

No. 06-766

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

NEW YORK STATE BOARD OF ELECTIONS, *et al.*,
Petitioners,

v.

MARGARITA LÓPEZ TORRES, *et al.*,
Respondents.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

**BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE CHARLES J. HYNES,
DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR KINGS COUNTY,
NEW YORK, IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS**

PAUL A. ENGELMAYER
Counsel of Record
LI YU
ELOISE PASACHOFF
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
399 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 230-8800

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iii

STATEMENT OF INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE 1

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 2

ARGUMENT 5

I. THE FIRST AMENDMENT REQUIRES NEW YORK TO GIVE RANK-AND-FILE POLITICAL PARTY MEMBERS A MEANINGFUL OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN CHOOSING STATE SUPREME COURT NOMINEES 5

 A. The First Amendment Protects Party Members’ Right To Associate To Determine Their Party’s Nominees And Direction..... 6

 B. The Constitutionality Of New York’s Unique Statutory Judicial-Nominating Scheme Requires A Realistic Assessment Of That System’s Effect On Party Members, Candidates, And The Judiciary 7

II. NEW YORK’S SYSTEM FOR ELECTING STATE SUPREME COURT JUDGES INVITES CORRUPTION AND IMPROPRIETY: THE EVIDENCE RELATING TO BROOKLYN..... 9

 A. The History Of López Torres’s Attempts To Obtain A Supreme Court Seat..... 10

 B. Other Evidence Of Corruption In The System For Selecting Supreme Court Nominees..... 15

 C. The Link Between Corruption In The System For Electing Justices And Corruption In The Administration Of Justice..... 19

III. CORRUPTION AND ABUSE DUE TO EXCLUSION OF THE RANK-AND-FILE FROM ANY MEANINGFUL ROLE IN CHOOSING SUPREME COURT NOMINEES ARE STATEWIDE PROBLEMS..... 21

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

	Page
A. Party Leaders' Complete Control Over Supreme Court Nominations Is A Statewide Problem	22
B. Party Leaders Exploit Supreme Court Nominations In A Variety Of Ways.....	25
C. Party Leaders' Control Over Supreme Court Nominations Has Defied Repeated Calls For Reform.....	27
CONCLUSION.....	28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

	Page(s)
<i>Anderson v. Celebrezze</i> , 460 U.S. 780 (1983).....	3, 7, 8
<i>Bullock v. Carter</i> , 405 U.S. 134 (1972).....	3, 8
<i>California Democratic Party v. Jones</i> , 530 U.S. 567 (2000).....	3, 7
<i>Cousins v. Wigoda</i> , 419 U.S. 477 (1975).....	6
<i>Eu v. San Francisco County Democratic Central Com- mittee</i> , 489 U.S. 214 (1989).....	6
<i>Kusper v. Pontikes</i> , 414 U.S. 51 (1973).....	6
<i>In re Gershenoff</i> , 793 N.Y.S.2d 397 (N.Y. App. Div. 2005).....	20
<i>In re Barry Salman</i> , 1994 WL 897717 (N.Y. Comm'n Jud. Conduct Jan. 26, 1994).....	23
<i>In re Mark Farrell</i> , 2004 WL 1813745 (N.Y. Comm'n Jud. Conduct June 24, 2004).....	23
<i>In re Mason</i> , 2002 WL 1477774 (N. Y. Comm'n Jud. Conduct June 21, 2002)	20
<i>In re Mason</i> , 790 N.E.2d 769 (N.Y. 2003)	20
<i>Republican Party of Minnesota v. White</i> , 536 U.S. 765 (2002).....	3, 7
<i>Tashjian v. Republican Party of Connecticut</i> , 479 U.S. 208 (1986).....	6, 7

STATUTES AND RULES

N.Y. City Civ. Ct. Act § 102-a(3).....	26
N.Y. Elec. Law § 6-124	2
N.Y. Elec. Law § 6-148(3).....	26
N.Y. Elec. Law § 17-162	17
S. Ct. Rule 32.3	13

OTHER AUTHORITIES

<i>Ask Legislature to Act on Courts</i> , N.Y. Times, Feb. 28, 1944.....	27
Elected Officials of Amherst Township, <i>available at</i> http://www.amherst.ny.us/contact/electa.asp (last vis- ited July 12, 2007)	23

