Tennessee Watchdog.org



 HOME
 STATES
 NATIONAL
 NEWS
 VIDEO
 WATCHBLOG
 ISSUES
 DONATE

 ENERGY
 ELECTIONS
 PENSIONS
 EDUCATION
 HEALTH CARE

Crime victims blast Tennessee Supreme Court justice

By Chris Butler / July 28, 2014 / 9 Comments



By Chris Butler | Tennessee Watchdog

NASHVILLE — Don't wear your emotions on your sleeve in a Tennessee courtroom. Members of Tennessee's Supreme Court, like "Dragnet's" Joe Friday, just want the facts.

Two Nashville crime-victim advocates, Verna Wyatt and Kathleen Starnes, told Tennessee Watchdog they and other crime victims are furious at the court, particularly Justice Connie Clark.

Wyatt, who maintains a Facebook page about the justices, said she lost a family member in 1991 to a violent sexual assault and murder.

"In the middle of our grief we found out that victims don't really have any rights," Wyatt said. "It's all about the offender. And prosecutors have to be careful not to even say the victim's name."

Wyatt said it took years of work for her and others to have the right to give what is known as a victim impact statement during the sentencing phase of a person convicted of a capital crime.

She takes issue with what Clark wrote in a 2006 opinion affirming the death sentence of Leonard Young, convicted of killing a University of Memphis graduate student in Memphis in 1999.

In her opinion, Clark faulted the lower court for allowing the graduate student's professor to discuss the impact of the victim's death on the school.

"He testified that the department 'ground to a halt," Clark wrote in her opinion. "Students were unable to complete their work and professors unable to teach their classes. He went so far as to claim that the murder threatened the career aspirations of some of the students."

The jury, Clark wrote, shouldn't have heard this type of victim-impact testimony.

Did the supposedly unfair testimony unnecessarily impact the sentencing? Evidently not, Clark admitted.



Justice Connie Clark



Newsmax

converte

WebZPDF

"We are therefore convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the jury would have sentenced defendant to death even had it not heard the improperly admitted testimony," Clark wrote.

Clark's comments, Wyatt told Tennessee Watchdog, were overkill and have had a negative impact statewide.

"She laid the groundwork for reversing a sentence when appeals come in that claim the victims' impact statement was too emotional," Wyatt said.



Verna Wyatt

"This is a huge problem."

Victims of violent crime now tell Wyatt that lower court judges are sanitizing victims' impact statements as a result.

"They are told 'Do not cry," Wyatt said. "They are told not to show emotion. That's torture for someone in that situation."

Tennessee Watchdog reached out to Clark's campaign staff, as well as members of Keep Tennessee's Supreme Court Fair for comment, but no one returned calls by late Friday afternoon.

However, court spokeswoman Michele Wojciechowski told Tennessee Watchdog on Friday that Clark was citing established law in her opinion.

"Every case that goes before a court is very fact-specific. You have to consider everything in the context of the facts presented in the case," Wojciechowski said.

"This is a complex, 31-page opinion. The entire opinion, along with the facts of the case, the laws and other opinions it cites, as well as the ultimate ruling of the court that affirmed the guilt and upheld the sentence of death, are the context to consider for an accurate representation of what happened."

Meanwhile, Starnes, who survived a 1985 rape, told Tennessee Watchdog court officials need to tilt justice back in favor of victims.



Contact Christopher Butler at chris@tennesseewatchdog.org or follow him and submit story ideas on his official Facebook page.

Get regular Tennessee Watchdog updates through our Facebook or Twitter accounts

Click here to get Tennessee Watchdog articles sent directly to your email inbox (click Tennessee under "Watchdog News Updates)

Like Watchdog.org? Click HERE to get breaking news alerts in YOUR state!

- Voters to Obama: Stay Off Campaign Trail
- Perry: Obama Failed Duty to Defend Border
- Rep. Louie Gohmert, Crisis on the Border — Click Here, DIRECTV 349, DISH 223
- Ben Carson on Presidential Run: 'A Step Closer'
- Should Obama Deport Illegal Children?
 Vote Here Now
- New Testosterone Booster Takes GNC by Storm
- Reduce Neuropathy With These Rare Ingredients
- Skinny Pill is Raising Excitement with Consumers

What's This?





Click here to LEARN HOW TO STEAL OUR STUFF!



This is what you get when you have liberals running things. Of course they don't want the accused punished to severely, it's not their fault, it is always someone else s fault. Beside, the longer the criminals remain in jail, the longer they can't vote

5 ^ V · Reply · Share ›

for liberals.

Dennis Smith • 16 hours ago

No, I do not know what it is like to be affected so personally by a heinous crime. But criminal acts are defined by objective criteria, and guilt is based on evidence, not feelings. Hate crimes are also a pox on our justice system, and subject to manipulation.

Reply • Share >



RealityObserver -> Dennis Smith - 10 hours ago

Facts are important - to determine whether they committed a crime beyond a reasonable doubt. They should be the only thing allowed in the trial.

The effect of the crime on its victims is important to determining the punishment - and, other than observing the relevant limits under the law, should be the only thing allowed in the punishment phase.

Reply
 Share >



Barry • a dayago

This is silly. And wildly outdated. Even the Facebook link is broken.



eztalk → Barry • 14 hours ago

Neither one that I tried is broken. Try again. Not silly at all.

Beasleyhillman • a day ago

Our justice system has gone way off track. If someone is guilty of murder or rape, he should be put to death. "Touchy feely" stuff should never enter the picture. When laws are weakened by applying emotional elements. the purpose of the laws is





Like us on Facebook

💟 Follow us on Twitter

💱 Join us on Google+

🗱 Watch us on YouTube

Oklahoma

Oregon

Texas

Vermont

• Virginia

Pennsylvania

Tennessee

- Illinois
- lowa
- Kansas

Idaho

- Maryland
- Minnesota
- Mississippi
- Missouri
- Nebraska
- Montana
- Wisconsin

Washington

West Virginia

© 2014 Franklin Center for Government & Public Integrity

ABOUT | CONTACT | PRIVACY POLICY | COPYRIGHT & LEGAL

Facebook social plugin

