52	3.7
Up to \$500	219	15.6
Up to \$1,000	324	23.0
Up to \$5,000	558	39.7
Up to \$10,000	36	2.6
More than \$10,000	30	2.1
Total	1,406	100.0

County: Santarosa**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	5,953	83.0
Black	1,027	14.3
Other	192	2.7
Total	7,172	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	2,598	36.2
Up to \$100	120	1.7
Up to \$250	94	1.3
Up to \$500	221	3.1
Up to \$1,000	1,535	21.4
Up to \$5,000	2,346	32.7
Up to \$10,000	178	2.5
More than \$10,000	80	1.1
Total	7,172	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	2,314	38.9
Up to \$100	105	1.8
Up to \$250	77	1.3
Up to \$500	176	3.0
Up to \$1,000	1,181	19.8
Up to \$5,000	1,889	31.7
Up to \$10,000	145	2.4
More than \$10,000	66	1.1
Total	5,953	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	218	21.2
Up to \$100	13	1.3
Up to \$250	16	1.6
Up to \$500	35	3.4
Up to \$1,000	304	29.6
Up to \$5,000	398	38.8
Up to \$10,000	30	2.9
More than \$10,000	13	1.3
Total	1,027	100.0

County: Sarasota**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	10,208	65.7
Black	4,494	28.9
Other	832	5.4
Total	15,534	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	2,716	17.48
Up to \$100	598	3.85
Up to \$250	694	4.47
Up to \$500	3,518	22.65
Up to \$1,000	4,256	27.40
Up to \$5,000	3,605	23.21
Up to \$10,000	112	0.72
More than \$10,000	35	0.23
Total	15,534	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	2,108	20.65
Up to \$100	461	4.52
Up to \$250	450	4.41
Up to \$500	2,216	21.71
Up to \$1,000	2,698	26.43
Up to \$5,000	2,176	21.32
Up to \$10,000	74	0.72
More than \$10,000	25	0.24
Total	10,208	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	411	9.15
Up to \$100	103	2.29
Up to \$250	217	4.83
Up to \$500	1,133	25.21
Up to \$1,000	1,287	28.64
Up to \$5,000	1,299	28.91
Up to \$10,000	36	0.80

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
More than \$10,000	8	0.18
Total	4,494	100.00

County: Seminole**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	4,464	59.2
Black	2,990	39.7
Other	84	1.1
Total	7,538	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,696	22.499
Up to \$100	1,405	18.639
Up to \$250	1,095	14.526
Up to \$500	2,047	27.156
Up to \$1,000	918	12.178
Up to \$5,000	373	4.948
Up to \$10,000	1	0.013
More than \$10,000	3	0.040
Total	7,538	100.000

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,197	26.815
Up to \$100	766	17.159
Up to \$250	623	13.956
Up to \$500	1,188	26.613
Up to \$1,000	486	10.887
Up to \$5,000	200	4.480
Up to \$10,000	1	0.022
More than \$10,000	3	0.067
Total	4,464	100.000

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	473	15.8
Up to \$100	614	20.5
Up to \$250	463	15.5
Up to \$500	837	28.0
Up to \$1,000	431	14.4
Up to \$5,000	172	5.8
Up to \$10,000	0	0.0
More than \$10,000	0	0.0
Total	2,990	100.0

County: Stjohns**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	4,886	69
Black	2,097	29
Other	142	2
Total	7,125	100

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	2,693	37.80
Up to \$100	155	2.18
Up to \$250	200	2.81
Up to \$500	874	12.27
Up to \$1,000	1,227	17.22
Up to \$5,000	1,797	25.22
Up to \$10,000	134	1.88
More than \$10,000	45	0.63
Total	7,125	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,955	40.01
Up to \$100	118	2.42
Up to \$250	125	2.56
Up to \$500	552	11.30

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
Up to \$1,000	790	16.17
Up to \$5,000	1,217	24.91
Up to \$10,000	101	2.07
More than \$10,000	28	0.57
Total	4,886	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	688	32.81
Up to \$100	37	1.76
Up to \$250	71	3.39
Up to \$500	313	14.93
Up to \$1,000	406	19.36
Up to \$5,000	536	25.56
Up to \$10,000	32	1.53
More than \$10,000	14	0.67
Total	2,097	100.00

County: Stlucie**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	3,098	54
Black	2,142	38
Other	456	8
Total	5,696	100

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,988	34.9
Up to \$100	57	1.0
Up to \$250	59	1.0
Up to \$500	114	2.0
Up to \$1,000	597	10.5
Up to \$5,000	2,602	45.7
Up to \$10,000	183	3.2
More than \$10,000	96	1.7

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Total	5,696	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,291	41.7
Up to \$100	33	1.1
Up to \$250	33	1.1
Up to \$500	61	2.0
Up to \$1,000	299	9.7
Up to \$5,000	1,219	39.3
Up to \$10,000	107	3.5
More than \$10,000	55	1.8
Total	3,098	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	530	24.74
Up to \$100	19	0.89
Up to \$250	20	0.93
Up to \$500	45	2.10
Up to \$1,000	240	11.20
Up to \$5,000	1,193	55.70
Up to \$10,000	62	2.89
More than \$10,000	33	1.54
Total	2,142	100.00

County: Sumter**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	2,386	67.3
Black	994	28.0
Other	164	4.6
Total	3,544	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	808	22.8

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Up to \$100	64	1.8
Up to \$250	42	1.2
Up to \$500	105	3.0
Up to \$1,000	446	12.6
Up to \$5,000	1,771	50.0
Up to \$10,000	223	6.3
More than \$10,000	85	2.4
Total	3,544	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	588	24.6
Up to \$100	45	1.9
Up to \$250	29	1.2
Up to \$500	76	3.2
Up to \$1,000	299	12.5
Up to \$5,000	1,211	50.8
Up to \$10,000	104	4.4
More than \$10,000	34	1.4
Total	2,386	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	176	17.7
Up to \$100	19	1.9
Up to \$250	8	0.8
Up to \$500	26	2.6
Up to \$1,000	118	11.9
Up to \$5,000	483	48.6
Up to \$10,000	115	11.6
More than \$10,000	49	4.9
Total	994	100.0

County: Suwannee**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	1,787	69.5

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
Black	702	27.3
Other	83	3.2
Total	2,572	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	138	5.4
Up to \$100	590	22.9
Up to \$250	200	7.8
Up to \$500	225	8.7
Up to \$1,000	385	15.0
Up to \$5,000	903	35.1
Up to \$10,000	98	3.8
More than \$10,000	33	1.3
Total	2,572	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	115	6.4
Up to \$100	470	26.3
Up to \$250	157	8.8
Up to \$500	156	8.7
Up to \$1,000	265	14.8
Up to \$5,000	560	31.3
Up to \$10,000	44	2.5
More than \$10,000	20	1.1
Total	1,787	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	22	3.1
Up to \$100	105	15.0
Up to \$250	40	5.7
Up to \$500	63	9.0
Up to \$1,000	108	15.4
Up to \$5,000	299	42.6
Up to \$10,000	52	7.4
More than \$10,000	13	1.9

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
Total	702	100.0

County: Taylor

Racial breakdown

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	756	65.3
Black	388	33.5
Other	14	1.2
Total	1,158	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	376	32.5
Up to \$100	49	4.2
Up to \$250	39	3.4
Up to \$500	35	3.0
Up to \$1,000	112	9.7
Up to \$5,000	448	38.7
Up to \$10,000	78	6.7
More than \$10,000	21	1.8
Total	1,158	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	277	36.6
Up to \$100	35	4.6
Up to \$250	31	4.1
Up to \$500	22	2.9
Up to \$1,000	66	8.7
Up to \$5,000	272	36.0
Up to \$10,000	39	5.2
More than \$10,000	14	1.9
Total	756	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	92	23.7

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
Up to \$100	14	3.6
Up to \$250	8	2.1
Up to \$500	13	3.4
Up to \$1,000	43	11.1
Up to \$5,000	172	44.3
Up to \$10,000	39	10.1
More than \$10,000	7	1.8
Total	388	100.0

County: Union**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	306	64.6
Black	153	32.3
Other	15	3.2
Total	474	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	142	30.0
Up to \$100	33	7.0
Up to \$250	18	3.8
Up to \$500	61	12.9
Up to \$1,000	94	19.8
Up to \$5,000	81	17.1
Up to \$10,000	17	3.6
More than \$10,000	28	5.9
Total	474	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	100	32.7
Up to \$100	19	6.2
Up to \$250	14	4.6
Up to \$500	40	13.1
Up to \$1,000	62	20.3

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
Up to \$5,000	44	14.4
Up to \$10,000	13	4.2
More than \$10,000	14	4.6
Total	306	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	38	24.8
Up to \$100	13	8.5
Up to \$250	4	2.6
Up to \$500	19	12.4
Up to \$1,000	28	18.3
Up to \$5,000	34	22.2
Up to \$10,000	4	2.6
More than \$10,000	13	8.5
Total	153	100.0

County: Volusia**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	7,224	70.57
Black	2,953	28.85
Other	60	0.59
Total	10,237	100.00

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,313	12.83
Up to \$100	466	4.55
Up to \$250	213	2.08
Up to \$500	1,215	11.87
Up to \$1,000	3,349	32.71
Up to \$5,000	3,481	34.00
Up to \$10,000	134	1.31
More than \$10,000	66	0.64
Total	10,237	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,064	14.7
Up to \$100	359	5.0
Up to \$250	157	2.2
Up to \$500	873	12.1
Up to \$1,000	2,354	32.6
Up to \$5,000	2,291	31.7
Up to \$10,000	90	1.2
More than \$10,000	36	0.5
Total	7,224	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	235	7.96
Up to \$100	104	3.52
Up to \$250	56	1.90
Up to \$500	335	11.34
Up to \$1,000	973	32.95
Up to \$5,000	1,177	39.86
Up to \$10,000	44	1.49
More than \$10,000	29	0.98
Total	2,953	100.00

County: Wakulla**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	1,148	76.9
Black	324	21.7
Other	20	1.3
Total	1,492	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	717	48.06
Up to \$100	10	0.67
Up to \$250	23	1.54
Up to \$500	58	3.89

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
Up to \$1,000	164	10.99
Up to \$5,000	446	29.89
Up to \$10,000	62	4.16
More than \$10,000	12	0.80
Total	1,492	100.00

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	584	50.87
Up to \$100	5	0.44
Up to \$250	15	1.31
Up to \$500	44	3.83
Up to \$1,000	119	10.37
Up to \$5,000	328	28.57
Up to \$10,000	42	3.66
More than \$10,000	11	0.96
Total	1,148	100.00

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	125	38.58
Up to \$100	5	1.54
Up to \$250	8	2.47
Up to \$500	14	4.32
Up to \$1,000	43	13.27
Up to \$5,000	109	33.64
Up to \$10,000	19	5.86
More than \$10,000	1	0.31
Total	324	100.00

County: Walton**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	3,282	82.8
Black	533	13.4
Other	150	3.8
Total	3,965	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,336	33.7
Up to \$100	165	4.2
Up to \$250	98	2.5
Up to \$500	180	4.5
Up to \$1,000	385	9.7
Up to \$5,000	1,675	42.2
Up to \$10,000	84	2.1
More than \$10,000	42	1.1
Total	3,965	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	1,154	35.2
Up to \$100	145	4.4
Up to \$250	85	2.6
Up to \$500	153	4.7
Up to \$1,000	299	9.1
Up to \$5,000	1,340	40.8
Up to \$10,000	67	2.0
More than \$10,000	39	1.2
Total	3,282	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	122	22.89
Up to \$100	14	2.63
Up to \$250	12	2.25
Up to \$500	19	3.56
Up to \$1,000	67	12.57
Up to \$5,000	281	52.72
Up to \$10,000	15	2.81
More than \$10,000	3	0.56
Total	533	100.00

County: Washington**Racial breakdown**

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	985	78.3
Black	254	20.2
Other	19	1.5
Total	1,258	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	379	30.1
Up to \$100	38	3.0
Up to \$250	85	6.8
Up to \$500	95	7.6
Up to \$1,000	112	8.9
Up to \$5,000	454	36.1
Up to \$10,000	71	5.6
More than \$10,000	24	1.9
Total	1,258	100.0

Balance due, white

	Count	Percent
\$0	315	32.0
Up to \$100	31	3.1
Up to \$250	66	6.7
Up to \$500	71	7.2
Up to \$1,000	77	7.8
Up to \$5,000	357	36.2
Up to \$10,000	49	5.0
More than \$10,000	19	1.9
Total	985	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	59	23.2
Up to \$100	7	2.8
Up to \$250	19	7.5
Up to \$500	21	8.3
Up to \$1,000	29	11.4
Up to \$5,000	94	37.0
Up to \$10,000	21	8.3

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
More than \$10,000	4	1.6
Total	254	100.0

County: all

Racial breakdown

Racial breakdown, overall

	Count	Percent
White	238,240	62.9
Black	126,677	33.4
Other	13,852	3.7
Total	378,769	100.0

Balance due calculations

Balance due, all

	Count	Percent
\$0	83,728	22.1
Up to \$100	20,059	5.3
Up to \$250	13,553	3.6
Up to \$500	38,578	10.2
Up to \$1,000	80,699	21.3
Up to \$5,000	123,867	32.7
Up to \$10,000	11,038	2.9
More than \$10,000	7,247	1.9
Total	378,769	100.0

Balance due, white


	Count	Percent
\$0	59,548	25.0
Up to \$100	14,260	6.0
Up to \$250	8,903	3.7
Up to \$500	23,742	10.0
Up to \$1,000	49,062	20.6
Up to \$5,000	72,479	30.4
Up to \$10,000	5,993	2.5
More than \$10,000	4,253	1.8
Total	238,240	100.0

Balance due, black

	Count	Percent
\$0	21,134	16.7
Up to \$100	5,193	4.1
Up to \$250	4,259	3.4
Up to \$500	13,725	10.8
Up to \$1,000	29,081	23.0
Up to \$5,000	47,129	37.2
Up to \$10,000	4,088	3.2
More than \$10,000	2,068	1.6
Total	126,677	100.0

Plot of zero percent by county

The following plots shows the distribution by race and county of the fraction of felons who have a zero balance.



Analysis of county-level felons appearing in multiple county reports

Across the 58 counties considered in this report, there are 414,856 individuals. However, some of these individuals appear in the felon records of multiple counties.

This report covers 407,613 felons who have valid birthdates, races, and genders. Of these, 26,391 appear in more than one county (based on exact match of first name, last name, name suffix, birth date, race, and gender). Moreover, of this latter group 22,412 have positive balanced due amounts in more than one county.

The following table describes the number of counties in which felons have positive balanced owed amounts, restricting attention to felons with valid birth dates, races, and genders.

Number of counties in which felons have positive balanced owed amounts

Number of counties	Count	Percentage
1	284,024	93.957458
2	16,801	5.557908
3	1,336	0.441960
4	118	0.039035
5	10	0.003308
6	1	0.000331
Total	302,290	100.000000