IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF PENNSYLVANIA, CARMEN FEBO SAN MIGUEL, JAMES SOLOMON, JOHN GREINER, JOHN CAPOWSKI, GRETCHEN BRANDT, THOMAS RENTSCHLER, MARY ELIZABETH LAWN, LISA ISAACS, DON LANCASTER, JORDI COMAS, ROBERT SMITH, WILLIAM MARX, RICHARD MANTELL, PRISCILLA MCNULTY, THOMAS ULRICH, ROBERT MCKINSTRY, MARK LICHTY, LORRAINE PETROSKY,	CIVIL DIVISION CASE NO. 261 MD 2017 RESPONSE TO PETITION TO REVIEW
VS.	
THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA; THE PENNSYLVANIA GENERAL ASSEMBLY; THOMAS W. WOLF, IN HIS CAPACITY AS GOVERNOR OF PENNSYLVANIA; MICHAEL J. STACK III, IN HIS CAPACITY AS LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR OF PENNSYLVANIA AND PRESIDENT OF THE PENNSYLVANIA SENATE; MICHAEL C. TURZAI, IN HIS CAPACITY AS SPEAKER OF THE PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES; JOSEPH B. SCARNATI III, IN HIS CAPACITY AS PENNSYLVANIA SENATE PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE; PEDRO A. CORTÉS, IN HIS CAPACITY AS SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA; JONATHAN M. MARKS, IN HIS CAPACITY AS COMMISSIONER OF THE BUREAU OF COMMISSIONS, ELECTIONS, AND LEGISLATION OF THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE,	 Filed on Behalf of: Michael J. Stack III, in his Capacity as Lieutenant Governor of Pennsylvania and President of the Pennsylvania Senate, Respondent Counsel of Record for This Party: Clifford B. Levine Pa. Id. No. 33507 Alice B. Mitinger Pa. Id. No. 56781 Alex M. Lacey Pa. Id. No. 313538 COHEN & GRIGSBY, P.C. Firm No. 621 625 Liberty Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15222-3152 (412) 297-4900 Lazar M. Palnick Pa. Id. No. 52762 1216 Heberton Street Pittsburgh, PA 15206 (412) 661-3633

IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS OF	:	CIVIL DIVISION
PENNSYLVANIA, ET AL.,	:	
	:	
Petitioners,	:	
	:	
vs.	:	CASE NO. 261 MD 2017
	:	
THE COMMONWEALTH OF	:	
PENNSYLVANIA, ET AL.,	:	
	:	
Respondents.	:	

RESPONSE TO PETITION TO REVIEW

AND NOW COMES, Michael J. Stack III, in his Capacity as Lieutenant Governor of Pennsylvania and President of the Pennsylvania Senate, Respondent, by and through his attorneys, and states the following:

INTRODUCTION

1. This paragraph generally characterizes the rest of the Petition for Review, to which no response is required.

- 2. This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 3. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 4. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 5. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 6. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 7. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 8. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 9. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 10. This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.

- 11. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 12. This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.

PARTIES

A. Petitioners

The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 13. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 14. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 15. 16. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 17. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 18. 19. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 20. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 21. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 22. 23. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 24. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 25. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 26. 27. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 28. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 29. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov. 30. 31. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

B. Respondents

- 32. Admitted.
- 33. Admitted.
- 34. Admitted.
- 35. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 36. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 37. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 38. Admitted.
- 39. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 40. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

JURISDICTION

41. This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 42. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 43. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 44. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 45. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 46. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 47. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 48. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

49. Admitted. By way of further response, Lt. Gov. Stack was a Democratic member of the Pennsylvania State Senate at the time of the development and promulgation of the 2011 Plan. He did not participate in its creation, was prevented from doing so by Republican members of the chamber, and voted against the 2011 plan. 50. Admitted. By way of further response, Lt. Gov. Stack was a Democratic member of the Pennsylvania State Senate at the time of the development and promulgation of the 2011 Plan. He did not participate in its creation, was prevented from doing so by Republican members of the chamber, and voted against the 2011 plan.

51. Admitted. By way of further response, Lt. Gov. Stack was a Democratic member of the Pennsylvania State Senate at the time of the development and promulgation of the 2011 Plan. He did not participate in its creation, was prevented from doing so by Republican members of the chamber, and voted against the 2011 plan.

52. Admitted. By way of further response, Lt. Gov. Stack was a Democratic member of the Pennsylvania State Senate at the time of the development and promulgation of the 2011 Plan. He did not participate in its creation, was prevented from doing so by Republican members of the chamber, and voted against the 2011 plan.

53. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

54. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

55. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

56. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

57. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

58. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

59. This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.

60. The allegations of this paragraph refer to documents attached to the Petition

which speak for themselves.

61. This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.

62. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

4

63. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

64. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

65. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

66. Admitted. By way of further response, Lt. Gov. Stack was a Democratic member of the Pennsylvania State Senate at the time of the development and promulgation of the 2011 Plan. He did not participate in its creation, was prevented from doing so by Republican members of the chamber, and voted against the 2011 plan.

67. Admitted. By way of further response, Lt. Gov. Stack was a Democratic member of the Pennsylvania State Senate at the time of the development and promulgation of the 2011 Plan. He did not participate in its creation, was prevented from doing so by Republican members of the chamber, and voted against the 2011 plan.

68. Admitted. By way of further response, Lt. Gov. Stack was a Democratic member of the Pennsylvania State Senate at the time of the development and promulgation of the 2011 Plan. He did not participate in its creation, was prevented from doing so by Republican members of the chamber, and voted against the 2011 plan.

69. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

70. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

71. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

72. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

73. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

74. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

75. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

76. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

5

77.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
78.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
79.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
80.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
81.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
82.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
83.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
84.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
85.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
86.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
87.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
88.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
89.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
90.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
91.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
92.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
93.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
94.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
95.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
96.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
97.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
98.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

,

6

•

COUNT I

99.	Paragraph 99 is an incorporation paragraph to which no response is required.	
100.	This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.	
101.	This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.	
102.	This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.	
103.	This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.	
104.	This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.	
105.	Admitted. By way of further response, Lt. Gov. Stack was a Democratic member	
of the Pennsylvania State Senate at the time of the development and promulgation of the 2011		
Plan. He did not participate in its creation, was prevented from doing so by Republican members		

106. Admitted. By way of further response, Lt. Gov. Stack was a Democratic member of the Pennsylvania State Senate at the time of the development and promulgation of the 2011 Plan. He did not participate in its creation, was prevented from doing so by Republican members of the chamber, and voted against the 2011 plan.

of the chamber, and voted against the 2011 plan.

- 107. This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 108. This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 109. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 110. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 111. The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
- 112. This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.
- 113. This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.

7

COUNT II

114.	Paragraph 114 is an incorporation paragraph to which no response is required.
115.	This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.
116.	This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.
117.	This paragraph constitutes conclusions of law to which no response is required.
118.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
119.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.
120.	The allegations of this paragraph are not directed towards the Lt. Gov.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Lt. Gov. Michael J. Stack, III, in his official capacity as Lieutenant Governor of Pennsylvania and President of the Pennsylvania Senate, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court fully consider the Petitioners' claims and grant appropriate relief to address the constitutional infirmities the Petitioners identify.

Respectfully submitted,

Chifford B. Levine

Pa. Id. No. 33507 Alice B. Mitinger Pa. Id. No. 56781 Alex M. Lacey Pa. Id. No. 313538 Cohen & Grigsby, P.C. Firm No. 621 625 Liberty Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15222-3152 (412) 297-4900

Lazar M. Palnick Pa. Id. No. 52762 1216 Heberton Street Pittsburgh, PA 15206 (412) 661-3633

On behalf of Respondent Michael J. Stack III, in his Capacity as Lieutenant Governor of Pennsylvania and President of the Pennsylvania Senate

Dated: August _/? __, 2017

VERIFICATION

I, Michael J. Stack, III, in my capacity as Lieutenant Governor of Pennsylvania and President of the Pennsylvania Senate, hereby verify that I have read the foregoing Response to Petition to Review and that the averments of fact made therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, based upon the information presently available to me following a reasonable investigation. I make this verification subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Milestal_

Dated: August 18th, 2017

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned does hereby certify that on this _____ day of August 2017, a true

and correct copy of the foregoing RESPONSE TO PETITION TO REVIEW was served via U.S.

First Class mail, postage-paid upon the following:

Jonathan Scott Goldman Kenneth Lawson Joel Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 15th Floor, Strawberry Square Harrisburg, PA 17120 *Counsel for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania*

Kathleen A. Gallagher Carolyn Batz McGee John E. Hall Cipriani & Werner, P.C. 650 Washington Road, Suite 700 Pittsburgh, PA 15228 *Counsel for The Pennsylvania General Assembly*

Jason Torchinsky Shawn Sheehy Holtzman Vogel Josefiak Torchinsky, PLLC 45 North Hill Drive, Suite 100 Warrentown, VA 20186 Counsel for Michael C. Turzai, in his Capacity as Speaker of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives

Mary M. McKenzie Michael Churchill Benjamin D. Geffen Public Interest Law Center 1709 Benjamin Franklin Parkway, 2nd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 *Counsel for Petitioners* Linda C. Barrett Sean M. Concannon Thomas P. Howell Office of General Counsel 333 Market Street, 17th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101 *Counsel for Respondent Tom Wolf*

Timothy E. Gates Ian B. Everhart Kathleen M. Kotula Department of State Office of Chief Counsel 306 North Office Building Harrisburg, PA 17120 *Counsel for Secretary Pedro A. Cortés and Commissioner Jonathan M. Marks*

Brian S. Paszamant Jason A. Snyderman John P. Wixted Blank Rome, LLP One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998 *Counsel for Senator Joseph B. Scarnati III, in his Capacity as Pennsylvania Senate President Pro Tempore*

Chairman Lawrence J. Tabas Rebecca Lee Warren Obermayer Rebmann Maxwell & Hippell LLP Centre Square West 1500 Market Street, Suite 3400 Philadelphia, PA 19102 *Counsel for Possible Intervenors*

Cepford B Jem

Clifford B. Levine

August <u>18</u>. 2017