

FINANCIAL LIMIT SURVEY
FEBRUARY 12-15, 2009

Question H1

When one side in a lawsuit feels a judge might be biased, lawyers can ask for a different judge. Should that request be decided by the judge who is being asked to step aside, or should another judge be the one to decide?

	Sex		Age					Region					Race				
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Fe- male (C)	18- 34 (D)	35- 44 (E)	45- 54 (F)	55- 64 (G)	65+ (H)	North- east (I)	North Central (J)	South (K)	West (L)	Metro (M)	Non- Metro (N)	White Only (Non- Hisp) (O)	Black Only (Non- Hisp) (P)	His- panic (Any Race) (Q)
Unweighted Total	1006	504	502	142	142	211	202	305	187	225	367	227	738	268	778	88	49
Weighted Total	1000	484	516	305	184	195	148	164	186	221	367	226	728	272	668	114*	133*
By the original judge	109 11%	60 12%	49 9%	27 9%	22 12%	24 12%	15 10%	20 12%	26 14%	24 11%	41 11%	17 8%	73 10%	36 13%	75 11%	10 9%	14 10%
By another judge	810 81%	389 80%	421 82%	257 84% ^H	153 83% ^H	158 81% ^H	123 83% ^H	116 71%	148 80%	175 79%	298 81%	189 84%	596 82%	215 79%	537 80%	96 84%	115 87%
Don't know/Not sure	70 7%	32 7%	38 7%	19 6%	9 5%	11 6%	8 5%	23 14% ^{DEF}	11 6%	18 8%	27 7%	14 6%	51 7%	19 7%	48 7%	8 7%	4 3%
Decline to answer	11 1%	3 1%	8 1%	2 1%	0 0	1 1%	2 1%	4 3% ^E	1 *	3 2%	1 *	5 2%	9 1%	2 1%	9 1%	1 *	0 0

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N - O/P/Q
Overlap formulae used. * small base

FINANCIAL LIMIT SURVEY
FEBRUARY 12-15, 2009

Question H1

When one side in a lawsuit feels a judge might be biased, lawyers can ask for a different judge. Should that request be decided by the judge who is being asked to step aside, or should another judge be the one to decide?

	Household Income						H.H. Size			Children In H.H.				Education			
	Total	LT	\$35K- LT	\$50K- LT	\$75K- LT	\$100K Or	-----			Under		12-		HS	Coll		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	1	2	3 Or More	None	Total	12	17	Incom- plete	HS Grad	Incom- plete
Unweighted Total	1006	275	127	153	90	161	222	429	347	733	263	183	132	60	317	254	349
Weighted Total	1000	264	120*	166*	100*	167	168	355	467	620	368	275	164*	62*	329	242	344
By the original judge	109 11%	29 11%	12 10%	18 11%	21 21%	17 10%	21 13%	40 11%	46 10%	72 12%	36 10%	26 9%	13 8%	7 11%	39 12%	18 7%	43 13%
By another judge	810 81%	214 81%	101 84%	142 86%	75 75%	140 84%	129 77%	281 79%	391 84%	496 80%	304 83%	224 82%	141 86%	43 69%	266 81%	208 86%	277 81%
Don't know/Not sure	70 7%	21 8%	6 5%	5 3%	4 4%	9 6%	17 10%	29 8%	25 5%	46 7%	24 6%	22 8%	6 4%	11 18%	18 OPQ 6%	14 6%	22 6%
Decline to answer	11 1%	0 0	1 1%	1 *	0 0	1 1%	1 1%	5 1%	5 1%	6 1%	4 1%	2 1%	4 2%	1 2%	5 2%	2 1%	2 1%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K - N/O/P/Q
Overlap formulae used. * small base

FINANCIAL LIMIT SURVEY
FEBRUARY 12-15, 2009

Question H2A

In many states, in order to get elected as a judge, candidates have to raise money to pay for their campaign. If there was a court case where one of the two opposing parties had spent \$50,000 to support the judge's election campaign, would you doubt that judge's impartiality?

Base = Asked Version A (\$50,000)

	Sex		Age					Region					Race				
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Fe- male (C)	18- 34 (D)	35- 44 (E)	45- 54 (F)	55- 64 (G)	65+ (H)	North- east (I)	North (J)	South (K)	West (L)	Metro (M)	Metro (N)	White Only (Non- Hisp) (O)	Black Only (Non- Hisp) (P)	His- panic (Any Race) (Q)
Unweighted Total	483	255	228	73	66	107	83	152	81	112	187	103	358	125	363	48	23
Weighted Total	500	242	258	152*	91*	98*	74*	82	93*	110*	184	113*	365	135*	334	57*	68**
Yes, would doubt impartiality	338 68%	167 69%	172 67%	91 60%	62 67%	68 70%	61 82%DH	55 67%	64 69%	72 65%	125 68%	77 68%	247 68%	91 68%	234 70%	35 61%	43 63%
No, would not doubt impartiality	115 23%	55 23%	60 23%	46 30%G	20 22%G	21 21%G	7 9%	20 24%G	22 24%	26 23%	45 25%	23 20%	77 21%	38 28%	64 19%	19 33%	23 34%
Don't know/Not sure	41 8%	19 8%	22 9%	13 8%	9 10%	7 8%	6 8%	6 7%	6 7%	13 11%	12 7%	10 9%	35 10%	6 4%	31 9%	4 6%	2 3%
Decline to answer	5 1%	2 1%	4 1%	2 2%	0 0	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	0 0	1 *	4 3%	5 1%	0 0	4 1%	0 0	0 0

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N - O/P/Q
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

FINANCIAL LIMIT SURVEY
FEBRUARY 12-15, 2009

Question H2A

In many states, in order to get elected as a judge, candidates have to raise money to pay for their campaign. If there was a court case where one of the two opposing parties had spent \$50,000 to support the judge's election campaign, would you doubt that judge's impartiality?

Base = Asked Version A (\$50,000)

	Household Income						H.H. Size			Children In H.H.				Education			
	Total (A)	LT \$35K (B)	\$35K- LT \$50K (C)	\$50K- LT \$75K (D)	\$75K- LT \$100K (E)	Or \$100K More (F)	-----			-----				HS		Coll	
							1 (G)	2 (H)	3 Or More (I)	None (J)	Total (K)	Under 12 (L)	12- 17 (M)	Incom- plete (N)	HS Grad (O)	Incom- plete (P)	Coll Grad (Q)
Unweighted Total	483	139	56	76	40	67	106	207	165	355	121	87	60	31	161	115	161
Weighted Total	500	143*	58*	82*	46*	71*	81*	188	226	314	178*	137*	82*	25**	184*	106*	172
Yes, would doubt impartiality	338 68%	85 60%	39 67%	58 71%	39 83%B	59 82%B	57 71%	135 72%	142 63%	219 70%	114 64%	85 62%	57 69%	17 66%	113 62%	77 73%	123 72%
No, would not doubt impartiality	115 23%	37 26%	14 25%	22 27%	5 11%	9 13%	13 16%	42 22%	59 26%	66 21%	47 27%	39 29%	16 19%	3 13%	57 31%PQ	19 18%	32 19%
Don't know/Not sure	41 8%	20 14%D	5 8%	2 2%	3 6%	3 4%	10 13%H	9 5%	22 10%	27 9%	14 8%	11 8%	6 7%	5 19%	11 6%	9 9%	15 9%
Decline to answer	5 1%	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 2%	0 0	2 1%	4 2%	2 1%	4 2%	2 2%	4 4%	* 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K - N/O/P/Q

Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

FINANCIAL LIMIT SURVEY
FEBRUARY 12-15, 2009

Question H3A

If there was a court case where one of the two opposing parties had spent \$50,000 to support the judge's election campaign, do you think the judge should hear the case, or ask another judge to hear the case instead?

Base = Asked Version A (\$50,000)

	Sex		Age					Region					Race				
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Fe- male (C)	18- 34 (D)	35- 44 (E)	45- 54 (F)	55- 64 (G)	65+ (H)	North- east (I)	North Central (J)	South (K)	West (L)	Metro (M)	Non- Metro (N)	White Only (Non- Hisp) (O)	Black Only (Non- Hisp) (P)	His- panic (Any Race) (Q)
Unweighted Total	483	255	228	73	66	107	83	152	81	112	187	103	358	125	363	48	23
Weighted Total	500	242	258	152*	91*	98*	74*	82	93*	110*	184	113*	365	135*	334	57*	68**
Hear the case him or herself	55 11%	27 11%	28 11%	25 16% ^{GH}	14 15% ^{GH}	10 10%	3 3%	3 4%	7 8%	9 8%	27 15%	12 11%	45 12%	10 7%	16 5%	15 26% ^O	18 26%
Ask another judge to hear the case instead	422 84%	203 84%	219 85%	115 76%	76 83%	88 90% ^D	69 93% ^D	73 89% ^D	83 89%	94 86%	152 83%	93 82%	305 84%	117 87%	298 89% ^P	41 72%	50 74%
Don't know/Not sure	18 4%	10 4%	9 3%	10 7%	2 2%	* *	2 2%	4 5% ^F	2 3%	7 6%	5 3%	4 4%	11 3%	8 6%	15 4%	1 2%	0 0
Decline to answer	5 1%	2 1%	2 1%	2 2%	0 0	0 0	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	* *	0 0	4 3%	4 1%	* *	4 1%	0 0	0 0

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N - O/P/Q
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

FINANCIAL LIMIT SURVEY
FEBRUARY 12-15, 2009

Question H3A

If there was a court case where one of the two opposing parties had spent \$50,000 to support the judge's election campaign, do you think the judge should hear the case, or ask another judge to hear the case instead?

Base = Asked Version A (\$50,000)

	Household Income						H.H. Size			Children In H.H.				Education			
	Total (A)	LT \$35K (B)	\$35K- LT \$50K (C)	\$50K- LT \$75K (D)	\$75K- LT \$100K (E)	Or \$100K More (F)	1 (G)	2 (H)	3 Or More (I)	None (J)	Total (K)	Under 12 (L)	12- 17 (M)	HS Incom- plete (N)	HS Grad (O)	Coll Incom- plete (P)	Coll Grad (Q)
Unweighted Total	483	139	56	76	40	67	106	207	165	355	121	87	60	31	161	115	161
Weighted Total	500	143*	58*	82*	46*	71*	81*	188	226	314	178*	137*	82*	25**	184*	106*	172
Hear the case him or herself	55 11%	21 15%	5 9%	12 14%	2 5%	4 5%	6 8%	17 9%	31 14%	30 9%	24 14%	19 14%	9 11%	3 14%	34 18%Q	14 13%Q	4 2%
Ask another judge to hear the case instead	422 84%	108 76%	50 87%	70 85%	43 93%B	68 95%B	71 88%	163 87%	183 81%	273 87%	143 80%	109 79%	69 84%	19 77%	138 75%	91 85%	162 94%OP
Don't know/Not sure	18 4%	14 10%DF	2 3%	* 1%	0 0	0 0	4 4%	6 3%	8 4%	9 3%	9 5%	7 5%	2 2%	1 5%	9 5%	2 2%	6 3%
Decline to answer	5 1%	0 0	* 1%	0 0	1 2%	0 0	0 0	1 1%	3 1%	2 1%	2 1%	2 2%	2 3%	1 5%	3 2%	0 0	1 *

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K - N/O/P/Q

Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

FINANCIAL LIMIT SURVEY
FEBRUARY 12-15, 2009

Question H2B

In many states, in order to get elected as a judge, candidates have to raise money to pay for their campaign. If there was a court case where one of the two opposing parties had spent one million dollars to support the judge's election campaign, would you doubt that judge's impartiality?

Base = Asked Version B (One million dollars)

	Sex		Age					Region					Race				
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Fe- male (C)	18- 34 (D)	35- 44 (E)	45- 54 (F)	55- 64 (G)	65+ (H)	North- east (I)	North Central (J)	South (K)	West (L)	Metro (M)	Non- Metro (N)	White Only (Non- Hisp) (O)	Black Only (Non- Hisp) (P)	His- panic (Any Race) (Q)
Unweighted Total	523	249	274	69	76	104	119	153	106	113	180	124	380	143	415	40	26
Weighted Total	500	242	258	152*	93*	97*	74	82	93*	110*	184	113*	364	136	335	57*	65**
Yes, would doubt impartiality	366 73%	188 78%	179 69%	110 72%	69 75%	72 74%	61 82% ^H	53 65%	71 76%	76 69%	132 72%	87 77%	263 72%	103 76%	258 77% ^P	32 56%	53 81%
No, would not doubt impartiality	95 19%	39 16%	55 21%	30 20%	20 21%	19 19%	8 11%	17 21% ^G	16 17%	23 21%	41 23%	15 13%	75 20%	20 15%	48 14%	21 36% ^O	12 19%
Don't know/Not sure	36 7%	13 5%	23 9%	10 7%	4 4%	6 7%	5 6%	11 13% ^E	6 7%	11 10%	10 6%	8 7%	23 6%	13 10%	28 8%	5 8%	0 0
Decline to answer	3 1%	3 1%	1 *	2 1%	0 0	0 0	* *	1 1%	0 0	1 1%	0 0	3 2%	3 1%	0 0	1 *	0 0	0 0

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N - O/P/Q
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

FINANCIAL LIMIT SURVEY
FEBRUARY 12-15, 2009

Question H2B

In many states, in order to get elected as a judge, candidates have to raise money to pay for their campaign. If there was a court case where one of the two opposing parties had spent one million dollars to support the judge's election campaign, would you doubt that judge's impartiality?

Base = Asked Version B (One million dollars)

	Household Income						H.H. Size			Children In H.H.				Education			
	Total (A)	LT \$35K (B)	LT \$50K (C)	LT \$75K (D)	LT \$100K (E)	Or More (F)	1 (G)	2 (H)	3 Or More (I)	None (J)	Total (K)	Under 12 (L)	12- 17 (M)	HS Incom- plete (N)	HS Grad (O)	Coll Incom- plete (P)	Coll Grad (Q)
Unweighted Total	523	136	71	77	50	94	116	222	182	378	142	96	72	29	156	139	188
Weighted Total	500	121*	62*	84*	54**	96*	88*	167	240	306	190*	137*	82*	37**	145	136*	172
Yes, would doubt impartiality	366 73%	84 70%	51 82%	61 73%	44 81%	73 77%	65 75%	122 73%	174 73%	221 72%	140 74%	95 69%	61 75%	25 66%	95 66%	108 80%	133 78%
No, would not doubt impartiality	95 19%	25 21%	7 11%	14 17%	10 18%	18 19%	14 15%	30 18%	51 21%	55 18%	40 21%	34 25%	14 17%	10 26%	36 25%	18 13%	25 15%
Don't know/Not sure	36 7%	12 10%	4 7%	8 10%	0 0%	2 3%	8 9%	13 8%	15 6%	26 9%	9 5%	8 6%	7 8%	3 8%	13 9%	9 7%	11 6%
Decline to answer	3 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	* 1%	2 2%	1 1%	3 2%	0 0%	3 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 *	0 0%	3 1%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K - N/O/P/Q

Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

FINANCIAL LIMIT SURVEY
FEBRUARY 12-15, 2009

Question H3B

If there was a court case where one of the two opposing parties had spent one million dollars to support the judge's election campaign, do you think the judge should hear the case, or ask another judge to hear the case instead?

Base = Asked Version B (One million dollars)

	Sex		Age					Region					Race				
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Fe- male (C)	18- 34 (D)	35- 44 (E)	45- 54 (F)	55- 64 (G)	65+ (H)	North- east (I)	North (J)	South (K)	West (L)	Metro (M)	Non- Metro (N)	White Only (Non- Hisp) (O)	Black Only (Non- Hisp) (P)	His- panic (Any Race) (Q)
Unweighted Total	523	249	274	69	76	104	119	153	106	113	180	124	380	143	415	40	26
Weighted Total	500	242	258	152*	93*	97*	74	82	93*	110*	184	113*	364	136	335	57*	65**
Hear the case him or herself	48 10%	25 10%	24 9%	23 15%	7 8%	5 6%	5 6%	7 8%	7 7%	10 9%	25 13%	7 6%	38 10%	10 8%	21 6%	18 31%O	7 10%
Ask another judge to hear the case instead	425 85%	206 85%	219 85%	120 79%	81 87%	88 91%	68 91%D	68 83%	84 91%	92 83%	149 81%	100 88%	305 84%	120 88%	301 90%P	27 47%	58 90%
Don't know/Not sure	21 4%	11 4%	11 4%	7 5%	5 5%	3 3%	1 1%	6 8%G	1 1%	9 8%	6 3%	6 5%	16 4%	6 4%	11 3%	10 17%O	0 0
Decline to answer	5 1%	1 *	4 2%	2 1%	0 0	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	0 0	4 2%	1 1%	5 1%	1 *	2 1%	3 5%	0 0

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C - D/E/F/G/H - I/J/K/L - M/N - O/P/Q
Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

FINANCIAL LIMIT SURVEY
FEBRUARY 12-15, 2009

Question H3B

If there was a court case where one of the two opposing parties had spent one million dollars to support the judge's election campaign, do you think the judge should hear the case, or ask another judge to hear the case instead?

Base = Asked Version B (One million dollars)

	Household Income						H.H. Size			Children In H.H.				Education			
	Total (A)	LT \$35K (B)	LT \$50K (C)	LT \$75K (D)	LT \$100K (E)	Or More (F)	1 (G)	2 (H)	3 Or More (I)	None (J)	Total (K)	Under 12 (L)	12- 17 (M)	HS Incom- plete (N)	HS Grad (O)	Coll Incom- plete (P)	Coll Grad (Q)
Unweighted Total	523	136	71	77	50	94	116	222	182	378	142	96	72	29	156	139	188
Weighted Total	500	121*	62*	84*	54**	96*	88*	167	240	306	190*	137*	82*	37**	145	136*	172
Hear the case him or herself	48 10%	17 14%	5 8%	9 11%	2 3%	5 5%	7 7%	17 10%	25 10%	27 9%	21 11%	14 10%	7 8%	9 24%	21 15%Q	9 7%	6 3%
Ask another judge to hear the case instead	425 85%	93 77%	57 91%B	70 83%	52 96%	89 93%B	73 83%	144 86%	204 85%	255 83%	165 87%	119 87%	72 88%	24 65%	116 80%	121 89%	157 91%O
Don't know/Not sure	21 4%	8 7%	1 1%	3 4%	0 0%	1 1%	8 9%H	4 2%	10 4%	18 6%	4 2%	4 3%	4 4%	4 10%	4 3%	5 4%	8 5%
Decline to answer	5 1%	2 2%	0 0%	1 2%	* 1%	1 1%	1 1%	3 2%	2 1%	5 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	3 2%	1 *	1 1%

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5% risk level) - B/C/D/E/F - G/H/I - J/K - N/O/P/Q

Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing