

APPENDIX B:
 CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
 UNANIMITY

General

Judge Name	Total Cases	Unanimous	% of Total	Dissents	% of Total	Divided – in Majority	% of Total	Concurrence	% of Total
Cabranes	173	158	91.33	2	1.16	10	5.78	3	1.73
Calabresi	188	175	93.09	2	1.06	4	2.13	7	3.72
Cardamone	102	97	95.10	2	1.96	3	2.94	0	0.00
Feinberg	85	82	96.47	1	1.18	2	2.35	0	0.00
Hall	95	93	97.89	0	0.00	2	2.11	0	0.00
Jacobs	177	162	91.53	7	3.95	6	3.39	2	1.13
Katzmann	153	143	93.46	2	1.31	4	2.61	4	2.61
Kearse	139	131	94.24	2	1.44	5	3.60	1	0.72
Leval	116	102	87.93	3	2.59	6	5.17	5	4.31
McLaughlin	105	99	94.29	1	0.95	4	3.81	1	0.95
Meskill	65	63	96.92	0	0.00	1	1.54	1	1.54
Miner	88	84	95.45	1	1.14	3	3.41	0	0.00
Newman	95	90	94.74	1	1.05	2	2.11	2	2.11
Oakes	81	72	88.89	3	3.70	2	2.47	4	4.94
B. Parker	118	111	94.07	1	0.85	6	5.08	0	0.00
F. Parker	83	75	90.36	1	1.20	4	4.82	3	3.61
Pooler	192	180	93.75	2	1.04	9	4.69	1	0.52
Raggi	129	121	93.80	4	3.10	3	2.33	1	0.78
Sack	196	174	88.78	4	2.04	14	7.14	4	2.04
Sotomayor	217	204	94.01	4	1.84	7	3.23	2	0.92
Straub	149	139	93.29	5	3.36	3	2.01	2	1.34
Walker	183	168	91.80	4	2.19	5	2.73	6	3.28
Wesley	91	88	96.70	1	1.10	1	1.10	1	1.10
Winter	120	109	90.83	5	4.17	5	4.17	1	0.83
AVERAGE			92.99		1.85		3.54		1.62

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
UNANIMITY

Party Appointee
(Page 1 of 2)

Judge Name	What Party Appointee?	Total Cases	Unanimous	% of Total	Dissents	% of Total
Cabranes	DEM	173	158	91.33	2	1.16
Calabresi	DEM	188	175	93.09	2	1.06
Feinberg	DEM	85	82	96.47	1	1.18
Katzmann	DEM	153	143	93.46	2	1.31
Kearse	DEM	139	131	94.24	2	1.44
Leval	DEM	116	102	87.93	3	2.59
Newman	DEM	95	90	94.74	1	1.05
Parker, F	DEM	83	75	90.36	1	1.20
Pooler	DEM	192	180	93.75	2	1.04
Sack	DEM	196	174	88.78	4	2.04
Sotomayor	DEM	217	204	94.01	4	1.84
Straub	DEM	149	139	93.29	5	3.36
AVERAGE				92.55%		1.62%
Cardamone	REP	102	97	95.10	2	1.96
Hall	REP	95	93	97.89	0	0.00
Jacobs	REP	177	162	91.53	7	3.95
McLaughlin	REP	105	99	94.29	1	0.95
Meskill	REP	65	63	96.92	0	0.00
Miner	REP	88	84	95.45	1	1.14
Oakes	REP	81	72	88.89	3	3.70
Parker, B	REP	118	111	94.07	1	0.85
Raggi	REP	129	121	93.80	4	3.10
Walker	REP	183	168	91.80	4	2.19
Wesley	REP	91	88	96.70	1	1.10
Winter	REP	120	109	90.83	5	4.17
AVERAGE				93.57%		2.14%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
UNANIMITY

Party Appointee
(Page 2 of 2)

Judge Name	Divided – in Majority	% of Total	Concurrence	% of Total
Cabranes	10	5.78	3	1.73
Calabresi	4	2.13	7	3.72
Feinberg	2	2.35	0	0.00
Katzmann	4	2.61	4	2.61
Kearse	5	3.60	1	0.72
Leval	6	5.17	5	4.31
Newman	2	2.11	2	2.11
Parker, F	4	4.82	3	3.61
Pooler	9	4.69	1	0.52
Sack	14	7.14	4	2.04
Sotomayor	7	3.23	2	0.92
Straub	3	2.01	2	1.34
AVERAGE		3.92%		1.90%
Cardamone	3	2.94	0	0.00
Hall	2	2.11	0	0.00
Jacobs	6	3.39	2	1.13
McLaughlin	4	3.81	1	0.95
Meskill	1	1.54	1	1.54
Miner	3	3.41	0	0.00
Oakes	2	2.47	4	4.94
Parker, B	6	5.08	0	0.00
Raggi	3	2.33	1	0.78
Walker	5	2.73	6	3.28
Wesley	1	1.10	1	1.10
Winter	5	4.17	1	0.83
AVERAGE		3.03%		1.26%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
UNANIMITY

Experience on Lower Court
(Page 1 of 2)

Judge Name	Former Lower Court Judge?	Total Cases	Unanimous	% of Total	Dissents	% of Total
Cabranes	Yes	173	158	91.33	2	1.16
Cardamone	Yes	102	97	95.10	2	1.96
Feinberg	Yes	85	82	96.47	1	1.18
Leval	Yes	116	102	87.93	3	2.59
McLaughlin	Yes	105	99	94.29	1	0.95
Miner	Yes	88	84	95.45	1	1.14
Newman	Yes	95	90	94.74	1	1.05
Oakes	Yes	81	72	88.89	3	3.70
Parker, B	Yes	118	111	94.07	1	0.85
Parker, F	Yes	83	75	90.36	1	1.20
Pooler	Yes	192	180	93.75	2	1.04
Raggi	Yes	129	121	93.80	4	3.10
Sotomayor	Yes	217	204	94.01	4	1.84
Walker	Yes	183	168	91.80	4	2.19
	AVERAGE	126.21	117.36	92.98%	2.14	1.70%
Calabresi	No	188	175	93.09	2	1.06
Hall	No	95	93	97.89	0	0.00
Jacobs	No	177	162	91.53	7	3.95
Katzmann	No	153	143	93.46	2	1.31
Kearse	No	139	131	94.24	2	1.44
Meskill	No	65	63	96.92	0	0.00
Sack	No	196	174	88.78	4	2.04
Straub	No	149	139	93.29	5	3.36
Wesley	No	91	88	96.70	1	1.10
Winter	No	120	109	90.83	5	4.17
	AVERAGE	137.30	127.70	93.01%	2.80	2.04%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
UNANIMITY

Experience on Lower Court
(Page 2 of 2)

Judge Name	Divided – in Majority	% of Total	Concurrence	% of Total
Cabranes	10	5.78	3	1.73
Cardamone	3	2.94	0	0.00
Feinberg	2	2.35	0	0.00
Leval	6	5.17	5	4.31
McLaughlin	4	3.81	1	0.95
Miner	3	3.41	0	0.00
Newman	2	2.11	2	2.11
Oakes	2	2.47	4	4.94
Parker, B	6	5.08	0	0.00
Parker, F	4	4.82	3	3.61
Pooler	9	4.69	1	0.52
Raggi	3	2.33	1	0.78
Sotomayor	7	3.23	2	0.92
Walker	5	2.73	6	3.28
	4.71	3.74%	2.00	1.58%
Calabresi	4	2.13	7	3.72
Hall	2	2.11	0	0.00
Jacobs	6	3.39	2	1.13
Katzmann	4	2.61	4	2.61
Kearse	5	3.60	1	0.72
Meskill	1	1.54	1	1.54
Sack	14	7.14	4	2.04
Straub	3	2.01	2	1.34
Wesley	1	1.10	1	1.10
Winter	5	4.17	1	0.83
	4.50	3.28%	2.30	1.68%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
UNANIMITY

Former Prosecutor
(Page 1 of 2)

Judge Name	Former Prosecutor?	Total Cases	Unanimous	% of Total	Dissents	% of Total	Divided – in Majority	% of Total
Hall	Yes	95	93	97.89	0	0.00	2	2.11
Leval	Yes	116	102	87.93	3	2.59	6	5.17
Miner	Yes	88	84	95.45	1	1.14	3	3.41
Oakes	Yes	81	72	88.89	3	3.70	2	2.47
F. Parker	Yes	83	75	90.36	1	1.20	4	4.82
Raggi	Yes	129	121	93.80	4	3.10	3	2.33
Sotomayor	Yes	217	204	94.01	4	1.84	7	3.23
Walker	Yes	183	168	91.80	4	2.19	5	2.73
Wesley	Yes	91	88	96.70	1	1.10	1	1.10
AVERAGE				92.98%		1.94%		3.05%
Cabranes	No	173	158	91.33	2	1.16	10	5.78
Calabresi	No	188	175	93.09	2	1.06	4	2.13
Cardamone	No	102	97	95.10	2	1.96	3	2.94
Feinberg	No	85	82	96.47	1	1.18	2	2.35
Jacobs	No	177	162	91.53	7	3.95	6	3.39
Katzmann	No	153	143	93.46	2	1.31	4	2.61
Kearse	No	139	131	94.24	2	1.44	5	3.60
McLaughlin	No	105	99	94.29	1	0.95	4	3.81
Meskill	No	65	63	96.92	0	0.00	1	1.54
Newman	No	95	90	94.74	1	1.05	2	2.11
B. Parker	No	118	111	94.07	1	0.85	6	5.08
Pooler	No	192	180	93.75	2	1.04	9	4.69
Sack	No	196	174	88.78	4	2.04	14	7.14
Straub	No	149	139	93.29	5	3.36	3	2.01
Winter	No	120	109	90.83	5	4.17	5	4.17
AVERAGE				93.00%		1.80%		3.79%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
UNANIMITY

Former Prosecutor
(Page 2 of 2)

Judge Name	Concurrence	% of Total
Hall	0	0.00
Leval	5	4.31
Miner	0	0.00
Oakes	4	4.94
F. Parker	3	3.61
Raggi	1	0.78
Sotomayor	2	0.92
Walker	6	3.28
Wesley	1	1.10
AVERAGE		2.03%
Cabranes	3	1.73
Calabresi	7	3.72
Cardamone	0	0.00
Feinberg	0	0.00
Jacobs	2	1.13
Katzmann	4	2.61
Kearse	1	0.72
McLaughlin	1	0.95
Meskill	1	1.54
Newman	2	2.11
B. Parker	0	0.00
Pooler	1	0.52
Sack	4	2.04
Straub	2	1.34
Winter	1	0.83
AVERAGE		1.41%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

General
(Page 1 of 3)

Judge Name	Total Cases	Total Upheld	% of Total	Upheld Federal	% of Upheld	Upheld State	%of Upheld	Upheld Local	% of Upheld
Cabranes	173	121	69.94	85	70.25	19	15.70	17	14.05
Calabresi	188	120	63.83	75	62.50	29	24.17	16	13.33
Cardamone	102	63	61.76	38	60.32	16	25.40	9	14.29
Feinberg	85	57	67.06	38	66.67	11	19.30	8	14.04
Hall	95	67	70.53	44	65.67	14	20.90	9	13.43
Jacobs	177	128	72.32	72	56.25	29	22.66	27	21.09
Katzmann	153	107	69.93	66	61.68	26	24.30	15	14.02
Kearse	139	94	67.63	48	51.06	23	24.47	23	24.47
Leval	116	72	62.07	37	51.39	20	27.78	15	20.83
McLaughlin	105	69	65.71	42	60.87	15	21.74	12	17.39
Meskill	65	47	72.31	25	53.19	11	23.40	11	23.40
Miner	88	50	56.82	28	56.00	11	22.00	11	22.00
Newman	95	64	67.37	34	53.13	14	21.88	16	25.00
Oakes	81	51	62.96	23	45.10	12	23.53	16	31.37
B. Parker	118	80	67.80	48	60.00	17	21.25	15	18.75
F.Parker	83	45	54.22	18	40.00	15	33.33	12	26.67
Pooler	192	108	56.25	66	61.11	21	19.44	21	19.44
Raggi	129	101	78.29	66	65.35	21	20.79	14	13.86
Sack	196	122	62.24	63	51.64	33	27.05	26	21.31
Sotomayor	217	134	61.75	69	51.49	32	23.88	33	24.63
Straub	149	98	65.77	63	64.29	16	16.33	19	19.39
Walker	183	115	62.84	66	57.39	28	24.35	21	18.26
Wesley	91	66	72.53	35	53.03	13	19.70	18	27.27
Winter	120	83	69.17	47	56.63	20	24.10	16	19.28
AVERAGE			65.67%		58.00%		22.60%		19.40%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

General
(Page 2 of 3)

Judge Name	Total Overturned	% of Total	Overtured Federal	% of Overtured	Overtured State	% of Overtured	Overtured Local	% of Overtured
Cabranes	22	12.72	14	63.64	4	18.18	4	36.75
Calabresi	31	16.49	19	61.29	4	12.90	8	25.81
Cardamone	21	20.59	9	42.86	6	28.57	6	28.57
Feinberg	17	20.00	12	70.59	4	23.53	1	5.88
Hall	16	16.84	8	50.00	2	12.50	6	37.50
Jacobs	28	15.82	10	35.71	10	35.71	8	28.57
Katzmann	22	14.38	16	72.73	1	4.55	5	22.73
Kearse	21	15.11	10	47.62	7	33.33	4	19.05
Leval	23	19.83	11	47.83	5	21.74	7	30.43
McLaughlin	13	12.38	8	61.54	2	15.38	3	23.08
Meskill	9	13.85	4	44.44	2	22.22	3	33.33
Miner	14	15.91	9	64.29	2	14.29	3	21.43
Newman	16	16.84	11	68.75	4	25.00	1	6.25
Oakes	14	17.28	6	42.86	4	28.57	4	28.57
B. Parker	22	18.64	10	45.45	5	22.73	7	31.82
F.Parker	16	19.28	8	50.00	6	37.50	2	12.50
Pooler	49	25.52	21	42.86	9	18.37	19	38.78
Raggi	15	11.63	9	60.00	3	20.00	3	20.00
Sack	37	18.88	20	54.05	9	24.32	8	21.62
Sotomayor	46	21.20	22	47.83	9	19.57	15	32.61
Straub	22	14.77	12	54.55	6	27.27	4	18.18
Walker	44	24.04	17	38.64	9	20.45	18	40.91
Wesley	11	12.09	8	72.73	0	0.00	3	27.27
Winter	20	16.67	9	45.00	7	35.00	4	20.00
AVERAGE		17.48%		51.55%		21.86%		26.59%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

General
(Page 3 of 3)

Judge Name	No Const. Determination	% of Total	Two Private Actors	% of Total	Revived Const. Claim	% of Total	Reversed Lower Court & Remand	% of Total
Cabranes	8	4.62	9	5.20	9	5.20	4	2.31
Calabresi	13	6.91	6	3.19	15	7.98	3	1.60
Cardamone	4	3.92	5	4.90	7	6.86	2	1.96
Feinberg	7	8.24	1	1.18	2	2.35	1	1.18
Hall	5	5.26	4	4.21	2	2.11	1	1.05
Jacobs	8	4.52	9	5.08	1	0.56	3	1.69
Katzmann	8	5.23	3	1.96	7	4.58	6	3.92
Kearse	6	4.32	1	0.72	11	7.91	6	4.32
Leval	10	8.62	1	0.86	6	5.17	4	3.45
McLaughlin	6	5.71	5	4.76	9	8.57	3	2.86
Meskill	5	7.69	2	3.08	2	3.08	0	0.00
Miner	10	11.36	3	3.41	6	6.82	5	5.68
Newman	4	4.21	3	3.16	7	7.37	1	1.05
Oakes	7	8.64	3	3.70	4	4.94	2	2.47
B. Parker	2	1.69	2	1.69	10	8.47	2	1.69
F.Parker	7	8.43	6	7.23	4	4.82	5	6.02
Pooler	10	5.21	9	4.69	11	5.73	5	2.60
Raggi	5	3.88	3	2.33	3	2.33	2	1.55
Sack	9	4.59	6	3.06	17	8.67	5	2.55
Sotomayor	11	5.07	8	3.69	15	6.91	3	1.38
Straub	13	8.72	5	3.36	10	6.71	1	0.67
Walker	11	6.01	4	2.19	9	4.92	0	0.00
Wesley	6	6.59	3	3.30	4	4.40	1	1.10
Winter	7	5.83	2	1.67	6	5.00	2	1.67
AVERAGE		5.80%		3.28%		5.64%		2.13%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

Party Appointee
(Page 1 of 4)

Judge Name	What Party Appointee?	Total Cases	Total Upheld	% of Total	Upheld Federal	% of Upheld	Upheld State	%of Upheld
Cabranes	DEM	173	121	69.94	85	70.25	19	15.70
Calabresi	DEM	188	120	63.83	75	62.50	29	24.17
Feinberg	DEM	85	57	67.06	38	66.67	11	19.30
Katzmann	DEM	153	107	69.93	66	61.68	26	24.30
Kearse	DEM	139	94	67.63	48	51.06	23	24.47
Leval	DEM	116	72	62.07	37	51.39	20	27.78
Newman	DEM	95	64	67.37	34	53.13	14	21.88
F. Parker	DEM	83	45	54.22	18	40.00	15	33.33
Pooler	DEM	192	108	56.25	66	61.11	21	19.44
Sack	DEM	196	122	62.24	63	51.64	33	27.05
Sotomayor	DEM	217	134	61.75	69	51.49	32	23.88
Straub	DEM	149	98	65.77	63	64.29	16	16.33
AVERAGE				63.94%		57.97%		22.68%
Cardamone	REP	102	63	61.76	38	60.32	16	25.40
Hall	REP	95	67	70.53	44	65.67	14	20.90
Jacobs	REP	177	128	72.32	72	56.25	29	22.66
McLaughlin	REP	105	69	65.71	42	60.87	14	20.29
Meskill	REP	65	47	72.31	25	53.19	11	23.40
Miner	REP	88	50	56.82	28	56.00	11	22.00
Oakes	REP	81	51	62.96	23	45.10	12	23.53
B. Parker	REP	118	80	67.80	48	60.00	17	21.25
Raggi	REP	129	101	78.29	66	65.35	21	20.79
Walker	REP	183	115	62.84	66	57.39	28	24.35
Wesley	REP	91	66	72.53	35	53.03	13	19.70
Winter	REP	120	83	69.17	47	56.63	20	24.10
AVERAGE				67.95%		58.04%		22.50%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

Party Appointee

Judge Name	Upheld Local	% of Upheld	Total Overturned	% of Total	Overturned Federal	% of Overturned	Overturned State	% of Overturned
Cabranes	17	14.05	22	12.72	14	63.64	4	18.18
Calabresi	16	13.33	31	16.49	19	61.29	4	12.90
Feinberg	8	14.04	17	20.00	12	70.59	4	23.53
Katzmann	15	14.02	22	14.38	16	72.73	1	4.55
Kearse	23	24.47	21	15.11	10	47.62	7	33.33
Leval	15	20.83	23	19.83	11	47.83	5	21.74
Newman	16	25.00	16	16.84	11	68.75	4	25.00
F. Parker	12	26.67	16	19.28	8	50.00	6	37.50
Pooler	21	19.44	49	25.52	21	42.86	9	18.37
Sack	26	21.31	37	18.88	20	54.05	9	24.32
Sotomayor	33	24.63	46	21.20	22	47.83	9	19.57
Straub	19	19.39	22	14.77	12	54.55	6	27.27
AVERAGE		19.35%		18.03%		54.66%		21.12%
Cardamone	9	14.29	21	20.59	9	42.86	6	28.57
Hall	9	13.43	16	16.84	8	50.00	2	12.50
Jacobs	27	21.09	28	15.82	10	35.71	10	35.71
McLaughlin	12	17.39	13	12.38	8	61.54	2	15.38
Meskill	11	23.40	9	13.85	4	44.44	2	22.22
Miner	11	22.00	14	15.91	9	64.29	2	14.29
Oakes	16	31.37	14	17.28	6	42.86	4	28.57
B. Parker	15	18.75	22	18.64	10	45.45	5	22.73
Raggi	14	13.86	15	11.63	9	60.00	3	20.00
Walker	19	16.52	44	24.04	17	38.64	9	20.45
Wesley	18	27.27	11	12.09	8	72.73	0	0.00
Winter	16	19.28	20	16.67	9	45.00	7	35.00
AVERAGE		19.24%		16.77%		47.14%		22.91%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

Party Appointee

Judge Name	Overtured Local	% of Overtured	No Const. Determination	% of Total	Two Private Actors	% of Total
Cabranes	4	36.75	8	4.62	9	5.20
Calabresi	8	25.81	13	6.91	6	3.19
Feinberg	1	5.88	7	8.24	1	1.18
Katzmann	5	22.73	8	5.23	3	1.96
Kearse	4	19.05	6	4.32	1	0.72
Leval	7	30.43	10	8.62	1	0.86
Newman	1	6.25	4	4.21	3	3.16
F. Parker	2	12.50	7	8.43	6	7.23
Pooler	19	38.78	10	5.21	9	4.69
Sack	8	21.62	9	4.59	6	3.06
Sotomayor	15	32.61	11	5.07	8	3.69
Straub	4	18.18	13	8.72	5	3.36
AVERAGE		24.22%		5.94%		3.25%
Cardamone	6	28.57	4	3.92	5	4.90
Hall	6	37.50	5	5.26	4	4.21
Jacobs	8	28.57	8	4.52	9	5.08
McLaughlin	3	23.08	6	5.71	5	4.76
Meskill	3	33.33	5	7.69	2	3.08
Miner	3	21.43	10	11.36	3	3.41
Oakes	4	28.57	7	8.64	3	3.70
B. Parker	7	31.82	2	1.69	2	1.69
Raggi	3	20.00	5	3.88	3	2.33
Walker	18	40.91	11	6.01	4	2.19
Wesley	3	27.27	6	6.59	3	3.30
Winter	4	20.00	7	5.83	2	1.67
AVERAGE		29.96%		5.61%		3.32%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

Party Appointee

Judge Name	Revived Const. Claim	% of Total	Reversed Lower Ct. & Remand	% of Total
Cabranes	9	5.20	4	2.31
Calabresi	15	7.98	3	1.60
Feinberg	2	2.35	1	1.18
Katzmann	7	4.58	6	3.92
Kearse	11	7.91	6	4.32
Leval	6	5.17	4	3.45
Newman	7	7.37	1	1.05
F. Parker	4	4.82	5	6.02
Pooler	11	5.73	5	2.60
Sack	17	8.67	5	2.55
Sotomayor	15	6.91	3	1.38
Straub	10	6.71	1	0.67
AVERAGE		6.38%		2.46%
Cardamone	7	6.86	2	1.96
Hall	2	2.11	1	1.05
Jacobs	1	0.56	3	1.69
McLaughlin	9	8.57	3	2.86
Meskill	2	3.08	0	0.00
Miner	6	6.82	5	5.68
Oakes	4	4.94	2	2.47
B. Parker	10	8.47	2	1.69
Raggi	3	2.33	2	1.55
Walker	9	4.92	0	0.00
Wesley	4	4.40	1	1.10
Winter	6	5.00	2	1.67
AVERAGE		4.65%		1.70%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

Experience on Lower Court

Judge Name	Former Lower Court Judge?	Total Cases	Total Upheld	% of Total	Upheld Federal	% of Upheld	Upheld State	%of Upheld
Cabranes	Yes	173	121	69.94	85	70.25	19	15.70
Cardamone	Yes	102	63	61.76	38	60.32	16	25.40
Feinberg	Yes	85	57	67.06	38	66.67	11	19.30
Leval	Yes	116	72	62.07	37	51.39	20	27.78
McLaughlin	Yes	105	69	65.71	42	60.87	15	21.74
Miner	Yes	88	50	56.82	28	56.00	11	22.00
Newman	Yes	95	64	67.37	34	53.13	14	21.88
Oakes	Yes	81	51	62.96	23	45.10	12	23.53
Parker, B	Yes	118	80	67.80	48	60.00	17	21.25
Parker, F	Yes	83	45	54.22	18	40.00	15	33.33
Pooler	Yes	192	108	56.25	66	61.11	21	19.44
Raggi	Yes	129	101	78.29	66	65.35	21	20.79
Sotomayor	Yes	217	134	61.75	69	51.49	32	23.88
Walker	Yes	183	115	62.84	66	57.39	28	24.35
AVERAGE				63.95%		58.23%		22.30%
Calabresi	No	188	120	63.83	75	62.50	29	24.17
Hall	No	95	67	70.53	44	65.67	14	20.90
Jacobs	No	177	128	72.32	72	56.25	29	22.66
Katzmann	No	153	107	69.93	66	61.68	26	24.30
Kearse	No	139	94	67.63	48	51.06	23	24.47
Sack	No	196	122	62.24	63	51.46	33	27.05
Meskill	No	65	47	72.31	25	53.19	11	23.40
Straub	No	149	98	65.77	63	64.29	16	16.33
Wesley	No	91	66	72.53	35	53.03	13	19.70
Winter	No	120	83	69.17	47	56.63	20	24.10
AVERAGE				67.88%		57.73%		22.96%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

Experience on Lower Court

Judge Name	Upheld Local	% of Upheld	Total Over-turned	% of Total	Over-turned Federal	% of Overturned	Over-turned State	% of Over-turned
Cabranes	17	14.05	22	12.72	14	63.64	4	18.18
Cardamone	9	14.29	21	20.59	9	42.86	6	28.57
Feinberg	8	14.04	17	20.00	12	70.59	4	23.53
Leval	15	20.83	23	19.83	11	47.83	5	21.74
McLaughlin	12	17.39	13	12.38	8	61.54	2	15.38
Miner	11	22.00	14	15.91	9	64.29	2	14.29
Newman	16	25.00	16	16.84	11	68.75	4	25.00
Oakes	16	31.37	14	17.28	6	42.86	4	28.57
Parker, B	15	18.75	22	18.64	10	45.45	5	22.73
Parker, F	12	26.67	16	19.28	8	50.00	6	37.50
Pooler	21	19.44	49	25.52	21	42.86	9	18.37
Raggi	14	13.86	15	11.63	9	60.00	3	20.00
Sotomayor	33	24.63	46	21.20	22	47.83	9	19.57
Walker	21	18.26	44	24.04	17	38.64	9	20.45
AVERAGE		19.47%		18.79%		50.30%		21.69%
Calabresi	16	13.33	31	16.49	19	61.29	4	12.90
Hall	9	13.43	16	16.84	8	50.00	2	12.50
Jacobs	27	21.09	28	15.82	10	35.71	10	35.71
Katzmann	15	14.02	22	14.38	16	72.73	1	4.55
Kearse	23	24.47	21	15.11	10	47.62	7	33.33
Sack	26	21.31	37	18.88	20	54.05	9	24.32
Meskill	11	23.40	9	13.85	4	44.44	2	22.22
Straub	19	19.39	22	14.77	12	54.55	6	27.27
Wesley	18	27.27	11	12.09	8	72.73	0	0.00
Winter	16	19.28	20	16.67	9	45.00	7	35.00
AVERAGE		19.31%		15.80%		53.46%		22.12%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

Experience on Lower Court

Judge Name	Overtured Local	% of Overtured	No Const. Determination	% of Total	Two Private Actors	% of Total
Cabranes	4	36.75	8	4.62	9	5.20
Cardamone	6	28.57	4	3.92	5	4.90
Feinberg	1	5.88	7	8.24	1	1.18
Leval	7	30.43	10	8.62	1	0.86
McLaughlin	3	23.08	6	5.71	5	4.76
Miner	3	21.43	10	11.36	3	3.41
Newman	1	6.25	4	4.21	3	3.16
Oakes	4	28.57	7	8.64	3	3.70
Parker, B	7	31.82	2	1.69	2	1.69
Parker, F	2	12.50	7	8.43	6	7.23
Pooler	19	38.78	10	5.21	9	4.69
Raggi	3	20.00	5	3.88	3	2.33
Sotomayor	15	32.61	11	5.07	8	3.69
Walker	18	40.91	11	6.01	4	2.19
AVERAGE		28.01%		5.77%		3.51%
Calabresi	8	25.81	13	6.91	6	3.19
Hall	6	37.50	5	5.26	4	4.21
Jacobs	8	28.57	8	4.52	9	5.08
Katzmann	5	22.73	8	5.23	3	1.96
Kearse	4	19.05	6	4.32	1	0.72
Meskill	3	33.33	5	7.69	2	3.08
Sack	8	21.62	9	4.59	6	3.06
Straub	4	18.18	13	8.72	5	3.36
Wesley	3	27.27	6	6.59	3	3.30
Winter	4	39.64	7	5.83	2	1.67
AVERAGE		24.42%		5.83%		2.99%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

Experience on Lower Court

Judge Name	Revived Const. Claim	% of Total	Reversed Lower Ct. & Remand	% of Total
Cabranes	9	5.20	4	2.31
Cardamone	7	6.86	2	1.96
Feinberg	2	2.35	1	1.18
Leval	6	5.17	4	3.45
McLaughlin	9	8.57	3	2.86
Miner	6	6.82	5	5.68
Newman	7	7.37	1	1.05
Oakes	4	4.94	2	2.47
Parker, B	10	8.47	2	1.69
Parker, F	4	4.82	5	6.02
Pooler	11	5.73	5	2.60
Raggi	3	2.33	2	1.55
Sotomayor	15	6.91	3	1.38
Walker	9	4.92	0	0.00
AVERAGE		5.77%		2.21%
Calabresi	15	7.98	3	1.60
Hall	2	2.11	1	1.05
Jacobs	1	0.56	3	1.69
Katzmann	7	4.58	6	3.92
Kearse	11	7.91	6	4.32
Sack	17	8.67	5	2.55
Meskill	2	3.08	0	0.00
Straub	10	6.71	1	0.67
Wesley	4	4.40	1	1.10
Winter	6	5.00	2	1.67
AVERAGE		5.46%		2.04%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

Former Prosecutor

Judge Name	Former Prosecutor?	Total Cases	Total Upheld	% of Total	Upheld Federal	% of Upheld	Upheld State	%of Upheld
Hall	Yes	95	67	70.53	44	65.67	14	20.90
Leval	Yes	116	72	62.07	37	51.39	20	27.78
Miner	Yes	88	50	56.82	28	56.00	11	22.00
Oakes	Yes	81	51	62.96	23	45.10	12	23.53
F. Parker	Yes	83	45	54.22	18	40.00	15	33.33
Raggi	Yes	129	101	78.29	66	65.35	21	20.79
Sotomayor	Yes	217	134	61.75	69	51.49	32	23.88
Walker	Yes	183	115	62.84	66	57.39	28	24.35
Wesley	Yes	91	66	72.53	35	53.03	13	19.70
AVERAGE				64.73%		55.06%		23.68%
Cabranes	No	173	121	69.94	85	70.25	19	15.70
Calabresi	No	188	120	63.83	75	62.50	29	24.17
Cardamone	No	102	63	61.76	38	60.32	16	25.40
Feinberg	No	85	57	67.06	38	66.67	11	19.30
Jacobs	No	177	128	72.32	72	56.25	29	22.66
Katzmann	No	153	107	69.93	66	61.68	26	24.30
Kearse	No	139	94	67.63	48	51.06	23	24.47
McLaughlin	No	105	69	65.71	42	60.87	15	21.74
Meskill	No	65	47	72.31	25	53.19	11	23.40
Newman	No	95	64	67.37	34	53.13	14	21.88
B. Parker	No	118	80	67.80	48	60.00	17	21.25
Pooler	No	192	108	56.25	66	61.11	21	19.44
Sack	No	196	122	62.24	63	51.64	33	27.05
Straub	No	149	98	65.77	63	64.29	16	16.33
Winter	No	120	83	69.17	47	56.63	20	24.10
AVERAGE				66.16%		59.52%		22.04%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

Former Prosecutor

Judge Name	Upheld Local	% of Upheld	Total Overturned	% of Total	Over-turned Federal	% of Over-turned	Over-turned State	% of Overturned
Hall	9	13.43	16	16.84	8	50.00	2	12.50
Leval	15	20.83	23	19.83	11	47.83	5	21.74
Miner	11	22.00	14	15.91	9	64.29	2	14.29
Oakes	16	31.37	14	17.28	6	42.86	4	28.57
F. Parker	12	26.67	16	19.28	8	50.00	6	37.50
Raggi	14	13.86	15	11.63	9	60.00	3	20.00
Sotomayor	33	24.63	46	21.20	22	47.83	9	19.57
Walker	21	18.26	44	24.04	17	38.64	9	20.45
Wesley	18	27.27	11	12.09	8	72.73	0	0.00
AVERAGE		21.26%		18.37%		49.25%		20.10%
Cabranes	17	14.05	22	12.72	14	63.64	4	18.18
Calabresi	16	13.33	31	16.49	19	61.29	4	12.90
Cardamone	9	14.29	21	20.59	9	42.86	6	28.57
Feinberg	8	14.04	17	20.00	12	70.59	4	23.53
Jacobs	27	21.09	28	15.82	10	35.71	10	35.71
Katzmann	15	14.02	22	14.38	16	72.73	1	4.55
Kearse	23	24.47	21	15.11	10	47.62	7	33.33
McLaughlin	12	17.39	13	12.38	8	61.54	2	15.38
Meskill	11	23.40	9	13.85	4	44.44	2	22.22
Newman	16	25.00	16	16.84	11	68.75	4	25.00
B. Parker	15	18.75	22	18.64	10	45.45	5	22.73
Pooler	21	19.44	49	25.52	21	42.86	9	18.37
Sack	26	21.31	37	18.88	20	54.04	9	24.32
Straub	19	19.39	22	14.77	12	54.55	6	27.27
Winter	16	19.28	20	16.67	9	45.00	4	20.00
AVERAGE		18.44%		17.02%		52.86%		24.29%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
GOVERNMENTAL ACTION

Former Prosecutor

Judge Name	Over- turned Local	% of Over- turned	No Const. Determ.	% of Total	Two Private Actors	% of Total	Revived Const. Claim	% of Total	Reversed Lower Ct. & Remand	% of Total
Hall	6	37.50	5	5.26	4	4.21	2	2.11	1	1.05
Leval	7	30.43	10	8.62	1	0.86	6	5.17	4	3.45
Miner	3	21.43	10	11.36	3	3.41	6	6.82	5	5.68
Oakes	4	28.57	7	8.64	3	3.70	4	4.94	2	2.47
F. Parker	2	12.50	7	8.43	6	7.23	4	4.82	5	6.02
Raggi	3	20.00	5	3.88	3	2.33	3	2.33	2	1.55
Sotomayor	15	32.61	11	5.07	8	3.69	15	6.91	3	1.38
Walker	18	40.91	11	6.01	4	2.19	9	4.92	0	0.00
Wesley	3	27.27	6	6.59	3	3.30	4	4.40	1	1.10
AVERAGE		30.65%		6.65%		3.23%		4.89%		2.12%
Cabranes	4	36.75	8	4.62	9	5.20	9	5.20	4	2.31
Calabresi	8	25.81	13	6.91	6	3.19	15	7.98	3	1.60
Cardamone	6	28.57	4	3.92	5	4.90	7	6.86	2	1.96
Feinberg	1	5.88	7	8.24	1	1.18	2	2.35	1	1.18
Jacobs	8	28.57	8	4.52	9	5.08	1	0.56	3	1.69
Katzmann	5	22.73	8	5.23	3	1.96	7	4.58	6	3.92
Kearse	4	19.05	6	4.32	1	0.72	11	7.91	6	4.32
McLaughlin	3	23.08	6	5.71	5	4.76	9	8.57	3	2.86
Meskill	3	33.33	5	7.69	2	3.08	2	3.08	0	0.00
Newman	1	6.25	4	4.21	3	3.16	7	7.37	1	1.05
B. Parker	7	31.82	2	1.69	2	1.69	10	8.47	2	1.69
Pooler	19	38.78	10	5.21	9	4.69	11	5.73	5	2.60
Sack	8	21.62	9	4.59	6	3.06	17	8.67	5	2.55
Straub	4	18.18	13	8.72	5	3.36	10	6.71	1	0.67
Winter	4	20.00	7	5.83	2	1.67	6	5.00	2	1.67
AVERAGE		24.29%		5.35%		3.31%		6.03%		2.14%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
LOWER COURT

General
(Page 1 of 2)

Judge Name	Total Cases	Total Upheld Lower Court/Agency	% of Total	Affirmed Dist. Ct.	% of Affirmed	Affirmed Agency	% of Affirmed
Cabranes	173	118	68.21	84	71.19	34	28.81
Calabresi	188	116	61.70	87	75.00	29	25.00
Cardamone	102	66	64.71	55	83.33	11	16.67
Feinberg	85	61	71.76	50	81.97	11	18.03
Hall	95	71	74.74	48	67.61	23	32.39
Jacobs	177	124	70.06	100	80.65	24	19.35
Katzmann	153	108	70.59	84	77.78	24	22.22
Kearse	139	87	62.59	73	83.91	14	16.09
Leval	116	66	56.90	59	89.39	7	10.61
McLaughlin	105	62	59.05	50	80.65	12	19.35
Meskill	65	47	72.31	36	76.60	11	23.40
Miner	88	53	60.23	43	81.13	10	18.87
Newman	95	58	61.05	46	79.31	12	20.69
Oakes	81	46	56.79	40	86.96	6	13.04
Parker, B	118	81	68.64	62	76.54	19	23.46
Parker, F	83	41	49.40	39	95.12	2	4.88
Pooler	192	112	58.33	92	82.14	20	17.86
Raggi	129	98	75.97	76	77.55	22	22.45
Sack	196	123	62.76	95	77.24	28	22.76
Sotomayor	217	141	64.98	113	80.14	28	19.86
Straub	149	99	66.44	81	81.82	18	18.18
Walker	183	115	62.84	97	84.35	18	15.65
Wesley	91	67	73.63	48	71.64	19	28.36
Winter	120	74	61.67	57	77.03	17	22.97
AVERAGE			64.78%		79.40%		20.60%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
LOWER COURT

General
(Page 2 of 2)

Judge Name	Total Overruled Lower Court/Agency	% of Total	Overruled Dist. Ct.	% of Overruled	Overruled Agency	% of Overruled
Cabranes	53	30.64	49	92.45	4	7.55
Calabresi	72	38.30	68	94.44	4	5.56
Cardamone	36	35.29	35	97.22	1	2.78
Feinberg	24	28.24	21	87.50	3	12.50
Hall	24	25.26	22	91.67	2	8.33
Jacobs	53	29.94	52	98.11	1	1.89
Katzmann	44	28.76	38	86.36	6	13.64
Kearse	52	37.41	49	94.23	3	5.77
Leval	50	43.10	49	98.00	1	2.00
McLaughlin	43	40.95	41	95.35	2	4.65
Meskill	18	27.69	18	100.00	0	0.00
Miner	35	39.77	34	97.14	1	2.86
Newman	37	38.95	35	94.59	2	5.41
Oakes	35	43.21	34	97.14	1	2.86
Parker, B	37	31.36	34	91.89	3	8.11
Parker, F	42	50.60	42	100.00	0	0.00
Pooler	79	41.15	75	94.94	4	5.06
Raggi	31	24.03	29	93.55	2	6.45
Sack	73	37.24	70	95.89	3	4.11
Sotomayor	76	35.02	70	92.11	6	7.89
Straub	49	32.89	47	95.92	2	4.08
Walker	68	37.16	65	95.59	3	4.41
Wesley	24	26.37	22	91.67	2	8.33
Winter	46	38.33	44	95.65	2	4.35
AVERAGE		35.06%		94.73%		5.27%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
LOWER COURT

Party Appointee
(Page 1 of 3)

Judge Name	What Party Appointee?	Total Cases	Total Upheld Lower Ct/Agy	% of Total	Affirmed Dist. Ct.	% of Affirmed
Cabranes	DEM	173	118	68.21	84	71.19
Calabresi	DEM	188	116	61.70	87	75.00
Feinberg	DEM	85	61	71.76	50	81.97
Katzmann	DEM	153	108	70.59	84	77.78
Kearse	DEM	139	87	62.59	73	83.91
Leval	DEM	116	66	56.90	59	89.39
Newman	DEM	95	58	61.05	46	79.31
Parker, F	DEM	83	41	49.40	39	95.12
Pooler	DEM	192	112	58.33	92	82.14
Sack	DEM	196	123	62.76	95	77.24
Sotomayor	DEM	217	141	64.98	113	80.14
Straub	DEM	149	99	66.44	81	81.82
AVERAGE				63.27%		79.91%
Cardamone	REP	102	66	64.71	55	83.33
Hall	REP	95	71	74.74	48	67.61
Jacobs	REP	177	124	70.06	100	80.65
McLaughlin	REP	105	62	59.05	50	80.65
Meskill	REP	65	47	72.31	36	76.60
Miner	REP	88	53	60.23	43	81.13
Oakes	REP	81	46	56.79	40	86.96
Parker, B	REP	118	81	68.64	62	76.54
Raggi	REP	129	98	75.97	76	77.55
Walker	REP	183	115	62.84	97	84.35
Wesley	REP	91	67	73.63	48	71.64
Winter	REP	120	74	61.67	57	77.03
AVERAGE				66.77%		78.76%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
LOWER COURT

Party Appointee
(Page 2 of 3)

Judge Name	Affirmed Agency	% of Affirmed	Total Overruled Lower Ct/Agency	% of Total	Overruled Dist. Ct.	% of Overruled
Cabranes	34	28.81	53	30.64	49	89.09
Calabresi	29	25.00	72	38.30	68	94.44
Feinberg	11	18.03	24	28.24	21	87.50
Katzmann	24	22.22	44	28.76	38	84.44
Kearse	14	16.09	52	37.41	49	94.23
Leval	7	10.61	50	43.10	49	98.00
Newman	12	20.69	37	38.95	35	94.59
Parker, F	2	4.88	42	50.60	42	100.00
Pooler	20	17.86	79	41.15	75	94.94
Sack	28	22.76	73	37.24	70	95.89
Sotomayor	28	19.86	76	35.02	70	92.11
Straub	18	18.18	49	32.89	47	94.00
AVERAGE		20.09%		36.45%		94.16%
Cardamone	11	16.67	36	35.29	35	97.22
Hall	23	32.39	24	25.26	22	91.67
Jacobs	24	19.35	53	29.94	52	98.11
McLaughlin	12	19.35	43	40.95	41	95.35
Meskill	11	23.40	18	27.69	18	100.00
Miner	10	18.87	35	39.77	34	97.14
Oakes	6	13.04	35	43.21	34	97.14
Parker, B	19	23.46	37	31.36	34	91.89
Raggi	22	22.45	31	24.03	29	90.63
Walker	18	15.65	68	37.16	65	95.59
Wesley	19	28.36	24	26.37	22	91.67
Winter	17	22.97	46	38.33	44	95.65
AVERAGE		21.24%		32.23%		95.56%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
LOWER COURT

Party Appointee
(Page 3 of 3)

Judge Name	Overruled Agency	% of Overruled
Cabranes	4	7.27
Calabresi	4	5.56
Feinberg	3	12.50
Katzmann	6	13.33
Kearse	3	5.77
Leval	1	2.00
Newman	2	5.41
Parker, F	0	0.00
Pooler	4	5.06
Sack	3	4.11
Sotomayor	6	7.89
Straub	2	4.00
AVERAGE		5.84%
Cardamone	1	2.78
Hall	2	8.33
Jacobs	1	1.89
McLaughlin	2	4.65
Meskill	0	0.00
Miner	1	2.86
Oakes	1	2.86
Parker, B	3	8.11
Raggi	2	6.25
Walker	3	4.41
Wesley	2	8.33
Winter	2	4.35
AVERAGE		4.44%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
LOWER COURT

Experience on Lower Court
(Page 1 of 2)

Judge Name	Former Lower Court Judge?	Total Cases	Total Upheld Lower Ct/Agy	% of Total	Affirmed Dist. Ct.	% of Affirmed
Cabranes	Yes	173	118	68.21	84	71.19
Cardamone	Yes	102	66	64.71	55	83.33
Feinberg	Yes	85	61	71.76	50	81.97
Leval	Yes	116	66	56.90	59	89.39
McLaughlin	Yes	105	62	59.05	50	80.65
Miner	Yes	88	53	60.23	43	81.13
Newman	Yes	95	58	61.05	46	79.31
Oakes	Yes	81	46	56.79	40	86.96
Parker, B	Yes	118	81	68.64	62	76.54
Parker, F	Yes	83	41	49.40	39	95.12
Pooler	Yes	192	112	58.33	92	82.14
Raggi	Yes	129	98	75.97	76	77.55
Sotomayor	Yes	217	141	64.98	113	80.14
Walker	Yes	183	115	62.84	97	84.35
AVERAGE				63.27%		81.04%
Calabresi	No	188	116	61.70	87	75.00
Hall	No	95	71	74.74	48	67.61
Jacobs	No	177	124	70.06	100	80.65
Katzmann	No	153	108	70.59	84	77.78
Kearse	No	139	87	62.59	73	83.91
Meskill	No	65	47	72.31	36	76.60
Sack	No	196	123	62.76	95	77.24
Straub	No	149	99	66.44	81	81.82
Wesley	No	91	67	73.63	48	71.64
Winter	No	120	74	61.67	57	77.03
AVERAGE				66.72%		77.40%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
LOWER COURT

Experience on Lower Court
(Page 2 of 2)

Judge Name	Affirmed Agency	% of Affirmed	Total Over-ruled Lower Ct/Agency	% of Total	Over-ruled Dist. Ct.	% of Over-ruled	Over-ruled Agency	% of Over-ruled
Cabranes	34	28.81	53	30.64	49	92.45	4	7.55
Cardamone	11	16.67	36	35.29	35	97.22	1	2.78
Feinberg	11	18.03	24	28.24	21	87.50	3	12.50
Leval	7	10.61	50	43.10	49	98.00	1	2.00
McLaughlin	12	19.35	43	40.95	41	95.35	2	4.65
Miner	10	18.87	35	39.77	34	97.14	1	2.86
Newman	12	20.69	37	38.95	35	94.59	2	5.41
Oakes	6	13.04	35	43.21	34	97.14	1	2.86
Parker, B	19	23.46	37	31.36	34	91.89	3	8.11
Parker, F	2	4.88	42	50.60	42	100.00	0	0.00
Pooler	20	17.86	79	41.15	75	94.94	4	5.06
Raggi	22	22.45	31	24.03	29	93.55	2	6.45
Sotomayor	28	19.86	76	35.02	70	92.11	6	7.89
Walker	18	15.65	68	37.16	65	95.59	3	4.41
		18.96%		36.56%		94.89%		5.11%
Calabresi	29	25.00	72	38.30	68	94.44	4	5.56
Hall	23	32.39	24	25.26	22	91.67	2	8.33
Jacobs	24	19.35	53	29.94	52	98.11	1	1.89
Katzmann	24	22.22	44	28.76	38	84.44	6	13.33
Kearse	14	16.09	52	37.41	49	94.23	3	5.77
Meskill	11	23.40	18	27.69	18	100.00	0	0.00
Sack	28	22.76	73	37.24	70	95.89	3	4.11
Straub	18	18.18	49	32.89	47	94.00	2	4.00
Wesley	19	28.36	24	26.37	22	91.67	2	8.33
Winter	17	22.97	46	38.33	44	95.65	2	4.35
		22.60%		33.14%		94.51%		5.49%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
LOWER COURT

Former Prosecutor
(Page 1 of 2)

Judge Name	Former Prosecutor?	Total Cases	Total Upheld Lower Ct/Agy	% of Total	Affirmed Dist. Ct.	% of Affirmed
Hall	Yes	95	71	74.74	48	67.61
Leval	Yes	116	66	56.90	59	89.39
Miner	Yes	88	53	60.23	43	81.13
Oakes	Yes	81	46	56.79	40	86.96
F. Parker	Yes	83	41	49.40	39	95.12
Raggi	Yes	129	98	75.97	76	77.55
Sotomayor	Yes	217	141	64.98	113	80.14
Walker	Yes	183	115	62.84	97	84.35
Wesley	Yes	91	67	73.63	48	71.64
AVERAGE				64.45%		80.66%
Cabranes	No	173	118	68.21	84	71.19
Calabresi	No	188	116	61.70	87	75.00
Cardamone	No	102	66	64.71	55	83.33
Feinberg	No	85	61	71.76	50	81.97
Jacobs	No	177	124	70.06	100	80.65
Katzmann	No	153	108	70.59	84	77.78
Kearse	No	139	87	62.59	73	83.91
McLaughlin	No	105	62	59.05	50	80.65
Meskill	No	65	47	72.31	36	76.60
Newman	No	95	58	61.05	46	79.31
B. Parker	No	118	81	68.64	62	76.54
Pooler	No	192	112	58.33	92	82.14
Sack	No	196	123	62.76	95	77.24
Straub	No	149	99	66.44	81	81.82
Winter	No	120	74	61.67	57	77.03
AVERAGE				64.95%		78.74%

CONSTITUTIONAL DATASET:
LOWER COURT

Former Prosecutor
(Page 2 of 2)

Judge Name	Affirmed Agency	% of Affirmed	Total Over-ruled Lower Ct/Agency	% of Total	Over-ruled Dist. Ct.	% of Overruled	Over-ruled Agency	% of Overruled
Hall	23	32.39	24	25.26	22	91.67	2	8.33
Leval	7	10.61	50	43.10	49	98.00	1	2.00
Miner	10	18.87	35	39.77	34	97.14	1	2.86
Oakes	6	13.04	35	43.21	34	97.14	1	2.86
F. Parker	2	4.88	42	50.60	42	100.00	0	0.00
Raggi	22	22.45	31	24.03	29	93.55	2	6.45
Sotomayor	28	19.86	76	35.02	70	92.11	6	7.89
Walker	18	15.65	68	37.16	65	95.59	3	4.41
Wesley	19	28.36	24	26.37	22	91.67	2	8.33
AVERAGE		19.34%		35.55%		95.32%		4.68%
Cabranes	34	28.81	53	30.64	49	89.09	4	7.27
Calabresi	29	25.00	72	38.30	68	94.44	4	5.56
Cardamone	11	16.67	36	35.29	35	97.22	1	2.78
Feinberg	11	18.03	24	28.24	21	87.50	3	12.50
Jacobs	24	19.35	53	29.94	52	98.11	1	1.89
Katzmann	24	22.22	44	28.76	38	84.44	6	13.33
Kearse	14	16.09	52	37.41	49	94.23	3	5.77
McLaughlin	12	19.35	43	40.95	41	95.35	2	4.65
Meskill	11	23.40	18	27.69	18	100.00	0	0.00
Newman	12	20.69	37	38.95	35	94.59	2	5.41
B. Parker	19	23.46	37	31.36	34	91.89	3	8.11
Pooler	20	17.86	79	41.15	75	94.94	4	5.06
Sack	28	22.76	73	37.24	70	95.89	3	4.11
Straub	18	18.18	49	32.89	47	94.00	2	4.00
Winter	17	22.97	46	38.33	44	95.65	2	4.35
AVERAGE		21.26%		34.81%		94.41%		5.59%